1	Novel and divergent genes in the evolution of placental mammals
2	
3	Thomas L. Dunwell <sup>1</sup> , Jordi Paps <sup>1,2</sup> and Peter W.H. Holland <sup>1*</sup>
4	1 – University of Oxford, Department of Zoology.
5	2 - University of Essex, School of Biological Sciences.
6	* Corresponding author: peter.holland@zoo.ox.ac.uk
7	
8	Key words: New genes; molecular evolution; MCL clustering; Eutheria; Placentalia
9	Running head: Genes of placental mammals
10	
11	
12	Abstract
13	Analysis of genome sequences within a phylogenetic context can give insight into the mode
14	and tempo of gene and protein evolution, including inference of gene ages. This can reveal
15	whether new genes arose on particular evolutionary lineages and were recruited for new
16	functional roles. Here, we apply MCL clustering with all-vs-all reciprocal BLASTP to identify
17	and phylogenetically date 'Homology Groups' amongst vertebrate proteins. Homology
18	Groups include new genes and highly divergent duplicate genes. Focussing on the origin of
19	the placental mammals within the Eutheria, we identify 357 novel Homology Groups that
20	arose on the stem lineage of Placentalia, 87 of which are deduced to play core roles in
21	mammalian biology as judged by extensive retention in evolution. We find the human
22	homologues of novel eutherian genes are enriched for expression in preimplantation embryo,
23	brain, and testes, and enriched for functions in keratinization, reproductive development, and
24	the immune system.

25

28 Introduction

Living mammals are divided into three major clades: monotremes, marsupials, and placentals.
The placental mammals are the most speciose of the three with almost 4000 described
species encompassing a striking range of morphological diversity from bats to whales, and
elephants to humans.

The common ancestor of placentals and marsupials dates to ~140 to 191 million years ago (mya), whereas the crown Placentalia dates to only ~72 to 107 mya with the oldest fossil at 65 mya [1,2]. Despite the uncertainties (and controversies), these dates suggest a long period of 60 to 80 million years during which the genetic changes occurred that distinguish living placental mammals from marsupials or monotremes.

The inclusive clade (total group) encompassing crown Placentalia and their closest extinct 38 39 relatives is termed Eutheria and its members can be distinguished from the Metatheria, 40 including marsupials, by several skeletal and dentition characters. Additional physiological 41 and reproductive features are evident in living placental mammals including extended gestation, a well-developed placenta and loss of epipubic bones enabling abdominal 42 expansion during pregnancy. In association with these changes, development of an invasive 43 placenta posed new immunological challenges for placental mammals [3], while 44 45 reorganisation of blastocyst development is associated with early specification of trophoblast cells [4,5]. Hence, over the interval from the origin of the Eutheria to the origin of the 46 47 placental mammals a suite of phenotypic characters arose which were exploited by evolution 48 as the Placentalia radiated extensively and colonized a vast range of habitats.

We aim to understand the origin of placental mammals at the molecular level. Genomic changes that could contribute to phenotypic change include changes to cis-regulatory DNA, changes to repetitive DNA landscapes, and the origin and loss of coding and non-coding genes. In addition, co-option of genes from integrated retroviruses has been shown to be important in eutherian mammal evolution, generated *syncytin* genes deployed to facilitate cellular fusion during placentation [6]. Here we investigate the extent to which novel proteincoding genes arose on the stem lineage of the placental mammals, during the first ~60-80

56 million years of eutherian evolution, and whether novel genes likely contributed to the 57 emergence of the unique phenotypic characters of placental mammals. We define novel 58 genes as including gene duplicates that have undergone unusually extensive sequence change 59 compared to the other gene duplicate (referred to as asymmetric evolution [7]) as well as 60 new genes generated by more complex genomic events (transposition, inversion and 61 repurposing of non-coding DNA).

62 We describe a comparative analysis of all protein-coding genes present in the genomes of a 63 phylogenetically diverse set of ten eutherian mammals, three non-eutherian mammals (marsupials and monotremes), four reptiles/birds, one amphibian, and two actinopterygian 64 species. Using a recently developed pipeline combining reciprocal all-vs-all BLASTP and 65 Markov Cluster (MCL) grouping on the basis of sequence similarity, we group protein-coding 66 67 genes into 'Homology Groups' dated to phylogenetic nodes. We identify 357 novel Homology Groups arising on the stem lineage of Placentalia, a subset of 87 of these are extensively 68 maintained across subsequent evolution. Expression profiles and functional annotation 69 70 suggest recruitment of novel genes to preimplantation embryo, brain, testis, keratinization, and immune functions. 71

72

### 74 Material and Methods

### 75 Protein Data sets

76 Twenty vertebrate species were chosen on the basis of completeness of genome sequencing and annotation, covering the phylogenetic diversity of placental mammals and a series of 77 78 nested outgroups. A non-redundant protein dataset for each species was generated by combining NCBI RefSeq and Ensmbl predictions as follows. RefSeq protein data were 79 80 downloaded from NCBI (accessed July 2015) and filtered to retain only the longest canonical peptide associated with each Entrez gene ID. Protein predictions were also obtained from 81 82 Ensembl (except *Chrysemys picta* with no Ensembl annotation) and redundancy with RefSeq reduced by removing proteins with matching Entrez gene ID, BLASTP hits of p-value = 0 or 83 100% BLASTP matches across alignable regions, to generate a final combined proteome for 84 each species (Figure 1). The total data set comprised 468,298 peptide sequences (Electronic 85 86 Supplementary Material Tables S1, S2).

### 87 BLAST-MCL pipeline and data filtering

A local database was created using the combined NCBI-Ensembl protein datasets and 88 reciprocal all-vs-all protein BLASTP searches performed with default settings and a cut off p-89 value of 5e<sup>-5</sup> using BLASTP version 2.2.27 [8] The output was passed to mcxdeblast with the 90 options '--m9' and '--line-mode=abc' to generate an MCL-compatible format. MCL (version 91 92 12-135 [9]) was then used to infer groups of putative homology using the following options '-93 -abc -I 2'; this generates clouds of closely related proteins with significant difference from 94 neighbouring clouds (Figure 2). A Homology Group (HG) was inferred to represent a Novel Ancestral Placental gene, meaning it was present in the last common ancestor of crown 95 96 Placentalia, if proteins within the cluster were present within one or more Atlantogenata species (Xenarthra or Afrotheria) and one or more Boreoeutheria (Euarchontoglires or 97 98 Laurasiatheria), and in no outgroups. A subset of these, termed Novel Core Placental genes, 99 were defined as HG present in all (or all but one) of the placental mammal species (Figure 2). Using proteins from the Novel Core Placental clusters, web-based BLASTP searches against 100 101 the NCBI non-redundant protein sequence database were used to test for false positives 102 resultant from incomplete taxon sampling. Custom scripts used for data filtering are available 103 through GitHub [10].

### 104 Phylogenetics

Phylogenetic analysis of all proteins within Novel Core Placental HG used alignments 105 generated with MAFFT (with '--inputorder --anysymbol --ep 0 --maxiterate 1000 --retree 1 --106 107 globalpair' options [11]), trimming with trimAl (with the '-automated1' option [12]) and maximum likelihood analysis using FastTree (with '-wag -gamma' options [13]). For species 108 109 trees, selected proteins were aligned and trimmed as above, and concatenated. Gaps were retained when a protein was absent from a species. Concatenated sequences were analysed 110 111 using Phylobayes (options '-cat -gtr -nchain 2 100 0.3 50' [14]) and allowed to generate 200,000 trees; consensus trees were obtained by using readpb with a burn in of 1000 trees 112 and subsequent sampling every 10 trees. 113

### **GO Pathway and Functional Enrichment**

115 The online web portal DAVID 6.8 (<u>https://david.ncifcrf.gov/</u> [15]) was used to assess KEGG 116 pathway and GO term annotation enrichment.

### 117 RNASeq, Heatmaps, and Expression Clustering

FPKM expression data were generated using CUFFLINKS [16] with default parameters applied to a previously described human tissue expression panel [17]. FPKM values were normalised against the cell or tissue type in which each individual gene was most highly expressed; FPKM values below 2 were treated as 0. Heatmaps were generated in R using the heatmap.2 function of the gplots package and a normalised expression scale of 0-1. Clusters of highly expressed genes were identified by manual inspection of the generated heatmaps.

124

### 125 **Results**

### 126 Identification of Novel Ancestral Placental genes

To investigate gene origin during mammalian evolution, we focussed on well-annotated genome sequences from 10 placental mammals and 10 species representing five nested outgroup clades: marsupials (gray short-tailed opossum and Tasmanian Devil), monotremes (platypus), sauropsids (2 reptiles and 2 birds), amphibians (*Xenopus*), and actinopterygian fish (Figure 1). The placental species include representatives of the four extant major clades: 132 Euarchontoglires (human, mouse, rabbit), Laurasiatheria (cow, cat, horse, shrew), Afrotheria (elephant, tenrec) and Xenarthra (armadillo). To obtain maximally representative proteome 133 predictions for each genome, we combined NCBI RefSeq and canonical Ensembl protein 134 135 predictions generating a total dataset of 468,298 peptides. These were used in reciprocal BLASTP searches to identify sequence similarities and the output analysed using MCL to 136 identify groups of putatively homologous proteins (adapted from ref [18]). Although 137 sequence similarity is evident between some groups, these groupings can be considered 138 distinct genes or sets of genes. 139

Of the total of 20,363 groups of homologous proteins identified (Homology Groups, HG), 5088 140 are present only in one or more placental mammals species. Using a phylogenetic tree of 141 placental mammals [19] that places Xenarthra as sister group to Afrotheria (collectively the 142 143 Atlantogenata), and Euarchontoglires sister group to Laurasiatheria (forming Boreotheria), we infer that 9465 HG were present in the last common ancestor of extant placental mammals 144 (i.e. HG present in at least one member of Atlantogenata and at least one Boreoeutheria. or 145 present in at least one non-placental mammal and one placental mammal; Figure 2). Of these, 146 357 are specific to the placental mammals, not present in any non-placental mammals or 147 other vertebrates. We term these 357 HG 'Novel Ancestral Placental' genes; we infer these 148 149 genes arose within Eutheria on the stem lineage of Placentalia. The human genome contains 150 genes belonging to 249 of these 357 HG, totalling 376 different genes. Electronic 151 Supplementary Material Table S1 gives accession numbers for each protein assigned to Novel Ancestral Placental HG; Electronic Supplementary Material Table S3 gives numbers of 152 proteins per HG. 153

To test if new eutherian-specific genes are enriched or depleted across human chromosomes, we compared the number of Novel Ancestral Placental genes (376 genes from 249 HG) located on each human chromosome to the total number of protein-coding genes used in our data set found on each chromosome (Figure 3). Chromosomes 20, Y and X show significant overrepresentation of Novel Ancestral Placental genes (p-values 2.9e<sup>-3</sup>, 6.3e<sup>-4</sup> and 6.6e<sup>-30</sup> respectively; Fishers exact test); Chromosome 2 shows depletion (p-value 4e<sup>-3</sup>; Fishers exact test).

### 161 Identification of Novel Core Placental genes

162 Of the 357 Novel Ancestral Placental HG, 87 are present in all, or all but one, of the eutherian mammal species analysed (Figure 2). On the basis of extensive retention in subsequent 163 164 evolution, we infer that these 87 HG contain eutherian-specific proteins expected to be 165 central for 'making a placental mammal'. We therefore term these 87 HG 'Novel Core Placental' genes (Figure 2). Novel Core Placental HG are a subset of the Novel Ancestral 166 167 Placental HG. In the human genome, 86 of the 87 core HG are present, containing 133 different proteins. Examining the chromosomal distribution of the human representatives 168 reveals that chromosomes 20 and X also have overrepresentation for Novel Core Placental 169 genes (p-values 3.2e<sup>-4</sup> and 3e<sup>-29</sup> respectively; Fishers exact test). 170

The number of predicted proteins present in each Novel Core Placental HG can vary over tenfold between species; for example, HG648 (encoding membrane-anchored ligands for immune-associated NKG2D activating receptor) contains 2 proteins in *Felis catus* and 31 in *Bos taurus*. Electronic Supplementary Material Table S1 gives accession numbers for each protein assigned to Novel Core Placental HG; Electronic Supplementary Material Table S3 gives numbers of proteins per HG.

The extensive retention of Novel Core Placental genes enables a test of their inferred 177 homology. If Homology Group assignment is accurate, we expect that a phylogenetic tree 178 179 constructed from sequence alignment should recover the known evolutionary tree for the ten 180 placental mammals in the dataset. First, we used phylogenetic analysis of each HG individually 181 to determine if any contained multiple genes in the most recent common ancestor of extant placental mammals. For 78 of the 87 Novel Core Placental HG these trees were consistent 182 with descent from a single gene, in 6 cases the trees implied descent from 2 genes (indicating 183 184 that gene duplication had occurred on the placental stem lineage), 2 HG were derived from 3 genes and 1 HG was derived from 5 genes. If a species had experienced additional gene 185 186 duplications, the gene with the shortest branch length was used. The 101 representative 187 proteins were then aligned, trimmed, and concatenated to generate an alignment of length 26,018 amino acids (Electronic Supplementary Material Table S4). Bayesian phylogenetic 188 analysis of the concatenated alignment recapitulated the expected phylogenetic relationships 189 190 for the 10 placental mammals (Figure 4).

### 191 Functional inference by annotation

To gain insight into possible functions of the Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental HG proteins, Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathway enrichment was performed using the human genes from each HG (Figure 5).

Of the 133 human genes belonging to 86 (of 87) Novel Core Placental HG, 116 (87%) were assigned one or more GO terms. Among biological processes, functional category enrichment was found for negative transcriptional regulation, keratinization, and natural killer cellmediated cytotoxicity. In the molecular function category, there is enrichment for proteins involved in WW domain binding and natural killer cell lectin-like receptor binding.

Of the 376 genes from 249 Novel Ancestral Placental HG, 249 (66%) were assigned one or more terms relating to cellular component, biological process, or molecular function. Enrichment was seen for a similar selection of terms, with the addition of male gonad development, spermatogenesis, innate immunity, and defence response to bacteria. Both Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental HG proteins were also enriched for pathway functions related to natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity (Figure 5).

### 206 Functional inference by gene expression

Specificity of gene expression can give insight into the deployment of genes into specific 207 208 biological processes roles. We therefore examined tissue specificity of gene expression for 336 human genes belonging to Novel Ancestral Placental HG (including Novel Core Placental 209 210 HG), using publicly available RNA-Seq data from 59 normal human adult and embryo cell types 211 and tissues. Expression values for each gene were normalised against the tissue or cell type 212 in which each gene is most highly expressed, and data clustered to identify groups of genes 213 with similar expression patterns (Figure 6). Normalising ensures that genes with similar 214 biological profiles are clustered, regardless of absolute expression levels. Raw FPKM and normalised data are available in Electronic Supplementary Material Table S5. 215

Clustering revealed a series of visually distinct groups of genes sharing similar expression profiles, revealing sets of genes likely involved in a range of possible biological processes (Figure 6; Electronic Supplementary Material Figure S1). Groups vary in size from a single gene (e.g. *APOC4* expressed in liver only) to 61 genes (testis). We identify seven clusters of novel placental genes associated with reproductive tissues and pre-implantation embryos (testes, 61 genes; 8-cell and morula, 31 genes; 8-cell embryo only, 14 genes; oocyte, zygote, 2-cell and 4-cell, 12 genes; embryonic stem cells, 6 genes; late blastocyst, 4 genes; Fallopian tubes,
4 genes). We also note sets of novel placental genes associated with the immune system (9
genes), breast tissue (5 genes), and brain (41 genes), and a set of genes expressed broadly in
the majority of tissues examined (23 genes). The identity of genes in highlighted expression
sets are given in Table 1; all gene names are present in Electronic Supplementary Material
Figure S1.

Most expression sets include genes from the widely-retained Novel Core Placental HG, as well as other Novel Ancestral Placental HG. Interestingly, the brain expression set is significantly enriched in Novel Core Placental genes (p-value = 4e<sup>-4</sup>).

### 231 Evolutionary origin of novel genes

Reconstructing the mutational pathways that gave rise to each novel placental gene is 232 233 complicated by the length of the elapsed time since their origin. To investigate if sequence 234 divergence and/or gene duplication underpinned origin, we examined sequence relationships between HG using reciprocal BLASTP. For the majority of Novel Core Placental HG, we 235 detected no BLASTP hits to any other Novel Core Placental HG (Figure 7A). The exceptions 236 were: (1) five putatively related HG encoding TCEAL and BEX proteins (InterPro IPRO21156); 237 (2) two HG encoding a subset of chromosomally-clustered WFDC proteins; (3) three HG 238 239 encoding retroposon Gag-like proteins; and (4) two HG encoding KRTAP keratin-associated proteins (ID1-4 in Figure 7A and Electronic Supplementary Material Table S6). 240

241 Expanding the BLASTP analysis to all HG was used to search for additional evolutionary 242 relationships (Figure 7B). This revealed that 33 of the Novel Core Placental HG have no 243 significant BLASTP similarity to any HG outside of placental mammals. A total of 15 Novel Core 244 Placental HG have sequence similarity to other HG found across placental and non-placental mammals, and a further 39 have sequence similarities more broadly than mammals the most 245 extreme being HG9135 (ID 5 in Figure 7) with blast hits to 26 other HG (Electronic 246 247 Supplementary Material Table S7). The degree of sequence similarity to proteins outside placental mammals is far lower than the similarities within the placental HG indicating 248 249 relationship to a broader protein superfamily. For example, Novel Core Placental HG 3030 has 250 two proteins in human, CYS9 and CYS9L, comprising the Cystatin 9 family of proteases; the cystatin gene superfamily is found across eukaryotes, but the Cystatin 9 family has previously 251

been shown to be specific to placental mammals [20]. Similarly, Novel Core Placental HG 648
has six proteins in humans comprising the ULBP/RAET family of MHC Class I-related proteins,
which are distantly related to genes in marsupials [21].

255 To further trace origins, we focussed on all Novel Core Placental HG that were single copy in all eutherian mammals, and compared genomic position and organisation in human to the 256 257 syntenic region in opossum. These comparisons suggested four distinct mutational routes for the origin of Novel Placental HG: (1) extensive sequence divergence of a pre-existing gene; (2) 258 259 tandem gene duplication followed by asymmetric sequence divergence from a pre-existing gene; (3) origin of a protein-coding gene in a location where no gene is present in non-260 eutherian mammals; and (4) genomic rearrangement associated with the origin of a 261 262 putatively novel sequence. Not all genes could be clearly assigned to just one of these categories. Examples of these four routes are given in Figure 8. 263

264

### 265 **Discussion**

Although much attention in comparative biology is focussed on genes and genetic pathways 266 that are shared between species, it is also clear that there has been much novelty in evolution. 267 For example, as each new genome sequence is reported, suites of genes are discovered 268 without clear homologues in other species, suggesting a high rate of novelty. It could be 269 270 argued that our vision of novelty is exaggerated because in many cases genomes are being 271 compared that are distantly related, but the conclusion cannot be escaped that many new 272 genes arise in evolution. Putting numbers or rates onto novelty is difficult, however, since there is no single definition of what constitutes a new gene. At one extreme, focus could be 273 274 restricted only to genes that emerged by de novo origin from non-coding sequence [22], or alternatively one could include those originated by assembly from disparate domain 275 276 components or by radical sequence divergence with or without duplication [7]. Mechanistic 277 definitions are intrinsically appealing but they create problems in application because the mode of origin cannot always be determined. Furthermore, evolution is opportunistic and 278 279 uses whatever genetic information is available, regardless of mode of origin. From the perspective of the evolution of new functions or biological traits in organisms, mode of origin 280 281 may not be relevant. For these reasons, we deploy a pragmatic definition of novel genes, meaning genes encoding proteins that are substantially different from, or have no similarityto those in related lineages.

In the present study, our goal was to identify novel genes that originated along the stem 284 285 lineage of placental mammals. We took advantage of proteome data from twenty vertebrate species and by combining reciprocal BLASTP and MCL clustering were able to identify groups 286 of homologous proteins and determine their relative ages in a phylogenetic context. We 287 generated a total of 20,363 'Homology Groups' (HG), of which 9465 were inferred as present 288 289 in the common ancestor of placental mammals. The vast majority of these 9465 HG are found more widely that just the placental mammals and therefore date to earlier in metazoan 290 291 evolution. However, we identified a subset of 357 HG that were present in the most recent 292 common ancestor of the crown Placentalia and are completely absent from all other species 293 (Figure 1, 2). We suggest that these represent genes that arose on the stem lineage of the 294 placental mammals.

Two distinct levels of evolutionary conservation were examined across the 357 HG: (1) Those 295 with moderate to high levels of loss across placental mammals were named Novel Ancestral 296 Placental genes, but each of these was still inferred to have been present in the common 297 298 ancestor of Placentalia because of retention in representatives of disparate evolutionary 299 lineages; (2) Those HG present in the genomes of all, or all but one, placental mammals in our 300 study (87 HG) were termed Novel Core Placental genes. We suggest that this set of 87 HG 301 represent genes that were central for the emergence of placental mammals, and are involved in biological roles that are highly important for 'being a placental mammal'. 302

303 Our analyses suggest that the 357 Novel Ancestral Placental HG are new 'types of genes' that arose on the stem lineage of Placentalia. It is not possible to infer directly the chromosomal 304 305 location of each new gene at its date of origin, since this would necessitate dating each origin to a time point along a stem lineage that has no living descendants while also knowing the 306 307 karyotype of each extinct ancestor. As a proxy, we use the human karyotype with the caveat 308 that there have been chromosome fission and fusion events. All but one human chromosome 309 carries Novel Ancestral Placental HG genes, but there is a proportional enrichment on the X and Y chromosomes (Figure 3), known to be homologous across placental mammals with 310 311 human X chromosome genes also found on the elephant X chromosome [23]. We thus infer that sex chromosomes were a major (but not exclusive) site of origin of the genes on the stem 312

lineage of placental mammals. Interestingly, the sex chromosomes of placental mammals 313 have a radically different gene composition to those of marsupials (and outgroups) because 314 of a fusion with an autosome bringing new genes to the X chromosome, forming the X Added 315 316 Region or XAR [24]. We suggest that this event, along with Y chromosome degradation, 317 facilitated the origin of new genes on both sex chromosomes. For both the X and Y, reduced 318 effective population size, lack of recombination, and strong selection in the hemizygous male 319 may have promoted extensive tandem gene duplication and acceleration of DNA sequence evolution. 320

To gain insight into the contribution that novel genes made to the biology of mammals, we 321 examined gene function and expression using human data. Gene Ontology and KEGG analysis 322 323 suggested that many Novel Ancestral Placental HG genes have functions in the immune 324 system, in hair and skin development (keratinization), and in the testis. Although these are biological functions known to be complex in mammals as a whole, our analysis focusses 325 326 specifically on genetic changes on the stem lineage of placental mammals. Hence, if we can safely extrapolate from human data across the placental mammals, we suggest that these 327 functions were subject to extensive evolutionary modification after the divergence of the 328 eutherians from the metatherian and prototherian lineages. This list of functional categories 329 330 may be incomplete as many human genes within the Novel Ancestral Placental HG have not 331 been assigned a GO term related to a biological process, molecular function or cellular 332 component. This limitation is less extreme for gene expression which we used for an independent insight into gene function, and we were able to examine expression profiles for 333 most genes (Figure 6). As above, this approach highlighted testis as a tissue into which new 334 genes have been recruited and to a lesser extent the immune system. Two additional broad 335 336 categories of biological function were suggested from human gene expression: functions in the brain and in pre-implantation embryonic development. In each case, many new genes 337 338 (Novel Ancestral Placental HG) were specifically or predominantly expressed in these RNAseq 339 datasets. Overall, these data suggest there was extensive genetic modification to pathways involved in testis, brain and immune system function and pre-implantation development 340 during eutherian mammal evolution. Almost half of the brain-expressed new genes are on 341 342 the human X chromosome (19 of 41), consistent with the 'smart and sexy' description of the eutherian X chromosome discussed by Graves [25]. Testes-expressed new genes are found onthe human X, Y and autosomes.

An association of new eutherian genes with pre-implantation development has been noted 345 346 previously, but the current study suggests this is more extensive than formerly recognized and not driven primarily by sex chromosome evolution. For example, several autosomal PRD 347 348 class homeobox gene families (ARGFX, DPRX, TPRX, LEUTX, CPHX) and one autosomal ANTP 349 class homeobox gene (NANOGNB) have previously been noted to be specific to placental 350 mammals and expressed in pre-implantation development [17, 26-29]; three of these, LEUTX, 351 CPHX and NANOGNB, were identified in the present study. Additional placental mammal 352 specific genes we identified with enriched expression in preimplantation embryos include: 353 ZSCAN4, implicated in pluripotency [30,31] and two members of an extended gene family 354 KHDC1 and DPPA5 [32] which have been previously reported as mammal-specific; and a 355 related group of transcriptional repressors, SSX1-5, which are frequently over expressed in 356 cancer with reported roles in cell adhesion and migration, cancer stem cell generation and 357 chromatin remodelling [33-36]. These data imply that during the evolution of eutherian mammals there was extensive remodelling of genetic pathways controlling formation of the 358 blastocyst. This conclusion is particularly intriguing in the light of recent embryological work 359 360 highlighting differences in cell behaviour during the early development of the marsupial 361 Tammar Wallaby compared to placental mammals [4,5]. For example, in human and mouse embryos the early distinction between embryo-fated cells and trophectoderm cells is 362 associated with formation of an inner cell mass within a hollow sphere of cells, while in 363 Tammar wallaby the embryo-fated cells remain as a 'pluriblast' located on the surface of a 364 unilaminar blastocyst layer [4,5]. The functional significance of such differences is not clear, 365 366 although it is tempting to relate them to the necessity for placental mammals to rapidly 367 establish a distinct and highly active placenta for extended gestation.

368

370	Ethics
371	The authors declare that there are no ethical issues associated with this research.
372	
373	Data accessibility
374	Assignment of protein sequences to Homology Groups, size of each Homology Group and
375	processed human gene expression data are uploaded as Electronic Supplementary Material
376	and available at xxxxxxxxx
377	The phylogenetic tree data are available under TreeBASE accession
378	http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S21443.
379	
380	Authors' contributions
381	TLD conceived the study and performed bioinformatic analyses. TLD, PWHH, and JP
382	participated in project design. TLD and PWHH wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and
383	approved the final manuscript.
384	
385	Competing interests
386	The authors declare no competing interests.
387	
388	Funding
389	This work was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's
390	Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013 ERC grant 208513).
391	
392	Acknowledgements
393	We thank anonymous reviewers for constructive suggestions.
394	
395	Footnotes
396	Electronic Supplementary Material is available online at XXXXXXXXXXXX

### 397 Figures and Tables

398

### **Table 1. Genes present in twelve major expression clusters**

400

Figure 1. Taxon sampling and phylogeny. The number of proteins listed for each species is 401 402 the combined total from NCBI RefSeq and Ensembl protein predictions. Each of the four 403 coloured columns represents a Homology Group. The first two columns are hypothetical examples that would be classified as Novel Ancestral Placental Homology Group, since they 404 contain genes found in one member of the Atlantogenata and one of the Boreoeutheria. The 405 last two columns are hypothetical examples of Novel Core Placental Homology Groups (a 406 407 subset of Novel Ancestral Placental Homology Groups), being groups found in all, or all but one, placental mammals. 'YES' and 'NO' represent presence or absence of a Homology Group 408 409 in a species.

410

Figure 2. BLASTP/MCL pipeline and filtering steps for identifying Novel Ancestral Placental
 and Novel Core Placental Homology Groups.

413 Figure 3. Distribution of genes from Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental Homology Groups across human chromosomes. The number of proteins in Novel Ancestral 414 Placental and Novel Core Placental Homology Groups are shown per-chromosome as a 415 percentage of the total number of protein coding genes on that chromosome which were 416 present in our dataset. The total number of protein coding genes per-chromosome is plotted 417 418 on the secondary axis. The significance of the adjusted p-value for the enrichment or depletion of the Novel Ancestral and Novel Core proteins per chromosome are shown in the 419 grid below the histogram (\* = p-value < 0.05, \*\* = p-value <  $5e^{-3}$ , \*\*\* = p-value <  $5e^{-29}$ ). 420

421 Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree built using representative proteins from Novel Core Placental

422 Homology Groups. Due to the inherent lack of outgroup the tree was rooted between

423 Atlantogenata and Boreotheria.

Figure 5. GO annotation and pathway enrichment. Genes from Novel Ancestral Placental
and Novel Core Placental HG were assessed for enrichment for gene ontology (GO)
annotation terms and KEGG pathways. Spot size is proportional to the –log2 of the p-value
when a value ≤ 0.05 was found, terms are ordered by significance of enrichment in Novel
Ancestral genes. Term and pathways IDs are shown below the term names.

Figure 6. Heatmap of normalised gene expression for 59 human cell types and tissues. 430 431 Expression data from 59 different human cell types and tissues for 336 different human genes from 249 Novel Ancestral Placental Homology Groups. Clustering is according to expression 432 levels for each gene across all tissues and cell types after normalising each gene's expression 433 434 to the site of highest expression. Values are shown in a scale between 0 and 1. Individual 435 selected tissue or cell type clusters are labeled on the left edge. The peach colour in the bar running the height of the heatmap identifies those genes which belong to only a Novel 436 437 Ancestral Placental Homology Groups; a subset are coloured green and identifies those also belonging to a Novel Core Placental Homology Group. 438

439

Figure 7. Analysis of clustering and BLASTP results for Novel Core Placental Homology 440 Groups. BLASTP interactions for all proteins within the 87 Novel Core Placental HG were 441 analysed to determine to which, if any, other HG BLASTP hits were detectable. (A) BLASTP 442 interactions between the 87 Novel Core Placental HG were assessed to identify which HG had 443 444 reciprocal BLASTP hits between them. The diagonal line indicates reciprocal hits within an HG 445 to itself. Off-diagonal squares indicate BLASTP interactions between two different Novel Core Placental HG. Black lines illustrate BLASTP interactions between clusters. Numbers 1-5 446 represent Sets 1-5 in Electronic Supplementary Material Table S6, where more details of the 447 interactions are show. (B) BLASTP interactions between the 87 Novel Core Placental HG and 448 449 all other HG. Black lines between (A) and (B) are used to illustrate selected examples of where hits were detected. The coloured bars below the plot indicate which species each HG in (B) is 450 present in. A minimum of 25% of the proteins in a Novel Core Placental HG were required to 451 452 have BLASTP hits against another cluster for a BLASTP interaction to be considered relevant.

Figure 8. Methods of gene evolution. Selected Novel Ancestral Placental Homology Group 454 455 which contained a single protein were used to examine how selected Homology Groups may 456 have been generated. The syntenic region surrounding the human gene was compared to 457 the equivalent region in opossum. (A) CCER2 as an example of how a placental mammal protein coding gene has diverged such that it is detected as substantially different to the 458 copy of the gene found in non-placental mammals. (B) Tandem duplication of the CLPS loci 459 as an example for how genes can undergo duplication and subsequent divergence, resulting 460 in one or more of the duplicates diverging substantially from the original copy. (C) IL31 as an 461 example of a gene present in humans but not present in the syntenic location in opossum. 462 463 (D) Simplified representation of rearrangements surrounding SPZ1, as an example of how new genes can be associated with large-scale changes to chromosome structure. 464

465

467

### ' References

- O'Leary MA, Bloch JI, Flynn JJ, Gaudin TJ, Giallombardo A, Giannini NP, Goldberg SL,
   Kraatz BP, Luo ZX, Meng J, Ni X, Novacek MJ, Perini FA, Randall ZS, Rougier GW, Sargis
   EJ, Silcox MT, Simmons NB, Spaulding M, Velazco PM, Weksler M, Wible JR, Cirranello
   AL. The placental mammal ancestor and the post-K-Pg radiation of placentals. Science
   2013; 339: 662-7.
- 473
  473
  2. Dos Reis M, Donoghue PCJ, Yang Z. Neither phylogenomic nor palaeontological data
  474 support a Palaeogene origin of placental mammals. Biol Lett 2014; 10:20131003.
- 475 3. Moffett A, Loke C. Immunology of placentation in eutherian mammals. Nat Rev
  476 Immunol. 2006; 6: 584-94.
- 477 4. Rossant J, Tam PP. Blastocyst lineage formation, early embryonic asymmetries and
  478 axis patterning in the mouse. Development 2009; 136: 701-13.
- Frankenberg S, Shaw G, Freyer C, Pask AJ, Renfree MB. Early cell lineage specification
  in a marsupial: a case for diverse mechanisms among mammals. Development 2013;
  140: 965-75.
- Lavialle C, Cornelis G, Dupressoir A, Esnault C, Heidmann O, Vernochet C, Heidmann
   T. Paleovirology of *'syncytins'*, retroviral *env* genes exapted for a role in placentation.
   Philos Trans R Soc Lond B. 2013; 368: 20120507.
- 485 7. Holland PWH, Marlétaz F, Maeso I, Dunwell TL, Paps J. New genes from old:
  486 asymmetric divergence of gene duplicates and the evolution of development. Philos
  487 Trans R Soc Lond B. 2017; 372: 20150480.
- 488 8. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL.
  489 BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421.
- 490
  9. Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection
  491
  of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002; 30: 1575-84.
- 492 10. Dunwell TL. GitHub, 2017. doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.5340778
- 493 11. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
  494 improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013; 30: 772-80.
- 495 12. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: a tool for automated
  496 alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:
  497 1972-3.

- 498 13. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees
  499 for large alignments. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e9490.
- 500 14. Lartillot N, Lepage T, Blanquart S. PhyloBayes 3: a Bayesian software package for 501 phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25: 2286-8.
- 502 15. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large
  503 gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4: 44-57.
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn
   JL, Pachter L. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq
   experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat Protocols 2012;* 7: 562-578.
- 507 17. Dunwell TL, Holland PWH. Diversity of human and mouse homeobox gene expression
  508 in development and adult tissues. BMC Dev Biol. 2016; 16: 40.
- 18. Paps J, Holland PWH. What makes an animal? Reconstruction of the ancestral
  metazoan genome reveals an explosion of novelty. In review.
- 511 19. Morgan CC, Foster PG, Webb AE, Pisani D, McInerney JO, O'Connell MJ.
  512 Heterogeneous models place the root of the placental mammal phylogeny. Mol Biol
  513 Evol. 2013; 30: 2145-56.
- S14 20. Kordis D, Turk V. Phylogenomic analysis of the cystatin superfamily in eukaryotes and
   prokaryotes. BMC Evol Biol. 2009; 9: 266.
- 21. Papenfuss AT, Feng ZP, Krasnec K, Deakin JE, Baker ML, Miller RD. Marsupials and
  monotremes possess a novel family of MHC class I genes that is lost from the eutherian
  lineage. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16: 535.
- 519 22. Neme R, Tautz D. Phylogenetic patterns of emergence of new genes support a model
  520 of frequent de novo evolution. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:117.
- 521 23. Delgado CL, Waters PD, Gilbert C, Robinson TJ, Graves JA. Physical mapping of the
  522 elephant X chromosome: conservation of gene order over 105 million years.
  523 Chromosome Res. 2009; 17: 917-26.
- 524 24. Graves JA. Did sex chromosome turnover promote divergence of the major mammal
  525 groups? De novo sex chromosomes and drastic rearrangements may have posed
  526 reproductive barriers between monotremes, marsupials and placental mammals.
  527 BioEssays. 2016; 38: 734-43.

- 528 25. Graves JAM, Gecz J, Hameister H. Evolution of the human X a smart and sexy 529 chromosome that controls speciation and development. 2002; Cytogenet Genome 530 Rees 99: 141-145.
- 531 26. Töhönen V, Katayama S, Vesterlund L, Jouhilahti EM, Sheikhi M, Madissoon E, Filippini532 Cattaneo G, Jaconi M, Johnsson A, Bürglin TR, Linnarsson S, ovatta O, Kere J. Novel
  533 PRD-like homeodomain transcription factors and retrotransposon elements in early
  534 human development. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 8207.
- 535 27. Maeso I, Dunwell TL, Wyatt CD, Marlétaz F, Vető B, Bernal JA, Quah S, Irimia M,
  536 Holland PW. Evolutionary origin and functional divergence of totipotent cell
  537 homeobox genes in eutherian mammals. BMC Biol. 2016; 14: 45.
- 28. Madissoon E, Jouhilahti EM, Vesterlund L, Töhönen V, Krjutškov K, Petropoulous S,
  Einarsdottir E, Linnarsson S, Lanner F, Månsson R, Hovatta O, Bürglin TR, Katayama S,
  Kere J. Characterization and target genes of nine human PRD-like homeobox domain
  genes expressed exclusively in early embryos. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 28995.
- 542 29. Dunwell TL, Holland PWH. A sister of NANOG regulates genes expressed in pre-543 implantation human development. Open Biology. 2017; 7: 170027.
- 30. Hirata T, Amano T, Nakatake Y, Amano M, Piao Y, Hoang HG, Ko MS. Zscan4 transiently
  reactivates early embryonic genes during the generation of induced pluripotent stem
  cells. Sci Rep. 2012; 2: 208.
- 31. Jiang J, Lv W, Ye X, Wang L, Zhang M, Yang H, Okuka M, Zhou C, Zhang X, Liu L, et al.
  Zscan4 promotes genomic stability during reprogramming and dramatically improves
  the quality of iPS cells as demonstrated by tetraploid complementation. Cell Res.
  2013; 23: 92–106.
- 32. Pierre A, Gautier M, Callebaut I, Bontoux M, Jeanpierre E, Pontarotti P, Monget P.
  Atypical structure and phylogenomic evolution of the new eutherian oocyte- and
  embryo-expressed KHDC1/DPPA5/ECAT1/OOEP gene family. Genomics 2007; 90: 58394.
- 33. Cronwright G, Le Blanc K, Götherström C, Darcy P, Ehnman M, Brodin B. Cancer/testis
  antigen expression in human mesenchymal stem cells: down-regulation of SSX impairs
  cell migration and matrix metalloproteinase 2 expression. Cancer Res. 2005; 65: 220715.

- 55934. Yang P, Huo Z, Liao H, Zhou Q. Cancer/testis antigens trigger epithelial-mesenchymal560transition and genesis of cancer stem-like cells. Curr Pharm Des. 2015; 21: 1292-300
- 561 35. Zöllner SK, Rössig C, Toretsky JA. Synovial sarcoma is a gateway to the role of 562 chromatin remodeling in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2015; 34: 417-28.
- 563 36. Bloom JE, McNeel DG. SSX2 regulates focal adhesion but does not drive the epithelial
- to mesenchymal transition in prostate cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 50997-1011.
- 565

Tissue(s)	Gene(s)			
All or many	ARMCX5, C22orf29, DCAF16, EID1, EID2, FAM127C, FAM156A, FAM156B, HMGN1, MRFAP1L1, NBPF1, NBPF11, NBPF12, NBPF14, NBPF15, NBPF19, NBPF26, NBPF8, NBPF9, RBM3, RPL41, TCEAL1, TCEAL4			
Brain	ARMCX4, ARMCX5-GPRASP, BEX1, BEX2, BEX4, BEX5, BHLHB9, C12orf76, C1orf122, C22orf24, C6orf1, CASC10, DEXI, EID2B, ENHO, FAM127A, FAM127B, GPRASP1, GPRASP2, HEPN1, IGIP, IRGQ, LDOC1, LDOC1L, LOC728392, MRFAP1, PCSK1N, PNMAL2, RGAG4, RNF187, RPT5, RTP5, SMIM17, SNURF, TCEAL2, TCEAL3, TCEAL5, TCEAL6, TCEAL7, TMEM155, TMEM88B			
Breast	CLPSL2, CSN1S1, CSN2, CSN3, SCGB2A2			
ESC	ADM5, C10orf111, DEFB107B, NGFRAP1, LOC105377021, TCEAL8			
Fallopian Tube	CCDC114, CCHC12, SCGB2A1, SMIM6			
Immune cells	ANXA2R, ARIH2OS, LOC100128108, LOC101929599, LOC105371437, LOC105372412, NCR3, PVRIG, SECTM1			
Late Blastocyst	GNRH2, LOC101928585, LUZP6, RUSC1-AS1			
Oocyte, Zygote, 2-Cell and 4-Cell embryo	C10orf95, C3orf56, CXorf67, DPPA5, ERICH5, FAM24B, GML, HJURP, LOC105371430, PRR32, SCGB2B2, WFDC10A			
Salivary Gland	MUC7, PROL1, PRR4, PRR27, SMR3A, SMR3B			
Testes	BPIFA3, C10orf55, C11orf71, C12orf42, C16orf82, C17orf112, C1orf105, C20orf141, C20orf173, C6orf10, C7orf61, C9orf50, CABS1, CCDC179, CPXCR1, CSTL9, CT62, CXorf66, CYLC1, DEFB119, DEFB121, DEFB123, FAM24A, HMGN5, HSPB9, INSL6, KIAA1210, LOC100505478, LOC100506217, LOC730183, LYPD4, NBPF3, NBPF6, NPAP1, PAGE3, PRM2, PROCA1, RNASE11, SBPF4, SIGLECL1, SMCP, SMIM2, SPATA12, SPATA3, SPATA32, SPZ1, TEX22, TMEM191B, TMEM191C, TMEM31, TNP2, TRPC5OS, TSPY1, TSPY10, TSPY2, TSPY3, TSPY4, TSPY8, UBE2Q2L, ULBP1, ULBP3			
8-Cell	CT47A1, CT47A10, CT47A11, CT47A12, CT47A2, CT47A3, CT47A4, CT47A5, CT47A6, CT47A7, CT47A8, CT47A9, LEUTX, LOC105373368			
8-Cell, Morula	BAGE2, BIK, CSAG1, CSAG2, CSAG3, CT47B1, CXorf49B, CXorg49, DEFB124, KHCD1, KHDC1L, LOC101059915, LOC102724657, LOC105371346, LUZP4, NANOGNB, PRR23A, PRR23B, PRR23C, SSX1, SSX2, SSX2B, SSX3, SSX4, SSX5, SXX4B, TEX19, WBP5, XAGE5, ZNF576, ZSCAN4			



## Proteins in data set 21178 Homo sapiens 23983 23113 Oryctolagus cuniculus 24534 21481 22458 •Equus caballus 23896 Sorex araneus 22445 -Loxodonta africana 25021 -Echinops telfairi 26265 Dasypus novemcinctus Monodelphis domestica 24090 -Sarcophilus harrisii 22059 Ornithorhynchus anatinus 26365 18537 - Taeniopygia guttata 19556 Chrysemys picta bellii 21194 21641 Anolis carolinensis 24262 Xenopus tropicalis 27256 Oreochromis niloticus 28964

# Novel Ancestral OR NO NO YES NO NO NO NO NO YES NO NO





![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Defense response to bacterium GO:0042742 Gonadal mesoderm development GO:0007506 **Keratinization** GO:0031424 Peptide cross-linking GO:0018149 Negative regulation of nucleic acid-templated transcription GO:1903507 Innate immune response GO:0045087 Keratinocyte differentiation GO:0030216 Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity GO:0042267 Spermatogenesis GO:0007283 Antigen processing and presentation GO:0019882 **Cell differentiation** GO:0030154 Regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter

Biological Process

	GO:0006357		
	Transcription, DNA-templated GO:0006351		•
	Natural killer cell activation GO:0030101		•
Collulor	Extracellular region GO:0005576		
Component	Cornified envelope GO:0001533		•
	Cell surface GO:0009986	•	
	WW domain binding GO:0050699		
Malagular	Natural killer cell lectin-like receptor binding GO:0046703		
Function	Structural molecule activity GO:0005198	•	•
anotion	Transcription corepressor activity GO:0003714	•	
	Antigen binding GO:0003823	•	•
KEGG	Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity hsa04650		
Pathway	Transcriptional misregulation in cancer hsa05202		•

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Brain - Hippocampus Brain - Foetal Brain - Cerebral Cortex 4 Cell Embryo Brain - Whole Late Blastocyst Embryonic Stem Cell Salivary Gland Neutrophils Monocyte Duodenum Small Intestine Esophagus Skin Smooth Muscle Endometrium Kidney Testis Morula 8 Cell Embryo Natural Killer CD8+ T-Cells CD4+ T-Cells Tonsils B-Cell CD34+ Cells Lymph Node Bladder Gall Bladder Appendix Spleen Placenta Lung Thymus Breast Adipose Zygote 2 Cell Embryo Heart Macular Retina Brain - Amygdala Brain - Cerebellum Brain - Substantia Nigra **Brain - Parietal Lobe** Pancreas Skeletal Muscle Brain - Corpus Callosum Stomach Ovary Fallopian Tube Prostate Macular RPE/Choroid/Sclera Whole Blood Liver Colon Thyroid **Adrenal Gland Bone Marrow** 

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

A) Divergence of an established gene

Monodelphis domestica

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Homo sapiens

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

B) Tandem duplication and divergence of a gene

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

C) Appearance of 'de novo' coding sequence

Monodelphis domestica

Homo sapiens

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

D) Association with chromosomal break points and/or rearrangements

Monodelphis domestica Chr3

Homo sapiens Chr 5

![](_page_29_Picture_15.jpeg)

### Novel and divergent genes in the evolution of placental mammals

### Dunwell TL, Paps J, Holland PWH

### Legends for Electronic Supplemental Material

### Figure S1. Heatmap of normalised human gene expression showing gene names

Same data and analysis as Figure 6 but showing gene names.

### Table S1. Protein sequence accession numbers

List of NCBI and Ensembl protein IDs used to generate the combined data set, numerical identifiers for the Homology Group each protein was placed into, and indication of whether genes/HG were assigned to Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental HG. Excel file.

### Table S2. Numbers of proteins analysed per species

The number of protein IDs in the original NCBI and Ensembl protein data used. Excel file.

### Table S3. Assignment of proteins to Homology Groups

List of all 20363 Homology Groups giving the number of proteins in each Homology Group in each species, and which HG belong to the Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental categories. Excel file.

### Table S4. Proteins used for phylogenetic analysis

IDs of the selected proteins from each Novel Core Placental Homology Group used for phylogenetic analysis, including amino acid sequences after alignment and trimming. Excel file.

### Table S5. Expression data for human genes

Raw and normalised FPKM gene expression values for all human genes in Novel Ancestral Placental and Novel Core Placental Homology Groups. Excel file.

### Table S6. Examples of sequence similarity searches using Novel Core Placental HomologyGroups

Details of BLASTP cluster interactions (1-5) highlighted in Figure 7A.

### Table S7. Sequence similarity searches for all Novel Core Placental Homology Groups

Details of BLASTP cluster interactions between Novel Core and all other homology groups, as shown in Figure 7.

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

Normalised Gene Expression Level

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)