

## FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Estimated diffusion parameters from STAR models

See attached jpeg file.

Figure 2. Substantive diffusion effects from old to new members after a 30-points shock to Euroscepticism in sender countries

See attached jpeg file.

Table 1. Expected diffusion patterns

Determinants of sources of learning	Potential recipients of learning	
	All member states	New member states
Cultural similarity	Diffusion	↑
Old-young democracies		

Table 2. Models of variation in parliamentary oversight institutions

<b>Explanatory variables</b>	(1) <b>OLS</b>	(2) <b>All members</b>	(3) <b>New members</b>	(4) <b>New members</b>
Oversight (t-1)	0.764* (0.0275)	0.648* (0.0317)	0.758* (0.0278)	0.770* (0.0294)
Euroscepticism	0.00162* (0.000456)	0.00220* (0.000436)	0.00145* (0.000482)	0.00137* (0.000485)
Committee Strength	0.00841' (0.00494)	0.00349 (0.00536)	0.00686 (0.00503)	0.00743 (0.00504)
Depth of integration	0.0340 (0.0320)	0.0529 (0.0321)	0.0289 (0.0311)	0.0380 (0.0319)
Constant	0.160 (0.117)	0.666* (0.164)	0.230 (0.123)	0.174 (0.131)
<b>Patterns of diffusion</b>				
Cultural Similarity		0.127* (0.0352)	0.125' (0.0673)	0.137* (0.0679)
Old-young democracies		-0.0536 (0.0491)	0.162* (0.0589)	0.161* (0.0588)
Inverse distance		-0.157 (0.114)	-0.0573 (0.106)	0.114 (0.174)
From Denmark and Finland		-0.116* (0.0247)	-0.0314 (0.0378)	-0.0228 (0.0383)
Self-selection				-0.209 (0.167)
Region FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Period FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	339	339	339	339
Log-likelihood	171.52	190.388	180.78	181.56
AIC	-321.05	-348.78	-329.55	-329.12

\*  $p < 0.05$ , '  $p < 0.1$ . Standard errors in parentheses