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Abstract

The circadian system optimizes cellular responses to stress, but the signaling pathways that convey the metabolic consequences of stress into this molecular timekeeping mechanism remain unclear. Redox-regulation of the SAL1 phosphatase during abiotic stress initiates a signaling pathway from chloroplast to nucleus by regulating the accumulation of a metabolite, 3’-phosphate denuosine 5’-phosphate (PAP). Consequently, PAP accumulates in response to redox stress and inhibits the activity of exoribonucleases (XRNs) in the nucleus and cytosol. We demonstrated that osmotic stress induces a lengthening of circadian period and that genetically inducing the SAL1-PAP-XRN pathway in plants lacking either SAL1 or XRN-related similarly delays the circadian system under these conditions. Exogenous application of PAP was also sufficient to extend circadian period. Thus, SAL1-PAP-XRN signaling likely regulates circadian rhythms in response to redox stress. Our findings exemplify how two central processes in plants, molecular timekeeping and responses to abiotic stress, can be interlinked to regulate gene expression.
Introduction

The rotation of the Earth confers overt environmental rhythms upon species living on its surface. Both temperature and incident light change dramatically (yet predictably) over any given 24-hour cycle, and as a consequence there is a selective pressure for species to anticipate changes in environmental conditions (Hut and Beersma, 2011). This selective pressure has led to the evolution of the circadian clock, an endogenous biological oscillator that modulates biochemical and physiological activity to optimize behaviour within the prevailing environmental context (Millar, 2016).

The pervasive nature of the circadian system has encouraged the detailed description of the network underpinning these biological rhythms. Circadian rhythms are entrained to the local day/night cycle by regular changes in temperature and light (Jones, 2009; Hsu and Harmer, 2014). Phytochromes act to input red light-derived signals, while cryptochromes and the ZEITLUPE (ZTL) family are the predominant blue photoreceptors that influence circadian rhythms (Fankhauser and Staiger, 2002; Hsu and Harmer, 2014). Nuclear circadian rhythms within *Arabidopsis thaliana* consist of multiple, interconnected transcriptional feedback loops (Hsu and Harmer, 2014). PSEUDORESPONSE REGULATOR9 (PRR9) acts sequentially with PRR7, PRR5, and PRR1/TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1) to repress expression of CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED1 (CCA1) and LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY) throughout the day (Farré and Kay, 2007; Nakamichi et al., 2010; Gendron et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012). In turn, CCA1 and LHY (whose expression is induced by light at dawn) repress expression of PRR9/7/5/TOC1 (Alabadi et al., 2001; Adams et al., 2015) and additional circadian genes such as GIGANTEA (Lu et al., 2012). Subsequently, a complex of proteins, including EARLY FLOWERING4 (ELF4), act to repress circadian gene expression during the early night (McWatters et al., 2007; Nusinow et al., 2011; Chow et al., 2012; Herrero et al., 2012). The oscillations generated by these feedback loops modulate many physiological processes including growth, photosynthesis, flowering time, and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Dong et al., 2011; Eriksson and Webb, 2011; Hsu and Harmer, 2014; Song et al., 2015; Jones, 2017).

We have been interested in the molecular interactions between plants’ response to stress and the circadian system so as to improve survival. Damage induced by abiotic factors is typically first observed within the chloroplast and mitochondria, where perturbations in metabolism rapidly induce oxidative damage (Mittler et al., 2011). These perturbations are communicated from organelles to the nucleus via multiple retrograde signaling pathways that
adjust nuclear gene expression. However, the extent to which retrograde signals can regulate plant homeostasis, and by what mechanism(s), remain enigmatic (Chan et al., 2016). We therefore examined a candidate signaling pathway that could be responsible for coordinating nuclear circadian rhythms in response to metabolic stress in the chloroplast. SAL1 is a redox-sensitive phosphatase localized to the chloroplast and mitochondria (Chen et al., 2011; Estavillo et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2016). A recent model of SAL1-initiated signaling from chloroplast to nucleus has proposed that upon oxidative stress, redox-induced impairment of SAL1 activity leads to accumulation of its substrate 3′-phosphoadenosine 5′-phosphate (PAP), resulting in inhibition of 5′→3′ exoribonuclease (XRN) activity and subsequent changes in expression of plastid redox-associated nuclear genes and abscisic acid (ABA) signaling (Dichtl et al., 1997; Mechold et al., 2006; Estavillo et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2016; Pornsiriwong et al., 2017). This model is supported by analysis of the transcriptomes of xrn and sal1 mutants, with considerable overlap between the mis-regulated transcripts in each of these genotypes (Gy et al., 2007; Estavillo et al., 2011; Kurihara et al., 2012). In this study, we demonstrated that osmotic stress delays the circadian system and that constitutive activation of PAP signalling in sal1 and xrn plants results in an extended circadian period. These data provide an additional mechanism through which the molecular clock, a cornerstone of plant function, can be coordinated with the metabolic status of a plant cell to guide molecular responses to environmental fluctuations.

Results

Whole-plant osmotic stress treatments lengthen circadian period and induce accumulation of PAP

Drought is a multifaceted stress that arises from limited water availability and is one of the primary abiotic stresses that limits crop yield (Verslues et al., 2006; Steduto et al., 2012). We therefore sought to understand how this stress affects the circadian system using the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis). As consistent maintenance of soil water potential during circadian imaging presented technical issues, we instead approximated the physiological consequences of water deficit stress through the addition of 200 mM mannitol to lower the water potential of the agar substrate (Figure 1, Verslues et al., 2006). One of the initial metabolic consequences of water-deficit stress is the accumulation of PAP due to the redox-induced inactivation of SAL1 (Estavillo et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2016), and so we measured PAP levels under our experimental conditions. As previously reported for soil-grown plants, the application of osmotic stress was sufficient to induce accumulation of PAP,
with comparable increases in PAP observed in plants transferred to mannitol and grown under either constant white (cW) light or constant red+blue light provided by LEDs (cR+B, Figure 1A, Estavillo et al., 2011). Interestingly, we observed a 1-hour increase in the circadian free running period (FRP) in wild-type plants subjected to osmotic stress under cR+B light (Fig. 1B and 1C, P < 0.01, Dunnett’s test). A comparable FRP extension of approximately 1 hour was observed in plants transferred to Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates infused with an alternate osmoticum (PEG 8000, Fig. 1B and 1C).

Loss of SAL1 activity results in lengthening of circadian period in sal1 mutants

We hypothesised that the accumulation of PAP during osmotic stress contributed to the observed extension of the circadian period. Intracellular PAP accumulation can be increased through disruption of SAL1, a gene that encodes a redox-sensitive phosphatase, and so we examined the FRP of sal1 mutant alleles to test our hypothesis (Figure 2, Kim and von Arnim, 2009; Wilson et al., 2009; Rodríguez et al., 2010). Circadian rhythms can be routinely monitored by measuring changes in photosystem II (PSII) operating efficiency ($F'_q/F'_m$, Litthauer et al., 2015). Using this technique, we observed a significant increase in FRP under constant blue (cB) light in alx8-1, fry1-6, and fou8 alleles of SAL1 compared to wild type (Fig. 2A-D, Figure S1). This long-period phenotype was rescued by introducing a wild-type copy of the SAL1 coding region along with a 1-kb region of upstream genomic sequence into the alx8-1 background (Figure 2E), suggesting that a mutation in SAL1 underlies the phenotype observed in the mutant lines.

To better document the sal1 mutant circadian phenotype, we introduced a CCA1::LUC2 luciferase reporter into the fry1-6 background (Figure 2F). When measuring luciferase bioluminescence, we observed a one-hour extension of FRP under cB light (23.80 ± 0.17 hrs in wild type compared to 25.23 ± 0.14 hrs in fry1-6; p<0.025, Student’s t test). We also observed a modest yet significant increase in FRP under cR+B light (22.90 ± 0.05 hrs in wild type compared to 23.52 ± 0.07 hrs in fry1-6, p<0.05, Student’s t test, Figure 2F). Interestingly, we did not observe a difference in FRP between wild type and sal1 plants under constant red (cR) light or constant darkness (Figure 2F). Such data suggest that FRP is delayed in a blue light-dependent manner in sal1 mutants.

PAP accumulates in vivo in response to osmotic stress due to a change in the redox state within the chloroplast that inhibits the enzymatic activity of SAL1 (Chan et al., 2016). We therefore sought to assess the consequences of these oxidative stresses upon the nuclear
circadian system (Figure 2G). We first used 50 μM methyl viologen (MV, which induces reactive oxygen species production at PSII) to induce oxidative stress within the chloroplast (Figure 2G, Lai et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2016). Application of MV induced a 1.5 hr lengthening of circadian period in wild-type seedlings (τ = 22.66 ± 0.08 and 24.25 ± 0.15 hrs on mock- and MV-treated plates, respectively), with a more modest lengthening observed in fry1-6 seedlings (τ = 23.23 ± 0.21 and 24.57 ± 0.16 hrs in the absence or presence of MV, respectively). Intriguingly, FRP in wild type and sal1 seedlings was indistinguishable after MV treatment. Therefore, the application of oxidative stress using MV lengthens circadian period.

Recent work has suggested that PAP acts as a secondary messenger during ABA signalling to promote stomatal closure; therefore, we next examined whether exogenous ABA was able to reconstitute the long-period phenotype of sal1 plants (Fig. 2H, Pornsiriwong et al., 2017). In contrast to our hypothesis, the circadian FRP was reduced in both wild-type and sal1 plants in the presence of exogenous ABA when compared to mock-treated controls (Figure 2H). In the presence of ABA, sal1 lines retained their extended FRP phenotype (post-hoc Bonferroni adjusted t test), although the magnitude of the phenotype was less than in mock-treated plants (Figure 2H). Our data suggest that enhanced ABA signalling does not contribute to the delayed FRP of sal1 plants, although additional work will be required to fully understand the interaction between SAL1 and ABA signalling in a circadian context.

It has been proposed that increased fluence rates enhance the accumulation of PAP in vivo (Estavillo et al., 2011); therefore, we completed fluence rate response curves under either cB or cR light to determine whether increased fluence rates would exacerbate the sal1 circadian phenotype (Figure 3). Under dim blue light (5 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), we did not observe a difference in FRP between wild-type and fry1-6 plants (Figure 3A). However, we did observe a significant difference in sal1 plants’ response to increasing blue light compared to wild type (p<0.001), which resulted in a lengthening of FRP in sal1 plants transferred to ≥20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ cB light (Figure 3A). By contrast, we did not observe a significant lengthening of FRP in fry1-6 plants transferred to any tested fluence rate of cR light, as was suggested by our initial studies under cR light (Fig. 2F and 3B).

In order to better understand the extended circadian FRP phenotype, we examined the accumulation of clock-regulated transcripts under either 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light or 30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light (Figure 3C-F, Figure S2). In agreement with our luciferase data (Fig.
2F and 3A-B), we observed that CCA1 and TOC1 transcript accumulation was delayed by approximately 6-9 hrs under cB light (Figure 3C and 3E). This phase shift was less apparent in plants transferred to cR light (Fig. 3D and 3F). Since sal1 plants present a blue-light dependent phenotype, we monitored the accumulation of CRYPTOCHROME1 (CRY1), CRY2, and ZEITLUPE transcripts to confirm that accumulation of these blue photoreceptors was not repressed by the loss of SAL1. However, we found that neither CRY1, CRY2, nor ZEITLUPE transcript accumulation were significantly repressed in sal1 plants (Figure S3).

We next examined PAP accumulation in plants grown under our experimental light conditions. As previously reported, we were unable to detect PAP in any of our wild-type samples (Fig. 3G-H, Chen et al., 2011; Estavillo et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). In fry1-6 plants, the absence of a circadian phenotype under lower cB light intensities was correlated with a significant reduction in PAP accumulation (Figure 3G), with less than 2 nmol g⁻¹ PAP accumulating in fry1-6 plants under dim blue light compared to in excess of 5 nmol g⁻¹ above 20 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light (Figure 3G). Interestingly, PAP accumulation remained greater in fry1-6 plants transferred to different intensities of cR or cR+B despite the circadian phenotype being less pronounced under these conditions (Fig. 3H, 3I, S4). Such data suggest that PAP acts to delay the circadian system via a blue light-induced pathway, or that cR light stimulates an opposing or compensatory signalling cascade.

**Reduced PAP accumulation rescues the circadian phenotype of sal1 mutants**

Loss of SAL1 activity results in a lengthened circadian phenotype (Figure 2) and so we examined whether PAP levels correlated with FRP in sal1 mutants (Figure 4). Given the correlation between PAP accumulation and the sal1 circadian phenotype under cB light, we hypothesized that exogenous application of PAP would be sufficient to extend FRP. Application of PAP to intact wild-type seedlings did not induce gene expression, presumably because of the enzymatic activity of the endogenous SAL1 protein (Estavillo et al., 2011; Pornsiriwong et al., 2017). Therefore, we examined whether the application of additional PAP was sufficient to extend FRP in sal1 plants that have a compromised ability to degrade exogenous PAP (Figure 4A). Following entrainment, plants were transferred to cB light for imaging before PAP was applied. As expected, there was no significant difference in FRP in wild-type plants following PAP application (p=0.953, Figure 4A). However, we did observe a lengthening of circadian periodicity from 24.52 ± 0.14 hrs to 25.25 ± 0.12 hrs in sal1 plants following treatment with PAP (Figure 4A, p<0.025).
We next tested whether reduced PAP accumulation in the sal1 background was sufficient to rescue the circadian phenotype (Figure 4B-D). SAL1 is a bi-functional enzyme with PAP phosphatase and inositol polyphosphate 1-phosphatase activities \textit{in vitro} (Quintero et al., 1996; Xiong et al., 2001). In order to specifically reduce PAP levels \textit{in vivo}, we over-expressed \textit{ARABIDOPSIS HAL2-LIKE} (AHL), a paralogue of \textit{SAL1} with only PAP phosphatase activity (Kim and von Arnim, 2009; Chen and Xiong, 2010; Hirsch et al., 2011). Transgenic lines over-expressing AHL in an \textit{alx8-1} background had wild-type levels of PAP under cB light (Figure 4B). We also found that overexpression of the AHL paralogue was able to rescue the mutant circadian phenotype of \textit{alx8-1} seedlings (Fig. 4C and 4D). Such data demonstrate that PAP phosphatase activity is sufficient to reduce PAP accumulation and complement the \textit{sal1} circadian phenotype.

\textbf{SAL1 is a constitutively expressed protein}

As \textit{sal1} mutants have not previously been characterized as having a circadian phenotype, we explored the regulation of \textit{SAL1} transcripts and protein over diel and circadian timescales (Figure 5). Consistent with previous microarray studies (Figure S5, Mockler et al., 2007), we found that \textit{SAL1} transcripts accumulate gradually over the course of the day under entraining conditions (Figure 5A), whereas under cW light, we did not observe a discernible rhythm in \textit{SAL1} transcript (Figure 5C, Mockler et al., 2007). Interestingly, no changes in protein accumulation were apparent in our transgenic lines expressing \textit{SAL1-GFP} under the control of its native promoter (Figure 5B). \textit{SAL1} oscillations consequently appear to be primarily driven by the diel cycle rather than \textit{SAL1} being a classical output of the core nuclear circadian system.

\textbf{Lengthening of circadian period in \textit{sal1} mutants is not induced by sulfur deprivation}

The \textit{sal1} mutation induces accumulation of its substrate, PAP, and to a lesser extent the PAP precursor, 3’-phosphoadenosine 5’-phosphosulfate (PAPS, Figure 6, Chen et al., 2011; Estavillo et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). As a consequence, \textit{sal1} seedlings present a sulfur-deprived phenotype, presumably derived from disruption of sulfate assimilation pathways (Figure 6A, Mugford et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012). Sulfate assimilation is vital for plant metabolism (Takahashi et al., 2011), and so we investigated whether the long-period circadian phenotype of the \textit{sal1} mutant is induced via the reduced accumulation of sulfate. We first evaluated the consequences of gross sulfate starvation on nuclear rhythms using a collection of luciferase reporter lines in a wild-type background (Figure 6B and Figure S6).
Our sulfate-deprived growth conditions were sufficient to induce accumulation of the sulfate starvation marker *APS REDUCTASE1* and *SULTR4;2* (Figure S6). However, despite the induction of a sulfate-starvation response, there was no difference in the period of bioluminescence rhythms driven by the *CCA1, LHY*, or *TOC1* promoters (Figure 6B and Figure S6B-D). As sulfates are necessary for the maintenance of photosynthesis (Terry, 1976), we next examined rhythms of PSII operating efficiency in wild-type and *fry1-6* plants under sulfate-deprived conditions (Figure 6C and Figure S6E). As with our studies using luciferase reporters (Figure 6B), we did not observe any significant difference in FRP in wild-type plants in the presence or absence of sulfates (τ = 23.96 ± 0.32 and 23.74 ± 0.30 hrs on MS media and MS media lacking sulfates, respectively). Sulfate deprivation is therefore insufficient to extend the period of the circadian system.

In order to further evaluate the contribution of sulfur limitation to the *sal1* circadian phenotype, we examined additional mutant lines deficient in sulfate metabolism (Fig. 6A, 6D and 6E). Plants lacking *ARABIDOPSIS 5-PHOSPHOSULFATE KINASE1* (*APK1*) and *APK2* are less able to phosphorylate adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate and so accumulate fewer glucosinolates, with a commensurate increase in desulfo-glucosinolates - a phenotype that is also observed in *sal1* alleles (Mugford et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012). As when we examined plants grown on sulfate-deficient media, we observed no difference in FRP in *apk1 apk2* mutants compared to wild type (Figure 6D, τ= 23.87 ± 0.11 hrs in *apk1 apk2* compared to 23.70 ± 0.09 hrs in wild type). Similarly, *cad2-1* plants, which accumulate less glutathione than wild-type plants (Cobbett et al., 1998), had a comparable phenotype to wild-type plants (Figure 6E). These data demonstrate that gross deficiencies in sulfate metabolism do not extend FRP and thus is unlikely to account for the mechanism by which *SAL1/PAP* signaling regulates the circadian rhythm.

**Plants lacking exoribonucleases have a comparable long-period phenotype to the *sal1* mutant**

The accumulation of PAP as a consequence of *SAL1* inactivation leads to the inhibition of XRN exoribonuclease activity (Chan et al., 2016). As mis-regulation of RNA processing frequently leads to an altered circadian free-running period (Jones et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Macgregor et al., 2013; Perez-Santángelo et al., 2014), we examined whether a mutation of XRN exoribonucleases to genetically simulate *SAL1*-mediated XRN inhibition was sufficient to alter nuclear circadian rhythms (Figure 7).
The Arabidopsis genome expresses three XRN genes, with XRN2 and XRN3 acting within the nucleus whereas XRN4 accumulates in the cytosol (Kastenmayer and Green, 2000). Transcripts from these genes did not accumulate with a daily rhythm (Figure S5). As XRNs display a degree of functional redundancy and are likely all inhibited by PAP accumulation (Gy et al., 2007; Nagarajan et al., 2013), we examined circadian rhythms in the xrn2 xrn3 xrn4 (xrn234) triple mutant (Figure 7). As observed in sal1 plants, these xrn234 seedlings have a long FRP compared to the wild type (Figure 7A, \( \tau = 24.71 \pm 0.20 \) hrs in xrn234 compared to 23.88 \( \pm 0.19 \) hrs in wild type). This long-period phenotype corresponded to a delayed phase of CCA1 transcript accumulation under cB light in both fry1-6 and xrn234 seedlings (Figure 7B). Interestingly, this phase delay in transcript accumulation in xrn234 seedlings was less apparent in cW light, similar to the more subtle phenotype observed in sal1 alleles under cW light or cR+B light (Fig. 2F and 7C). These data support the current model for PAP signalling that suggests that PAP accumulation in sal1 lines represses XRN activity, rather than direct targeting of individual transcripts (Wilson et al., 2009; Rodríguez et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012).

Loss of SAL1 mimics the clock’s response to osmotic stress via a blue light-induced pathway

In order to assess the contribution of SAL1 and PAP to circadian timekeeping during osmotic stress, we revisited our experimental design outlined in Figure 1. The transfer to media containing mannitol did not induce the accumulation of additional PAP in sal1 plants under either cR+B or cB light, although PAP accumulation increased in the wild type as previously observed (Fig. 1A, 8A, 8B). Under cR+B light, sal1 seedlings had a longer FRP than wild-type controls in the presence or absence of mannitol, which correlated with the increased accumulation of PAP in these lines (Figure 8C). Both wild-type and sal1 plants retained a modest (yet significant) circadian response to osmotic stress under cR+B and cR light (Fig. 8C and S7). Interestingly, sal1 seedlings did not have an extended circadian FRP when transferred to mannitol under cB light, although wild-type plants retained this response (Figure 8D). Comparable mannitol-induced shifts in circadian phase were observed when we examined the accumulation of CCA1, PRR5, and GI transcripts under cB light (Fig. 8E and S8). Such data suggest that PAP accumulation is sufficient to extend circadian FRP under cB light, but that additional red light-induced factors also coordinate the circadian system’s response to mannitol.


**Discussion**

**PAP accumulation is sufficient to extend circadian period in the presence of blue light**

Inactivation of SAL1 through either mutation or application of oxidative stress within the chloroplast induces the accumulation of PAP (Estavillo et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2016). We were able to detect PAP in *sal1* seedlings under all conditions tested, but only observed an extension of circadian FRP under cB or cR+B light (Fig. 1, 3, 4, and 8). PAP accumulated to a greater extent in *sal1* seedlings transferred to 20 or 40 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) cB light compared to those moved to 5 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) cB light (Figure 3G). This increase in PAP accumulation was correlated with the presentation of the mutant circadian phenotype, with *sal1* seedlings having an FRP indistinguishable from the wild type when transferred to very dim blue light (Figure 3A). Despite this correlation, PAP levels remained higher in *sal1* mutants than in the wild type under these low light conditions (Figure 3G). Such data suggest that either a threshold concentration of PAP is necessary *in vivo* to delay the molecular clock, or that the blue light-dependent signal perturbed by PAP is only significant at higher fluence rates of blue light.

Intriguingly, we also noted that *sal1* and *xrn234* seedlings had a less pronounced circadian defect when transferred to constant conditions that included red wavelengths of light (Fig. 2F, 7, and 8), although elevated PAP levels were also observed in *sal1* plants transferred to cR light (Figure 3H, Figure S4). Such data suggest that either a red light-mediated signal supersedes or acts in parallel with the PAP-derived pathway, or that the PAP-derived signal specifically affects a blue light-mediated response. The role of phytochrome-related factors in chloroplast retrograde signaling has previously been demonstrated (Salomé et al., 2013; Norén et al., 2016). Plants lacking iron have an extended FRP that is dependent upon phytochromes, suggesting an additional role for iron within the circadian system beyond the maintenance of photosynthesis (Chen et al., 2013; Hong et al., 2013; Salomé et al., 2013). As a consequence, it is likely that multiple signals relay information regarding the metabolic status of the chloroplast to the nucleus.

Exogenous applications of PAP alone to intact leaves of wild-type plants has previously been shown to be ineffective, presumably because endogenous SAL1 is sufficient to metabolize this exogenous PAP (Estavillo et al., 2011; Pornsiriwong et al., 2017). However, the exogenous application of PAP was sufficient to extend FRP in *fry1-6* seedlings (Figure 4A). The exogenous application of PAP is therefore sufficient to extend the long-
period circadian phenotype of sal1 mutant plants that are unable to degrade this metabolite, suggesting that accumulation of PAP underlies the circadian phenotype of sal1 plants.

Mutation of SAL1 has a pleiotropic effect upon plant development, with auxin hyposensitivity and ABA hyper-sensitivity being reported in sal1 alleles (Xiong et al., 2001; Chen and Xiong, 2010; Rodríguez et al., 2010). In particular, the accumulation of PAP in sal1 plants up-regulates specific ABA signalling components to induce stomatal closure (Pornsiriwong et al., 2017). ABA induces a complex circadian response, with exogenous ABA having no effect or increasing the circadian period in plants grown in the presence of sucrose (Hanano et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2013). Conversely, ABA shortens the circadian period in the absence of exogenous sucrose (Lee et al., 2016). We were able to recapitulate this latter phenotype in wild-type plants under our conditions (grown in the absence of exogenous sucrose, Fig. 2H). Similarly, an accelerated FRP was observed in sal1 seedlings in response to ABA (Fig. 2H), demonstrating that these lines retain a sensitivity to this hormone. Although we cannot completely discount a role for altered ABA signalling in the sal1 phenotype, we do note that a reduction of PAP accumulation in sal1 mutants overexpressing AHL was sufficient to rescue the sal1 mutant phenotype (Fig. 4B-D). Therefore, we propose that the perturbations in plant hormone accumulation and sensitivity in sal1 alleles are part of the global developmental consequences of increased PAP accumulation, rather than altered ABA sensitivity inducing the extended circadian FRP observed.

**Regulation of the circadian system by SAL1 does not arise as a consequence of sulfate limitation in sal1**

Sulfate assimilation occurs via a branching pathway, part of which culminates in the production of PAPS that acts as a donor of activated sulfate for many sulfation reactions (Figure 6A, Takahashi et al., 2011). sal1 mutants also accumulate desulfo-glucosinolates, presumably because of a homeostatic disruption within this branch of the sulfate assimilation pathway as a consequence of the accumulation of PAPS and PAP (Lee et al., 2012). Consequently, sal1 lines also accumulate jasmonic acid (Rodríguez et al., 2010). Previous work has demonstrated that jasmonic acid production is regulated by the circadian system (Goodspeed et al., 2012), and jasmonate signaling is gated by the clock, leading to improved resistance against herbivory and infection (Covington et al., 2008; Goodspeed et al., 2012; Shin et al., 2012; Ingle et al., 2015).

A recent study demonstrated that perturbed glucosinolate accumulation shortens FRP under cR+B light (Kerwin et al., 2011). In order to test the consequences of perturbed sulfate
metabolism upon FRP under our experimental conditions, we examined plants grown on sulfate-deficient media (Fig. 6B and 6C) and mutants with perturbed sulphate metabolism (apk1 apk2 and cad2-1, Fig. 6D and 6E). In agreement with Kerwin et al., we did not observe a significant extension of FRP in sulfate-deprived conditions (Fig. 6B and 6C). Instead, we observed a modest shortening of FRP in one of our luciferase lines (TOC1::LUC, Figure 6B). Our data subsequently suggest that sulfate limitation does not induce a long FRP and is not the mechanism by which PAP regulates circadian rhythm.

Loss of XRN activity replicates the circadian phenotypes of sal1 mutants

The importance of post-transcriptional regulation of circadian gene expression is increasingly being recognized, particularly in response to environmental changes (Garbarino-Pico and Green, 2007; Kojima et al., 2011; Sanchez et al., 2011). Mis-regulation of RNA processing frequently leads to an altered circadian FRP (Jones et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Macgregor et al., 2013; Perez-Santángelo et al., 2014) and alternate splicing contributes to modifications to the circadian system in response to temperature and drought (James et al., 2012; Filichkin et al., 2015). Similarly, circadian regulation of exosome activity has previously been implicated in the circadian system of the bread mold Neurospora crassa (Guo et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015).

Inactivation of SAL1 by oxidative stress induces the intracellular accumulation of PAP that inhibits the activity of XRN exoribonucleases (Dichtl et al., 1997; Mechold et al., 2006; Estavillo et al., 2011). Redundancy between XRN family members has previously been reported and the accumulated PAP in mutant alleles of SAL1 have been suggested to simultaneously inhibit all three XRNs (Gy et al., 2007; Nagarajan et al., 2013). A role for cytoplasmic XRN activity within the circadian system of the green algae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii has previously been reported (Matsuo et al., 2008). In this case, loss of XRN activity led to a lengthened FRP, similar to that observed in sal1 and xrn234 plants (Fig. 2 and 7). Therefore, it is possible that the loss of XRN activity induces global changes in circadian transcript abundance and/or stability that likely account for the delayed FRP of the circadian system.

Osmotic stress delays the circadian system

Many stress responses are typically associated with different times of day, leading to speculation that such responses are modulated by the circadian system (Walley et al., 2007; Mizuno and Yamashino, 2008; Sanchez et al., 2011; Grundy et al., 2015). While the role of
the circadian system in modulating plants’ tolerance of water-deprived conditions is beginning to be elucidated (Fukushima et al., 2009; Legnaioli et al., 2009; Wilkins et al., 2010; Nakamichi et al., 2016), comparatively little is understood regarding how osmotic stress influences the circadian system (Grundy et al., 2015). Multiple clock transcripts accumulate to a greater extent in response to osmotic stress in barley (Hordeum vulgare), although a consistent change in the phase of gene expression was not observed under diel conditions in this previous work (Habte et al., 2014). One of the consequences of drought and osmotic stress is the increased generation of reactive oxygen species during photosynthesis, leading to changes in the redox state of the chloroplast (Apel, 2004; Chan et al., 2016). Recent work has revealed that the redox status of peroxiredoxins within the chloroplast varies with a circadian rhythm (Edgar et al., 2012), while a similar circadian pattern of H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and catalase activity is also apparent (Lai et al., 2012).

Our work demonstrated that osmotic stress is sufficient to extend circadian period in Arabidopsis under either cR+B, cR, or cB light, although a much more pronounced effect was observed under monochromatic blue light conditions (Fig. 1A, 8C-8D, S7). In addition, the extension of the circadian period was correlated with the accumulation of PAP in wild-type plants (Fig. 1 and 8). While we do not consider plants’ perception and response to osmotic stress to occur solely via the regulation of SAL1 activity, it was noteworthy that a significant response to osmotic stress was not observed in sal1 lines transferred to cB light (Figure 8D); such data are consistent with the pronounced circadian phenotype of sal1 alleles, specifically under these conditions (Fig. 2 and 3), and reveal an additional contribution of SAL1 to plants’ responses to osmotic stress.

Recently, it has been suggested that a delay of the circadian system acts to slow metabolism, consequently improving survival during sub-optimal conditions (Syed et al., 2015). Such data are consistent with our observations that increased levels of PAP (due to the mutation of SAL1 or the application of osmotic stress) lengthens FRP and delays flowering (Fig. 1, 3, 4, and 8, Wilson et al., 2009). Therefore, we propose that the accumulation of PAP in response to environmental stress leads to the inhibition of XRN exoribonucleases, leading to enhanced stability of specific transcripts and a consequent delay in circadian timing. This mechanism enables environmental signals to be integrated with the circadian clock to adjust plants’ response to stressful conditions.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions
Mutant alleles of SAL1 have been reported previously (Rossel et al., 2006; Gy et al., 2007; Rodríguez et al., 2010). axl8-1 and fry1-6 alleles of SAL1, as well as xrn2-1 and xrn3-3, were re-isolated from seed provided by the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (Scholl et al., 2000). xrn4 alleles have previously been reported (Roman et al., 1995; Gazzani et al., 2004; Olmedo et al., 2006; Potuschak et al., 2006), as has the xrn2-1 xrn3-3 xrn4-6 triple mutant (Hirsch et al., 2011). apk1 apk2 lines were a kind gift from the Farmer lab (University of Lausanne, Switzerland, Rodríguez et al., 2010). cad2-1 seeds (Cobbett et al., 1998) were provided by Prof. Phil Mullineaux (University of Essex, UK). fry1-6 CCA1::LUC2 lines were generated by crossing fry1-6 to a previously reported Columbia CCA1::LUC2 line (Jones et al., 2015). Transgenic plants were generated as follows. The SAL1 coding sequence and a 900-bp region upstream of the transcriptional start site were transferred into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen) via the TOPO cloning method using oligonucleotides described in Table S1. A binary vector containing this SAL1 genomic fragment was created by LR recombination with pGW4 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) to generate pGW4 SAL1. The AHL coding sequence was similarly transferred into pCR8/GW/TOPO using oligonucleotides described in Table S1. A binary vector containing the AHL cDNA fragment was created by LR recombination with pGW41 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) to generate pGW41 AHL. Plasmids were moved into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 and transformed into axl8-1 plants using standard protocols (Narusaka et al., 2010). Transformants were selected on Murashige and Skoog (MS) media supplemented with 50 μg/mL hygromycin (Fisher Scientific).

All wild-type and transgenic lines were in the Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) background. Seeds were surface sterilized and sown on soil or 0.8% agar plates containing half-strength MS medium (Sigma Aldrich M5524). For sulfate deficiency experiments, sulfate salts in MS medium M5524 (http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technicaldocuments/protocols/biology/murashige-skoog.html) were replaced with chloride salts as follows: 10.31 mM NH₄NO₃, 0.05 mM H₂BO₃, 1.50 mM CaCl₂, 0.05 μM CoCl₂, 0.05 μM CuCl₂, 0.05 mM EDTA, 0.05 mM FeCl₃, 0.75 mM MgCl₂, 0.05 mM MnCl₂, 0.52 μM Na₂MoO₄, 2.50 μM KI, 9.40 mM KNO₃, 0.63 mM KH₂PO₄, 15 μM ZnCl₂, 0.8% agar, pH 5.7. Plants were entrained under 12 h white light: 12 h dark cycles.

**Application of osmotic stress**
In order to apply osmotic stress (-0.5 MPa), 5-day-old seedlings were transferred from MS plates to those containing either osmotica 24 h before transfer to constant light for imaging. Treatment plates contained either 200 mM mannitol or were infused with PEG 8000 as described by Verslues et al., 2006. In brief, 1.5% agar plates containing half-strength MS medium and 6 mM MES buffer were solidified and then overlaid with a solution of 250 g/l PEG 8000. The solution was allowed to sit for 24 h, producing an osmotic potential of -0.5 MPa, before the excess solution was removed from the plates prior to transplant.

**Luciferase imaging**

To complete luciferase imaging, individual seedlings were entrained for 6 days in 12 h:12 h light:dark cycles under white light on half-strength MS media without supplemental sucrose (unless transferred to constant darkness, in which case 3% (w/v) sucrose was added to the media). Plants were sprayed with 3 mM D-luciferin in 0.01% Triton X-100 before being transferred to free-running conditions under the indicated fluence rate as previously described (Litthauer et al., 2015). Data was processed using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012). Patterns of bioluminescence were fitted to cosine waves using Fourier Fast Transform-Non-Linear Least Squares (FFT-NLLS, Plautz et al., 1997) to estimate the length of the circadian period. RAE is a measure of rhythmic robustness, with a value of 0 indicating an exact fit to a cosine wave (Plautz et al., 1997). Sample size was chosen to achieve a power of 0.8 in a two-sample t test at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Previously collected data was used to estimate \( \sigma = 0.6 \).

**Abscisic acid treatment**

Six-day-old seedlings were entrained for luciferase imaging (as described above) before being transplanted to half-strength MS media plates containing either 10 \( \mu \)M abscisic acid (Acros Organics #133485000) or a mock treatment (0.1% DMSO) at dawn (ZT0). Plants were sprayed with 3 mM D-luciferin in 0.01% Triton X-100 before being transferred to free-running conditions under a combination of 30 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) red light and 20 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) blue light for circadian imaging.

**Methyl viologen treatment**

Six-day-old seedlings were entrained for luciferase imaging (as described above) before being sprayed with 50 \( \mu \)M methyl viologen (Sigma Aldrich) and 3 mM D-luciferin in 0.01%
Triton X-100 at dawn (ZT0). Plants were transferred to free-running conditions under a combination of 30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light and 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light for circadian imaging.

**Application of PAP to seedlings**

Twelve-day-old seedlings were prepared for luciferase imaging (as described above) and transferred into constant blue light (20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). 1 mM PAP was applied to seedlings in 0.01% Triton X-100 at Zeitgeber (ZT) 29. Luciferase imaging and circadian analysis was completed as described above.

**Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging**

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were recorded with a Fluorimager imaging system (Technologica Ltd, UK) as previously described (Lithauer et al., 2015). Patterns of $F_q'/F_m'$ were fitted to cosine waves using FFT-NLLS (Plautz et al., 1997) to estimate circadian period length and additional circadian parameters. Sample size was chosen to achieve a power of 0.8 in a two-sample t test at $\alpha = 0.05$. Previously collected data was used to estimate $\sigma = 0.6$.

**RT-qPCR**

Following entrainment, plants were transferred to constant light at the indicated fluence rate and quality. Tissue was harvested at the indicated time directly onto liquid nitrogen before RNA was isolated from 10 to 15 seedlings for each data point using Tri Reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com). Reverse transcription was performed using RevertAid reverse transcriptase following DNase treatment (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). RT-qPCR was performed using a BioRad CFX96 Real-Time system following MIQE guidelines (Bustin et al., 2009). PCR was completed for 40 cycles using the following protocol: 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 15 s, 72°C for 30 s. Each biological sample was run in triplicate, with starting quantity estimated from critical thresholds using the standard curve of amplification using BioRad CFX Manager 5.1. Calibration curves were run as an internal control within each RT-qPCR run, with data only accepted if experimental samples fell within the linear range of amplification, and if quality criteria were met ($r^2>0.97$, PCR efficiency +/- 15%, as determined from the calibration curve). Data for each sample were normalized to $PP2A$ as an internal control. Primer sets used are described in Table S1.
Protein extraction and immunoblot analysis

Twelve-day-old seedlings were frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground into powder, and extracted in homogenisation buffer (25 mM MOPS, 0.25 M sucrose, 0.1 mM MgCl₂, 8 mM L-Cys, pH 7.8). After quantifying the total protein concentrations with Bradford Reagent (Sigma Aldrich), equal amounts of proteins were separated on 12.5% SDS-PAGE gels and then semi-dry transferred onto a 0.45 µM nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham). SAL1-GFP and actin were immunodetected by anti-GFP (Ab290, 1:10 000 dilution, Abcam) and anti-actin (mAB1501, 1:2 000, Sigma Aldrich) antibodies, respectively. IgG (H+L) HRP conjugates (Promega) were used to detect the primary antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were quantified by scanning the membrane with a Fusion FX imaging system (Vilber Lourmat).

Extraction and HPLC analysis of PAP

PAP was extracted from whole seedlings as previously described (Bürstenbinder et al., 2007; Estavillo et al., 2011). Metabolites were extracted from 150-300 mg ground tissue using 1 mL 0.1 M HCl with incubation on ice for 15 min, and centrifuged twice at 16,000 x g at 4°C for 5 min. 150 µL of the supernatant was added to 770 µL CP buffer (620 mM citric acid and 760 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 4) and derivatised using 80 µL 50% (w/v) chloroacetaldehyde solution with incubation at 80°C for 10 min, and centrifuged for 45 min at 16,000 x g at 4°C. Analysis of PAP was performed as previously described (Bürstenbinder et al., 2007; Estavillo et al., 2011). 20 µL of the supernatant was injected into an Agilent 1100 HPLC system connected to a FLD G1321A (Agilent) fluorescent detector. PAP was analysed by reverse-phase HPLC using a Luna 5 µm C18(2) 100 Å column (Phenomenex). The column was equilibrated for 0.2 min with 95% (v/v) of buffer A (5.7mM [CH₃(CH₂)₃]₂NHSO₄ and 30.5 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 5.8) and 5% (v/v) buffer B (67% [v/v] acetonitrile and 33% [v/v] buffer A), followed by a linear gradient for 53 min up to 50% (v/v) of buffer B. The column was re-equilibrated for 7 min with 5% (v/v) buffer B. PAP concentration was calculated relative to a commercially available standard (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-210760).

Accession numbers

Genes examined in this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative database under the following accession numbers: APK1, At2g14750; APK2, At4g39940; AHL, At5g54390; CAD2/GSH1, At4g23100; CCA1, At2g46830; CRY1, At4g08920; CRY2, At1g04400; ELF4, At2g40080; GIGANTEA, At1g22770; LHY, At1g01060; PRR5,
At5g24470; SAL1, At5g63980; TOC1, At5g61380; XRN2, At5g42540; XRN3, At1g75660; XRN4, At1g54490; ZTL, At5g57360.
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Figure legends
**Figure 1. Osmotic stress induces the accumulation of PAP and extends the circadian period.** (A) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0) seedlings in the presence of 200 mM mannitol under constant light conditions. Plants were grown for 11 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to plates containing 200 mM mannitol 24 hours before transfer to constant light. Plates were transferred to either 24 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ constant blue light (cW) at dawn of day 12. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the respective mock control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). (B) Representative bioluminescence data of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) plants carrying a $CCA1::LUC2$ reporter construct in the presence of 200 mM mannitol or PEG 8000. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 5 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to either a mock-treated control, 200 mM mannitol, or plates infused with PEG 8000 24 hrs before imaging under cR+B light (30 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ red and 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light). Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars represent standard error of the mean and are presented every 10 hrs for clarity. n=10. (C) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence of 200 mM mannitol or PEG 8000. Columbia (Col-0) seedlings carrying either the $CCA1$, $LHY$, or $TOC1$ promoters fused to a LUCIFERASE reporter were assessed. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate p < 0.01 compared with mock controls (Dunnett’s test).

**Figure 2. sal1 alleles have an extended circadian period.** Rhythms of PSII operating efficiency ($F_{q'}/F_{m'}$) measured over circadian time in $alx8-1$ (A) and $fry1-6$ (C) mutant alleles of $SAL1$. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue light (20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings (n=8) and are representative of at least three independent experiments. Standard error of the mean is presented every 5 hours for clarity. (B, D) Circadian period estimates of $F_{q'}/F_{m'}$ circadian rhythms presented in (A and C) using FFT-NLLS with baseline de-trending (Plautz et al., 1997). Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the Col-0 control (p<0.05, Student’s t test). (E) Circadian period estimates of $F_{q'}/F_{m'}$ circadian rhythms in Columbia (Col-0), $alx8-1$, and $alx8-1$ seedlings transformed with a $SAL1::SAL1-GFP$ construct. Period
estimates are plotted against Relative Amplitude Error (RAE), which is a measure of rhythmic robustness (a value of 0 indicates an exact fit to a cosine wave, Plautz et al., 1997), n = 8. Data from one of three independent experiments are shown. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the alx8-1 mutant (p<0.05, Dunnett’s test). (F) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 plants carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter construct. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 6 days before transfer to either 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light (cB), a combination of 30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light and 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light (cR+B), or 30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light (cR). Plants transferred to constant darkness (Darkness) were grown on half-strength MS medium supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the Columbia control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). (G) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 plants carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter construct in the presence of methyl viologen. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 6 days before application of 50 μM methyl viologen and transfer to 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light and 30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light for imaging. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n = 10. Asterisks indicate p < 0.025 compared with the respective Columbia control (post-hoc Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). (H) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence of 10 μM ABA. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with indicated controls (p<0.025, post-hoc Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test).

Figure 3. The sal1 circadian phenotype is exacerbated under blue light. (A) Fluence rate response curves to measure the free-running circadian period under constant blue (cB) light in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 seedlings carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 6 days before being transferred to the indicated fluence rate of cB light. Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10. Asterisks highlight a significant difference between Col-0 and fry1-6 at the indicated fluence rate (post-hoc Student’s t-test, p<0.05). (B) Fluence rate response curves to measure the free-running circadian period under constant red (cR) light. Seedlings were entrained as described in (A) before being transferred to the indicated fluence.
rate of constant red light. Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10. (C-F) Accumulation of circadian clock-regulated transcripts under constant blue (cB) (C, E) or constant red (cR) (D, F) light in sal1 seedlings using RT-qPCR. Levels of CCA1 (C, D) and TOC1 (E, F) mRNA were assessed. Plants were entrained to 12:12 h light:dark cycles for 12 days on MS medium before being moved to constant conditions with either 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue (cB) or 30 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ red (cR) light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2a) and are the mean of at least two biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. (G, H, I) Accumulation of PAP in fry1-6 seedlings under different fluence rates of blue (G), red (H), or red+blue (I) light. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 12 days before being transferred to the indicated fluence rate and quality of light for 4 days. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold in Col-0 in each measurement. Asterisks highlight a significant difference for the selected comparison (P<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s T-test).

Figure 4. PAP accumulation is correlated with circadian defects in sal1 mutants. (A) Circadian period of fry1-6 seedlings following application of PAP. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 6 days before being transferred to 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ constant blue (cB) light. PAP was applied at ZT29. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the mock-treated control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). Standard error of the mean is shown, n >19. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0), alx8-1, and alx8-1 seedlings transformed with a 35S::AHL construct. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h light:dark cycles before being transferred to constant 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue (cB) light. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold. (C, D) $F_q'/F_m'$ rhythms and circadian period estimates in alx8-1 seedlings transformed with a 35S::AHL construct. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue (cB) light (20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n=8. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with alx8-1 plants (p<0.0125, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test).
Figure 5. **SAL1 transcript and protein accumulation are not altered by the circadian system.** (A) **SAL1 transcript accumulation in Columbia** (Col-0) plants under 16:8 h L/D cycles. Data were normalized to an internal control (**PP2a**). Data are the average of three biological replicates, error bars show standard error of the mean. (B) Immunoblot analysis of **SAL1 protein levels under 16:8 h LD cycles.** Plants were grown as described in (A). Data are the average of three biological replicates, error bars show standard error of the mean. (C) **SAL1 transcript accumulation over circadian time.** Columbia (Col-0) plants were entrained under 60 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ white light in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 12 days before being transferred to constant white (cW) light. Data are the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars express standard error of the mean.

Figure 6. **Sulfate deprivation does not extend circadian period.** (A) **Schematic of sulfate metabolism in Arabidopsis, adapted from** (Bohrer et al., 2014). Abbreviations of metabolites: APS, adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate; GLS, glucosinolate; GSH, glutathione; PAP, 5'-phosphoadenosine 3'-phosphate; PAPS, 3-phosphoadenosine 5-phosphosulfate. Abbreviations of enzymes and transporters: APK, APS KINASE; APR, APS REDUCTASE; GSH1, GLUTAMATE-CYSTEINE LIGASE. (B) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence or absence of sulfate salts. Columbia (Col-0) seedlings carrying either the **CCA1**, **LHY**, or **TOC1** promoters fused to a **LUCIFERASE** reporter were assessed. Plants were entrained for 12 d before transfer to constant blue (cB) light (20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for imaging. Standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10. Data from one of three independent experiments are shown. (C) Circadian rhythms of **Fₘ'**/**Fₘ** in plants grown on agar lacking sulfate salts. Plants were grown as described in (B). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings (n=8) and are representative of at least three independent experiments. (D, E) Circadian period estimates of **Fₘ'**/**Fₘ** in **apk1 apk2** (D) and **cad2-1** (E) plants. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments. Standard error of the mean is shown, n = 8.

Figure 7. **Circadian phenotypes of xrn mutants.** (A) Rhythms of PSII operating efficiency (**Fₘ'**/**Fₘ**) were measured over circadian time in Columbia (Col-0) and **xrn2-1 xrn3-3 xrn4-6** (**xrn234**) mutant seedlings. Period estimates are plotted against Relative Amplitude Error (RAE). Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue (cB) light (20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings
(n = 7) and are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard error, asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with Col-0 plants (p<0.05, Student’s t test). (B) Assessment of CCA1 transcript accumulation under constant blue (cB) light in Columbia (Col-0), fry1-6, and xrn234 seedlings using RT-qPCR. Plants were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles before being moved to constant conditions with 20 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) blue light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2a) and are the mean of three biological replicates. (C) CCA1 transcript accumulation under constant white (cW) light in fry1-6 and xrn234 seedlings. Transcript accumulation in Columbia (Col-0), fry1-6, and xrn234 seedlings was compared using RT-qPCR. Plants were entrained as in (B) before being moved to constant conditions with 60 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) white light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2a) and are the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error.

Figure 8. PAP levels correlate with a lengthened circadian period under osmotic stress and broad-spectrum blue light. (A, B) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 seedlings in the presence of 200 mM mannitol. Plants were grown for 11 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to plates containing 200 mM mannitol 24 hours before transfer to constant light. Plates were subsequently transferred to either constant red and blue light (30 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) constant red light supplemented with 20 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) blue light (cR+B, A) or to 20 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) constant blue light (cB, B) at dawn of day 12. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. Standard deviation is shown. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared to a mock-treated control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). (C, D) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 plants carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter construct in the presence of 200 mM mannitol. Plants were entrained and transferred to growth substrate containing 200 mM mannitol as in (A). Seedlings were transferred to either constant red and blue light (cR+B, C) or constant blue light (cB, D) for imaging. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n = 10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with a mock-treated control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t test). (E) Accumulation of CCA1 transcript following transfer to 200 mM mannitol. Plants were entrained to 12:12 h light:dark cycles for 11 days on MS medium before being transferred to either 200 mM mannitol or a mock
treatment at dawn. Seedlings were moved to constant conditions with 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light at dawn of day 12. Data were normalized with an internal control ($PP2a$) and are the mean of at least two biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.
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Figure 1. Osmotic stress induces the accumulation of PAP and extends circadian period.

(A) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0) seedlings in the presence of 200 mM mannitol under constant light conditions. Plants were grown for 11 days under 12:12 L/D cycles before being transferred to plates containing 200 mM mannitol 24 hours before transfer to constant light. Plates were transferred to either 24 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant blue light supplemented with 36 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red light (cR+B) or to 60 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant white light (cW) at dawn of day 12. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with respective mock control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test).

(B) Representative bioluminescence data of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) plants carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter construct in the presence of 200 mM mannitol or PEG 8000. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 5 days under 12:12 L/D cycles before being transferred to either a mock-treated control, 200 mM mannitol, or plates infused with PEG 8000, 24 hrs before imaging under cR+B light (30 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red and 20 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light). Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars represent standard error of the mean and are shown every 10 hrs for clarity. n=10.

(C) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence of 200 mM mannitol or PEG 8000. Columbia (Col-0) seedlings carrying either the CCA1, LHY, or TOC1 promoters fused to a LUCIFERASE reporter were assessed. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate p < 0.01 compared with mock controls (Dunnett’s test).
Figure 2. *sal1* alleles have an extended circadian period. Rhythms of PSII operating efficiency ($F'_q/F'_m$) measured over circadian time in *alx8-1* (A) and *fry1-6* (C) mutant alleles of *SAL1*. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue light (20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings (n=8) and are representative of at least three independent experiments. Standard error of the mean is presented every 5 hours for clarity. (B,D) Circadian period estimates of $F'_q/F'_m$ circadian rhythms presented in (A and C) using FFT-NLLS with baseline de-trending (Plautz et al., 1997). Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with Col-0 control (p<0.05, Student’s T-test). (E) Circadian period estimates of *F'_q/F'_m* circadian rhythms in Columbia (Col-0), *alx8-1*, and *alx8-1* seedlings transformed with a *SAL1::SAL1-GFP* construct. Period estimates are plotted against Relative Amplitude Error (RAE), which is a measure of rhythmic robustness (a value of 0 indicates an exact fit to a cosine wave, Plautz et al., 1997), n = 8. Data from one of three independent experiments are shown. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with the *alx8-1* mutant (p<0.05, Dunnett’s test). (F) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and *fry1-6* plants carrying a *CCA1::LUC2* reporter construct. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 6 days before transfer to either 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light (cB), a combination of 30 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ red light and 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light (cR+B), or 30 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ red light (cR). Plants transferred to constant darkness (Darkness) were grown on half-strength MS medium supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with Columbia control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test). (G) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and *fry1-6* plants carrying a *CCA1::LUC2* reporter construct in the presence of methyl viologen. Plants were grown on half-strength MS medium for 6 days before application of 50 μM methyl viologen and transfer to 20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light and 30 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ red light for imaging. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with controls (p<0.025, post-hoc Bonferroni adjusted t test). (H) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence of 10 μM ABA. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with indicated controls (P<0.025, post-hoc Bonferroni adjusted t test).
Figure 3. The sal1 circadian phenotype is exacerbated under blue light. (A) Fluence rate response curves to measure free-running circadian period under constant blue (cB) light in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 seedlings carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 L/D cycles for 6 days before being transferred to the indicated fluence rate of cB light. Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10. Asterisks highlight a significant difference between Col-0 and fry1-6 at the indicated fluence rate (post-hoc Student’s T-test, p<0.05).

(B) Fluence rate response curves to measure free-running circadian period under constant red (cR) light. Seedlings were entrained as described in (A) before being transferred to the indicated fluence rate of cR light. Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10.

(C-F) Accumulation of circadian clock-regulated transcripts under constant blue (cB) (C, E) or constant red (cR) (D, F) light in sal1 seedlings using RT-qPCR. Levels of CCA1 (C, D), and TOC1 (E, F) mRNA were assessed. Plants were entrained to 12:12 h light:dark cycles for 12 days on MS medium before being moved to constant conditions with either 20 μmol m^-2 s^-1 blue (cB) or 30 μmol m^-2 s^-1 (cR) red light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2a) and are the mean of at least two biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.

(G, H, I) Accumulation of PAP in fry1-6 seedlings under different fluence rates of blue (G), red (H), or red+blue (I) light. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 12 days before being transferred to the indicated fluence rate and quality of light for 4 days. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold in Col-0 in each measurement. Asterisks highlight a significant difference for the selected comparison (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test).
Figure 4. PAP accumulation is correlated with circadian defects in sal1 mutants. (A) Circadian period of fry1-6 seedlings following application of PAP. Seedlings were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 6 days before being transferred to 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant blue light. PAP was applied at ZT29. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with mock treated control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test). Standard error of the mean is shown, n>19. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0), alx8-1, and alx8-1 seedlings transformed with a 35S::AHL construct. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 light:dark cycles before being transferred to constant 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue (cB) light. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold. (C, D) Fq'/Fm' rhythms and circadian period estimates in alx8-1 seedlings transformed with a 35S::AHL construct. Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue (cB) light (20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Data are representative of three independent experiments, standard error of the mean is shown, n=8. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with alx8-1 plants (P<0.0125, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test).
Figure 5. SAL1 transcript and protein accumulation are not altered by the circadian system. (A) SAL1 transcript accumulation in wild type (Col-0) plants under 16:8 h LD cycles. Data were normalized to an internal control (PP2a). Data are the average of three biological replicates, error bars show standard error of the mean. (B) Immunoblot analysis of SAL1 protein levels under 16:8 h LD cycles. Plants were grown as described in (A). Data are the average of three biological replicates, error bars show standard error of the mean. (C) SAL1 transcript accumulation over circadian time. Wild type (Col-0) plants were entrained under 60 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ white light in 12:12 h L/D cycles for 12 days before being transferred to constant white (cW) light. Data are the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars express standard error of the mean. See also Figure S4.
**Figure 6. Sulfate deprivation does not extend circadian period.** (A) Schematic of sulfate metabolism in Arabidopsis, adapted from (Bohrer et al., 2014). Abbreviations of metabolites: APS, adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate; GLS, glucosinolate; GSH, glutathione; PAP, 5'-phosphoadenosine 3'-phosphate; PAPS, 3-phosphoadenosine 5-phosphosulfate. Abbreviations of enzymes and transporters: APK, APS kinase; APR, APS reductase; GSH1, GLUTAMATE-CYSTEINE LIGASE. (B) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in the presence or absence of sulfate salts. Columbia (Col-0) seedlings carrying either the CCA1, LHY, or TOC1 promoters fused to a LUCIFERASE reporter were assessed. Plants were entrained for 12 d before transfer to constant blue (cB) light (20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for imaging. Standard error of the mean is shown, n = 10. Data from one of three independent experiments are shown. (C) Circadian rhythms of \( \frac{F_q}{F_m} \) in plants grown on agar lacking sulfate salts. Plants were grown as described in (B). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings (n=8) and are representative of at least three independent experiments. (D, E) Circadian period estimates of \( \frac{F_q}{F_m} \) in apk1 apk2 (D), and cad2-1 (E) plants. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments. Standard error of the mean is shown, n = 8. See also Figure S6.
Figure 7. Circadian phenotypes of xrn234 mutants. (A) Rhythms of PSII operating efficiency ($F_{q'/F_m'}$) were measured over circadian time in Columbia (Col-0) and xrn2-1 xrn3-3 xrn4-6 (xrn234) mutant seedlings. Period estimates are plotted against Relative Amplitude Error (RAE). Plants were grown for 12 days under 12:12 h L/D cycles before being transferred to constant blue (cB) light (20 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Data represent mean values of multiple seedlings (n=7) and are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard error, asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with Col-0 plants (p<0.05, Student’s t test). (B) Assessment of CCA1 transcript accumulation under constant blue light in fry1-6 and xrn234 seedlings using RT-qPCR. Plants were entrained in 12:12 h L/D cycles before being moved to constant conditions with 20 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ blue light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2A) and are the mean of three biological replicates. (C) CCA1 transcript accumulation under constant white light in fry1-6 and xrn234 seedlings. Transcript accumulation in Columbia (Col-0), fry1-6 and xrn234 seedlings was compared using RT-qPCR. Plants were entrained as in (B) before being moved to constant conditions with 60 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ white (cW) light. Data for each gene were normalized with an internal control (PP2A) and are the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error.
Figure 8. PAP levels correlate with a lengthened circadian period under osmotic stress and broad spectrum blue light. (A, B) Accumulation of PAP in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 seedlings in the presence of 200 mM mannitol. Plants were grown for 11 days under 12:12 L/D cycles before being transferred to plates containing 200 mM mannitol 24 hours before transfer to constant light. Plates were subsequently transferred to either constant red and blue light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant red light supplemented with 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light (cR+B, A) or to 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant blue light (cB, B) at dawn of day 12. Seedlings were harvested at ZT96. UD, PAP levels were below the detection threshold. Data are the mean of three biological replicates and are representative of two independent experiments. Standard deviation is shown. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with a mock treated control (p<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test). (C, D) Circadian period estimates of luciferase activity in Columbia (Col-0) and fry1-6 plants carrying a CCA1::LUC2 reporter construct in the presence of 200mM mannitol. Plants were entrained and transferred to growth substrate containing 200mM mannitol as in (A). Seedlings were transferred to either constant red and blue light (cR+B, C) or constant blue light (cB, D) for imaging. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars express standard error of the mean, n=10. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared with a mock treated control (P<0.025, Bonferroni adjusted Student’s t-test). (E) Accumulation of CCA1 transcript following transfer to 200 mM mannitol. Plants were entrained to 12:12 h light:dark cycles for 11 days on MS medium before being transferred to either 200 mM mannitol or a mock treatment at dawn. Seedlings were moved to constant conditions with 20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light at dawn of day 12. Data were normalized with an internal control (PP2a) and are the mean of at least two biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.


Chow BY, Helfer A, Nusinow DA, Kay SA (2012) ELF3 recruitment to the PRR9 promoter requires other Evening Complex members in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. Plant Sig Beh 7: 170-173


Dichtl B, Stevens A, Tollervey D (1997) Lithium toxicity in yeast is due to the inhibition of RNA processing enzymes. EMBO J 16: 7184-7195


Eriksson ME, Webb AAR (2011) Plant cell responses to cold are all about timing. Current Opin Plant Biol 14: 731-737


The circadian clock defines the network structure of the oscillator. Science 336: 75-79


Kastenmayer JP, Green PJ (2000) Novel features of the XRN-family in Arabidopsis: evidence that AtXRN4, one of several orthologs of nuclear Xrn2p/Rat1p, functions in the cytoplasm. PNAS 97: 13985-13990


homeostasis and oxidative stress responses. PNAS 109: 17129-17134


Legnaioi T, Cuevas J, Mas P (2009) TOC1 functions as a molecular switch connecting the circadian clock with plant responses to drought. EMBO J 28: 3745-3757


Nagarajan VK, Jones CL, Newbury SF, Green PJ (2013) XRN 5'→3' exoribonucleases: structure, mechanisms and functions. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1829: 590-603


CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Copyright © 2018 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.