# **1** Hagfish and lamprey Hox genes reveal conservation of temporal

# 2 colinearity in vertebrates

- 3 Juan Pascual-Anaya<sup>1</sup>\*, Iori Sato<sup>1†</sup>, Fumiaki Sugahara<sup>1,2†</sup>, Shinnosuke Higuchi<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Paps<sup>3</sup>,
- 4 Ren Yandong<sup>4, 5</sup>, Wataru Takagi<sup>1</sup>, Adrián Ruiz-Villalba<sup>6</sup>, Kinya G. Ota<sup>7</sup>, Wen Wang<sup>4</sup>,
- 5 Shigeru Kuratani<sup>1</sup>
- 6 <sup>1</sup> Evolutionary Morphology Laboratory, RIKEN, Kobe 650-0047, Japan
- 7 <sup>2</sup> Division of Biology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Nishinomiya 663-8501, Japan
- 8 <sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SQ, UK.
- 9 <sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology,
- 10 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China.
- <sup>5</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China
- <sup>6</sup> Cell Therapy Program, Foundation of Applied Medical Research (FIMA), University of
- 13 Navarra, Pamplona, Spain.
- <sup>7</sup> Laboratory of Aquatic Zoology, Marine Research Station, Institute of Cellular and
- 15 Organismic Biology, Academia Sinica, Yilan 26242, Taiwan
- <sup>†</sup>Iori Sato and Fumiaki Sugahara contributed equally to this work.
- 17
- 18 \*Correspondence to:
- 19 Juan Pascual-Anaya
- 20 e-mail: jpascualanaya@gmail.com
- 21 Evolutionary Morphology Laboratory, RIKEN, 2-2-3 Minatojima-minami, Chuo-ku, Kobe,
- 22 Hyogo 650-0047, Japan
- 23 Tel.: +81-78-306-3589
- 24 Fax: +81-78-306-3370

25 Hox genes exert fundamental roles for proper regional specification along the main 26 rostro-caudal axis of animal embryos. Hox genes are generally expressed in restricted 27 spatial domains according to their position in the cluster (spatial colinearity), a feature 28 that is conserved across bilaterians. In jawed vertebrates (gnathostomes), the position in 29 the cluster also determines the onset of expression of Hox genes, a feature known as whole-cluster temporal colinearity (WTC), while in invertebrates this phenomenon is 30 31 displayed as a subcluster-level temporal colinearity (STC). However, little is known 32 about the expression profile of Hox genes in jawless vertebrates (cyclostomes), and 33 therefore the evolutionary origin of WTC, as seen in gnathostomes, remains a mystery. Here we show that Hox genes in cyclostomes are expressed according to WTC during 34 development. We have investigated the Hox repertoire and Hox gene expression profiles 35 36 in three different species –a hagfish, a lamprey and a shark– encompassing the two 37 major groups of vertebrates and found that these are expressed following a wholecluster, temporally staggered pattern, indicating that WTC has been conserved during 38 39 the last 500 million years despite drastically different genome evolution and morphological outputs between jawless and jawed vertebrates. 40

41

42 Hox genes are fundamental developmental genes with crucial roles for the early specification of embryonic structures along the main anterior-posterior axis of bilaterian animals<sup>1</sup>. Hox 43 44 genes are usually placed in the same genomic regions forming cluster(s). Hox clusters are thought to be the result of several tandem duplication events of an ancestral proto-Hox gene<sup>2</sup>, 45 46 and while most invertebrates generally have a single Hox cluster, vertebrate genomes present multiple clusters<sup>3</sup>. It is widely accepted that the genome of vertebrates has evolved through 47 two rounds (2R) of whole genome duplication (WGD) events (but see ref. 4 for an alternative 48 49 scenario), generating up to four paralogous loci for each single region of a pre-duplicative

genome<sup>5-8</sup>. Extant vertebrates are divided into two major groups: agnathans, represented by 50 51 the monophyletic group of cyclostomes (hagfish and lampreys); and gnathostomes, 52 encompassing all jawed-vertebrates in two major groups: cartilaginous fishes (e.g., sharks, 53 rays and chimaeras) and bony vertebrates (e.g., teleosts, coelacanth, amphibians, reptiles, mammals). Tetrapod genomes, including mammals, contain four Hox clusters, named from 54 55 HoxA to HoxD, as the result of these 2R-WGD (Fig. 1a). Although the 2R-WGD events are generally accepted, the timing of these events with respect to the divergence of cyclostomes 56 and gnathostomes is still a matter of intense debate<sup>4,9-11</sup>. Despite extended research on 57 58 vertebrate genomes, this has mostly focused on representative species of gnathostomes, while 59 cyclostomes have remained poorly understood. A recent study of the genome of the Artic 60 lamprey, Lethenteron camtschaticum (or Japanese lamprey, Lethenteron. japonicum), suggested that lampreys had probably undergone a third round of WGD event  $(3R-WGD)^{10}$ . 61 62 Whether this event is an independent, lineage-specific event remains a mystery, since the 63 Hox complement of the hagfish is unknown.

64 The position of Hox genes in the cluster determines their expression patterns. Spatial 65 colinearity refers to the property by which the anterior limit of expression of a given Hox 66 gene is generally more rostral than its upstream (more 5') counterpart. Spatial colinearity is 67 widely conserved among bilaterians studied so far, even in cases where the Hox cluster is completely atomized<sup>12</sup>. Temporal colinearity refers to the phenomenon describing the 68 temporal order of expression of Hox genes according to their position in the cluster, i.e., 69 70 genes in the 3' part are expressed earlier, and was first described in the HoxD cluster of the mouse<sup>13,14</sup>. Indeed, this so-called whole-cluster temporal colinearity (WTC)<sup>15</sup> phenomenon 71 72 had been described only in jawed vertebrates. The recent analysis of the scallop genome and the reanalysis of Hox gene expression in a wide range of invertebrates has revealed that Hox 73 74 genes of these species follow what is called a subcluster-level temporal colinearity (STC),

75 i.e., that the cluster is divided into small, contiguous groups of Hox genes, each of these displaying temporal colinearity<sup>15</sup>. This situation leads to the uncertainty of what was the 76 77 ancestral condition before deuterostomes and protostomes split. Moreover, temporal 78 colinearity has not been described in any cyclostome species so far. In L. camtschaticum, 79 Hox genes known to be in the same cluster were not expressed following temporal colinearity<sup>16</sup>, and both the Hox gene repertoire and expression of the other major group of 80 cyclostomes, the hagfish, is mostly unknown<sup>3,17</sup>. Therefore, the evolutionary origin of WTC 81 as observed in gnathostomes remains obscure. 82

83 Here, we provide a comprehensive analysis of different transcriptomics and genomics resources for the Japanese inshore hagfish, Eptatretus burgeri. The hagfish Hox repertoire 84 85 consists of at least 40 Hox genes, including six Hox4 genes that might suggest the presence 86 of at least 6 Hox clusters, suggesting that the 3R-WGD described for the lamprey could be 87 shared in cyclostomes. Finally, we have comprehensively compared the developmental expression levels of Hox genes during development of four different chordate species, 88 89 including the hagfish and the lamprey, and conclude that temporal colinearity likely 90 originated in the last common ancestor of chordates, and it was certainly well established at 91 least in the last common ancestor of extant vertebrates.

92

## 93 **Results and discussion**

To gain insights into vertebrate Hox evolution (Fig. 1a), especially with regards to the
evolution of temporal colinearity, we decided to comprehensively analyse the Hox repertoire
and expression of Hox genes during development of both the lamprey and the hagfish. First,
we screened both the developmental transcriptome and the genome of *E. burgeri*. For the
developmental transcriptome, RNA-seq data was generated from three different whole

hagfish embryos at Bashford Dean stages 28/30, 35 and 40/45 (refs. 18 and 19; Fig. 1c-e) and
from the head region of a hatched juvenile. In total, we found 40 bona fide Hox genes in the
developmental transcriptome of the hagfish, including the 5' and 3' untranslated regions for
most cases (Fig. 1b).

103 To determine the genomic organization of hagfish Hox genes we then screened a 104 BAC library built from blood genomic DNA. We found 25 BAC clones spanning only 15 out 105 of the 40 Hox genes (Supplementary Fig. 1, 2). Recently, it has been described that the 106 lamprey genome goes through somatic rearrangements, differentially eliminating stretches of germ line-specific sequences, which might include protein-coding genes<sup>20</sup>. Considering that 107 108 the hagfish, which is known to go through a chromosome elimination process in somatic tissues during development<sup>21</sup>, might be losing Hox genes in somatic tissues, we decided to 109 generate a draft genome using genomic DNA obtained from the testis (germ line) of a single 110 111 individual. In our preliminary assembly, we found evidence for at least six Hox clusters containing all 40 Hox genes found in the transcriptome and three microRNAs, together with 112 113 conserved syntenic non-Hox genes (Fig. 1b). The hagfish Hox repertoire and genomic organization are overall very similar to the one described in the *L. camtschaticum* genome<sup>10</sup> 114 (number of genes -43 in the lamprey - and putative clusters -six in the lamprey -), raising 115 the possibility that the 3R-WGD event suggested to have occurred in the lamprey lineage<sup>10</sup> 116 117 took place before the split of lampreys and hagfish lineages. Surprisingly, we found a hagfish 118 Hox13 gene (Hox13VI) enclosed by two conserved syntenic genes: Lunapark (Lnp) and 119 *Even-skipped (Evx)*. This suggests that a translocation event took place in the hagfish lineage, likely together with a severe disintegration of a cluster involving large Hox gene losses. 120

Phylogenetic analysis and best BLAST hits show that the hagfish genome contains
representative Hox genes of most of the vertebrate paralogy groups (PG) between PG1 and
PG14 (Fig. 2; Supplementary Figs. 3-7). Interestingly, the hagfish genome does not contain

any member of the PG12 (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Figs. 6, 7), a feature shared with the
lamprey<sup>3,10,11</sup> (Fig. 1a). Phylogenetic analysis of the posterior Hox genes suggests that a
shared cyclostome loss of the PG12 is the most plausible scenario. We were, however, unable
to clarify one-to-one orthology relationships between gnathostome HoxA-D paralogs and
lamprey and hagfish Hox genes. Therefore, we named the hagfish Hox genes with a different
nomenclature from the one used for the lamprey and gnathostomes counterparts, using roman
numbers: I-VI (Fig. 1b).

131 The obscure orthology relationship between jawed and jawless vertebrate genes has been broadly described for both Hox and non-Hox gene families<sup>22</sup>. It is unclear whether the 132 133 2R-WGD events that took place during early vertebrate evolution are shared or not among cyclostomes and gnathostomes<sup>6,23,24</sup>. The lack of one-to-one orthology relationships between 134 genes from both groups can be taken as evidence for independent WGD events. However, 135 136 despite their obscure phylogenetic relationship, Hox clusters of cyclostomes and gnathostomes can still be the result of an ancestral 2R-WGD, if the duplicated regions 137 containing the Hox clusters had not completed rediploidization before the split of 138 cyclostomes and gnathostomes<sup>25</sup>. Consequently, certain number of phylogenetic analyses 139 140 would support a shared WGD between cyclostomes and gnathostomes as it seems to be the case<sup>9</sup>. These would correspond to those genes that had differentiated into different alleles 141 142 before the split of the two lineages.

Once confirmed the presence of clusters, we wondered whether the hagfish Hox genes were expressed according to the spatial colinearity rule. Spatial colinearity has been observed in the vast majority of bilaterians studied so far, included the lamprey<sup>16,26</sup>, and with only few exceptions<sup>27</sup>. In both the lamprey and gnathostomes, nested expression of anterior Hox genes is coupled to the morphological segmentation of the hindbrain into discrete rhombomeres, and this is controlled by a highly conserved gene regulatory network, established at least in

the last common ancestor of vertebrates<sup>28</sup>. The hagfish hindbrain is, as in the lamprey<sup>29</sup>, 149 transiently segmented into rhombomeres during stage 45 (ref. 19). We investigated the 150 151 expression pattern of *E. burgeri* anterior Hox genes in three different developmental stages, 152 from mid-pharyngula (stage 40 and 45) to late-pharyngula (stage 53; Fig. 3), with especial focus on their putative expression pattern in the hindbrain. We found that several hagfish 153 154 Hox1-5 genes were expressed with staggered anterior boundaries in the hindbrain, an expression pattern reminiscent of that of the lamprey $^{26,28}$  and gnathostomes $^{30}$  (Fig. 3y, z). We 155 also found Hox2-5 genes expressed colinearly in the pharynx of a juvenile at stage 53 156 157 (Supplementary Fig. 8). In the hindbrain, the most rostral expression domain detected was 158 that of *Hox2IV*, at the border between rhombomeres 1 and 2 (r1/2), from stage 40 (Fig3d, 1, 159 t). Hox2III signal is not revealed until stage 45, and is similar to that of Hox2IV, with its rostral limit apparently around the lateral edge of the diamond shape of the 4<sup>th</sup> ventricle, 160 which in gnathostomes marks the r1/2 border<sup>31</sup> (Fig. 3k). The expression of Hox2 genes from 161 r2 rearwards is conserved in all vertebrates (Fig. 3z). In gnathostomes and the lamprey, r4 is 162 163 characterized by a strong expression of Hox1. We were able to find only a very faint 164 expression of only one of the Hox1 genes in the hagfish, *Hox1V*, not in r4 but probably within r7 with an unclear rostral limit (Fig. 3c). We were not able to find any expression for 165 166 Hox11 and Hox111, which could still be expressed in r4 at different stages. Hagfish Hox3VI was expressed up to r6 (Fig. 3f, n), while, strikingly, *Hox3II* was found to be expressed in 167 168 two domains: r5, and from r7 onwards, i.e., with r6 being *Hox3II*-negative (Fig. 3e, m, u). We 169 also found that *Hox4IV* is expressed, as other vertebrate Hox4 genes, from r7 (Fig. 3h, p, v). 170 Hox4I is expressed later in development, at stage 45, with a very similar pattern to that of 171 Hox4IV, but slightly posteriorly (Fig. 30). We also found a very weak signal for Hox4VI at stage 45 (Fig. 3q). Hox5III is expressed the most posteriorly, apparently from the most 172 anterior part of the spinal cord at stage 40, its rostral limit shifting anteriorly into the 173

hindbrain by stage 45 and 53 (Fig. 3i, r, w), when transcripts of *Hox5IV* are also detected(Fig. 3s).

176 The evolution of the expression domains of Hox3 genes in the hindbrain of different vertebrates is particularly interesting. Considering the global expression pattern of Hox3 177 178 paralogs in each group, we observe that while in the lamprey ( $Hox3\alpha$ ) and the shark 179 Scyliorhinus canicula (Hoxb3), Hox3 genes are expressed from r4 (refs. 26, 30, 32), in the hagfish and osteichthyans Hox3 genes are expressed up to r5 (Fig. 3z). There are two 180 181 possible evolutionary explanations for this difference, both involving parallel evolutionary 182 events: either a caudal shift of Hox3 expression domains from r4 to r5 convergently happened in both the lamprey and osteichthyan lineages, or, on the other hand, a rostral shift 183 184 from r5 to r4 occurred in the lamprey and chondrichthyans. In a different lamprey species, *Petromyzon marinus*, the *Pm1Hox3* gene, orthologous of *L. camtschaticum Hox3* $\alpha$ , was 185 found to be expressed from r5 like in mammals<sup>28</sup>. This could favour the hypothesis of a 186 convergent expression shift in both the Arctic lamprey and the shark as lineage or species-187 188 specific changes.

189 Following the spatial colinearity rule, most posterior PG Hox genes are expressed in 190 the most caudal regions of the embryo. One of the expression domains of Hox13 paralogue 191 genes are the most posterior parts of the hindgut. Concordantly, hagfish Hox13II and Hox13VI were found around the cloacal region of a juvenile (stage 60; Supplementary Fig. 192 10), as in the lamprey and other vertebrates<sup>33</sup>. Vertebrate Hox14 genes have also been 193 194 reported to be expressed in the most posterior parts of the hindgut of the lamprey and the shark<sup>33</sup>. However, we were not able to detect any signal for *Hox14I* transcripts in the cloaca 195 196 of the hagfish larva (Supplementary Fig.9).

197 Overall, vertebrate Hox code is generally conserved in hagfish, particularly in the198 case of the hindbrain, suggesting that the GRN underlying vertebrate hindbrain

segmentation<sup>28</sup> is well conserved in the hagfish. More important than the similarities,
elucidating what specific regulatory inputs account for lineage-specific differences in the
hindbrain Hox code, such as the striped expression of hagfish *Hox3II* and the different rostral
limits of expression of different Hox3 genes in different vertebrates, will be helpful to
determine how the hindbrain GRN diversified during vertebrate evolution, and what are the
functional and morphological implications of these differences.

205 To unravel the evolutionary origin of WTC in vertebrates, we further carried out a 206 comprehensive analysis of the developmental expression profile of Hox genes using embryos 207 from both jawed and jawless vertebrates. Together with the RNA-seq data generated for E. 208 burgeri, we sequenced RNA-seq libraries covering early to late developmental stages of the lamprey L. camtschaticum<sup>34</sup> and the gnathostome catshark Scyliorhinus torazame<sup>35</sup> and 209 quantified the expression profiles of Hox genes. As expected, the expression profiles of S. 210 211 torazame Hox genes were consistent with temporal colinearity across all the clusters found in 212 other jawed vertebrates, showing a clear tendency of anterior Hox genes (Hox1-3) expressed at earlier stages and posterior ones (Hox9-14) at later (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. 10). 213 Despite previous reports<sup>16</sup>, lamprey Hox genes (for which we found an unreported Hox1 214 215 gene,  $Hox l\zeta$ ) also followed the rule of temporal colinearity (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. 10). Interestingly, the HOX- $\gamma$  cluster has completely lost the temporal colinearity (Supplementary 216 217 Fig. 10). HOX- $\gamma$  is one of the most degenerated clusters in the lamprey with only 4 Hox genes<sup>10</sup> (Fig. 1a), which might be a direct consequence of the lack of temporal colinearity. In 218 219 the hagfish, although obtaining a pool of embryos from a full developmental series is 220 unfeasible, a similar tendency was also observed: levels of posterior Hox11.I, Hox11.V and 221 all Hox13 genes are higher at stage 40-45 (comparable to lamprey stage 25-26) than at 28-30 222 (lamprey stage 22-23), while generally all anterior and central Hox genes levels are higher at 223 stage 28-30 than at later stages (Fig. 4).

224 The above observations imply that cyclostome Hox expression profiles, as in gnathostomes, are consistent with the WTC rule, suggesting at least a vertebrate origin. In 225 226 order to determine whether WTC was present before the origin of vertebrates, we investigated the Hox expression profiles of a chordate outgroup. Wang and colleagues<sup>15</sup> 227 described the tunicate *Ciona intestinalis* Hox gene expressions as according to the STC. 228 229 However, their statement was based on the reanalysis of data from whole mount in situ 230 hybridization<sup>36</sup>, which is not a quantitative technique. Cephalochordates are the closest lineage to vertebrates with an intact Hox cluster, and is thus very informative in this regard. 231 232 Expression profiles of Hox genes in the cephalochordate amphioxus Branchiostoma belcheri<sup>37</sup> show that amphioxus Hox1 to Hox5 are expressed in an anterior Hox/early-233 234 posterior/late manner. However, Hox6, Hox10 and Hox14 genes violate this pattern, consistent with our previous report<sup>38</sup>, and *Hox7-8*, *Hox11-13* and *Hox15* were not detected 235 236 during the stages assayed, contributing to the dismantling of the colinearity (Fig. 4). In most 237 invertebrate species where STC has been described, Hox1-2 or Hox1-3 was the most anterior subgroup showing temporal colinearity<sup>15</sup>. The fact that in amphioxus Hox1-5 are expressed in 238 239 temporal order as a single group indicates that this expression pattern is reminiscent from a genuine WTC, which was subsequently broken from Hox6 in the cephalochordate lineage 240 241 (Fig. 4). In addition, amphioxus Hox6-15 genes might still follow WTC at later stages than the ones assayed here<sup>37</sup>. The putative presence of WTC in both the cephalochordate and 242 vertebrate lineages implies that it was likely present in the last common ancestor of 243 244 vertebrates.

Taken together, our results depict a scenario in which chordate Hox genes are
expressed following WTC, and protostome Hox genes according to STC. This, importantly,
can offer a mechanistic answer to explain the radically different bauplans displayed by
chordates and protostome invertebrates. Deschamps and Duboule<sup>39</sup> have recently proposed

249 that temporal colinearity, as seen in mammals (WTC), is displayed only by animals that 250 follow a developmental strategy of anterior to posterior elongation, adding new regions to the 251 main body axis from a posterior growth zone. This temporal activation of Hox genes during 252 the posterior elongation, or Hox clock, translates during development into the spatial colinearity observed along the main anterior-posterior body axis. The fact that the lamprey 253 254 and the hagfish also develop according to this posterior elongation –a developmental mode 255 thus very well conserved across vertebrates, together with the presence of WTC and spatial colinearity in the main axial structures of these animals (this study and refs. 16, 26), supports 256 Deschamps and Duboule's hypothesis<sup>39</sup>. This implies that this mechanism was present in the 257 258 last common ancestor of vertebrates, although some lineage-specific differences might have 259 occurred in the mechanism transmitting the Hox clock from the posterior progenitors into the 260 resulting axial structures (for instance, there are differences in the expression of Hox10 genes 261 between lampreys and amniotes in the tailbud and axial mesoderm, see ref. 16). Ultimately, 262 the question of whether the Hox cluster of the last common bilaterian ancestor was expressed 263 according to either whole-cluster or subcluster modes of temporal expression remains open. A more detailed investigation of the temporal expression of Hox genes in non-chordate 264 265 deuterostome groups (namely, ambulacrarians -e.g., sea urchins, sea stars, acorn worms-) will be thus needed to ultimately resolve this question  $^{40,41}$ . 266

It has been proposed that gnathostome Hox clusters are relatively compacted, or 'organized', due to a consolidation process that was associated with the emergence of meta*cis* regulation of the cluster, and probably facilitated by the 2R-WGD events that occurred during vertebrate evolution<sup>42</sup>. Hox clusters of cyclostomes are, on the other hand, more akin to 'disorganized' types of clusters, like the one of amphioxus<sup>42</sup> —because of their extremely large sizes—, suggesting that this consolidation did not start in the last common ancestor of vertebrates, but rather was a progressive gnathostome-specific process<sup>42</sup> (Fig. 4). Further

274 functional analyses of the regulatory mechanisms of cyclostomes' Hox clusters, with special 275 focus on determining the presence or absence of global regulatory elements outside the 276 clusters, will be needed to clarify whether the consolidation process was indeed a 277 consequence of the acquisition of a global regulatory mode for the cluster, or if, on the other hand, this meta-cis regulation was already present in the last common ancestor of vertebrates, 278 279 before the consolidation process started. Moreover, the timing of the vertebrate 2R-WGD, 280 i.e., whether or not these events are shared between gnathostomes and cyclostomes, is one of 281 the most important questions that remain open about the origin of the vertebrate genome 282 architecture, and solving it will be also helpful to decipher whether the vertebrate genome 283 duplications facilitated the consolidation process.

284

## 285 METHODS

#### 286 Animal sampling, experiments and aquarium maintenance

E. burgeri embryos (staged according to refs. 18 and 19) used in this study were obtained 287 288 from adult hagfish individuals captured in the Japan Sea off Shimane prefecture as previously described<sup>43</sup>, during August of a given year. Eggs were laid in a cage deposited in the natural 289 environment in the sea in October of the same year. Deposited eggs were then incubated in 290 laboratory aquariums with artificial sea water at 16 °C under controlled conditions, until 291 292 developing embryos are apparent around February or March of the following year. Hagfish embryos used for RNA-seq were from adults captured in 2010, and were assayed in February 293 294 2011(total developing embryos 8 out of >150 eggs). Hagfish embryos used for in situ 295 hybridization were from adults captured in 2016 (stage 40), 2013 (stage 45) and 2014 (stage 296 60), and embryos fixed in March 2017, 2014 and 2015, respectively. Sections of stage 53 were from an embryo previously reported<sup>44</sup>. Lamprey (L. camtschaticum) and cloudy 297 298 catshark (S. torazame) embryos were obtained as previously described in refs. 45 and 44,

respectively. Lamprey and catshark embryos were staged according to refs. 34 and 35,

300 respectively. The sampling and experiments were conducted according to the institutional

and national guidelines for animal ethics, approved by the RIKEN Animal Experiments

302 Committee (approval ID: H14-25-24).

303

## 304 RNA-seq data and transcriptome assemblies

Total RNA samples from three whole embryos of E. burgeri (Fig. 1c-e) and the head region 305 306 of a hatched juvenile were used to prepare RNA-seq libraries and sequenced individually on 307 different HiSeq and MiSeq platforms (one embryo at stage 28/30: Illumina TruSeq RNA 308 Sample Prep Kit, non-strand-specific library, sequenced with a HiSeq1000 platform; one 309 embryo at stage 35 and one at 40/45: one strand-specific library each using TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit modified with the dUTP method<sup>46</sup> and sequenced in a HiSeq2000, and a 310 311 further non-strand-specific library with Illumina TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit and sequenced in a MiSeq platform for the former; one juvenile's head: TruSeq RNA Sample 312 313 Prep Kit, non-strand-specific library, HiSeq1500). Total RNA samples from separate pools of 314 embryos of *L. camtschaticum* at stages 15/16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28 (20-30 embryos per stage), and of *S. torazame* at stages 15/16 (6 embryos), 18 (9), 20 (10), 22 (9), 25 (5), 27 (5) 315 316 and 28 (2) were used to prepare strand-specific libraries (Illumina TruSeq Stranded RNA Lib 317 Prep Kit). Lamprey and shark libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq1500 platform. Reads 318 coming from mitochondrial DNA were filtered out using mirabait (bundled with MIRA). Then, reads were preprocessed with MIRA<sup>47</sup> v.4.9.5\_2, using the option 'parameters = 319 320 -GE:ppo=yes' in the manifest file. In the case of the hagfish, the resulting reads were then assembled with Trinity v2.1.1<sup>48</sup> following 3 different strategies: (1) assembly of all reads 321 together; (2) idem, but including a digital normalization step within Trinity (--322 normalize reads), and (3), assembly of RNA-seq data from each embryo separately and 323

further integrated with CD-HIT-EST<sup>49</sup> v4.6.4 with parameters '-c 0.98'. A fourth 324 assembly was done with SOAPdenovoTrans v1.03<sup>50</sup> using all reads simultaneously and 325 multiple k-mers (19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31: with 'SOAPdenovo-Trans-31mer' 326 command; and 41, 51, 61, 71, 81, 91: with 'SOAPdenovo-Trans-127mer'), with a final 327 328 integration with CD-HIT-EST. In the case of the lamprey and shark, reads were assembled 329 according to 3 different pipelines: (1) assembly with Trinity v2.1.1of reads coming from each 330 pool of embryos independently, taking into account the strand-specific information (--SS lib type RF), and integrated with CD-HIT-EST v4.6.4; (2) idem, but not taking into 331 332 account the strand-specific information; and (3) assembly of all reads together. In the case of the lamprey, a fourth assembly strategy was carried out by means of integrating a genome-333 334 guided assembly (option --genome guided bam of Trinity, mapping the RNA-seq reads to L. camtschaticum 1.0 genome<sup>10</sup> with the splice-aware mapper HISAT2<sup>51</sup>) and the above de 335 *novo* assembly #3, using the PASA v2.0.2<sup>52</sup> pipeline 336 337 (http://pasapipeline.github.io/#A ComprehensiveTranscriptome). Finally, completeness assessments of all versions were done using CEGMA v2.5 and BUSCO v1.1b1 programs, as 338 previously described<sup>53</sup> (Supplementary Tables 1-3). The most complete versions of E. 339 340 burgeri and L. camtschaticum were selected for further analysis. In the case of S. torazame, although strategy #3 gave as a result a more complete transcriptome in general, it contained 341 342 more fragmented Hox genes that version #1, and therefore we selected the latter. All E. burgeri, L. camtschaticum and S. torazame RNA-seq data have been deposited in NCBI 343 344 GenBank under the BioProject number PRJNA371391. Amphioxus B. belcheri transcriptome 345 was assembled using previously published RNA-seq data, from the NCBI's SRA database, under BioProject numbers PRJNA310680<sup>37</sup> and PRJNA214454<sup>54</sup>. B. belcheri RNA-seq reads 346 from the former BioProject were subjected to adaptor trimming with cutadapt v1.10<sup>55</sup>. All *B*. 347 348 belcheri RNA-seq data was then assembled following the same above-mentioned pipeline for

the lamprey transcriptome (strategy #3), using the previously published *B. belcheri* genome<sup>54</sup>
for the PASA pipeline.

All Trinity commands were executed using the --group\_pairs\_distance 999
parameter value<sup>56</sup>.

353

## 354 BAC library, clone screening and PacBio sequencing and assembly

355 Blood was drawn from the caudal sub-cutaneous sinus of one adult specimen of E. burgeri 356 using a heparin-rinsed disposable syringe. The whole blood sample was immediately frozen 357 in liquid nitrogen, and used for DNA extraction. A BAC library consisting of 129,024 clones, with an average insert size of 100 Kbp (~4.4X of the *E. burgeri* genome size), was 358 constructed using the pCCBAC1 vector<sup>57</sup> [CopyControl<sup>TM</sup> BAC Cloning Kit (*Hind*III) 359 360 (EPICENTRE)] and pooled into 96-well and 384-well plates according to the Matrix Pool and Superpool Strategy<sup>58</sup> by Amplicon Express (Pullman, WA, USA). The BAC library was 361 screened for Hox-containing clones by means of PCR with specifi primers<sup>58</sup>. Positive BAC 362 clones were extracted with the QIAGEN Large-Construct kit, and sequenced in different 363 364 pools using SMRT technology on a PacBio RS platform using XL-C2 chemistry, or on a RSII 365 platform using P4-C2 chemistry. pCCBAC1 vector sequence were masked using a script from ref. 59 with minor modifications. BAC clones were assembled using masked subreads 366 with MIRA<sup>47</sup> v4.9.5 2. The sequence of the BAC clones used in this study have been 367 368 deposited in GenBank (accession numbers MF182102-MF182109).

369

## 370 Genome sequencing and assembly

371 Germ line DNA for whole genome shotgun (WGS) sequencing, derived from the testis of a

372 single male hagfish, *E. burgeri*, was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500<sup>TM</sup> platform. In

total, we sequenced five pair-end (174-bp, 234-bp, 242-bp, 279-bp and 612-bp) and five

374 mate-pair (5-Kbp, 5~7-Kbp, 7~10-Kbp, 10~15-Kbp and 15~20-Kbp) libraries, generating >300X coverage of the estimated 2.906 Gb-long genome of the hagfish. All short-read data 375 were corrected by SOAPec v2.01<sup>60</sup> using >40X data. Assembly of the hagfish genome was 376 performed with ABySS v1.9.0<sup>61</sup> with a k-mer size of 79, followed by a scaffolding step with 377 SOAPdenovo v2.04-r241<sup>60</sup> software (parameter '-K 41 -d 1 -M 2 -F'). Gaps were 378 finally filled with GapCloser v1.12-r6<sup>60</sup>. The resulting assembly (size, ~2.59 Gb; N50, ~439 379 380 Kbp) was used for the screening of Hox clusters. Hox-containing scaffolds were then aligned against the BAC clones using MUMmer v3.23<sup>62</sup> and visualized using mummerplot, bundled 381 382 within the same software. Sequences of Hox-containing scaffolds, as well as those of E. 383 burgeri Lnp and Evx (whose sequences are not complete in the genome) have been deposited 384 in GenBank under accession numbers MF398213-MF398235. A publication with more 385 detailed and in-depth analysis of the *E. burgeri* genome is now in preparation.

386

## 387 Identification of Hox genes

UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB) database (http://www.uniprot.org/) was searched for 388 389 entries containing the term "Hox" and restricted to Eumetazoans (name:hox, taxonomy:6072; 390 UniProt release 2015\_11). Resulting entries were downloaded and used as queries against the 391 transcriptome assembly and genome of the hagfish by means of TBLASTN (NCBI BLAST  $v2.2.31+^{63}$ ). The best BLAST hits were then used as queries against the whole UniProtKB 392 393 database using BLASTX. Those transcripts whose reciprocal best hit was a Hox gene were kept and manually inspected for false positives. Lamprey Hox genes were downloaded from 394 NCBI GenBank<sup>10</sup> and blasted against our lamprey transcriptome assembly to identify Hox 395 transcripts. Hox $4\eta$ , Hox $7\varepsilon$ , Hox $9\zeta$ , Hox $11\delta$ , Hox $13\alpha$ , Hox $13\varepsilon$ , Hox $13\zeta$  and Hox $14\varepsilon$  were not 396 found in our transcritptome assembly. We found an extra, unreported Hox1 paralogous gene, 397 which we named  $Hox l\zeta$  (following the nomenclature from ref. 10). Scyliorhinus canicula 398

- Hox genes<sup>30</sup> sequences were downloaded from NCBI GenBank and used as queries to
- 400 identify orthologous sequences in our *S. torazame* transcriptome by means of TBLASTN.
- 401 The *L. camtschaticum Hox1\zeta* and *S. torazame* Hox gene sequences were deposited in
- 402 GenBank (accession numbers MF398236-MF398269).
- 403

## 404 cDNA cloning and section *in situ* hybridization

405 Selected Hox genes were cloned from cDNA prepared for a previous study<sup>44</sup> using specific

406 primers. *In situ* hybridization on paraffin wax-embedded sections of stage 45 and 60 hagfish

407 embryos was performed according to refs. 44, 45. Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining on

- 408 paraffin sections of stage 60 embryo was carried out by standard protocol. H&E stained
- 409 sections were further stained with Alcian Blue<sup>64</sup>.
- 410

#### 411 **3D** reconstruction of the hagfish embryos

412 The 3D reconstruction images of hagfish embryos were reconstructed based on images taken

413 of 1 in every 10 histological sagittal sections at 6 µm, stained with standard haematoxylin and

414 eosin staining protocols for the stage 40 embryo, and 1 in 2 unstained sections at 8  $\mu$ m for the

415 stage 45 embryo. Reconstructed images were acquired using Avizo software (Visualization

416 Sciences Group). Stage 53 reconstruction is from an embryo used previously<sup>19</sup>.

417

## 418 Molecular phylogenetic analyses

419 The Hox genes nucleotide sequences for different chordates and outgroups were mined from

420 NCBI GenBank, Ensembl (www.ensembl.org), EchinoBase

421 (http://www.echinobase.org/Echinobase/), or, in some instances, manually annotated (see

422 Supplementary Table 4 for accession numbers of genes used in the analyses). Hox genes

423 sequences of amphioxus species *B. lanceolatum* and *B. floridae* are from refs. 65-67. Five

424	datasets based on different gene content were assembled: 1) Anterior genes (Hox1-3), 2) Hox
425	4 genes, 3) Central genes (Hox4-8), 4) Posterior genes (Hox9-14), and 5) all Hox genes
426	together. The datasets were aligned using MAFFT v7.123b <sup>68</sup> using the "auto" option, regions
427	of ambiguous alignment trimmed with Gblocks v0.91b <sup>69</sup> using the less stringent options.
428	Alignments were visually inspected with BioEdit v7.2.6 <sup>70</sup> . Phylogenetic trees were inferred
429	with RAxML v8.2. $10^{71}$ using a random starting tree, the evolutionary model LG + Gamma +
430	Invariants with empirical base frequencies, and 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates. Trees were
431	edited using FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).
432	
433	Expression profiling of Hox genes.
434	RNA-seq reads from individual embryos were used to quantify transcripts of the selected
435	transcriptomes of E. burgeri and S. torazame using Perl scripts
436	'align_and_estimate_abundance.pl' and 'align_and_estimate_abundance.pl', bundled with
437	Trinity v.2.1.1, and using RSEM v1.2.28 $^{72}$ as quantification method
438	(https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki/Trinity-Transcript-Quantification). Hox
439	transcripts of S. torazame were directly quantified using RSEM with data from each
440	embryonic stage. TPM values from either genes (for Hox genes represented with a single
441	transcript in the assemblies) or isoforms (for Hox genes represented with several transcripts)
442	were then selected and a heat map analyses of the log(TPM+0.1) were conducted in R using
443	heatmap.2 (gplots package <sup>73</sup> ) scaling by gene (row Z-score), and implemented in RStudio
444	v1.0.136 <sup>74</sup> [with R v3.3.0 (2016-05-03) <sup>75</sup> ]. <i>B. belcheri</i> Hox transcripts were quantified using
445	previously published DGE-seq data <sup>37</sup> with DGE-EM v1.0.0 <sup>76</sup> software, and FPKM values
446	were analysed as above.
447	

# 448 Data availability.

449	RNA-seq data generated in this study have been deposited in SRA, under the BioProject					
450	number PRJNA371391. Sequences generated and analysed in this study have been deposited					
451	in NC	in NCBI GenBank under accession numbers MF182102-MF182109 and MF398213-				
452	MF398269.					
453						
454						
455	References					
456	1	Pearson, J. C., Lemons, D. & McGinnis, W. Modulating Hox gene functions during				
457		animal body patterning. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6, 893-904 (2005).				
458	2	Garcia-Fernàndez, J. The genesis and evolution of homeobox gene clusters. <i>Nat.</i>				
459		<i>Rev. Genet.</i> <b>6</b> , 881-892 (2005).				
460	3	Pascual-Anaya, J., D'Aniello, S., Kuratani, S. & Garcia-Fernandez, J. Evolution of				
461		Hox gene clusters in deuterostomes. BMC Dev. Biol. 13 (2013).				
462	4	Smith, J. J. & Keinath, M. C. The sea lamprey meiotic map improves resolution of				
463		ancient vertebrate genome duplications. <i>Genome Res</i> <b>25</b> , 1081-1090 (2015).				
464	5	Dehal, P. & Boore, J. L. Two rounds of whole genome duplication in the ancestral				
465		vertebrate. <i>PLoS Biol.</i> <b>3</b> , e314 (2005).				
466	6	Putnam, N. H. et al. The amphioxus genome and the evolution of the chordate				
467		karyotype. <i>Nature</i> <b>453</b> , 1064-1071 (2008).				
468	7	Van de Peer, Y., Maere, S. & Meyer, A. The evolutionary significance of ancient				
469		genome duplications. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 725-732 (2009).				
470	8	Van de Peer, Y., Maere, S. & Meyer, A. 2R or not 2R is not the question anymore.				
471		Nat. Rev. Genet. <b>11</b> , 166 (2010).				

- 472 9 Kuraku, S., Meyer, A. & Kuratani, S. Timing of genome duplications relative to the
  473 origin of the vertebrates: did cyclostomes diverge before or after? *Mol. Biol. Evol.*474 26, 47-59 (2009).
- 475 10 Mehta, T. K. *et al.* Evidence for at least six Hox clusters in the Japanese lamprey
- 476 (Lethenteron japonicum). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 16044-16049 (2013).
- 477 11 Smith, J. J. *et al.* Sequencing of the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) genome
  478 provides insights into vertebrate evolution. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 415-421 (2013).
- 479 12 Seo, H. C. *et al. Hox* cluster disintegration with persistent anteroposterior order
  480 of expression in *Oikopleura dioica*. *Nature* 431, 67-71 (2004).
- 481 13 Dollé, P., Izpisúa-Belmonte, J. C., Falkenstein, H., Renucci, A. & Duboule, D.
- 482 Coordinate expression of the murine *Hox-5* complex homoeobox-containing
  483 genes during limb pattern formation. *Nature* 342, 767-772 (1989).
- 484 14 Izpisúa-Belmonte, J. C., Falkenstein, H., Dollé, P., Renucci, A. & Duboule, D. Murine

genes related to the *Drosophila AbdB* homeotic genes are sequentially expressed

during development of the posterior part of the body. EMBO J. 10, 2279-2289

- 487 (1991).

485

486

- 488 15 Wang, S. *et al.* Scallop genome provides insights into evolution of bilaterian
  489 karyotype and development. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 0120 (2017).
- 490 16 Takio, Y. *et al. Hox* gene expression patterns in *Lethenteron japonicum*
- 491 embryos—Insights into the evolution of the vertebrate Hox code. *Dev. Biol.* 308,
  492 606-620 (2007).

493	17	Stadler, P. F. et al. Evidence for independent Hox gene duplications in the hagfish
494		lineage: a PCR-based gene inventory of Eptatretus stoutii. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.
495		<b>32</b> , 686-694 (2004).
496	18	Dean, B. On the Embryology of <i>Bdellostoma stouti</i> : A General Account of Myxinoid
497		Development from the Egg and Segmentation to Hatching. 220-276 (G. Fischer,
498		1899).
499	19	Oisi, Y., Ota, K. G., Kuraku, S., Fujimoto, S. & Kuratani, S. Craniofacial development
500		of hagfishes and the evolution of vertebrates. <i>Nature</i> <b>493</b> , 175-180 (2013).
501	20	Smith, J. J., Baker, C., Eichler, E. E. & Amemiya, C. T. Genetic consequences of
502		programmed genome rearrangement. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> <b>22</b> , 1524-1529 (2012).
503	21	Kohno, Si., Kubota, S. & Nakai, Y. in <i>The Biology of Hagfishes.</i> 81-100 (Springer
504		Netherlands, 1998).
505	22	Kuraku, S. Impact of asymmetric gene repertoire between cyclostomes and
506		gnathostomes. <i>Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.</i> <b>24</b> , 119-127 (2013).
507	23	Ohno, S. Evolution by Gene Duplication. (Springer-Verlag, 1970).
508	24	Kasahara, M. The 2R hypothesis: an update. <i>Curr. Opin. Immunol.</i> <b>19</b> , 547-552
509		(2007).
510	25	Martin, K. J. & Holland, P. W. Enigmatic orthology relationships between <i>Hox</i>
511		clusters of the African butterfly fish and other teleosts following ancient whole-
512		genome duplication. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> <b>31</b> , 2592-2611 (2014).
513	26	Takio, Y. <i>et al.</i> Evolutionary biology: lamprey <i>Hox</i> genes and the evolution of
514		jaws. <i>Nature</i> <b>429</b> , 1 p following 262 (2004).

- 515 27 Schiemann, S. M. *et al.* Clustered brachiopod Hox genes are not expressed
- 516 collinearly and are associated with lophotrochozoan novelties. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*517 *Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, E1913-E1922 (2017).
- 518 28 Parker, H. J., Bronner, M. E. & Krumlauf, R. A *Hox* regulatory network of hindbrain
- segmentation is conserved to the base of vertebrates. *Nature* 514, 490-493
  (2014).
- 521 29 Kuratani, S., Horigome, N., Ueki, T., Aizawa, S. & Hirano, S. Stereotyped axonal
  522 bundle formation and neuromeric patterns in embryos of a cyclostome,
- 523 *Lampetra japonica. J. Comp. Neurol.* **391**, 99-114 (1998).
- 52430Oulion, S. *et al.* Evolution of repeated structures along the body axis of jawed
- vertebrates, insights from the *Scyliorhinus canicula* Hox code. *Evol. Dev.* 13, 247259 (2011).
- 527 31 Wingate, R. J. The rhombic lip and early cerebellar development. *Curr. Opin.*528 *Neurobiol.* 11, 82-88 (2001).
- 529 32 Murakami, Y. *et al.* Segmental development of reticulospinal and branchiomotor
- neurons in lamprey: insights into the evolution of the vertebrate hindbrain.
- 531 *Development* **131**, 983-995 (2004).
- 53233Kuraku, S. *et al.* Noncanonical role of Hox14 revealed by its expression patterns
- 533 in lamprey and shark. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 0710947105 (2008).
- Tahara, Y. Normal stages of development in the lamprey, *Lampetra reissneri*(Dybowski). *Zool. Sci.* 5, 109-118 (1988).

- 536 35 Ballard, W. W., Mellinger, J. & Lechenault, H. A series of normal stages for
- 537 development of *Scyliorhinus canicula*, the lesser spotted dogfish (*Chondrichthyes:*538 *Scyliorhinidae*). J. Exp. Zool. 267, 318-336 (1993).
- 539 36 Ikuta, T., Yoshida, N., Satoh, N. & Saiga, H. *Ciona intestinalis* Hox gene cluster: Its
- 540 dispersed structure and residual colinear expression in development. *Proc. Natl.*
- 541 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 15118-15123 (2004).
- 542 37 Yang, K. Y. *et al.* Transcriptome analysis of different developmental stages of
  543 amphioxus reveals dynamic changes of distinct classes of genes during
- 544 development. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 23195 (2016).
- 545 38 Pascual-Anaya, J. *et al.* Broken colinearity of the amphioxus Hox cluster. *EvoDevo*546 3 (2012).
- 547 39 Deschamps, J. & Duboule, D. Embryonic timing, axial stem cells, chromatin
  548 dynamics, and the Hox clock. *Genes Dev.* **31**, 1406-1416 (2017).
- 54940Aronowicz, J. & Lowe, C. J. Hox gene expression in the hemichordate Saccoglossus
- 550 *kowalevskii* and the evolution of deuterostome nervous systems. *Integr. Comp.*
- 551 *Biol.* **46**, 890-901 (2006).
- 552 41 Gonzalez, P., Uhlinger, K. R. & Lowe, C. J. The Adult Body Plan of Indirect
- 553 Developing Hemichordates Develops by Adding a Hox-Patterned Trunk to an
- 554 Anterior Larval Territory. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 87-95 (2017).
- 555 42 Duboule, D. The rise and fall of Hox gene clusters. *Development* 134, 2549-2560
  556 (2007).
- 557 43 Oisi, Y., Kakitani, O., Kuratani, S. & Ota, K. G. in *In Situ Hybridization Methods* (ed
  558 Giselbert Hauptmann) 249-262 (Springer New York, 2015).

- Sugahara, F. *et al.* Evidence from cyclostomes for complex regionalization of the
  ancestral vertebrate brain. *Nature* 531, 97-100 (2016).
- 561 45 Sugahara, F., Murakami, Y. & Kuratani, S. in *In Situ Hybridization Methods* (ed
  562 Giselbert Hauptmann) 263-278 (Springer New York, 2015).
- 56346Sultan, M. *et al.* A simple strand-specific RNA-Seq library preparation protocol564combining the Illumina TruSeq RNA and the dUTP methods. *Biochem. Biophys.*
- 565 *Res. Commun.* **422**, 643-646 (2012).
- 566 47 Chevreux, B., Wetter, T. & Suhai, S. in *Computer Science and Biology: Proceedings*567 of the German Conference on Bioinformatics (GCB) 45-56 (1999).
- 56848Grabherr, M. G. *et al.* Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data
- without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 644-652 (2011).
- 570 49 Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets
  571 of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22, 1658-1659 (2006).
- 572 50 Xie, Y. *et al.* SOAPdenovo-Trans: de novo transcriptome assembly with short
- 573 RNA-Seq reads. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1660-1666 (2014).
- 574 51 Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
  575 memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12, 357-360 (2015).
- 576 52 Haas, B. J. *et al.* Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal
- transcript alignment assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 5654-5666 (2003).
- 578 53 Hara, Y. *et al.* Optimizing and benchmarking de novo transcriptome sequencing:
- from library preparation to assembly evaluation. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 977 (2015).
- 580 54 Huang, S. *et al.* Decelerated genome evolution in modern vertebrates revealed by
- 581 analysis of multiple lancelet genomes. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5896 (2014).

582	55	Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
583		sequencing reads. <i>EMBnet.journal</i> <b>17</b> , 10-12 (2011).
584	56	Macmanes, M. D. On the optimal trimming of high-throughput mRNA sequence
585		data. Front. Genet. 5, 13 (2014).
586	57	Wild, J., Hradecna, Z. & Szybalski, W. Conditionally amplifiable BACs: switching
587		from single-copy to high-copy vectors and genomic clones. <i>Genome Res.</i> <b>12</b> ,
588		1434-1444 (2002).
589	58	Bouzidi, M. F. et al. A sunflower BAC library suitable for PCR screening and
590		physical mapping of targeted genomic regions. Theor. Appl. Genet. 113, 81-89
591		(2006).
592	59	Huddleston, J. et al. Reconstructing complex regions of genomes using long-read
593		sequencing technology. <i>Genome Res.</i> <b>24</b> , 688-696 (2014).
594	60	Luo, R. et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-
595		read de novo assembler. <i>GigaScience</i> <b>1</b> , 18 (2012).
596	61	Simpson, J. T. <i>et al.</i> ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequence data.
597		Genome Res. <b>19</b> , 1117-1123 (2009).
598	62	Kurtz, S. et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome
599		<i>Biol.</i> <b>5</b> , R12 (2004).
600	63	Camacho, C. et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10,
601		421 (2009).
602	64	Nowicki, J. L., Takimoto, R. & Burke, A. C. The lateral somitic frontier: dorso-
603		ventral aspects of anterio-posterior regionalization in avian embryos. Mech. Dev.
604		<b>120</b> , 227-240 (2003).

605	65	Amemiya, C. T. et al. The amphioxus Hox cluster: characterization, comparative
606		genomics, and evolution. <i>J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol.</i> <b>310</b> , 465-477 (2008).
607	66	Holland, L. Z. et al. The amphioxus genome illuminates vertebrate origins and
608		cephalochordate biology. Genome Res. 18, 1100-1111 (2008).
609	67	Pascual-Anaya, J., D'Aniello, S. & Garcia-Fernàndez, J. Unexpectedly large number
610		of conserved noncoding regions within the ancestral chordate <i>Hox</i> cluster. <i>Dev.</i>
611		Genes Evol. <b>218</b> , 591-597 (2008).
612	68	Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
613		7: improvements in performance and usability. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> <b>30</b> , 772-780
614		(2013).
615	69	Castresana, J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their
616		use in phylogenetic analysis. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> <b>17</b> , 540-552 (2000).
617	70	Hall, T. A. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
618		analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. <i>Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.</i> <b>41</b> , 95-98
619		(1999).
620	71	Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
621		analysis of large phylogenies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> <b>30</b> , 1312-1313 (2014).
622	72	Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data
623		with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 323 (2011).
624	73	gplots: Various R programming tools for plotting data. R package version 3.0.1
625		(2016).
626	74	RStudio: Integrated Development for R. (R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA, 2016).
627	75	R: A language and environment for statistical computing (Vienna, Austria, 2016).

Nicolae, M. & Măndoiu, I. in *Bioinformatics Research and Applications: 7th International Symposium, ISBRA 2011, Changsha, China, May 27-29, 2011. Proceedings* (eds Jianer Chen, Jianxin Wang, & Alexander Zelikovsky) 392-403
(Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011).

632

## 633 Acknowledgments

634 We thank Y. Oisi and S. Fujimoto for providing preliminary hagfish Hox sequences; J.M.

635 Martín-Durán, I. Maeso, M. Irimia and C. Böhmer for fruitful discussions; O. Kakitani for

hagfish sampling; K. Shirato for shark sampling; S. Shibuya and K. Yamamoto for

637 maintenance of aquarium tanks; S. Kuraku, K. Itomi, C. Tanegashima, K. Tatsumi and O.

638 Nishimura from the Phyloinformatics Unit, RIKEN CLST, for RNA-seq data production; J.

Huddleston and E. Eichler for providing the code to mask BAC vector sequences from

640 PacBio reads; I. Mandoiu for his help using DGE-EM software; and B. Chevreaux for his

641 help with the MIRA assembler. This work was supported by the Chinese Academy of

642 Sciences program XDB13000000 to W.W., and by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A)

643 15H02416 (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science), a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific

Research on Innovative Areas (Research in a Proposed Research Area) 17H06384 (Ministry

of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan), and a Naito Grant for the

646 Promotion of Focused Research (The Naito Foundation) to S.K.

647

## 648 Author contributions

549 J.P.-A. conceived the project, designed the experiments, and wrote the paper. J.P.-A, F.S.,

- 650 S.H. and W.T. obtained the hagfish embryos. J.P.-A, I.S., F.S., S.H., W.T. and A.R.-V
- 651 performed experiments. K.O. built the BAC library. R.Y. and W.W. sequenced and

assembled the *E. burgeri* draft genome. J.P. performed the phylogenetic analyses. All authors
analysed and discussed the data. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

654

#### 655 Competing interests

656 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

657

## 658 Figure legends

659 Figure 1. Hox cluster evolution in chordates. a, Phylogenetic tree of chordates, showing 660 the two major groups of vertebrates -cyclostomes (hagfish and lamprey) and gnathostomes 661 (jawed vertebrates, e.g., mouse and shark) – together with cephalochordates (amphioxus), 662 displaying their known Hox repertoires. Numbers on the nodes indicate the putative number 663 of Hox clusters in each last common ancestor. **b**, *E*. burgeri Hox genes and clusters found in 664 this study, drawn to scale. All Hox genes are transcribed in the same orientation, from left to 665 right. Orientation of transcription of non-Hox syntenic genes are indicated by arrowheads. Solid horizontal lines correspond to single scaffolds. Double diagonal lines separate two 666 667 contiguous scaffolds, based on BAC sequences connecting them (Supplementary Fig. 2). 668 Hox3II and Hox3VI genes have corresponding exons 1 and 2 in two different scaffolds, which 669 have been put together based on both BAC and transcriptomics evidences. e1, exon 1; e2, 670 exon 2. Asterisk over miR-10III indicates that this microRNA is within a 5'UTR intron of 671 Hox4III; hash symbol, Hox6III exon2 is not assembled in place, but in a separate small contig containing its sequence. c-e, E. burgeri embryos used for the transcriptomics analysis, at 672 673 Dean stages 28-30 (c), 35 (d) and 40-45 (e). fb, forebrain; hb, hindbrain; mb, midbrain; ov, 674 otic vesicle; ph, pharynx; som, somites. Scale bars, 1 mm.

676 Figure 2. Molecular phylogenetic tree of vertebrate Hox genes. 1000-replicate Maximum 677 Likelihood tree of representative Hox genes of all paralogy groups in vertebrates. The 678 branches have been color-coded by paralogy group (Hox1-14). Red and blue branches denote 679 E. burgeri and L. camtschaticum Hox genes, respectively. Black branches correspond to invertebrate Hox counterparts (amphioxus - Branchiostoma floridae and Branchiostoma 680 681 lanceolatum – and sea urchin – Strongylocentrotus purpuratus –). Note that no hagfish or 682 lamprey sequence have been found within the Hox12 group (denoted with square brackets). 683 The same tree, with bootstrap values and branch tip names can be found in Supplementary 684 Fig. 7.

685

## Figure 3. Spatial colinearity of hagfish *Hox* genes in the hindbrain of *E. burgeri*

**embryos. a**, **b**, Embryos at stage Bashford Dean 40 (**a**) and 45 (**b**) used for in situ

hybridizations on sections. The inset square brackets mark the head regions, used for sagittal
sectioning. a', a'', b', b'', 3D Avizo reconstructions of the heads of the embryos shown in a
and b, respectively, showing the main internal anatomy of the brain and main head structures.

The central nervous systems are in purple; ectoderm is in light blue; endoderm is in yellow;

otic vesicle in green; and notochord is in light red. These embryos are the source of the

693 sections shown in **c-j** (stage 40) and **k-s** (stage 45). **c-w**, Spatial colinearity displayed by

694 expression patterns of *E. burgeri Hox11V* (**c**), *Hox2III* (**k**), *Hox2IV* (**d**, **l**, **t**), *Hox3II* (**e**, **m**, **u**),

695 Hox3VI (**f**, **n**), Hox4I (**g**, **o**), Hox4IV (**h**, **p**, **v**), Hox4VI (**q**), Hox5III (**i**, **r**, **w**) and Hox5IV (**j**, **s**),

revealed by *in situ* hybridization on sections of an embryos shown in **a**, **b** and **x**. **x**, **x'**, 3D

697 Avizo reconstructions of the head of an embryo at stage Bashford Dean 53. The central

698 nervous systems are in purple; ectoderm is in light blue; endoderm is in yellow; otic vesicle

699 in green; and notochord is in light red. y, Expression patterns shown in (c-j) in the hindbrain

are aligned according to rhombomere (r) segmentation, showing nested expression patterns of

701 Hox1-5 paralogs in the hagfish hindbrain. z, Schematic diagrams summarizing the expression 702 patterns of Hox genes in the hindbrain of the lamprey, the hagfish and mouse, with nested 703 anterior limits coinciding with rhombomere borders, and showing the overall conservation 704 among the groups. f, forebrain; h, hindbrain; ht, hypothalamus; m, midbrain; mo, mouth; n, 705 notochord; no, nasal opening; nhp, naso-hypophyseal plate; ot, otic vesicle; ph, pharynx; pp, 706 pharyngeal pouches; y, yolk; 3v, 4v, third and fourth ventricles, respectively. Scale bars, 0.5 707 mm. Asterisk indicates a different expression has been found in a separate species, P. *marinus*, in which *Pm1Hox3* rostral limit is on the r4/r5 border<sup>28</sup>. 708

709

Figure 4. Developmental expression profiling of Hox genes in chordates. Heatmaps of 710 711 Hox genes expression in S. torazame (gnathostome), L. camtschaticum and E. burgeri 712 (agnathans), and B. belcheri (invertebrate chordate), coloured according to Z-score (standard 713 deviations from mean expression level). Anterior Hox genes (top rows of heatmaps) tend to 714 be expressed at higher levels at early stages of development than posterior genes (bottom 715 rows of heatmaps) in both S. torazame and L. camtschaticum. On top, a phylogenetic tree 716 with chordate relationships of the species studied here indicate the putative events that took 717 place during evolution: in *B. belcheri*, temporal colinearity is appreciated between Hox1-5 718 genes, indicating WTC was likely present in the last common ancestor of chordates, and a 719 secondary escape of the posterior half of the cluster from it occurred independently in the 720 amphioxus lineage. The large sizes of both amphioxus and agnathan Hox clusters implies that 721 the common ancestor of vertebrates had a so-called 'disorganized' (D) cluster type, while the consolidation towards an 'organized' (O) type occurred in the gnathostome lineage<sup>42</sup>, after 722 723 the split between jawed and jawless vertebrates. In B. belcheri, grey rows indicate genes with 724 a FPKM value of 0 in all stages. N, amphioxus neurula stage; H, hatching stage; 5s, 5-somite stage; 20s, 20-somite stage; gs, 1- or 2-gill slit larvae. 725







-

