Largeness and SQ-universality of cyclically presented groups

Gerald Williams

September 2, 2010

Abstract

Largeness, SQ-universality, and the existence of free subgroups of rank 2 are measures of the complexity of a finitely presented group. We obtain conditions under which a cyclically presented group possesses one or more of these properties. We apply our results to a class of groups introduced by Prishchepov which contain, amongst others, the various generalizations of Fibonacci groups introduced by Campbell and Robertson. Using the techniques developed we give a new, purely group-theoretic, proof of the (almost complete) classification of the finite Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš groups.

Keywords: cyclically presented group, largeness, SQ-universality.

MSCs: 20E05, 20E06, 20F05.

1 Introduction

Let $w = w(x_0, ..., x_{n-1})$ be a word in the free group F_n with generators $x_0, ..., x_{n-1}$ and let $\theta : F_n \to F_n$ be the automorphism of F_n given by $\theta(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ for each $0 \le i \le n-1$ (subscripts mod n). Define

$$G_n(w) = \langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} | w, \theta(w), \dots, \theta^{n-1}(w) \rangle.$$

Then $G_n(w)$ is said to be a *cyclically presented group* and the above presentation is said to be a *cyclic presentation*.

Cyclically presented groups may be trivial, finite and nontrivial, or infinite. Examples of cyclic presentations of the trivial group are of interest in connection with Andrews-Curtis conjecture [1] and have been researched in [15],[24] and elsewhere. In contrast, papers such as [2], [6], [9], [16], [28], [33], [43] give conditions for a cyclically presented group to be infinite, and in [32] for it to be SQ-universal. The classification of finite cyclically presented groups within certain families is a problem addressed in, for example, [14], [23], [42], [45].

In this paper we consider the "freeness" properties of largeness, SQ-universality, and the existence of free subgroups of rank 2. We investigate these properties both for arbitrary cyclically presented groups $G_n(w)$ and for the following family of groups, introduced and studied by Prishchepov in [33] and investigated further in [13],[40]. Let $n, r, s \ge 1$, $1 \le k \le n$, $0 \le q \le n-1$ and define the Prischepov group to be

$$P(r, n, k, s, q) = G_n((x_0 x_q \dots x_{q(r-1)})(x_{(k-1)} x_{(k-1)+q} \dots x_{(k-1)+q(s-1)})^{-1})$$

$$= \langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} | x_i x_{i+q} \dots x_{i+q(r-1)} = x_{i+(k-1)} x_{i+(k-1)+q} \dots x_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)} \ (0 \le i < n) \rangle.$$

This family contains various other families of cyclically presented groups that have been considered in the literature, starting with Conway's Fibonacci groups F(2, n) = P(2, n, 3, 1, 1) of [14]. When s = 1

the Prischepov groups coincide with Campbell and Robertson's Fibonacci-type groups R(r,n,k,h) = P(r,n,(r-1)h+k+1,1,h) of [5], which in turn contain the Fibonacci groups F(r,n) = P(r,n,r+1,1,1) of [6], the generalized Fibonacci groups F(r,n,k) = P(r,n,r+k,1,1) of [7]; the Sieradski groups S(2,n) = P(2,n,2,1,2) of [39]; the Gilbert-Howie groups H(n,t) = P(2,n,2,1,t) of [23]; the so-called Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš groups $G_n(m,k) = P(2,n,k+1,1,m)$ which were introduced independently in [11] and [27]. For $s \ge 1$ we have the groups F(r,n,k,s) = P(r,n,r+k,s,1) of [8] which contain the groups H(r,n,s) = P(r,n,r+1,s,1) of [6]; and we have the generalized Sieradski groups S(r,n) = P(r,n,2,r-1,2) ($r \ge 2$) of [10]. We remark that there would be certain advantages in defining P(r,n,k,s,q) to be the group $G_n((x_0x_q\dots x_{q(r-1)})(x_kx_{k+q}\dots x_{k+q(s-1)})^{-1})$ (and this was done in [41]) but in order to maintain consistency with [33], and also with [40], we use Prischepov's original definition.

We start by giving some definitions and background material in Section 2. In Section 3 we use free products, epimorphic images, amalgamated free products, and a Freiheitssatz to obtain conditions under which a cyclically presented group $G_n(w)$ is large, SQ-universal, or contains a free subgroup of rank 2. In corollaries we apply these results to the Prishchepov groups. In Section 4 we obtain other basic properties of these groups. In Section 5 we study P(r, n, k, s, q) in greater depth by finding new large epimorphic images and by applying Freiheitssatz results of Shwartz [35].

Except for three groups the finite groups H(n,t) were classified in [23],[30]; one of the outstanding cases was proved infinite in [12]. Except for the two remaining unresolved groups in the family H(n,t) the finite groups $G_n(m,k)$ were classified in [45],[46]. In Section 6 we obtain a new proof of this (almost complete) classification; this proof avoids the algebraic number theory results of [30],[45] that were required in the first proof.

2 Preliminaries

A group G is large if it has a finite index subgroup that maps onto the free group of rank 2; G is SQ-universal if every countable group can be embedded in a quotient group of G. Any large group is SQ-universal and hence contains a free subgroup of rank 2. Not every SQ-universal group is large however, even within the class of cyclically presented groups: the Higman group $G_4(x_0x_1x_0^{-2}x_1^{-1})$ [25], which was proved to be SQ-universal in [34], has no proper subgroup of finite index and so cannot map onto the free group of rank 2. As is well known, not every group containing a free subgroup of rank 2 is SQ-universal and so we can consider three distinct levels of 'freeness': largeness, SQ-universality, and the existence of free subgroups of rank 2. Each of these properties is preserved when taking finite extensions or finite index subgroups; also, a group that maps onto a group with one of these freeness properties also satisfies that property.

A free product H * K (where H, K are non-trivial) is large if and only if either H or K is large, or H, K have non-trivial finite homomorphic images \bar{H}, \bar{K} , not both of order 2 ([31, Theorem 3.7]). An amalgamated free product $H *_L K$ in which $[H : L] \geq 2$, $[K : L] \geq 2$ and $[H : L] + [K : L] \geq 5$ contains a free subgroup of rank 2 (this is well known but see, for example, [4, Lemma 1]); if additionally L is finite then the amalgamated free product is SQ-universal [29].

The automorphism θ of the introduction induces an action of the cyclic group $T = \langle t | t^n \rangle$ of order n on the presentation $G_n(w)$. Specifically, $t^{-1}x_it = x_{i+1}$ $(0 \le i \le n-1)$ and therefore $t^{-i}x_0t^i = x_i$. Writing $x = x_0$ we see that the split extension of $G_n(w)$ by T has a presentation $E_n(W) = \langle x, t | t^n = W(x, t) = 1 \rangle$ where $W(x, t) = x^{\alpha_1}t^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_\ell}t^{\beta_\ell}$ (for some $\ell \ge 1, 1 \le \beta_i \le n-1, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ $(1 \le i \le \ell)$) is a rewrite of $w = w(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We remark that t has order n in $E_n(W)$ and that if

w is an mth power then W(x,t) is also an mth power.

In the case of a Prischepov group P(r, n, k, s, q) the relator

$$(x_0x_q...x_{q(r-1)})(x_{(k-1)}x_{(k-1)+q}...x_{(k-1)+q(s-1)})^{-1}$$

rewrites to $(xt^{-q})^{r-1}xt^{-B}x^{-1}(xt^{-q})^{1-s}t^A$, where A = (k-1), B = (k-1) - q(r-s). Setting $y = t^qx^{-1}$ and eliminating x this becomes $y^{-r}t^{-B}y^st^A$ and so the split extension of P(r, n, k, s, q) by T has a presentation

$$M(r, n, k, s, q) = \langle y, t | t^n = 1, y^s t^A = t^B y^r \rangle.$$

By the above comments P(r, n, k, s, q) is large, SQ-universal, or contains a free subgroup of rank 2 if and only if M(r, n, k, s, q) is large, SQ-universal, or contains a free subgroup of rank 2, respectively.

3 Free subgroups in cyclically presented groups

3.1 Free product of cyclically presented groups

The following theorem, formalizing a statement made in the introduction of [15], gives conditions under which a cyclically presented group $G_n(w)$ can be expressed as a free product; its corollary gives conditions for it to be large.

Theorem 3.1 Let w be a word in x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} involving only the m letters $x_{\lambda_0}, \ldots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}}$ $(0 \le \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots < \lambda_{m-1} \le n-1)$ so that $w = v(x_{\lambda_0}, \ldots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}})$. Let $\Delta = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, n)$, $N = n/\Delta$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda_0/\Delta, \ldots, \mu_{m-1} = \lambda_{m-1}/\Delta$. Then $G_n(w) = G_n(v(x_{\lambda_0}, \ldots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}}))$ is isomorphic to the free product of Δ copies of $G_N(v(x_{\mu_0}, \ldots, x_{\mu_{m-1}}))$.

Proof

The group $G_n(v(x_{\lambda_0},\ldots,x_{\lambda_{m-1}}))$ has a presentation $\langle X | R \rangle$ where

$$X = \{x_i \mid 0 \le i \le n - 1\},\$$

 $R = \{v(x_{\lambda_0 + i}, x_{\lambda_1 + i}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1} + i}) \mid 0 \le i \le n - 1, \text{ subscripts mod } n\}.$

For each $0 \le \alpha \le \Delta - 1$ set

$$\begin{split} X_{\alpha} &= \{x_i \mid i \equiv \alpha \bmod \Delta, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \\ &= \{x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha+\Delta}, \dots, x_{\alpha+(N-1)\Delta}\}, \\ R_{\alpha} &= \{v(x_{\lambda_0+i}, x_{\lambda_1+i}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}+i}) \mid i \equiv \alpha \bmod \Delta, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \\ &= \{v(x_{\lambda_0+\alpha}, x_{\lambda_1+\alpha}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}+\alpha}), v(x_{\lambda_0+\alpha+\Delta}, x_{\lambda_1+\alpha+\Delta}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}+\alpha+\Delta}), \dots, \\ &v(x_{\lambda_0+\alpha+(N-1)\Delta}, x_{\lambda_1+\alpha+(N-1)\Delta}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}+\alpha+(N-1)\Delta})\}. \end{split}$$

Then R_{α} is a set of words involving only elements of X_{α} and the X_{α} form a partition of X and the R_{α} form a partition of R. Hence

$$\langle X | R \rangle \cong \langle X_0 | R_0 \rangle * \dots * \langle X_{N-1} | R_{N-1} \rangle.$$

Fix a value of α (0 $\leq \alpha \leq N-1$) and set $y_0 = x_{\alpha}, y_1 = x_{\alpha+\Delta}, \dots, y_{(N-1)} = x_{\alpha+(N-1)\Delta}$. Then $X_{\alpha} = \{y_0, \dots, y_{N-1}\}$ and $x_{\lambda_0+\alpha} = y_{\mu_0}, x_{\lambda_1+\alpha} = y_{\mu_1}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}+\alpha} = y_{\mu_{m-1}}$ so R_{α} is the set

$$\{v(y_{\mu_0},y_{\mu_1},\ldots,y_{\mu_{m-1}}),v(y_{\mu_0+1},y_{\mu_1+1},\ldots,y_{\mu_{m-1}+1}),\ldots,v(y_{\mu_0+(N-1)},y_{\mu_1+(N-1)},\ldots,y_{\mu_{m-1}+(N-1)}\}.$$

Thus $\langle X_{\alpha} | R_{\alpha} \rangle \cong G_N(v(y_{\mu_0}, y_{\mu_1}, \dots, y_{\mu_{m-1}}))$ which (by relabeling) is $G_N(v(x_{\mu_0}, x_{\mu_1}, \dots, x_{\mu_{m-1}}))$ and the result follows.

Corollary 3.2 With the above notation let $G = G_n(v(x_{\lambda_0}, \ldots, x_{\lambda_{m-1}}))$, $H = G_N(v(x_{\mu_0}, \ldots, x_{\mu_{m-1}}))$ and suppose $\Delta \geq 2$, $H \neq 1$. Then G is large unless $\Delta = 2$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, in which case $G \cong D_{\infty}$.

Now |r-s| divides the determinant of the relation matrix of P(r, n, k, s, q) and so it divides |P(r, n, k, s, q)|. Using this and applying Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 to Prischepov groups we have

Corollary 3.3 Let $\Delta = (n, k - 1, q)$ when $r + s \geq 3$ and let $\Delta = (n, k - 1)$ when r = s = 1. Then P = P(r, n, k, s, q) is isomorphic to the free product of Δ copies of H = P(r, N, K, s, Q) where $N = n/\Delta$, $Q = q/\Delta$, $K = (k - 1)/\Delta + 1$. If $H \neq 1$, $\Delta \geq 2$ then P is large unless $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\Delta = 2$, in which case $P \cong D_{\infty}$. In particular, if $\Delta \geq 2$ and $|r - s| \neq 1$ then P is large unless $\Delta = 2$ and |r - s| = 2.

In particular we recover a result about the groups R(r, n, k, h).

Corollary 3.4 ([5, Theorem 4]) The group R(r, n, k, h) is isomorphic to the free product of (n, k, h) copies of R(r, N, K, H) where N = n/(n, k, h), K = k/(n, k, h), H = h/(n, k, h).

In particular, if (n, k, h) > 1 then R(r, n, k, h) is large unless R(r, N, K, H) = 1 or $((n, k, h) = 2, r \le 3$ and $R(r, N, K, H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2)$. This corollary in turn contains a result about the groups $G_n(m, k)$.

Corollary 3.5 ([2, Lemma 1.2]) The group $G_n(m,k)$ is isomorphic to the free product of (n,m,k) copies of $G_N(M,K)$ where N = n/(n,m,k), M = m/(n,m,k), K = k/(n,m,k).

In particular, if (n, m, k) > 1 then $G_n(m, k)$ is large unless $G_N(M, K) = 1$ or ((n, m, k) = 2 and $G_N(M, K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

3.2 Epimorphic images

If a group G maps homomorphically onto a large group, or onto a group that contains a free subgroup of rank 2 then G is large, or contains a free subgroup of rank 2, respectively. Our method of proof in this section is to find suitable epimorphic images of $E_n(W)$.

It was determined in [19] when the group $\langle x, t | t^n = W(x, t)^m = 1 \rangle$ $(m \ge 2)$ contains a free subgroup of rank 2 or is infinite and soluble. (Actually, it also gives conditions under which the group contains a Ree-Mendelsohn pair – see [19] for the definition – or is infinite and soluble.) Combining that theorem with [3] we can prove the following related result.

Theorem 3.6 Let $E_n(W) = \langle x, t | t^n = V(x, t)^m = 1 \rangle$ where $n, m \geq 2$ and $V(x, t) = x^{\alpha_1} t^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_\ell} t^{\beta_\ell}$, $\ell \geq 1, 1 \leq \beta_i \leq n - 1, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \ (1 \leq i \leq \ell).$

- (a) If $n + m \ge 5$ then $E_n(W)$ is large;
- (b) if n = m = 2 then $E_n(W)$ contains a free subgroup of rank 2 unless $\ell = 1$ and $\alpha_1 \leq 2$, in which case $E_n(W)$ is infinite and soluble.

Proof

If $n + m \ge 5$ then choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > \max\{6, |\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_\ell|\}$. Then $E_n(W)$ maps onto the group $\langle x, t | x^k = t^n = V(x, t)^m = 1 \rangle$ which is large by [3] since 1/k + 1/n + 1/m < 1. If n = m = 2 then the result was proved in [19, Theorem 4] (see also [20, Theorem 8] or [21, Theorem 7.3.3.1]).

Theorem 3.7 Let $E_n(W) = \langle x, t | t^n = W(x, t) = 1 \rangle$ where $W(x, t) = x^{\alpha_1} t^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_\ell} t^{\beta_\ell}, \ \ell \geq 1, \ 1 \leq \beta_i \leq n-1, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \ (1 \leq i \leq \ell).$

- (a) If $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) \ge 2$ and $|\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i| \ne 1$ then $E_n(W)$ is large except possibly when $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) = 2$ and $|\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i| = 2$, in which case $E_n(W)$ is infinite.
- (b) If $(n, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta_i) \ge 2$ and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell}) \ge 2$ then $E_n(W)$ is large except possibly when $(n, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta_i) = 2$ and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell}) = 2$, in which case $E_n(W)$ is infinite.

Proof

For (a) observe that the group $E_n(W)$ maps onto $\langle x, t | t^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell, n)} = x^{|\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i|} = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell, n)} * \mathbb{Z}_{|\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i|}$ and for (b) that it maps onto $\langle x, t | t^{(n, \sum_{i=1}^\ell \beta_i)} = x^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell)} = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(n, \sum_{i=1}^\ell \beta_i)} * \mathbb{Z}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell)}.$

Corollary 3.8 (a) If $(n, A, B) \ge 2$ and $|r - s| \ne 1$ then M(r, n, k, s, q) is large except possibly when (n, A, B) = 2 and |r - s| = 2, in which case it is infinite.

(b) If $(n, A - B) \ge 2$ and $(r, s) \ge 2$ then M(r, n, k, s, q) is large except possibly when (n, A - B) = 2 and (r, s) = 2, in which case it is infinite.

As an immediate corollary we get

Corollary 3.9 ([44, Theorems 1 and 2]) If (r, n) > 1 then F(r + 1, n, 0) is infinite.

3.3 Amalgamated free products and the Freiheitssatz

The following theorem uses the fact that the group $E_n(W) = \langle x, t | t^n = W(x, t) = 1 \rangle$ can sometimes be expressed as an amalgamated free product, possibly with the amalgamation over a finite group, to prove SQ-universality of $E_n(W)$ or the existence of a free subgroup of rank 2. Since the split extension of any cyclically presented group $G_n(w)$ is of the form $E_n(W)$ the theorem can be used to prove SQ-universality of $G_n(w)$ or the existence of a free subgroup of rank 2.

Theorem 3.10 Let $E_n(W) = \langle x, t | t^n = W(x, t) = 1 \rangle$ where $n \geq 2$ and $W(x, t) = x^{\alpha_1} t^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_\ell} t^{\beta_\ell}$, $\ell \geq 1, 1 \leq \beta_i \leq n-1, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$, and suppose x has infinite order and t has order n in $E_n(W)$.

- (a) If $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ then $E_n(W)$ contains a free subgroup of rank 2.
- (b) If $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) \geq 2$ then $E_n(W)$ is SQ-universal.

In particular, if $n \geq 3$ and $E_n(W)$ does not contain a free subgroup of rank 2 then $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) = 1$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) = 1$.

Proof

(a) Let $a = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$, $\gamma_i = \alpha_i/a$ $(1 \le i \le \ell)$. Then $E_n(W) \cong H *_L K$ where

$$H = \langle x^a, t | t^n = (x^a)^{\gamma_1} t^{\beta_1} \dots (x^a)^{\gamma_\ell} t^{\beta_\ell} = 1 \rangle,$$

 $K = \langle x \mid \rangle$, $L = \langle x^a \mid \rangle$. Now $[K : L] = a \ge 2$. If [H : L] = 1 or 2 then $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or D_{∞} . But t has order $n \ge 3$ in H so $H \not\cong D_{\infty}$. Further, $H \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$ since t has order n. Thus $[H : L] \ge 3$.

(b) Let $b = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n)$, $\delta_i = \beta_i/b$ $(1 \le i \le \ell)$, N = n/b. Then $E_n(W) \cong H *_L K$ where $H = \langle x, t^b | (t^b)^N = x^{\alpha_1}(t^b)^{\delta_1} \ldots x^{\alpha_\ell}(t^b)^{\delta_\ell} = 1 \rangle$, $K = \langle t | t^n \rangle$, $L = \langle t^b | (t^b)^N \rangle$. Now $[K : L] = b \ge 2$ and L has infinite index in H since L is finite and H is infinite.

If $E_n(W)$ arises as a split extension of the group $G_n(w)$, as explained in Section 2, then t has order n in $E_n(W)$; x will not always have infinite order of course. When $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i = 0$, however, there is an epimorphism $E \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $t \mapsto 0, x \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so x has infinite order in $E_n(W)$.

We now consider the hypothesis "x has infinite order and t has order n in $E_n(W)$ " in more detail. A one-relator product G = (H * K) / << R >> (where << R >> denotes the normal closure of R in H * K) is said to satisfy the Freiheitssatz if the natural homomorphisms $H \to G$, $K \to G$ are both embeddings. The Freiheitssatz for one-relator products has been considered in many papers - see [17],[18],[26],[38] and the references therein. Setting $H = \langle x | \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $K = \langle t | t^n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$, R = W(x,t) we see that $E_n(W) = (H * K) / << R >>$. Clearly the Freiheitssatz holds here if and only if x has infinite order and t has order n in $E_n(W)$. Thus we can re-express Theorem 3.10 as

Theorem 3.10' Let $E_n(W) = (H * K) / \langle \langle R \rangle \rangle$ where $H = \langle x | \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $K = \langle t | t^n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$, R = W(x,t) where $n \geq 2$ and $W(x,t) = x^{\alpha_1}t^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\alpha_\ell}t^{\beta_\ell}$, $\ell \geq 1$, $1 \leq \beta_i \leq n-1$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$, and suppose that the Freiheitssatz holds.

- (a) If $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ then $E_n(W)$ contains a free subgroup of rank 2.
- (b) If $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) \geq 2$ then $E_n(W)$ is SQ-universal.

In particular, if $n \geq 3$ and $E_n(W)$ does not contain a free subgroup of rank 2 then $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) = 1$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, n) = 1$.

Applying this to Prischepov groups we have

Corollary 3.11 Let M = M(r, n, k, s, q) where $n \ge 2$. Then M is the one-relator product (H*K)/ << R >> where $\{H, K\} = \{\langle x \mid \rangle, \langle t \mid t^n \rangle\}$, $R = y^s t^A y^{-r} t^{-B}$ where A = (k-1), B = (k-1) - q(r-s). Suppose that the Freiheitssatz holds.

- (a) If $(r,s) \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ then M contains a free subgroup of rank 2.
 - (b If $(A, B, n) \ge 2$ then M is SQ-universal.

In particular, if $n \ge 3$ and M does not contain a free subgroup of rank 2 then (r, s) = 1 and (A, B, n) = 1.

(We remark that alternative forms of the Freiheitssatz for cyclically presented groups and their extensions have been considered in [16],[28].)

4 Basic properties of Prishchepov groups

We first note some isomorphisms amongst the groups P(r, n, k, s, q).

Lemma 4.1 $P(r, n, k, s, q) \cong P(r', n, k', s', q)$, where r' = s, s' = r, k' = n - k + 2.

Proof

Let r', s', k' be as stated and for each $0 \le i \le n-1$, set $j = i + (k-1) \mod n$. Then the relators of P(r, n, k, s, q), namely $(x_i x_{i+q} \dots x_{i+q(r-1)})(x_{i+(k-1)} x_{i+(k-1)+q} \dots x_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)})^{-1}$ become $(x_{j+(k'-1)} x_{j+(k'-1)+q} \dots x_{j+(k'-1)+q(r-1)})(x_j x_{j+q} \dots x_{j+q(s-1)})^{-1}$. Inverting these we get the relators $(x_j x_{j+q} \dots x_{j+q(s-1)})(x_{j+(k'-1)} x_{j+(k'-1)+q} \dots x_{j+(k'-1)+q(r-1)})^{-1}$ which are the relators of P(r', n, k', s', q).

Thus the roles of r, s may be interchanged. For P(r, n, k, s, q) we have A = (k-1), B = (k-1) - q(r-s); the corresponding values for P(r', n, k', s', q) are $A' = (k'-1) \equiv -A \mod n$, $B' = (k'-1) - q(r'-s') \equiv -B \mod n$ — that is, A and B are negated (mod n).

Lemma 4.2 (i) $P(r, n, k, s, q) \cong P(r, n, k - q(r - s), s, n - q);$

(ii)
$$P(r, n, k, s, q) \cong P(s, n, k - q(r - s), r, q)$$
.

Proof

(i) Setting $y_i = x_i^{-1}$ $(0 \le i \le n-1, \text{ subscripts mod } n)$ the relators

$$(x_i x_{i+q} \dots x_{i+q(r-2)} x_{i+q(r-1)}) (x_{i+(k-1)} x_{i+(k-1)+q} \dots x_{i+(k-1)+q(s-2)} x_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)})^{-1}$$

of P(r, n, k, s, q) become

$$(y_i^{-1}y_{i+q}^{-1}\dots y_{i+q(r-2)}^{-1}y_{i+q(r-1)}^{-1})(y_{i+(k-1)}^{-1}y_{i+(k-1)+q}^{-1}\dots y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-2)}^{-1}y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)}^{-1})^{-1}$$

which is a cyclic permutation of

$$(y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)}y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-2)}\dots y_{i+(k-1)+q}y_{i+(k-1)})(y_{i+q(r-1)}y_{i+q(r-2)}\dots y_{i+q}y_i)^{-1}.$$
 (1)

Inverting gives

$$(y_{i+q(r-1)}y_{i+q(r-2)}\dots y_{i+q}y_i)(y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-1)}y_{i+(k-1)+q(s-2)}\dots y_{i+(k-1)+q}y_{i+(k-1)})^{-1}$$

and then setting $j = i + q(r-1) \mod n$ (for each $0 \le i \le n-1$) these become

$$(y_j y_{j+(n-q)} \cdots y_{j+(r-2)(n-q)} y_{j+(r-1)(n-q)})$$

$$(y_{j+(k-1)-q(r-s)} y_{j+(k-1)-q(r-s)+(n-q)} \cdots y_{j+(k-1)-q(r-s)+(s-2)(n-q)} y_{j+(k-1)-q(r-s)+(s-1)(n-q)})^{-1}$$

which are the relators of P(r, n, k - q(r - s), s, n - q).

(ii) Setting $z_i = y_{-i}$ ($0 \le i \le n-1$, subscripts mod n) in (1) we get

$$(z_{-i-(k-1)-q(s-1)}z_{-i-(k-1)-q(s-2)}\dots z_{-i-(k-1)-q}z_{-i-(k-1)}) (z_{-i-q(r-1)}z_{-i-q(r-2)}\dots z_{-i-q}z_{-i})^{-1}.$$

Letting $j = -i - (k-1) - q(s-1) \mod n$ (for each $0 \le i \le n-1$) these become

$$(z_{j}z_{j+q}\dots z_{j+q(s-2)}z_{j+q(s-1)})$$

$$(z_{j+(k-1)+q(s-r)}z_{j+(k-1)+q(s-r)+q}\dots z_{j+(k-1)+q(s-r)+q(r-2)}z_{j+(k-1)+q(s-r)+q(r-1)})^{-1}.$$

These are the relators of P(s, n, k - q(r - s), r, q) so the proof is complete.

For P(r, n, k, s, q) we have A = (k - 1), B = (k - 1) - q(r - s); the corresponding values for the isomorphic copy of P(r, n, k, s, q) (in either (i) or (ii)) are A' = B, B' = A so the roles of A, B may also be interchanged. Thus while part (ii) interchanges the roles of r, s we now have a different effect on A, B than that obtained when we use Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.3 ([2, Lemma 1.1(3)]) $G_n(m,k) \cong G_n(n-m,n+(k-m)).$

Applying the technique used in [5, Lemma 2] more generally we have

Theorem 4.4 Let $(\alpha, n) = 1$. Then $G_n(w(x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1})) \cong G_n(w(x_0, x_{\alpha}, ..., x_{\alpha(n-1)}))$. In particular if (q, n) = 1 then $P(r, n, k, s, q) \cong P(r, n, (k-1)Q + 1, s, 1)$ where $qQ \equiv 1 \mod n$.

Proof

Let a satisfy $a\alpha \cong 1 \mod n$ and for each $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ set $i=aj \mod n$, so $j \equiv \alpha i \mod n$ and define $y_i = x_{\alpha i} \ (0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, subscripts mod n). Then the set of generators of $G_n(w(x_0, x_\alpha, \dots, x_{\alpha(n-1)}))$ $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\} = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ and the set of relators

$$\{w(x_j, x_{j+\alpha}, \dots, x_{j+(n-1)\alpha}) \mid 0 \le j \le n-1\} = \{w(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+(n-1)}) \mid 0 \le i \le n-1\}$$

and the result follows. \Box

As a corollary we of course recover [5, Lemma 2] which states that $R(r, n, k, h) \cong R(r, n, \alpha k, \alpha h)$ for any $(\alpha, n) = 1$. This in turn implies the following, which we record for later use.

Corollary 4.5 ([2, Lemma 1.3]) (i) If (n,k) = 1 then $G_n(m,k) \cong G_n(t,1) = H(n,t)$ where $tk = m \mod n$.

(ii) If
$$(n, k - m) = 1$$
 then $G_n(m, k) \cong G_n(t, 1) = H(n, t)$ where $t(k - m) = n - m \mod n$.

Let P = P(r, n, k, s, q). If $A \equiv 0 \mod n$ then $k \equiv 1 \mod n$ so P = P(r, n, 1, s, q); if $B \equiv 0 \mod n$ then $k - q(r - s) \equiv 1 \mod n$ and using the equivalent presentation P(s, n, k - q(r - s), r, q) (of Lemma 4.2(ii)) we see that $P \cong P(s, n, 1, r, q)$. Furthermore, a direct consideration of the cyclic presentation shows that P(r, n, 1, s, q) = P(|r - s| + 1, n, 1, 1, q). We can classify when these groups are large:

Theorem 4.6 Let P = P(r, n, 1, 1, q) with $r \ge 1$ and let d = (n, (r - 1)q).

(a) If
$$r = 1$$
 then $P \cong \mathbb{Z} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}$;

- (b) if r = 2 then P = 1;
- (c) if d = 1 then $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{r-1}$;

(d) if
$$r = 3$$
 and $d = 2$ then $P \cong \begin{cases} D_{\infty} & \text{if } (n,q) = 2 \text{ and } n = 2 \text{ mod } 4, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } (n,q) = 1; \end{cases}$

(e) if $r \ge 4$ or $d \ge 3$ then P is large.

Proof

The cases r = 1 and r = 2 (parts (a) and (b)) are immediate by considering the cyclic presentation.

Suppose d=1. Then (n,q)=1 so, by Theorem 4.4, $P\cong P(r,n,1,1,1)=G_n(x_0x_1\ldots x_{r-2})$. Moreover (n,r-1)=1 so by [44, Theorem 3] $G_n(x_0x_1\ldots x_{r-2})\cong \mathbb{Z}_{r-1}$, proving part (c). Suppose then that $r\geq 3$ and $d\geq 2$.

If $r \geq 4$ or $d \geq 3$ then Corollary 3.8 implies that P is large so assume that r = 3 and d = 2 (i.e. (n, 2q) = 2). Now Corollary 3.3 implies that P is isomorphic to (n, q) copies of G = 2

P(3, N, 1, 1, Q) where N = n/(n, q), Q = q/(n, q), and since (Q, N) = 1 Theorem 4.4 implies that $G \cong P(3, N, 1, 1, 1) = G_N(x_0x_1)$. Eliminating generators x_N, x_{N-1} shows that $G_N(x_0x_1) \cong G_{N-2}(x_0x_1)$ and it is clear that $G_3(x_0x_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $G_2(x_0x_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ so $G_N(x_0x_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ when N is even and $G_N(x_0x_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ when N is odd. If (n, q) = 2 then $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, since (n, 2q) = 2, so N is odd and hence $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong D_\infty$. If (n, q) = 1 then n = N so $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$ since n is even.

5 Free subgroups in Prishchepov groups

5.1 Largeness

In this section we extend ideas that were first used in [9]. As in Section 3 we prove largeness by finding a large epimorphic image. When we consider (the split extension of) Prishchepov groups P(r, n, k, s, q), rather than arbitrary cyclically presented groups, there is a new epimorphic image that we can use. Let d = (n, A + B); then by killing t^d we see that M(r, n, k, s, q) maps onto

$$N = \langle y, t | t^d = 1, (y^s t^A)^2 = y^{r+s} \rangle.$$

(Note that N = M(r, d, (r-s)q/2 + 1, s, q).) The group N in turn maps onto the generalized triangle group $\langle y, t | y^{r+s} = t^d = (y^s t^A)^2 = 1 \rangle$.

Let $G(l, m, n) = \langle a, b | a^l = b^m = (a^{\alpha}b^{\beta})^n = 1 \rangle$. In [19, Theorem 6] (see also [20, Theorem 2],[21, Theorem 7.3.2.2]) it was determined when G(l, m, n) contains a free subgroup of rank 2, is infinite and soluble, or is finite; independently in [9, Theorem 2.5] the finite groups G(l, m, n) were classified. Refining these results slightly we can classify when G(l, m, n) is large, infinite and soluble, or finite.

Theorem 5.1 Let $G = \langle a, b | a^l = b^m = (a^{\alpha}b^{\beta})^n = 1 \rangle$ where $1 \leq \alpha \leq l-1$, $1 \leq \beta \leq m-1$ and let $\kappa = 1/l + 1/m + 1/n - 1$.

- (a) If $(\alpha, l) = 1$ and $(\beta, m) = 1$ then G is large if $\kappa < 0$, infinite and soluble if $\kappa = 0$, finite if $\kappa > 1$.
- (b) If $(\alpha, l) > 1$ or $(\beta, m) > 1$ then G is large unless either:
 - (i) l = 2, n = 2 and $(\beta, m) = 2$; or
 - (ii) m = 2, n = 2 and $(\alpha, l) = 2$;

in which case G is infinite and soluble.

Proof

(a) If $(\alpha, l) = 1$ and $(\beta, m) = 1$ then we may assume $\alpha = \beta = 1$, in which case G is an ordinary triangle group and the result is well known. (b) If $\kappa < 1$ then G is large by [3, Theorem B]. If $\{l, m\} = \{2, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 3\}, \text{ or } \{3, 5\} \text{ then } (\alpha, l) = (\beta, m) = 1$. Thus we only need to consider the cases $(\{l, m\}, n) = (\{2, k\}, 2)$ $(k \ge 4)$, $(\{3, 4\}, 2)$, $(\{3, 6\}, 2)$, $(\{2, 4\}, 3)$, $(\{2, 6\}, 3)$, $(\{2, 4\}, 4)$, $(\{4, 4\}, 2)$ where $(\alpha, l) > 1$ or $(\beta, m) > 1$. If (l, m, n) = (2, m, 2) then G maps onto $(\alpha, b \mid a^2 = b^{(\beta, m)} = 1)$ which is large unless $(\beta, m) = 2$ and in this case the cyclic subgroup $H = (b^\beta \mid b^m)$ is normal in G and $G/H \cong D_\infty$ so G is infinite and soluble. Similarly, if (l, m, n) = (l, 2, 2) then G is large unless $(\alpha, l) = 2$ in which case G is infinite and soluble. By passing to another generating pair if necessary we may assume $\alpha \mid l, \beta \mid m$ which means that for the remaining triples there are nine groups to consider. In each case we can use GAP [22] to find a subgroup (of index at most 6) that maps onto a free product of two cyclic groups

We now classify the large 2-generator Prischepov groups.

Theorem 5.2 Let M = M(r, 2, k, s, q), P = P(r, 2, k, s, q), A = (k - 1), B = (k - 1) - q(r - s). If (r, s) = 1 let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\alpha r + \beta s = 1$ and set $g = |s^2 - r^2|(\alpha, \beta)$. Then M is large unless one of the following holds:

- (a) A,B are both even and either
 - (i) |r-s|=2 in which case $M\cong D_{\infty}$,
 - 1. if q is even then $P \cong D_{\infty}$;
 - 2. if q is odd then $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
 - (ii) |r-s|=1 in which case $M\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and P=1;
- (b) A,B are of opposite parity, in which case $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2|r-s|}$, $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|r-s|}$;
- (c) A,B are both odd and one of the following holds:
 - (i) (r, s) = 2, in which case M and P are infinite and soluble;
 - (ii) r = s = 1, in which case $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
 - (iii) (r,s) = 1 and $r + s \ge 3$, in which case M soluble and finite of order 2g,
 - 1. if q is even then $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$;
 - 2. if q is odd then P is non-abelian and soluble of order g.

Proof

If A,B are both even then $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{|r-s|}$ which is large unless |r-s| = 2 or 1. If |r-s| = 2 then $M \cong D_{\infty}$ and $P \cong D_{\infty}$ when q is even and $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$ when q is odd. If |r-s| = 1 then $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ so P = 1. If A,B are of opposite parity then $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2|r-s|}$ and hence $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|r-s|}$. Suppose then that A,B are both odd.

Now $M = \langle y, t | t^2 = 1, y^s t = t y^r \rangle$ maps onto $\langle y, t | t^2 = y^{(r,s)} = 1 \rangle$ which is large when $(r,s) \geq 3$ so assume (r,s) = 1 or 2. If r = s = 1 then $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$ so assume $r + s \geq 3$. Let $G = \langle y, t | y^{r+s} = t^2 = (y^s t)^2 = 1 \rangle$. If (r,s) = 1 then $G \cong D_{2(r+s)}$, which is soluble; if (r,s) = 2 then Theorem 5.1 implies that G is infinite and soluble. The cyclic subgroup $H = \langle (y^s t)^2 \rangle$ is normal in M and $M/H \cong G$, which is soluble, so M is soluble. Since M maps onto G we have that M is infinite when (r,s) = 2. Assume then that (r,s) = 1.

Suppose q is even, so $P = \langle x_0, x_1 | x_0^r = x_1^s, x_1^r = x_0^s \rangle$, and let α, β, g be as defined in the statement. Then $x_0^{\beta r} = x_1^{\beta s} = x_1^{1-\alpha r} = x_1 x_0^{-\alpha s}$ and hence $x_1 = x_0^{\alpha s + \beta r}$ and so

$$P = \langle x_0 | x_0^{\alpha s^2 + \beta r s - r} = x_0^{\beta r^2 + \alpha r s - s} = 1 \rangle$$

= $\langle x_0 | x_0^{\alpha (s^2 - r^2)} = x_0^{\beta (s^2 - r^2)} = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_g.$

Now [M:P]=2 so |M|=2g and is soluble (regardless of the parity of q).

Suppose then that q is odd and so r, s are both odd. Then

$$P = \langle x_0, x_1 | (x_0 x_1)^{(r-1)/2} x_0 = (x_1 x_0)^{(s-1)/2} x_1, (x_1 x_0)^{(r-1)/2} x_1 = (x_0 x_1)^{(s-1)/2} x_0 \rangle,$$

being an index 2 subgroup of M is soluble of order g. The determinant of the relation matrix of P gives $|P^{ab}| = 2|r-s|$. But $g = |r-s|(r+s)(\alpha,\beta) \ge 3|r-s|$ so $|P| \ne |P^{ab}|$ so P is non-abelian. \square

Theorem 5.3 Let $N = \langle y, t | t^d = 1, y^s t^A = t^{-A} y^r \rangle$ $(d \ge 2)$. Then N is large unless one of the following holds:

- (a) $A \equiv 0 \mod d$ and either
 - (i) d=2 and |r-s|=2, in which case $N\cong D_{\infty}$; or
 - (ii) |r-s|=1 in which case $N\cong \mathbb{Z}_d$.
- (b) (A, d) = 1 and one of the following holds:
 - (i) d=2 and (r,s)=2 in which N is infinite and soluble; or
 - (ii) r = s = 1, in which case $N \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d$, which is infinite and soluble; or
 - (iii) $r + s \ge 3$, (r, s) = 1 and one of the following holds:
 - 1. $(d, \{r, s\}) = (3, \{1, 5\}),$
 - 2. $(d, \{r, s\}) = (4, \{1, 3\}),$
 - 3. $(d, \{r, s\}) = (6, \{1, 2\}),$

in which case N is infinite and soluble; or

- (iv) $r + s \ge 3$, (r, s) = 1, and one of the following holds:
 - 1. d=2, in which case N is soluble and finite of order $2|s^2-r^2|(\alpha,\beta)$, where $\alpha r + \beta s = 1$,
 - 2. $(d,\{r,s\})=(3,\{1,2\})$, in which case N is soluble and finite of order 24,
 - 3. $(d,\{r,s\})=(3,\{1,3\})$, in which case N is soluble and finite of order 144,
 - 4. $(d,\{r,s\})=(3,\{1,4\})$, in which case N is insoluble and finite of order 1080,
 - 5. $(d,\{r,s\})=(3,\{2,3\})$, in which case N is insoluble and finite of order 360,
 - 6. $(d,\{r,s\})=(4,\{1,2\})$, in which case N is soluble and finite of order 96,
 - 7. $(d,\{r,s\})=(5,\{1,2\})$, in which case N is insoluble and finite of order 600.

Proof

If d=2 then the result follows from Theorem 5.2 so assume $d\geq 3$.

- (a) If $A \equiv 0 \mod d$ then $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_d * \mathbb{Z}_{|r-s|}$ which is large unless either d=2 and |s-r|=2, in which case $N \cong D_{\infty}$, or |s-r|=1, in which case $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$.
- (b) The group N maps onto $G = \langle y, t | y^{r+s} = t^d = (y^s t^A)^2 = 1 \rangle$. If (A, d) > 1 then Theorem 5.1 implies that G, and hence N, is large unless r = s = 1, (A, d) = 2, in which case N maps onto $\langle y, t | t^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is large. Suppose then that (A, d) = 1. By applying an automorphism of $\langle t | t^d \rangle$ we may assume A = 1. If r = s = 1 then $N \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d$ so assume $r + s \geq 3$. By Theorem 5.1 G, and hence N is large unless (r, s) = 1 and $1/(r + s) + 1/d \geq 1/2$. When we have equality the conditions are equivalent to (b)(iii) and G is infinite and soluble. The cyclic subgroup $H = \langle (y^s t)^2 \rangle$ is normal in N and $N/H \cong G$, which is soluble, so N is soluble. When the inequality is strict the conditions are equivalent to (b)(iv) and computations using GAP show that N is finite of the given order and soluble or insoluble as indicated.

This yields

Corollary 5.4 Let d = (n, A + B) = (n, 2(k - 1) - q(r - s)) and assume $d \ge 2$.

(a) Suppose none of the conditions in Theorem 5.3(a), (b) hold. Then M(r, n, k, s, q) is large.

(b) Suppose none of the conditions in Theorem 5.3(a)(ii) or (b)(iv) hold. Then M(r, n, k, s, q) is infinite.

Combining the results of this section with those of Section 3 we have

Corollary 5.5 Suppose $(n, A, B) \ge 2$, $|s - r| \ge 2$, $(A - B, n) \ge 2$ and $(r, s) \ge 2$. Then M(r, n, k, s, q) is large unless r = 2R, $s = 2(R + \epsilon)$, k = 2K - 1, n = 2N, for some $R, N, K \ge 1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, where (q, N) = 1 and $(N, (2K - 1) + q\epsilon) = 1$.

Proof

By Corollary 3.8 we may assume (n, A, B) = |s-r| = (A-B, n) = (r, s) = 2. The conditions |s-r| = 2, (r, s) = 2 are equivalent to r = 2R, $s = 2(R+\epsilon)$, for some $R \ge 1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The condition (A-B, n) = 2 is then equivalent to n = 2N and (q, N) = 1 for some $N \ge 1$. The condition (n, A, B) = 2 implies that k = 2K - 1 for some $K \ge 1$. Applying Corollary 5.4 we see that if M(r, n, k, s, q) is not large then only case of Theorem 5.3 that can hold is (a)(i), and this is equivalent to $(N, 2(K-1) + q\epsilon) = 1$.

5.2 Freiheitssatz methods for Prischepov groups

In this section we will regard M(r, n, k, s, q) as a one-relator product (H * K) / << R >> where $\{H, K\} = \{\langle x | \rangle, \langle t | t^n \rangle\}$, $R = y^s t^A y^{-r} t^{-B}$ where A = (k-1), B = (k-1) - q(r-s). In view of Corollary 3.11 we now investigate when the Freiheitssatz holds in this situation. The following result is contained in [33, Theorem C].

Theorem 5.6 ([33]) Suppose $A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $A \not\equiv \pm B \mod n$, and r > 2s or s > 2r. Then the Freiheitssatz holds for M(r, n, k, s, q) if any of the following hold.

- (a) $3A, 4A, 5A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $B \not\equiv \pm 2A \mod n$, $B \not\equiv -3A \mod n$, $A \not\equiv -2B \mod n$;
- (b) $3B, 4B, 5B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $A \not\equiv \pm 2B \mod n$, $A \not\equiv -3B \mod n$, $B \not\equiv -2A \mod n$;
- (c) $3A, 3B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $B \not\equiv -2A \mod n$, $A \not\equiv -2B \mod n$.

In [35, 36, 37, 38] Shwartz considered the Freiheitssatz for one-relator product (H*K)/<< R>> where $R=abcd\in H*K$ with $a,c\in H$, $b,d\in K$ and these results can be applied to our situation to obtain other conditions under which the Freiheitssatz holds. We now review Shwartz's results. Let H_1 be the subgroup of H generated by $\{a,c\}$ and let K_1 be the subgroup of K generated by $\{b,d\}$. We assume that there are no relations of length 1 or 2 among $\{a,c\}$ in H_1 or among $\{b,d\}$ in K_1 . By interchanging the roles of H,K, cyclically permuting the relator R, and replacing a,b,c,d by their inverses we can reduce to the following four cases:

- 0. $c = u^{\pm 2}, d = k^{\pm 2}$;
- 1. $c =_H a^2$, $b \neq_K d^{\pm 2}$, $d \neq_K b^{\pm 2}$;
- 2. $c =_H a^{-2}, b \neq_K d^{\pm 2}, d \neq_K b^{\pm 2};$
- 3. $c \neq_H a^{\pm 2}$, $a \neq_H c^{\pm 2}$, $b \neq_K d^{\pm 2}$, $d \neq_K b^{\pm 2}$;

where the subscripts indicate the group in which equality or inequality is considered. Freiheitssatz theorems were obtained by Shwartz for Case 1 in [36], for Case 2 in [37], and for Case 3 in [38]; all of these results are contained in [35]. Case 0 was considered in [17],[18] and our arguments below may be applied to this case; however, since these results are more intricate we limit ourselves to applying the results of Cases 1–3. We summarize Shwartz's results in the following theorem. (In this theorem A_4, S_4, A_5 denote alternating and symmetric groups and Q_{12} denotes the quarternionic group of order 12.)

Theorem 5.7 ([35, 36, 37, 38]) Let $G = (H * K) / \langle \langle R \rangle \rangle$ where R = abcd, $a, c \in H, b, d \in K$; let H_1 be the subgroup of H generated by $\{a, c\}$, K_1 be the subgroup of K generated by $\{b, d\}$. Suppose $a \neq_H 1$, $c \neq_H 1$, $a^2 \neq_H 1$, $a^2 \neq_H 1$, $a \neq_H c^{\pm 1}$, $b \neq_K 1$, $d \neq_K 1$, $b^2 \neq_K 1$, $d^2 \neq_K 1$, $b \neq_K d^{\pm 1}$, and that $b \neq_K d^{\pm 2}$, $d \neq_K b^{\pm 2}$. The Freiheitssatz holds in each of the following cases.

1. $c = a^2$ and either

(i)
$$|H_1| \ge 12$$
, $|K_1| \ge 10$ and $K_1 \notin \{A_4, S_4, A_5\}$; or

(ii)
$$|H_1| \in \{7, 9, 10, 11\}, |K_1| \ge 11 \text{ and } K_1 \notin \{A_4, S_4, A_5\}.$$

2. $c = a^{-2}$ and either

(i)
$$|H_1| \ge 9$$
, $|K_1| \ge 11$ and $K_1 \notin \{\mathbb{Z}_{12}, A_4, S_4, A_5\}$; or

(ii)
$$|H_1| = 7$$
, $|K_1| \ge 11$ and $K_1 \notin \{\mathbb{Z}_{12}, A_4, S_4, A_5\}$.

3.
$$c \neq_H a^{\pm 2}$$
, $a \neq_H c^{\pm 2}$, and

(i)
$$H_1 \notin \{A_4, \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3\}$$
 and $K_1 \notin \{A_4, S_4, A_5, \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_{12}, \mathbb{Z}_{15}, Q_{12}\}$; or

(ii)
$$H_1 \notin \{A_4, S_4, A_5, \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_{12}, \mathbb{Z}_{15}, Q_{12}\}$$
 and $K_1 \notin \{A_4, \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3\}$.

We may regard M = M(r, n, k, s, q) as a one-relator product (H * K)/ << R >> where R = abcd in two ways:

(a)
$$H = \langle t | t^n \rangle$$
, $K = \langle y | \rangle$, $\{a, c\} = \{t^A, t^{-B}\}$, $\{b, d\} = \{y^s, y^{-r}\}$, and so $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$, $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, where $N = n/(n, A, B)$; or

(b)
$$H = \langle y | \rangle$$
, $K = \langle t | t^n \rangle$, $\{a, c\} = \{y^s, y^{-r}\}$, $\{b, d\} = \{t^A, t^{-B}\}$, and so $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$, where $N = n/(n, A, B)$.

By replacing R by R^{-1} , inverting generators of H and K, and cyclically permuting R we may interchange the roles of A, B and interchange the roles of r, s. Therefore in (a) we may take (without loss of generality) $a = t^A$, $b = y^s$, $c = t^{-B}$, $d = y^{-r}$, and in (b) we may take $a = y^s$, $b = t^A$, $c = y^{-r}$, $d = t^{-B}$. Applying Theorem 5.7 and then including the cases obtained by interchanging r, s and interchanging A, B we obtain the following theorem. Note that by definition $r \ge 1$, $s \ge 1$ so many hypotheses are automatic, and note that in (b) Case 1 does not occur. To make clear where each case comes from we keep the numbering here consistent with that of Theorem 5.7.

Theorem 5.8 Suppose $A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $A \not\equiv \pm B \mod n$, $r \not= s$ and let N = n/(n, A, B). Then the Freiheitssatz holds for M(r, n, k, s, q) if any of the following hold.

(a) $r \neq 2s$, $s \neq 2r$ and one of the following holds:

- 1. $(A \equiv -2B \mod n \text{ or } B \equiv -2A \mod n) \text{ and } N \geq 7, N \neq 8;$
- 2. $(A \equiv 2B \mod n \text{ or } B \equiv 2A \mod n) \text{ and } N \geq 7, N \neq 8;$
- 3. $A \not\equiv \pm 2B \mod n$, $B \not\equiv \pm 2A \mod n$.
- (b) $A \not\equiv \pm 2B \mod n$, $B \not\equiv \pm 2A \mod n$ and one of the following holds:
 - 2. $(r = 2s \text{ or } s = 2r) \text{ and } N \ge 11, N \ne 12;$
 - 3. $r \neq 2s, s \neq 2r$.

Observe that the conditions in b)(3) are the same as a)(3) and that the hypothesis $r \neq s$ can be removed (as in that case killing t shows that y has infinite order). Further, the condition a)(3) does not hold when N < 7 so we obtain the following tidier formulation.

Theorem 5.8' Let N = n/(n, A, B) and suppose $N \ge 7$, $A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2A \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $2B \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, $A \not\equiv \pm B \mod n$ and that either

- (ii) $A \not\equiv \pm 2B \mod n$, $B \not\equiv \pm 2A \mod n$ and if (r = 2s or s = 2r) then $N \geq 11$, $N \neq 12$.

Then the Freiheitssatz holds for M(r, n, k, s, q).

Note that for any of the conditions of Theorem 5.6 to hold we require $N \geq 7$ (where N = n/(n, A, B)). However, Theorem 5.8' does not generalize Theorem 5.6 since, for example, the group M(2, 16, 3, 4, 1) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.6 but not of Theorem 5.8'.

By Corollary 3.11 we now have

Corollary 5.9 Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8' or Theorem 5.6 hold. Then M = M(r, n, k, s, q) is infinite; moreover,

- (a) if $(r, s) \geq 2$ then M contains a free subgroup of rank 2;
- (b) if $(A, B, n) \ge 2$ then M is SQ-universal.

Note that for the cases $A \equiv 0 \mod n$ or $B \equiv 0 \mod n$ largeness of P(r, n, k, s, q) was completely dealt with in Theorem 4.6 and for the case $A \equiv -B \mod n$ it was dealt with in Theorem 5.3.

Using Theorem 5.8'(ii) we can obtain a result about Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš groups $G_n(m,k)$.

Corollary 5.10 Let N = n/(n, m, k) and suppose $N \ge 11$, $N \ne 12$ and $k \not\equiv 0$, $k \not\equiv m$, $2k \not\equiv 0$, $2(k-m) \not\equiv 0$, $m \not\equiv 0$, $m \not\equiv 2k$, $k \not\equiv 2m$, $k+m \not\equiv 0$, $3k \not\equiv 2m$, $m \not\equiv 3k$ (all mod n) then $G_n(m,k)$ is infinite.

It also follows from Theorem 5.8' that the group $G_7(x_0^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_4x_3x_2x_1) \cong P(4,7,3,3,1)$ is infinite. This is the one group in [15] that could not be dealt with by computational techniques and required detailed curvature analysis. (Though, of course, Theorem 5.8' relies on the detailed curvature analysis of Shwartz.) In fact, Theorem B of [15], which states that $\langle y, t | t^n, ty^{-3}t^{-2}y^4 \rangle \cong M(4, n, 3, 3, 1)$ is infinite for all $n \geq 6$ can be recovered as a corollary of Theorem 5.8' apart from in the cases n = 6, 8.

6 The finite Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš groups

Recall that the Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš groups $G_n(m,k)$ are the groups $P(2,n,k+1,1,m) = G_n(x_0x_mx_k^{-1})$. Bardakov and Vesnin [2, Question 1] have asked for a classification of the finite groups $G_n(m,k)$. With the exception of two unresolved groups the classification has now been obtained. The existing proof of the classification relies on techniques from algebraic number theory [30],[45]. In this section we first review that proof and then build on the results of Section 3 to obtain a new proof that is purely group theoretic.

If $k \equiv 0 \mod n$ or $(k-m) \equiv 0 \mod n$ then $G_n(m,k) = 1$. If $m \equiv 0 \mod n$ then Corollary 3.5 implies that $G_n(m,k)$ is isomorphic to the free product of (k,n) copies of $G_N(M,K)$ where N = n/(n,k), K = k/(n,k). By [2, Lemma 1.1(1)] if $K \not\equiv 0 \mod N$ then $G_N(0,K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^N-1}$ so $G_n(0,k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^N-1}$ if (n,k) = 1 and is infinite otherwise. Thus we may assume $1 \leq m, k \leq n-1, m \neq k$.

Theorem 6.1 ([46]) Let (n, m, k) = 1, (n, k) > 1, (n, k - m) > 1, $1 \le k, m \le n - 1$, $k \ne m$ and suppose $G_n(m, k) \ne 1$. Then $G_n(m, k)$ is finite if and only if (m, k) = 1 and (n = 2k or n = 2(k - m)), in which case $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ where $s = 2^{n/2} - (-1)^{m+n/2}$.

The following theorem was proved (in number theoretic terms) in [30] for the case k = 1 and in [45] for the general case.

Theorem 6.2 ([30],[45]) The group $G_n(m,k)$ is perfect if and only if either $(m=2k \mod n \mod n \pmod n, m, k), (6) = 1)$ or k = 0 or $m \mod n$.

Thus we have

Corollary 6.3 ([45],[46]) Suppose (n,k) > 1 and (n,m-k) > 1, $1 \le k,m \le n-1$, $k \ne m$. Then $G_n(m,k)$ is finite if and only if (m,k) = 1 and (n = 2k or n = 2(k-m)), in which case $G_n(m,k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ where $s = 2^{n/2} - (-1)^{m+n/2}$.

Proof

Suppose first that (n, m, k) = 1. Then $m \neq 2k \mod n$ (for otherwise (n, m, k) = (n, k) > 1) so by Theorem 6.2 $G_n(m, k)$ is not perfect, and hence is not trivial, so the result follows from Theorem 6.1. Suppose then that d = (n, m, k) > 1. Then by Corollary 3.5 $G_n(m, k)$ is isomorphic to the free product of d copies of $G_N(M, K)$ where N = n/d, M = m/d, M = m/d. Since M = 1 each of these is non-trivial by the above argument, so $G_n(m, k)$ is infinite.

By Corollary 4.5 if (n, k) = 1 or (n, m - k) = 1 then $G_n(m, k)$ is isomorphic to some Gilbert-Howie group H(n, t).

Theorem 6.4 ([23]) Suppose $n \geq 2$, $t \geq 0$, $(n,t) \neq (8,3), (9,3), (9,4), (9,6), (9,7)$ and suppose $H(n,t) \neq 1$. Then H(n,t) is finite if and only if t = 0,1 or (n,t) = (2k, k+1) where $k \geq 1$ (in which case $H(n,t) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k}+1}$), or (n,t) = (3,2), (4,2), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4), (6,3), (7,4), (7,6).

We have that H(n,t) is non-trivial by [43, Theorem B] when t=2 and by Theorem 6.2 for the case k=1 ([30]) otherwise. Moreover the group $H(9,3) \cong H(9,6)$ was proved to be infinite in [12, Lemma 15]. (We remark that the extension of this group also appears in [17, page 228] as G(-,9).) A calculation in GAP shows that H(8,3) is soluble and of order $3^{10} \cdot 5$. Thus there is the following almost complete classification of the finite groups H(n,t):

Corollary 6.5 Suppose $n \ge 2$, $t \ge 0$, $(n,t) \ne (9,4), (9,7)$. Then H(n,t) is finite if and only if t = 0, 1 or (n,t) = (2k, k+1) where $k \ge 1$ (in which case $H(n,t) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^k+1}$), or (n,t) = (3,2), (4,2), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4), (6,3), (7,4), (7,6), (8,3).

In particular, for $n \ge 10$ there are only finite groups in the families t = 0, t = 1, or (n, t) = (2k, k + 1). Combining Corollary 6.3 and Corollary 6.5 and restricting to the cases $n \ge 10$ we have a classification of the finite groups $G_n(m, k)$:

Corollary 6.6 Suppose $n \geq 10$, $1 \leq m, k \leq n-1$, $m \neq k$. Then $G_n(m,k)$ is finite if and only if (n,m,k) = 1 and $(2k \equiv 0 \mod n \text{ or } 2(k-m) \equiv 0 \mod n)$ in which case $G_n(m,k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ where $s = 2^{n/2} - (-1)^{m+n/2}$.

Applying Corollary 3.5 we have that $G_n(m,k)$ is large whenever (n,m,k) > 1.

In the next theorem we give a proof of Corollary 6.6 for $n/(n, m, k) \ge 11$, $n/(n, m, k) \ne 12$ that is purely group theoretic. Since, by Corollary 3.5, $G_n(m, k)$ is perfect if and only if $G_N(M, K)$ is perfect (where N = n/(n, m, k), M = m/(n, m, k), K = k/(n, m, k)), to verify Theorem 6.2 for $n/(n, m, k) \le 10$ and n/(n, m, k) = 12 we may assume (n, m, k) = 1 and so it suffices to verify it for $n \le 10$ and n = 12. This can easily be done by group theoretic methods (for example using GAP). Therefore the proof described above gives a purely group theoretic proof of the classification of the finite groups $G_n(m, k)$ for $n/(n, m, k) \le 10$ and n/(n, m, k) = 12. This, together with the proof of Theorem 6.7 provides a proof of the (almost complete) classification of the finite groups $G_n(m, k)$ that does not involve the algebraic number theory used to prove Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 6.7 Suppose $n/(n, m, k) \ge 11$, $n/(n, m, k) \ne 12$, $1 \le m, k \le n - 1$, $m \ne k$. Then $G_n(m, k)$ is finite if and only if (n, m, k) = 1 and $(2k \equiv 0 \mod n \text{ or } 2(k - m) \equiv 0 \mod n)$ in which case $G_n(m, k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ where $s = 2^{n/2} - (-1)^{m+n/2}$.

Proof

As in Corollary 6.3 it suffices to prove the result for (n, m, k) = 1. By Corollary 5.10 we need to consider the cases $2k \equiv 0$, $2(k-m) \equiv 0$, $m \equiv 2k$, $k \equiv 2m$, $k+m \equiv 0$, $3k \equiv 2m$, $m \equiv 3k$ (all mod n).

If $2k \equiv 0 \mod n$ or $2(k-m) \equiv 0 \mod n$ then $G_n(m,k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ by [45, Lemma 3]. If m=2k then (k,n)=1 so by Theorem 4.4 we may assume k=1 so m=2. Then $G_n(m,k)=G_n(2,1)=S(2,n)$, the Sieradski group. By [43, Theorem B] this is infinite for all $n \geq 6$. If k=2m then (m,n)=1 so by Theorem 4.4 we may assume m=1 so k=2. Then $G_n(m,k) \cong G_n(1,2)=F(2,n)$, the Fibonacci group. If $k+m\equiv 0 \mod n$ then (k,n)=1 so we may assume k=1,m=n-1 so $G_n(m,k)=G_n(n-1,1)\cong G_n(1,2)=F(2,n)$ by Corollary 4.3. The Fibonacci group F(2,n) is infinite for all $n\geq 9$ (see [42] for a survey of such results).

This leaves the cases 3k = 2m and m = 3k. The split extension of $G_n(m, k) = P(2, n, k + 1, 1, m)$ is

$$M = M(2, n, k + 1, 1, n) = \langle y, t | t^n = 1, yt^A = t^B y^2 \rangle$$

where $A=k,\,B=k-m \mod n$, and so (A,B,n)=(n,m,k)=1. The condition 3k=2m is equivalent to $A\equiv -2B \mod n$ and the condition $3k=m \mod n$ is equivalent to $B=-2A \mod n$. In the first case we have (B,n)=1 so we may assume $B=1,\,A=-2$; in the second case we have (A,n)=1 so we may assume $A=1,\,B=-2$. Either way we get (by replacing y with y^{-1} , if necessary) that $M=\langle y,t\,|\,t^n,y^2t^2y^{-1}t\,\rangle$. This maps onto $L=\langle y,t\,|\,y^l,t^n,y^2t^2y^{-1}t\,\rangle$ for any $l\geq 1$. By [18, Theorem 3] if $l\geq 36$ then y has order l in L, so l divides |M|. Thus $|M|\geq l$ for any $l\geq 36$, so M is infinite. \square

References

- [1] J.J. Andrews and M.L. Curtis. Free groups and handlebodies. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 16:192–195, 1965.
- [2] V.G. Bardakov and A.Yu. Vesnin. A generalization of Fibonacci groups. *Algebra and Logic*, 42(2):131–160, 2003.
- [3] Gilbert Baumslag, John W. Morgan, and Peter B. Shalen. Generalized triangle groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 102:25–31, 1987.
- [4] Gilbert Baumslag and Peter B. Shalen. Amalgamated products and finitely presented groups. Comment. Math. Helv., 65(2):243–254, 1990.
- [5] Colin M. Campbell and Edmund F. Robertson. A note on Fibonacci type groups. Can. Math. Bull., 18:173–175, 1975.
- [6] Colin M. Campbell and Edmund F. Robertson. On a class of finitely presented groups of Fibonacci type. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser., 11:249–255, 1975.
- [7] Colin M. Campbell and Edmund F. Robertson. On metacyclic Fibonacci groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, *II. Ser.*, 19:253–256, 1975.
- [8] Colin M. Campbell and Edmund F. Robertson. Finitely presented groups of Fibonacci type II. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, 28:250–256, 1979.
- [9] Colin M. Campbell and Richard M. Thomas. On infinite groups of Fibonacci type. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, *II. Ser.*, 29:225–232, 1986.
- [10] Alberto Cavicchioli, Friedrich Hegenbarth, and Ann-Chi Kim. A geometric study of Sieradski groups. *Algebra Collog.*, 5(2):203–217, 1998.
- [11] Alberto Cavicchioli, Friedrich Hegenbarth, and Dušan Repovš. On manifold spines and cyclic presentations of groups. In *Knot theory*, volume 42, pages 49–56. Warszawa: Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Banach Cent. Publ., 1998.
- [12] Alberto Cavicchioli, E. A. O'Brien, and Fulvia Spaggiari. On some questions about a family of cyclically presented groups. *J. Algebra*, 320(11):4063–4072, 2008.
- [13] Alberto Cavicchioli, Dušan Repovš, and Fulvia Spaggiari. Topological properties of cyclically presented groups. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 12(2):243–268, 2003.
- [14] J. Conway et. al. Solution to advanced problem 5327. Am. Math. Mon., 74:91–93, 1967.
- [15] Martin Edjvet. On irreducible cyclic presentations. J. Group Theory, 6(2):261–270, 2003.
- [16] Martin Edjvet and James Howie. Intersections of Magnus subgroups and embedding theorems for cyclically presented groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 212(1):47–52, 2008.
- [17] Martin Edjvet and Arye Juhász. Equations of length 4 and one-relator products. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 129(2):217–229, 2000.
- [18] Martin Edjvet and Arye Juhász. One-relator quotients of free products of cyclic groups. *Commun. Algebra*, 28(2):883–902, 2000.

- [19] Benjamin Fine, Frank Levin, and Gerhard Rosenberger. Free subgroups and decompositions of one-relator products of cyclics. I: The Tits alternative. *Arch. Math.*, 50(2):97–109, 1988.
- [20] Benjamin Fine, Frank Roehl, and Gerhard Rosenberger. The Tits alternative for generalized triangle groups. Baik, Young Gheel (ed.) et al., Groups Korea '98. Proceedings of the 4th international conference, Pusan, Korea, August 10-16, 1998. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
- [21] Benjamin Fine and Gerhard Rosenberger. Algebraic generalizations of discrete groups: A path to combinatorial group theory through one-relator products. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker. 223. New York., 1999.
- [22] The GAP Group. GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, version 4.4.9, 2006. (http://www.gap-system.org).
- [23] N.D. Gilbert and James Howie. LOG groups and cyclically presented groups. *J. Algebra*, 174(1):118–131, 1995.
- [24] George Havas and Edmund F. Robertson. Irreducible cyclic presentations of the trivial group. Exp. Math., 12(4):487–490, 2003.
- [25] Graham Higman. A finitely generated infinite simple group. J. Lond. Math. Soc., 26:61–64, 1951.
- [26] James Howie. How to generalize one-relator group theory. Combinatorial group theory and topology, Sel. Pap. Conf., Alta/Utah 1984, Ann. Math. Stud. 111, 1987.
- [27] D.L. Johnson and H. Mawdesley. Some groups of Fibonacci type. J. Aust. Math. Soc., 20:199–204, 1975.
- [28] Arye Juhász. On a Freiheitssatz for cyclic presentations. Int. J. Algebra Comput., 17(5-6):1049– 1053, 2007.
- [29] K.I. Lossov. The SQ-universality of free products with amalgamated finite subgroups. Sib. Mat. Zh., 27(6):128–139, 1986.
- [30] R.W.K. Odoni. Some Diophantine problems arising from the theory of cyclically-presented groups. Glasg. Math. J., 41(2):157–165, 1999.
- [31] Stephen J. Pride. The concept of "largeness" in group theory. Word problems II, Stud. Logic Found. Math. Vol. 95, 1980.
- [32] Stephen J. Pride. Groups with presentations in which each defining relator involves exactly two generators. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser., 36:245–256, 1987.
- [33] Matvei I. Prishchepov. Asphericity, atoricity and symmetrically presented groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(13):5095–5117, 1995.
- [34] Paul E. Schupp. Small cancellation theory over free products with amalgamation. *Math. Ann.*, 193:255–264, 1971.
- [35] Robert Shwartz. On the Freiheitssatz in certain one-relator free products with a single relator of length 4. PhD thesis, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, 1999.
- [36] Robert Shwartz. On the Freiheitssatz in certain one-relator free products. I. Int. J. Algebra Comput., 11(6):673–706, 2001.

- [37] Robert Shwartz. On the Freiheitssatz in certain one-relator free products. II. Preprint, 2001.
- [38] Robert Shwartz. On the Freiheitssatz in certain one-relator free products. III. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, II. Ser., 45(3):693–700, 2002.
- [39] Allan J. Sieradski. Combinatorial squashings, 3-manifolds, and the third homology of groups. Invent. Math., 84:121–139, 1986.
- [40] Fulvia Spaggiari. Asphericity of symmetric presentations. Publ. Mat., Barc., 50(1):133–147, 2006.
- [41] Agnese Ilaria Telloni. Combinatorics of a class of groups with cyclic presentation. *Discrete Mathematics*, 2010. In press.
- [42] Richard M. Thomas. The Fibonacci groups revisited. In *Groups, Vol. 2, Proc. Int. Conf., St. Andrews/UK 1989*, volume 160 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.*, pages 445–454, 1991.
- [43] Richard M. Thomas. On a question of Kim concerning certain group presentations. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 28(2):219–224, 1991.
- [44] Abdullahi Umar. Some remarks about Fibonacci groups and semigroups. Commun. Algebra, 25(12):3973–3977, 1997.
- [45] Gerald Williams. The aspherical Cavicchioli-Hegenbarth-Repovš generalized Fibonacci groups. J. Group Theory, 12(1):139–149, 2009.
- [46] Gerald Williams. Unimodular integer circulants associated with trinomials. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 6(4):869–876, 2010.