# 1 Running title: Navigational efficiency in a BCRW model

# Navigational efficiency in a biased and correlated random walk model of individual animal movement

4 Joseph D. Bailey<sup>1</sup>, Jamie Wallis<sup>1,2</sup>, Edward A. Codling<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SQ, UK.

<sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, UK.

7 Abstract: Understanding how an individual animal is able to navigate through its environment is 8 a key question in movement ecology that can give insight into observed movement patterns and 9 the mechanisms behind them. Efficiency of navigation is important for behavioural processes at 10 a range of different spatio-temporal scales, including foraging and migration. Random walk models provide a standard framework for modelling individual animal movement and 11 navigation. Here we consider a vector-weighted biased and correlated random walk (BCRW) 12 13 model for directed movement (taxis), where external navigation cues are balanced with forward persistence. We derive a mathematical approximation of the expected navigational efficiency for 14 15 any BCRW of this form and confirm the model predictions using simulations. We demonstrate how the navigational efficiency is related to the weighting given to forward persistence and 16 external navigation cues, and highlight the counter-intuitive result that for low (but realistic) 17 levels of error on forward persistence, a higher navigational efficiency is achieved by giving 18 more weighting to this indirect navigation cue rather than direct navigational cues. We discuss 19 and interpret the relevance of these results for understanding animal movement and navigation 20 21 strategies.

22 Keywords: Animal movement; biased and correlated random walk (BCRW); movement ecology;

23 *navigation; persistence.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>Contact author: ecodling@essex.ac.uk

## 24 1 Introduction

Understanding how and why animals navigate through their environment is one of the key open 25 questions in movement ecology (Nathan, 2008). Animal navigation can occur across various 26 spatio-temporal scales corresponding to a wide variety of behavioural processes, ranging from 27 short-term foraging and home-range exploration (Schultz & Crone, 2001; Fortin et al., 2005; 28 McClintock et al., 2012) to large-scale migration events (Gardiner et al., 2015). Common 29 30 navigation orientation mechanisms include taxis, where an animal directly orientates in response 31 to external directional cues, and differential klinokinesis (DKK), where the level of turning in the movement path (sinuosity) depends on variations in the magnitude of an external stimulus; both 32 33 taxis and DKK lead to a long-term directional drift (bias) towards the target (Benhamou & Bovet, 1992). Conversely, the short-term localised bias in movement directions due to the 34 tendency of animals to continue moving in the same direction is known as forward persistence 35 36 (Benhamou & Bovet, 1992). Empirical studies have considered how a variety of different animals may balance taxis and persistence mechanisms in order to navigate within their local 37 environment, including butterflies (Schultz & Crone, 2001), elk (Fortin et al., 2005), and grey 38 seals (McClintock et al., 2012). Hence it is important to consider a theoretical basis for animal 39 navigation and the underlying mechanisms that may lead to improved navigational efficiency. 40

Random walk theory has a long history of being used to model individual animal
movement and navigation, and as a tool to classify and interpret observed movement data using
various path analysis techniques (Jonsen et al., 2005; Codling et al., 2008; Langrock et al., 2012;
McClintock et al., 2012). Models of movement based purely on localised forward persistence are
known as correlated random walks (CRW) (Kareiva and Shigesada, 1983; Bovet & Benhamou,
1988; Codling et al., 2008). Biased random walks (BRW) are movement models where there is a

47 long term directional bias in the direction of movement (Marsh and Jones, 1988; Benhamou,
48 2006; Codling et al., 2008; Codling et al., 2010). Models that combine both long-term directional
49 bias and forward persistence are known as biased and correlated random walks (BCRW)
50 (Codling et al., 2008).

Benhamou & Bovet (1992) combined taxis and forward persistence to form a vector-51 weighted BCRW model of movement, and found in a 1000-step random walk that the best 52 53 navigational strategy was to give approximately 10% weighting to external navigation cues 54 (taxis) and approximately 90% weighting to forward persistence. Such a navigation strategy gave higher navigational efficiency than a movement process based on taxis alone (a pure BRW), 55 56 although it should be stressed that Benhamou & Bovet (1992) only included error in their external navigation term and not in their forward persistence term. The fact that the most 57 efficient navigation strategy involved giving a high weighting to persistence rather than taxis 58 59 may seem to be a counter-intuitive result, since movement based purely on persistence is known to be an inefficient navigation strategy when compared to pure taxis (Cheung et al., 2007). A 60 similar result to Bovet & Benhamou (1992) was obtained by Codling & Bode (2014) who found 61 that, in the context of a collective movement model for navigating animal groups, the most 62 efficient navigational strategy was to give a high weighting to indirect navigational cues 63 (copying the movement of other group members) and a low (but non-zero) weighting to direct 64 individual navigational cues (taxis). In a follow-up study, Codling & Bode (2016) included 65 individual forward persistence in the collective movement model and showed that giving a high 66 weighting to indirect cues (copying neighbours or using forward persistence) rather than relying 67 on direct navigational cues gave the highest navigational efficiency. 68

The findings of Benhamou & Bovet (1992) and Codling & Bode (2014, 2016) were 69 based on simulations only and the authors did not give a mathematical explanation for these 70 results. Here we consider a generalised form of the Benhamou & Bovet (1992) BCRW 71 navigation model, that includes error on the persistence term, and derive a mathematical 72 approximation for the expected navigational efficiency. The model predicts that for a reasonably 73 large (and realistic) range of navigation and persistence errors, the highest navigational 74 75 efficiency is achieved by giving a low weighting to direct navigational cues. We discuss the relevance and implications of these findings in the wider movement ecology context. 76

77

## 78 2. Mathematical model

The BCRW model of Benhamou & Bovet (1992) assumes a single random walker starts 79 at the origin, (0,0), and moves through an empty homogenous two-dimensional environment. For 80 81 simplicity, the target is assumed to be a 'point at infinity' located along the positive x-axis (this effectively means we are only considering the large-scale part of the navigation process when the 82 animal is far from the target). Orientation angles are measured counter-clockwise from the x-83 axis, and hence the target direction is given by  $\Omega_T = 0$ . We assume the walker initially starts with 84 no information about the target direction; an initial movement direction,  $\theta_0$ , is randomly drawn 85 from a uniform circular distribution (this assumption does not affect our results as we will show 86 that the long-term navigational efficiency is independent of  $\theta_0$ ). At each random walk step the 87 components of movement in each direction are given by a weighted vector sum of a navigation 88 term and a persistence term (Benhamou & Bovet, 1992): 89

90 
$$\Delta x_{n+1} = r_{n+1}(w\cos(\Omega_T + \phi_n) + (1 - w)\cos(\theta_n + \delta_n)), \quad (1)$$

91 
$$\Delta y_{n+1} = r_{n+1}(w\sin(\Omega_T + \phi_n) + (1 - w)\sin(\theta_n + \delta_n)), \quad (2)$$

where  $r_{n+1}$  is the step length (distance moved) in the current step,  $\Omega_T = 0$  is the target direction 92 (which is fixed as the x-axis for all steps),  $\theta_n$  is the direction of movement in the previous step 93 (which varies at each step),  $\delta_n$  is a persistence error term,  $\phi_n$  is a navigation error term, and 94  $w \in [0,1]$  is the weighting given to navigation (and hence (1 - w) is the weighting given to 95 persistence). In contrast to Benhamou & Bovet (1992) (who only included an error in the 96 navigation term), we include errors on both the navigation and persistence terms. In principle it 97 would be possible to have an even more general BCRW model that includes an additional 98 'output noise/error' term in addition to the navigation and persistence error terms. This output 99 100 noise could represent either additional movement error because of environmental factors (e.g. 101 turbulence) or could represent a form of 'voluntary' error that the animal may use to modulate its behaviour between behavioural states. However, these more complex model features are beyond 102 the scope of the current work. 103

The additive navigation and persistence random error terms,  $\phi_n$  and  $\delta_n$ , are drawn from 104 separate zero-centred symmetric circular distributions with respective mean cosine values given 105 by  $c_{\phi} \in [0,1]$  and  $c_{\delta} \in [0,1]$ . The level of navigation and/or persistence error is determined by 106 the mean cosine values,  $c_{\phi}$  and  $c_{\delta}$ : a value close to 0 corresponds to very high error, and a value 107 close to 1 corresponds to very low error. We assume that  $c_{\phi}$  and  $c_{\delta}$  are fixed for all steps of the 108 random walk, which implies that direction and persistence errors are independent (no correlation 109 of errors between successive steps) and are not related to spatial location or any other external 110 factor. Hence we do not consider possible changes in navigation cue strength as the animal 111 approaches the target, interactions with other animals, or changes in behaviour and interactions 112 113 with the environment such as foraging or resting during the navigation process. Note that, as long as  $c_{\phi}$  and  $c_{\delta}$  are defined, the choice of which circular distribution to use is not important 114

since the results only depend on the first trigonometric moment (the mean cosine value); the same results are obtained using common circular distributions such as the wrapped normal, von Mises and wrapped Cauchy (Mardia & Jupp, 1999).

Similar to Benhamou & Bovet (1992), we define the navigational efficiency of a singlestep of the movement process as:

Navigational efficiency = 
$$\frac{\text{Net distance moved towards target in } x \text{ direction}}{\text{Total distance moved}}$$
. (3)

Hence, for the BCRW given by Eqs. (1) and (2) the expected navigational efficiency at a given step is given by  $E[\cos \theta_{n+1}]$  since the target direction is the *x*-axis ( $\Omega_T = 0$ ). In the extreme case of a pure BRW (w = 1), navigational efficiency is given exactly by  $E[\cos \phi_n] = c_{\phi}$ , while for a pure CRW (w = 0), navigational efficiency is given exactly by  $E[\cos(\theta_n + \delta_n)] = 0$ , since we assume a uniform initial orientation and there is no external navigation cue. More generally for 0 < w < 1, an expression for  $E[\cos \theta_{n+1}]$  is found by normalising Eq. (1) relative to the total step length (noting that  $r_{n+1}$  cancels and that  $\Omega_T = 0$ ) and then taking the expectation:

$$E[\cos \theta_{n+1}] = E\left[\frac{w \cos \phi_n + (1-w) \cos(\theta_n + \delta_n)}{\sqrt{w^2 + (1-w)^2 + 2w(1-w) \cos(\phi_n - (\theta_n + \delta_n))}}\right].$$
 (4)

٦

The expression on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) is non-linear so we cannot directly calculate the expectation. To make progress we use a similar argument to Wu et al. (2000), and assume we can treat the right-hand side of Eq. (4) as if it were linear. We then further assume that  $\theta_n$ ,  $\phi_n$ and  $\delta_n$  are all independent, and based on a result from Hill & Häder (1997), we assume that in the long-term limit, the distribution of movement directions is stable such that  $E[\cos \theta_{n+1}] =$  $E[\cos \theta_n] = E[\cos \theta_{\infty}] \equiv c_{\theta}$ , which is equivalent to the long-term navigational efficiency. This leads to a cubic polynomial for  $c_{\theta}$  (see Appendix S1 for full details of the derivation):

$$2w(1-w)c_{\phi}c_{\delta}c_{\theta}^{3} + (w^{2} + (1-w)^{2}(1-c_{\delta}^{2}))c_{\theta}^{2} - 2w(1-w)c_{\phi}c_{\delta}c_{\theta} - w^{2}c_{\phi}^{2} = 0.$$
 (5)

134 It is possible to show that this polynomial has precisely one root in [0,1] for all feasible values of 135  $c_{\phi}, c_{\delta}$  and *w* (see Appendix S2). This root can then be calculated using Cardano's method:

136 
$$c_{\theta} = \sqrt[3]{A + \sqrt{A^2 + B^3}} + \sqrt[3]{A - \sqrt[2]{A^2 + B^3}} - C, \quad (6)$$

137 where A, B, and C are terms involving w,  $c_{\phi}$ , and  $c_{\delta}$ , and are given in Appendix S1.

138

## 139 **3. Results**

We first consider the specific case of zero error on forward persistence,  $c_{\delta} = 1$ , which was 140 141 considered originally by Benhamou & Bovet (1992). In this case Eq. (5) can be simplified and a limiting argument can be used to show that for all  $c_{\phi} > 0$  (i.e. as long as external navigation 142 143 cues are present) then  $c_{\theta} \rightarrow 1$  as  $w \rightarrow 0$  (see Appendix S3 and Figure 1a-c). It may seem counter-intuitive that more efficient navigation can be obtained by giving a vanishingly small 144 (but strictly non-zero) weighting to direct navigational cues but this is explained by the fact that 145 once a navigating animal is oriented towards the target direction (which is always eventually 146 possible if w is strictly non-zero), it can then maintain this direction of movement indefinitely 147 since there is no persistence error, and hence no further external navigation cues are required. 148 Nevertheless, the higher the level of navigation error (i.e. the lower the value of  $c_{\phi}$ ) the longer it 149 will take (on average) for the animal to orientate itself towards the target direction. 150 Consequently, the apparent navigational efficiency is highly dependent on the number of steps in 151 152 the observed movement process when there is zero persistence error (Figure 1a-c). Benhamou & Bovet (1992) only considered simulation results for a 1000-step BCRW and hence didn't report 153 this long-term limit result directly. For w > 0, the predicted long-term navigational efficiency, 154

 $c_{\theta}$ , monotonically decreases as w increases, indicating that lower long-term navigational 155 efficiency is obtained when giving a higher weight to external navigation cues. This result is 156 confirmed with the simulation results for the 10,000 step BCRW shown in Figure 1c. In Figure 157 1a and 1b where the BCRW runs for only 100 or 1000 steps respectively, the curves showing the 158 159 simulated navigational efficiency are non-monotonic: the navigational efficiency is dependent on  $c_{\phi}$  with a peak for 0 < w < 0.2 similar to the result reported by Benhamou & Bovet (1992). 160 This indicates that even with zero error on forward persistence, a BCRW with a small number of 161 steps requires external navigational cues for efficient navigation. 162

163

## %% Figure 1 about here %%

In the case where there is error on both the navigation and persistence components of the 164 movement process (i.e.  $c_{\delta,}c_{\phi} < 1$ ), the solution given in Eq. (6) predicts that as the persistence 165 error increases ( $c_{\delta}$  decreases), the navigational efficiency also decreases for all  $c_{\phi}$  (solid lines in 166 Figure 1c-f). The predicted solution curves are (in general) non-monotonic with a peak indicating 167 168 a maximum navigational efficiency for an intermediate value of w. For low persistence errors  $(c_{\delta} = 0.99, 0.95, 0.9 \text{ in Figure 1d-f})$  the maximum navigational efficiency occurs for w < 0.5, 169 and at lower values of w when  $c_{\phi}$  is also small (higher navigation error). As the persistence error 170 increases ( $c_{\delta} = 0.7$ , 0.5, 0.1 in Figure 1g-i) the peak indicating the maximum navigational 171 efficiency shifts to the right corresponding to a larger value of the weighting given to navigation, 172 173 w (Figure 1g-i; Appendix S4). In general, the simulation results shown in Figure 1g-i show the same qualitative behaviour as the predicted solution curves from Eq. (6). In contrast to the case 174 of zero persistence error, for the values of  $c_{\delta} < 1$  considered here, there is very little difference 175 in the model predictions and simulated results for n = 100, 1000 and 10,000 steps (see Appendix 176 S5: Figure S1). The inclusion of even a small error on the persistence term means the effective 177

178 long-term limiting solutions for navigational efficiency are reached much faster than the case179 with zero persistence error.

180

# %% Figure 2 about here %%

The location of the peak corresponding to the maximum navigational efficiency for each 181 of the curves in Figure 1d-i can be calculated directly from Eqs. (5) and (6). More generally, it 182 can be shown that Eq. (6) has precisely one turning point for  $w \in [0,1]$ , and this turning point 183 corresponds to the maximum predicted navigational efficiency (see Appendix S4). The values of 184 w leading to the theoretical maximum navigational efficiency, together with the predicted values 185 for this efficiency, are calculated from Eqs. (5) and (6) for a range of  $c_{\phi}$  and  $c_{\delta}$ , and are shown in 186 Figure 2a and 2b respectively. Figure 2c and 2d show the equivalent results from simulations of 187 the BCRW, and show a good qualitative match to the theoretical predictions. Figure 2a and 2c 188 highlight the result (also seen in Figures 1d-i) that when the persistence error is high ( $c_{\delta} < 0.1$ ), 189 the maximum navigational efficiency occurs when giving a higher weighting to the navigation 190 term (w > 0.9), and when  $c_{\delta} \approx 0$  this efficiency is given exactly by  $c_{\phi}$  (Figure 2b and 2d) which 191 corresponds to pure taxis. However, when the persistence error is low ( $c_{\delta} > 0.9$ ), the maximum 192 navigational efficiency typically occurs for w < 0.5 (more weighting on persistence than direct 193 navigation) even if the navigation error is also low (Figures 2a and 2c). Figure 2b and 2d 194 highlight that a high navigational efficiency can be maintained even when the navigation error is 195 large ( $c_{\phi} < 0.5$ ) because of the weighting given to persistence: the contour corresponding to a 196 long-term navigational efficiency of 0.5 extends well below  $c_{\phi} < 0.5$  (Figures 2b and 2d). 197

198

199 4. Discussion

200 We have developed the model of Benhamou & Bovet (1992) to include persistence error and derived a mathematical approximation for the long-term navigational efficiency of this form 201 of BCRW. We have demonstrated how navigational efficiency depends on the weighting given 202 between navigation and persistence at each step of the movement process and the level of error 203 in each term (Eq. 6; Figure 1d-i). In Figure 2a, the area above the contour line corresponding to 204 w = 0.5 indicates the region of the parameter space where giving a lower weighting to direct 205 206 navigation cues and a higher weighting to persistence leads to the maximum long-term navigational efficiency. Interestingly, the predicted contour line corresponding to w = 0.5207 approaches  $c_{\phi} = 1$  in Figure 2a, indicating that even with close to zero error on the navigation 208 cue, there is little loss of navigational efficiency by giving equal weight to persistence. This 209 potentially hints at some interesting evolutionary advantages for animals that balance forward 210 persistence and external navigation cues in this way: giving a high weighting to persistence can 211 improve overall navigation efficiency if the error on navigation cues is high ( $c_{\phi} < 0.5$ ), and has 212 little detrimental effect if the error on navigation cues is low ( $c_{\phi} > 0.9$ ). 213

214 The vector-weighted BCRW navigation model discussed by Benhamou & Bovet (1992) did not include error on the persistence term and the results given in their paper were for a 215 BCRW with only 1000 steps. We have shown mathematically (Appendix S3 and Figure 1c) that 216 217 in this scenario the long-term maximum navigation efficiency is actually obtained when the weighting on external navigation cues (taxis) tends to zero, i.e.  $c_{\theta} \rightarrow 1$  as  $w \rightarrow 0$ . This may seem 218 like a counter-intuitive result, given that a movement process based purely on persistence with 219 no taxis is known to be a poor navigation strategy (Cheung, 2007). However, this result holds 220 only for the long-term limiting navigation efficiency, where the fact that there is zero error on 221 persistence means an animal can achieve maximum navigational efficiency simply by continuing 222

223 in the same direction as previously once it is moving in the target direction. At shorter timescales navigation will not be as efficient (Figures 1a-c), and the observed navigational efficiency 224 is dependent on the number of steps of the BCRW. A possible further extension of this work 225 would be to derive an expression for the navigational efficiency that is valid for a small number 226 of steps and not just the long-term limit. However, Appendix S5: Figure S1 demonstrates how 227 the sensitivity of the navigational efficiency to the number of steps in the BCRW is less when 228 229 persistence error is non-zero. When referring to the results in Figures 1a-c we are implicitly 230 assuming that a random walk with 1000 steps is 10 times longer than a walk with 100 steps and so on. Instead, an alternative interpretation of the model and results is that the overall path length 231 232 is fixed and it is the reorientation frequency that changes (so that a single step in a 1000-step path is 10 times shorter than that in a 100-step path). From Figure 1a-c, this then implies that in a 233 noisy environment an animal may improve its navigational efficiency by increasing its rate of 234 235 reorientation (effectively giving a larger sample size in the navigational averaging process).

The BCRW model considered here is deliberately simple but could easily be extended to 236 consider more complex scenarios, although this may come at the expense of analytical 237 tractability, and generalised predictions about navigation efficiency informed by mathematical 238 theory may not subsequently be possible. For example, our model assumes individuals do not 239 interact with conspecifics but the overall conclusions are similar to results observed by Codling 240 241 & Bode (2014, 2016) who used simulations to demonstrate that in social group navigation, the most efficient navigational strategy was to give a high weighting to indirect cues (copying the 242 movement of other group members or using forward persistence), rather than relying on direct 243 navigational cues (taxis). Our BCRW model assumes a homogeneous environment with a fixed 244 target direction where navigation cues and errors do not vary in space or time. Additionally, 245

individual walkers do not change their movement behaviour over time or when in different 246 spatial locations. Many migrating animals will undertake other behaviours during the large-scale 247 navigation process (such as resting, foraging etc), or may change their behaviour in response to 248 their local environment. Peleg and Mahadevan (2015) developed a random walk model which 249 includes periods of purely persistent behaviour followed by a pause to reorient according to 250 external navigational cues. By repeating this behaviour, a walk which appears to be purely 251 persistent (a CRW) in the short term can then be described as a BCRW in the long term. This is 252 in contrast to our model where we assume the animal is continuously balancing persistence and 253 navigation (taxis) at every step of the movement path. Nevertheless, the relative weighting 254 255 between navigation and persistence in our model (w) can be directly compared to the relative navigation reorientation frequency in the model of Peleg and Mahadevan (2015) since both 256 257 effectively give a way to balance persistence and navigation. In the context of group navigation, 258 Bode et al. (2010) used a similar approach and considered a model where the behaviour of an individual at each step was probabilistic and chosen to be either purely persistent or purely local 259 navigation. The probability of choosing persistence against navigation in this model could be 260 directly compared to the relative navigation weighting (*w*) in our model. 261

Predictions from our model about how animals should (in theory) balance persistence and external navigation cues (taxis) to give the highest navigational efficiency should be tested and compared to observations from empirical data. One of the most interesting model predictions is shown in Figure 2a, where in the parameter region above the w = 0.5 contour line (corresponding to values of approximately  $c_{\delta} > 0.8$  for low navigation error,  $c_{\phi} < 0.5$ , and  $c_{\delta} > 0.9$  for medium levels of navigation error,  $0.5 < c_{\phi} < 0.9$ ), it is more efficient to give a higher weighting to persistence than direct navigation cues. Directly comparing empirically reported

values of  $c_{\delta}$  across the literature is known to be difficult since processing and sampling of the 269 data can change the estimated forward persistence of an observed path (Bovet & Benhamou, 270 1988; Codling & Hill, 2005). Nevertheless, high values for  $c_{\delta}$  have been reported for a wide 271 range of species including insects and nematodes ( $0.62 \le c_{\delta} \le 0.94$  in Byers, 2001), elk ( $c_{\delta}=0.68$ 272 in Fortin et al. 2005), dolphins ( $c_{\delta}$ = 0.8 in Bailey & Thompson, 2006), foraging seabirds ( $c_{\delta}$  = 273 0.885 for movement mode 3 in Boyd et al. 2014), and reindeer ( $c_{\delta} = 0.84$  for exploratory 274 movement state 2 in Langrock et al. 2014). Hence, it seems clear that many animal species are 275 capable of moving in a highly persistent manner if they choose to do so. The relative weighting 276 between navigation and persistence in the movement behaviour of a prairie butterfly was 277 considered by Schultz and Crone (2001). They found that when returning to within 10-22m of 278 their home habitat they were observed to use a BCRW movement that balanced persistence with 279 navigation with a weighting of w = 0.38 (female) and w = 0.29 (male). However, the authors did 280 not explore the levels of navigation and persistence error within the observed butterfly 281 movement paths. Fortin et al. (2005) considered the balance between forward persistence and 282 283 directed movements up-slope in the winter foraging of elk. Using a log-likelihood test, they showed that a BCRW model fitted the data better than the alternative of a pure BRW or a pure 284 CRW, and that the weighting on directional bias was w = 0.17, implying that almost five times 285 more weighting was given to persistence than localised up-slope directed movements. The 286 results of Schultz and Crone (2001) and Fortin et al. (2005) provide empirical evidence that some 287 288 animals do give a high weighting to persistence rather than directed movement, although the 289 contexts are slightly different to our abstracted navigation problem. In contrast, McClintock et al. (2012) used a multi-state generalised BCRW framework to analyse and describe the movements 290 of grey seals near to localised centres of attraction (foraging areas or haul-out sites), and found 291

that when close to the centres of attraction, movement was almost entirely directed (w > 0.99). However, the ecological context of their study (short-term foraging within a familiar territory) is different to our problem (large-scale navigation via an external directional cue). In addition, McClintock et al. (2012) didn't directly consider that localised forward persistence and directional bias are often misclassified, especially in a short movement path, a fundamental problem highlighted by Benhamou (2006).

298 A number of studies have considered strategies for maximising movement efficiency in 299 the context of foraging, although these are usually based on minimising energy expenditure rather than optimising navigation efficiency. Fortin et al. (2003) demonstrated how theories from 300 301 optimal foraging related to energy maximisation did not seem to hold across varying temporal scales, with other factors influencing movement behaviour becoming more important at larger 302 time-scales. Bartoń et al. (2009) used simulations to explore the survival of animals exploring a 303 304 patchy landscape using a BCRW movement model that balanced persistence with biased movement towards patches of suitable habitat. They found that "...when an organism's ability to 305 306 detect patches decreases with distance from the patch, dispersal mortality is high if the organism engages in a walk with a low degree of correlation. Thus, even if long distance detection of a 307 patch is poor, an individual can still have a good chance of surviving dispersal if it moves using a 308 more economical, highly correlated walk." Hence, although the model of Bartoń et al. (2009) 309 was based on short-scale localised navigation when foraging, their conclusions seem to be 310 consistent with the findings from our model, which is based on large-scale navigation. Further 311 work is now needed to test our model predictions and to determine in more detail how real 312 313 animals may balance persistence and taxis (and other possible mechanisms) when navigating efficiently over a range of spatio-temporal scales. 314

#### 316 **References**

- Bailey, H. and Thompson, P. 2006. Quantitative analysis of bottlenose dolphin movement
  patterns and their relationship with foraging. Journal of Animal Ecology, 75: 456–465.
- Bartoń K. A., Phillips, B. L., Morales, J. M. and Travis, J. M. J. 2009. The evolution of an
  'intelligent' dispersal strategy: biased, correlated random walks in patchy landscapes.
  Oikos, 118: 309–319.
- Benhamou, S. 2006. Detecting an orientation component in animal paths when the preferred
  direction is individual dependent. Ecology, 87: 518-528
- Benhamou, S., and P. Bovet. 1992. Distinguishing between elementary orientation mechanisms
  by means of path analysis. Animal Behaviour, 43: 371-377.
- Bode, N.W.F., J.J. Faria, D.W. Franks, J. Krause, and A.J. Wood. 2010. How perceived threat
  increases synchronization in collectively moving animal groups. Proceedings of the
  Royal Society B, 277: 3065-3070.
- Bovet, P., and S. Benhamou. 1988. Spatial analysis of animals' movements using a correlated
  random walk model. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 131: 419-433.
- Boyd, C., Punt, A.E., Weimerskirch, A., Bertrand, S. 2014. Movement models provide insights
  into variation in the foraging effort of central place foragers. Ecological Modelling, 286:
  13-25.
- Byers, J.A. 2001. Correlated random walk equations of animal dispersal resolved by simulation.
  Ecology, 82: 1680-1690.
- Cheung, A., S. W. Zhang, C. Stricker and M. V. Srinivasan. 2007. Animal navigation: the
  difficulty of moving in a straight line. Biological Cybernetics, 97: 47-61

- Codling, E.A., and N.A. Hill. 2005. Sampling rate effects on measurements of correlated and
  biased random walks. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 233: 573-588.
- Codling, E.A., M.J. Plank, and S. Benhamou. 2008. Random walk models in biology. Journal of
  the Royal Society Interface, 5: 813–834.
- Codling, E.A., R.N. Bearon, and G.J. Thorn. 2010. Diffusion about the mean drift location in a
  biased random walk. Ecology, 91: 3106-3113.
- Codling, E.A., and N.W.F. Bode. 2014. Copycat dynamics in leaderless animal group navigation.
  Movement Ecology, 2: 11.
- Codling, E.A., and N.W.F. Bode. 2016. Balancing direct and indirect sources of navigational
  information in a leaderless model of collective animal movement. Journal of Theoretical
  Biology, 394: 32-42.
- Fortin, D., Fryxell, J.M., O'Brodovich, L. and Frandsen, D. 2003. Foraging ecology of bison at
  the landscape and plant community levels: the applicability of energy maximization
  principles. Oecologia, 134: 219-227.
- Fortin, D., Morales, J.M. & Boyce, M.S. 2005. Elk winter foraging at fine scale in Yellowstone
  National Park. Oecologia, 145: 335–343.
- Gardiner, J.M., Whitney, N.M., Hueter, R.E. 2015. Smells Like Home: The Role of Olfactory
  Cues in the Homing Behavior of Blacktip Sharks, Carcharhinus limbatus. Integrative and
  Comparative Biology, 55: 495-506.
- 357 Hill, N.A., and D.-P. Häder. 1997. A biased random walk model for the trajectories of
  358 swimming micro-organisms. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 186: 503-526.
- Jonsen, I.D., J. M. Flemming, and R. A. Myers. 2005. Robust state-space modelling of animal
  movement data. Ecology, 86: 2874-2880.

- 361 Kareiva, P., and N Shigesada. 1983. Analyzing insect movement as a correlated random walk.
  362 Oecologia, 56: 234-238.
- Langrock, R., King, R., Matthiopoulos, J., Thomas, L., Fortin, D. and Morales, J. M. 2012.
  Flexible and practical modeling of animal telemetry data: hidden Markov models and
  extensions. Ecology, 93: 2336–2342.
- Langrock, R., Hopcraft, J.G.C., Blackwell, P.G., Goodall, V., King, R., Niu, M., Patterson, T.A.,
  Pedersen, M.W., Skarin, A., Schick, R.S. 2014. Modelling group dynamic animal
  movement. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 5: 190-199.
- 369 Mardia, K.V., and P.E. Jupp. 1999. Directional Statistics, John Wiley, New York, NY.
- Marsh, L.M., and R. E. Jones. 1988. The form and consequences of random walk movement
  models. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 133: 113-131.
- 372 McClintock, B. T., King, R., Thomas, L., Matthiopoulos, J., McConnell, B. J. and Morales, J. M.
- 373 2012. A general discrete-time modeling framework for animal movement using
  374 multistate random walks. Ecological Monographs, 82: 335–349.
- Nathan, R. 2008. An emerging movement ecology paradigm. Proceedings of the National
  Academy of Sciences, 105: 19050-19051.
- Peleg, O. and L. Mahadevan. 2016. Optimal switching between geocentric and egocentric
  strategies in navigation. Royal Society Open Science, 3: 7.
- Schultz, C.B., and E.E. Crone. 2001. Edge-mediated dispersal behaviour in a prairie butterfly.
  Ecology, 82: 1879-1892.
- Wu, H.-i., B.-L. Li, T. A. Springer, and W. H. Neill. 2000. Modelling animal movement as a
  persistent random walk in two dimensions: expected magnitude of net displacement.
  Ecological Modelling, 132: 115-124.

#### 384 Figure legends

385 Figure 1. Navigational efficiency of the vector-weighted BCRW model,  $c_{\theta}$ , against weighting factor on navigation, w, for a range of navigation error levels,  $c_{\phi} = 0.1$  (black),  $c_{\phi} = 0.3$  (cyan), 386  $c_{\phi} = 0.6$  (gold) &  $c_{\phi} = 0.9$  (blue). In all plots the solid lines represent the theoretical model 387 predictions and the dashed lines represent the average results from simulations of 1000 388 389 individual random walkers; w ranges from 0 to 1 at 0.01 intervals. Plots (a-c) show results with zero persistence error ( $c_{\delta} = 1$ ) for walks with different total number of steps: a) 100, b) 1000, c) 390 10,000. Plots (d-i) show results for 1000 steps with persistence errors d)  $c_{\delta} = 0.99$ , e)  $c_{\delta} = 0.95$ , 391 f)  $c_{\delta} = 0.9$ , g)  $c_{\delta} = 0.7$ , h)  $c_{\delta} = 0.5$ , i)  $c_{\delta} = 0.1$ . Navigation and persistence errors were drawn 392 393 from zero-centred wrapped Normal distributions with parameters  $c_{\delta}$  and  $c_{\phi}$  respectively.

394

Figure 2. Heat maps showing (a) the weighting factor on navigation, w, that leads to the predicted maximum navigation efficiency, and (b) the corresponding maximum navigation efficiency values. Plots (c) and (d) show the respective average results from simulations of 1000 individual random walkers moving for 1000 steps. In each plot,  $c_{\delta}$  and  $c_{\phi}$  range from 0 to 1 at 0.01 intervals. Values of w ranging from 0 to 1 at 0.01 intervals were used to find the maximum navigation efficiency for each combination of  $c_{\delta}$  and  $c_{\phi}$ . Navigation and persistence errors were drawn from zero-centred wrapped Normal distributions with parameters  $c_{\delta}$  and  $c_{\phi}$  respectively.





