

Table A1: Eight indicators of authoritarian populism: Variable definitions and summary measures (mean scores in parentheses)

	UK	France	Germany	Sweden	Denmark	Finland	Poland	Italy	Spain	Romania	Lithuania	Holland	All
% Displaying negative emotions on immigration	57 (1.1)	72 (1.3)	60 (1.1)	66 (1.5)	63 (1.6)	68 (1.5)	75 (1.4)	68 (1.2)	53 (0.8)	64 (1.2)	60 (1.0)	59 (1.1)	63 (1.2)
% Agree immigration from outside EU is a bad thing	37 (3.1)	54 (3.7)	49 (3.5)	47 (3.4)	56 (3.7)	57 (3.7)	53 (3.6)	52 (3.6)	36 (3.2)	42 (3.4)	52 (3.6)	46 (3.5)	48 (3.5)
% Disapprove of EU	44 (3.0)	37 (2.8)	26 (2.5)	43 (3.1)	31 (2.7)	28 (2.5)	16 (2.0)	31 (2.6)	15 (2.0)	16 (2.2)	20 (2.1)	34 (2.8)	29 (2.6)
% Distrust EU institutions	58 (2.4)	58 (2.4)	51 (2.3)	44 (2.3)	40 (2.1)	43 (2.2)	34 (2.0)	49 (2.2)	42 (2.1)	29 (1.9)	26 (1.8)	53 (2.3)	45 (2.2)
% Agree foreign policy should be strong and tough	31 (.31)	42 (.42)	11 (.11)	17 (.17)	17 (.17)	21 (.21)	30 (.30)	34 (.34)	22 (.22)	26 (.26)	20 (.20)	27 (.27)	25 (.25)
% Agree patriotism is important	62 (3.7)	71 (4.0)	43 (3.4)	49 (3.4)	45 (3.4)	65 (3.8)	69 (3.9)	61 (3.7)	49 (3.4)	75 (4.1)	65 (3.8)	43 (3.4)	58 (3.7)
% Critical of human rights	38 (3.0)	43 (3.3)	30 (3.0)	27 (2.8)	24 (2.8)	36 (3.1)	37 (3.2)	37 (3.1)	33 (3.0)	45 (3.4)	45 (3.4)	29 (3.0)	36 (3.1)
Mean score on 0-10 self-placement Left-Right scale	5.0	5.5	4.8	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.4	4.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.2
N of cases	1711	1002	1046	1008	1008	1006	1016	1012	1010	1012	1015	1015	12860

For individual country models, data weighted by in-country weights. For pooled model, data weighted to equal Ns across countries.

Question wordings and codings were as follows:

Negative Immigration Emotions. Which, if any, of the following words describe your feelings about immigration? (Please tick up to four). Response options: Angry, Happy, Disgusted, Hopeful, Uneasy, Confident, Afraid, Proud. The number of negative emotions (Angry, Disgusted, Uneasy, Afraid) is counted to create a 0-4 index

Immigration is a bad thing. Generally speaking, do you think Immigration from countries outside the EU is a good or bad thing for [COUNTRY]? Response options: A very good thing (coded 1) ; A fairly good thing (coded 2) ; Neither good nor bad (3); A fairly bad thing (coded 4); A very bad thing (coded 5); DK recoded as 3

EU disapproval. Overall, (did) do you strongly approve, approve, disapprove, or strongly disapprove of COUNTRY'S membership of the European Union? Response options: Strongly approve (coded 1); Approve (coded 2); Don't Know (coded 3); Disapprove (coded 4); Strongly Disapprove (coded 5).

Distrust EU institutions. For each of the following institutions, please say whether you tend to trust it or tend not to trust it: European Commission; European Parliament. Response options: Tend to trust (coded 1); Don't Know (coded 2); Tend not to trust (coded 3).

Foreign policy should be strong and tough. From the list of priorities, please select the one you think is the most important for [Country]: 1 Helping the rest of the world by providing foreign aid and protecting human rights; 2 Solving international problems by working with other nations and working through international organizations; 3 Protecting COUNTRY'S interests by being strong and tough with other nations and maintaining a powerful military; 4 Avoiding involvement with other nations by simply minding our own business in international affairs; 5 None of these; 6 Don't know. Respondents who select option 3 are coded as 1; all others are coded as zero.

Patriotism is important. It is important for [country's] people to be patriotic towards [country]. Response Options: Strongly Agree (coded 5); Agree (coded 4); Neither/Don't Know (coded 3); Disagree (coded 2); Strongly Disagree (coded 1).

Critical of Human Rights. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: People who talk about protecting human rights are mainly interested in protecting the rights of criminals, not those of their victims. Response Options and codings as for Patriotism is important.

Left-Right self-placement. People sometimes use the labels 'left' or 'left wing' and 'right' or 'right wing' to describe political parties, party leaders, and political ideas. Using the 0 to 10 scale below, where the end marked 0 means left and the end marked 10 means right, where would you place yourself on this scale?

Table A2: Independent variable definitions and summary scores

Variable Name	Variable definition	Range	Mean
<i>Demographic Controls</i>			
Age	Respondent's age in years	18-80	45.20
Male	Respondent's sex: male=1; female =0	0-1	0.48
Education	3-category YouGov coding of education level	1-3	2.22
<i>Cultural and Economic factors</i>			
NotHome	Likert agreement/disagreement scale with 'There are so many foreigners around here that it doesn't feel like home any more'	1-5	2.90
Economic Retrospections	How do you think the COUNTRY economy has changed over the LAST 12 months? Response option codings: Got a lot better (5); Got a little better (4); Stayed the same/DK (3); Got a little worse (2); Got a lot worse (1)	1-5	2.62
National identity	Do you see yourself as...? Response options: NATIONALITY only; NATIONALITY and European; European and NATIONALITY; European only; Other; Don't know. NATIONALITY only coded as 1; others as zero.	0-1	0.37
Valence assessments	How well or badly do you think the government is handling the issue of...? Seven issues assessed: housing, education, immigration, the economy in general, defence, crime and unemployment. For each issue, response options were: Very Well (coded 5); Fairly well (4); Don't Know (3); Fairly badly (2); Very badly (5). A combined index was calculated by summing the individual scores on each of the seven items and dividing by 7.	1-5	2.38
<i>Traditional Social Values</i>			
Obedient	Likert agreement/disagreement scale with 'Obedience and respect for authority are the most important virtues children should learn'	1-5	3.26
Different roles	Likert agreement/disagreement scale with 'Men and women each have different roles to play in society'	1-5	2.96
<i>Issue salience</i>			
MIP-Immigration	Which two of these problems are most important facing [COUNTRY] just now? Respondents specifying 'The level of immigration' coded 1; not zero	0-1	0.44
MIP-Terror	Which two of these problems are most important facing [COUNTRY] just now? Respondents specifying 'The threat of international terrorism or aggression' coded 1; not zero	0-1	0.37
MIP-Gap	Which two of these problems are most important facing [COUNTRY] just now? Respondents specifying 'The gap between rich and poor' coded 1; not zero	0-1	0.42
<i>Country-level controls</i>			
%Foreign	Percentage of non-native born inhabitants of the country 2015 (source Eurostat)	.7-7	3.20
GDPchange	Annual average percentage change in GDP per capita 2005-2015 (source Eurostat)	.3-9.6	5.55

Table A3, Part 1: OLS models of authoritarian populism scale scores

	UK			France			Germany			Sweden			Denmark		
	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Demographic Controls</i>															
Age	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.06	.00	.00	.01	.00	.00	.00	.01	.00	.00
Male	.12	.02	.00	.09	.03	.05	.12	.03	.00	.17	.03	.00	.11	.03	.00
Education	.02	.02	.19	-.01	.03	.79	-.02	.02	.32	.04	.02	.07	.05	.02	.02
<i>Cultural and Economic factors</i>															
NoHome	.22	.01	.00	.19	.01	.00	.24	.01	.00	.26	.01	.00	.25	.02	.00
EconRetro	.08	.02	.00	-.08	.02	.00	-.01	.02	.77	-.10	.02	.00	-.02	.02	.43
Natid	.22	.03	.00	.19	.03	.00	.14	.03	.00	.16	.03	.00	.18	.03	.00
Valence	.08	.02	.00	-.23	.02	.00	-.17	.02	.00	-.22	.02	.00	.03	.02	.15
<i>Traditional Social Values</i>															
Obedience	.06	.01	.00	.12	.02	.00	.05	.01	.00	.05	.01	.00	.09	.02	.00
DiffRole	.07	.01	.00	.01	.01	.64	.02	.01	.06	.03	.01	.02	.03	.02	.07
<i>Issue Saliency</i>															
MIP-Immig	.42	.03	.00	.28	.04	.00	.20	.03	.00	.14	.03	.00	.36	.04	.00
MIP-Terror	.09	.03	.00	.09	.03	.01	.05	.03	.10	.02	.06	.77	.05	.04	.21
MIP-Gap	-.12	.04	.00	-.19	.04	.00	-.05	.03	.09	-.14	.04	.00	-.14	.04	.00
Constant	.41	.08	.00	2.08	.11	.00	1.68	.12	.00	1.93	.11	.00	.91	.12	.00
Ctd R ²	.63			.62			.55			.69			.54		
N	1711			1001			1045			1016			1008		

b=coefficient; st = standard error; p = probability. For individual country models, data weighted by in-country weights. For pooled model, data weighted to equal Ns across countries

Table A3, Part 2: OLS models of authoritarian populism scale scores

	Finland			Poland			Italy			Spain			Holland			Ten Countries		
	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>st</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Demographic Controls</i>																		
Age	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.39	-.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.12	.00	.00	.10	.01	.00	.01
Male	.15	.03	.00	.19	.04	.00	-.01	.04	.87	.08	.03	.02	.16	.03	.00	.11	.03	.00
Education	.08	.03	.00	.09	.03	.00	-.17	.03	.00	.05	.02	.01	-.03	.02	.20	.10	.04	.00
<i>Cultural and Economic factors</i>																		
NoHome	.24	.01	.00	.02	.02	.32	.20	.02	.00	.18	.02	.00	.22	.01	.00	.19	.02	.00
EconRetro	-.02	.02	.33	.10	.02	.00	-.19	.02	.00	.07	.02	.00	.01	.02	.61	-.04	.03	.17
Natid	.18	.03	.00	.35	.04	.00	.34	.04	.00	.07	.04	.11	.15	.03	.00	.33	.06	.00
Valence	-.00	.02	.91	.15	.02	.00	-.16	.03	.00	.22	.02	.00	-.22	.02	.00	.02	.06	.79
<i>Traditional Social Values</i>																		
Obedience	.06	.02	.00	.01	.02	.60	.03	.02	.11	.12	.02	.00	.04	.02	.01	.06	.02	.01
DifferentRoles	.05	.01	.00	.10	.02	.00	.05	.02	.01	-.04	.01	.00	.02	.02	.12	.07	.02	.01
<i>Issue Saliency</i>																		
MIP-Immig	.29	.04	.00	.29	.07	.00	.25	.05	.00	-.02	.07	.80	.32	.03	.00	.47	.08	.00
MIP-Terror	.22	.09	.01	.18	.05	.00	-.01	.07	.87	.19	.06	.00	.01	.03	.73	.17	.06	.02
MIP-Gap	-.05	.03	.11	.14	.04	.00	-.14	.05	.01	-.15	.05	.00	-.16	.04	.00	-.06	.05	.29
Constant	1.01	.12	.00	1.13	.12	.00	1.94	.12	.00	-.35	.09	.00	2.03	.13	.00	1.05	.34	.01
<i>Country-level controls</i>																		
Foreign																-.07	.04	.11
GDPchange																.13	.11	.27
Ctd R ²	.49			.30			.52			.44			.47			.46		
N	1006			1016			1012			1010			1016			10834		

b=coefficient; st = standard error; p = probability. Ten Country Model based on Country-clustered OLS with robust standard errors.

For individual country models, data weighted by in-country weights. For pooled model, data weighted to equal Ns across countries

Annex 1.

Figure A1 (a). Country-level measures: Annual average percentage growth in GDP 2006-2015





Figure A1 (b). Country-level measures: size of foreign born, January 2015



Annex 2: Country-specific cluster analyses of the eight component authoritarian populism indicators

Table A2.1: France

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.60	3.96	2.78	2.44	0.53	4.25	3.54	7.07	66
Cluster 2	0.78	3.07	2.66	2.41	0.25	3.65	2.78	2.04	34
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.31	3.69	2.47	2.36	0.47	4.10	2.36	5.53	41
Cluster 2	2.03	4.34	3.22	2.54	0.61	4.50	3.89	9.24	25
Cluster 3	0.78	3.07	2.66	2.41	0.25	3.65	2.78	2.04	34
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.31	3.69	2.47	2.36	0.47	4.10	2.36	5.53	41
Cluster 2	2.03	4.34	3.22	2.54	0.61	4.50	3.89	9.24	25
Cluster 3	0.61	2.90	2.46	2.36	0.22	3.53	2.64	2.15	30
Cluster 4	2.31	4.50	4.35	2.83	0.54	4.73	3.96	1.19	3
Overall average	1.36	3.69	2.74	2.42	0.44	4.07	3.31	5.55	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.2: Germany

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.20	3.60	2.56	2.39	0.14	3.58	3.10	5.40	81
Cluster 2	0.67	3.03	2.04	2.26	0.05	2.60	2.27	2.14	19
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.17	3.53	2.54	2.37	0.12	3.53	3.07	5.14	74
Cluster 2	1.47	4.30	2.70	2.55	0.28	4.12	3.48	8.22	7
Cluster 3	0.67	3.03	2.04	2.26	0.05	2.60	2.27	2.14	19
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.24	4.46	3.50	2.76	0.19	3.94	3.76	6.17	24
Cluster 2	0.66	3.09	2.08	2.18	0.09	3.34	2.73	4.64	50
Cluster 3	1.47	4.30	2.70	2.55	0.28	4.12	3.48	8.22	7
Cluster 4	0.67	3.03	2.04	2.26	0.05	2.60	2.27	2.14	19
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.24	4.46	3.50	2.76	0.19	3.94	3.76	6.17	24
Cluster 2	0.66	3.09	2.08	2.18	0.09	3.34	2.73	4.64	50
Cluster 3	1.47	4.30	2.70	2.55	0.28	4.12	3.48	8.22	7
Cluster 4	1.59	3.90	2.84	2.48	0.04	3.61	2.90	1.76	5
Cluster 5	0.25	2.63	1.67	2.16	0.05	2.14	1.97	2.32	13
Overall average	1.10	3.50	2.46	2.36	0.12	3.40	2.95	4.79	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.3: Sweden

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.06	3.92	3.35	2.43	0.25	3.89	3.25	6.89	59
Cluster 2	0.61	2.52	2.68	2.05	0.04	2.78	2.08	2.87	41
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.44	4.31	3.69	2.60	0.29	4.14	3.54	6.76	43
Cluster 2	0.61	2.42	2.03	1.80	0.10	2.90	2.12	7.40	16
Cluster 3	0.61	2.52	2.68	2.05	0.04	2.78	2.08	2.87	41
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.44	4.31	3.69	2.60	0.29	4.14	3.54	6.76	43
Cluster 2	0.61	2.42	2.03	1.80	0.10	2.90	2.12	7.40	16
Cluster 3	0.46	2.38	2.60	2.02	0.03	2.68	1.97	2.92	39
Cluster 4	3.05	4.70	3.95	2.65	0.25	4.40	3.80	1.75	2
Overall average	1.50	3.38	3.09	2.89	0.17	3.46	2.80	5.34	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.4: Denmark

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.75	3.18	2.60	2.13	0.07	2.89	2.15	2.34	26
Cluster 2	1.32	3.84	2.69	2.14	0.20	3.54	2.95	6.42	74
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.75	3.18	2.60	2.13	0.07	2.89	2.15	2.34	26
Cluster 2	1.21	3.83	2.72	2.18	0.18	3.52	2.88	3.83	62
Cluster 3	1.82	3.92	2.58	1.94	0.28	3.57	3.27	3.92	12
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.75	3.18	2.60	2.13	0.07	2.89	2.15	2.34	26
Cluster 2	1.6	4.21	2.94	2.28	0.23	3.86	3.10	6.67	37
Cluster 3	0.45	3.19	2.35	2.00	0.09	3.00	2.51	4.75	24
Cluster 4	1.82	3.92	2.58	1.94	0.28	3.57	3.27	8.75	12
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.45	2.93	2.50	2.02	0.03	2.66	1.95	2.27	22
Cluster 2	2.31	4.49	3.15	2.69	0.31	4.07	3.20	2.72	4
Cluster 3	1.6	4.21	2.94	2.28	0.23	3.86	3.10	6.67	37
Cluster 4	0.45	3.19	2.35	2.00	0.09	3.00	2.51	4.75	24
Cluster 5	1.82	3.92	2.58	1.94	0.28	3.57	3.27	8.75	12
Overall average	1.16	3.66	2.67	2.13	0.16	3.36	2.73	5.32	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.5: Finland

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.54	3.72	2.48	2.18	0.26	3.98	3.22	6.02	78
Cluster 2	1.35	3.31	2.14	2.10	0.12	3.39	2.57	2.11	22
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.57	3.86	2.78	2.35	0.22	3.94	3.25	4.82	45
Cluster 2	1.28	3.51	2.06	1.94	0.31	4.02	3.18	7.73	33
Cluster 3	1.35	3.31	2.14	2.10	0.12	3.39	2.57	2.11	22
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.57	3.86	2.78	2.35	0.22	3.94	3.25	4.82	45
Cluster 2	1.28	3.51	2.06	1.94	0.31	4.02	3.18	7.73	33
Cluster 3	0.29	2.23	1.45	1.77	0.00	2.77	1.53	2.12	8
Cluster 4	2.11	4.05	2.62	2.33	0.20	3.82	3.31	2.11	14
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.57	3.86	2.78	2.35	0.22	3.94	3.25	4.82	45
Cluster 2	0.47	2.91	1.70	1.73	0.24	3.89	2.70	7.50	22
Cluster 3	2.81	4.66	2.73	2.35	0.45	4.29	4.08	8.16	11
Cluster 4	0.29	2.23	1.45	1.77	0.00	2.77	1.53	2.12	8
Cluster 5	2.11	4.05	2.62	2.33	0.20	3.82	3.31	2.11	14
6-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	2.36	4.34	3.15	2.57	0.27	4.18	3.62	5.25	25
Cluster 2	0.60	3.27	2.33	2.08	0.16	3.66	2.80	4.30	20
Cluster 3	0.47	2.91	1.70	1.73	0.24	3.89	2.70	7.50	22
Cluster 4	2.81	4.66	2.73	2.35	0.45	4.29	4.08	8.16	11
Cluster 5	0.29	2.23	1.45	1.77	0.00	2.77	1.53	2.12	8
Cluster 6	2.11	4.05	2.62	2.33	0.20	3.82	3.31	2.11	14
Overall average	1.43	3.62	2.40	2.16	0.23	3.84	3.07	5.10	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.6: Poland

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.53	3.68	2.04	2.01	0.33	4.05	3.20	6.22	78
Cluster 2	1.20	3.37	1.56	1.48	0.17	3.74	2.94	1.97	22
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.93	4.17	2.55	2.55	0.55	4.42	3.74	9.28	20
Cluster 2	1.43	3.56	1.91	1.87	0.28	3.95	3.06	5.41	58
Cluster 3	1.20	3.37	1.56	1.48	0.17	3.74	2.94	1.97	22
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.93	4.17	2.55	2.55	0.55	4.42	3.74	9.28	20
Cluster 2	1.33	3.41	1.67	1.80	0.26	3.75	2.62	5.75	34
Cluster 3	1.58	3.77	2.77	1.99	0.31	4.25	3.75	4.89	24
Cluster 4	1.20	3.37	1.56	1.48	0.17	3.74	2.94	1.97	22
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.93	4.17	2.55	2.55	0.55	4.42	3.74	9.28	20
Cluster 2	1.33	3.41	1.67	1.80	0.26	3.75	2.62	5.75	34
Cluster 3	1.58	3.77	2.77	1.99	0.31	4.25	3.75	4.89	24
Cluster 4	0.90	3.12	1.38	1.37	0.16	3.66	2.66	2.17	17
Cluster 5	2.38	4.35	2.27	1.89	0.24	4.05	4.05	1.19	5
Overall average	1.46	3.61	1.92	1.90	0.30	3.98	3.14	5.30	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.7: Italy

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.42	3.74	2.62	2.19	0.38	3.86	3.26	4.25	75
Cluster 2	0.71	2.95	2.09	2.09	0.21	3.53	2.66	8.60	25
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.43	3.80	2.41	2.10	0.35	3.87	3.32	5.32	47
Cluster 2	1.40	3.64	3.03	2.36	0.44	3.84	3.15	2.22	28
Cluster 3	0.71	2.95	2.09	2.09	0.21	3.53	2.66	8.60	25
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.43	3.80	2.41	2.10	0.35	3.87	3.32	5.32	47
Cluster 2	0.87	3.17	2.97	2.23	0.35	3.58	2.65	3.73	13
Cluster 3	1.93	4.10	3.10	2.47	0.52	4.11	3.66	0.69	15
Cluster 4	0.71	2.95	2.09	2.09	0.21	3.53	2.66	8.60	25
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.43	3.80	2.41	2.10	0.35	3.87	3.32	5.32	47
Cluster 2	0.87	3.17	2.97	2.23	0.35	3.58	2.65	3.73	13
Cluster 3	1.93	4.10	3.10	2.47	0.52	4.11	3.66	0.69	15
Cluster 4	1.58	4.27	2.75	2.19	0.39	4.18	2.59	9.18	6
Cluster 5	0.46	2.56	1.90	2.05	0.16	3.34	2.68	8.43	19
Overall average	1.22	3.53	2.48	2.48	0.33	3.77	3.09	5.45	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.8: Spain

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.71	3.12	2.05	2.17	0.19	3.29	2.86	3.33	70
Cluster 2	0.96	3.51	1.62	1.83	0.35	4.07	3.34	7.94	30
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.60	2.98	2.43	2.41	0.14	2.85	2.54	1.16	23
Cluster 2	0.77	3.19	1.87	2.06	0.21	2.49	3.00	4.30	47
Cluster 3	0.96	3.51	1.62	1.83	0.35	4.07	3.34	7.94	30
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.60	2.98	2.43	2.41	0.14	2.85	2.54	1.16	23
Cluster 2	0.77	3.19	1.87	2.06	0.21	2.49	3.00	4.30	47
Cluster 3	1.00	3.48	1.41	1.74	0.38	4.35	3.41	8.05	27
Cluster 4	0.62	3.71	3.47	2.67	0.14	1.71	2.81	6.95	3
Overall average	0.77	3.21	1.95	2.09	0.22	3.46	2.97		
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Table A2.9: Holland

	Component Indicator								
	<i>Negative Immigration Emotions</i>	<i>Non-EU Immigration Bad thing</i>	<i>Disapproval Of EU</i>	<i>Distrust EU Institutions</i>	<i>Foreign Policy Strong and Tough</i>	<i>Patriotism Important</i>	<i>Critical of Human Rights</i>	<i>Left-Right Self-placement</i>	<i>Percentage In cluster</i>
2-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.35	3.76	2.79	2.45	0.31	3.53	3.20	6.94	49
Cluster 2	0.98	3.28	2.71	2.17	0.23	3.28	2.68	3.70	51
3-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.35	3.76	2.79	2.45	0.31	3.53	3.20	6.94	49
Cluster 2	2.14	4.23	3.98	2.78	0.41	3.80	3.57	4.50	15
Cluster 3	0.51	2.89	2.19	1.93	0.15	3.06	2.31	3.37	36
4-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	1.35	3.76	2.79	2.45	0.31	3.53	3.20	6.94	49
Cluster 2	2.14	4.23	3.98	2.78	0.41	3.80	3.57	4.50	15
Cluster 3	0.57	3.01	1.99	2.18	0.14	2.68	2.30	1.15	10
Cluster 4	0.49	2.85	2.26	1.84	0.16	3.19	2.32	4.15	26
5-Cluster solution									
Cluster 1	0.92	3.45	2.12	2.25	0.19	3.36	3.04	6.47	34
Cluster 2	2.09	4.29	3.95	2.80	0.52	3.82	4.49	7.73	15
Cluster 3	2.14	4.23	3.98	2.78	0.41	3.80	3.57	4.50	15
Cluster 4	0.57	3.01	1.99	2.18	0.14	2.68	2.30	1.15	10
Cluster 5	0.49	2.85	2.26	1.84	0.16	3.19	2.32	4.15	26
Overall average	1.16	3.51	2.75	2.31	0.27	3.40	2.93	5.26	
Range	0-4	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-1	1-5	1-5	0-10	

Annex 3: Allocation of vote intention parties to party families

Party Family	Parties
UK	
Far left	None
Centre-Left	Labour, SNP, Plaid Cymru, Greens
Centre	Liberal Democrats
Centre-right	Conservative
Right-wing Populist	UKIP
France	
Far left	Parti Communiste; Parti de Gauche de Jean-Luc Mélenchon
Centre-Left	Parti Socialiste
Centre	Europe Ecologie/Les Verts; Mouvement Démocrate - Modem
Centre-right	Les Républicains
Right-wing Populist	Front National
Germany	
Far left	Piraten
Centre-Left	SPD; Bündnis 90/Die Grünen; Die Linke
Centre	FDP
Centre-right	CDU
Right-wing Populist	AfD
Sweden	
Far left	Vänsterpartiet; Feministiskt Initiativ
Centre-Left	Miljöpartiet, Socialdemokraterna
Centre	Centerpartiet Liberalerna (tidigare Folkpartiet)
Centre-right	Moderaterna, Kristdemokraterna
Right-wing Populist	Sverigedemokraterna

Denmark	
Far left	Radikale Venstre; Venstre
Centre-Left	Socialdemokraterne; Socialistisk Folkeparti
Centre	Liberal Alliance
Centre-right	Det Konservative Folkeparti Kristendemokraterne,
Right-wing Populist	Dansk Folkeparti
Finland	
Far left	Piraattipuolue; Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue; Vasemmistoliitto
Centre-Left	Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue; Vihreä liitto; Suomen Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue
Centre	Keskusta
Centre-right	Kokoomus; Kristillisdemokraatit
Right-wing Populist	Perussuomalaiset
Poland	
Far left	None
Centre-Left	Zjednoczona Lewica
Centre	Nowoczesna
Centre-right	Prawo i Sprawiedliwo; Platforma Obywatelska; Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe
Right-wing Populist	Kukiz'15
Italy	
Far left	Sinistra Italiana
Centre-Left	Partito Democratico
Centre	Movimento Cinque Stelle
Centre-right	Forza Italia; Fratelli d'Italia
Right-wing Populist	Lega Nord;
Spain	
Far left	Unidos Podemos

Centre-Left	Partido Socialista Obrero Español
Centre	Ciudadanos-Partido de la Ciudadanía
Centre-right	Partido Popular
Right-wing Populist	None
Holland	
Far left	SP
Centre-Left	PvdA
Centre	D66
Centre-right	VVD, CDA
Right-wing Populist	PVV

Annex 4: Relationship between party family and authoritarian populist status, by country

Table A4.1: UK

	AP tribe status		
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Left AP tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family			
<i>Far Left</i>	0	0	0
<i>Centre Left</i>	61	43	8
<i>Centre</i>	12	5	2
<i>Centre Right</i>	26	27	67
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	1	25	24

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.2: France

	AP tribe status	
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family		
<i>Far Left</i>	40	3
<i>Centre Left</i>	37	12
<i>Centre</i>	11	16
<i>Centre Right</i>	2	39
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	10	30

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.3: Germany

	AP tribe status	
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family		
<i>Far Left</i>	3	0
<i>Centre Left</i>	58	16
<i>Centre</i>	5	4
<i>Centre Right</i>	28	17
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	7	63

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.4: Sweden

	AP tribe status	
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family		
<i>Far Left</i>	19	1
<i>Centre Left</i>	39	11
<i>Centre</i>	13	6
<i>Centre Right</i>	26	30
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	4	52

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.5: Denmark

	AP tribe status	
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family		
<i>Far Left</i>	14	2
<i>Centre Left</i>	65	14
<i>Centre</i>	3	14
<i>Centre Right</i>	10	41
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	8	29

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.6: Finland

	AP tribe status		
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Left AP tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family			
<i>Far Left</i>	13	40	7
<i>Centre Left</i>	42	48	23
<i>Centre</i>	12	8	24
<i>Centre Right</i>	29	1	23
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	4	3	23

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.7: Poland

	AP tribe status		
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Left AP tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family			
<i>Far Left</i>	0	0	0
<i>Centre Left</i>	14	3	0
<i>Centre</i>	27	20	2
<i>Centre Right</i>	50	54	91
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	9	23	7

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.8: Italy

	AP tribe status		
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Left AP tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family			
<i>Far Left</i>	12	6	4
<i>Centre Left</i>	32	3	26
<i>Centre</i>	41	25	45
<i>Centre Right</i>	12	40	17
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	3	26	8

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.9: Spain

	AP tribe status	
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family		
<i>Far Left</i>	14	46
<i>Centre Left</i>	20	51
<i>Centre</i>	19	1
<i>Centre Right</i>	47	1
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	0	0

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Table A4.8: Holland

	AP tribe status		
	<i>Not in an AP Tribe</i>	<i>Member of Left AP tribe</i>	<i>Member of Right AP tribe</i>
Party Family			
<i>Far Left</i>	18	16	8
<i>Centre Left</i>	21	15	5
<i>Centre</i>	15	7	9
<i>Centre Right</i>	35	24	28
<i>Right Wing Populist</i>	11	38	50

Column percentages reported; data weighted by internal country weights

Annex 5: Pooled ordered logit model of party-family vote intention in ten European countries

	Coefficient	Robust Standard Error	Probability
Age	.00	.00	.27
Male/not	.20	.11	.06
Education	.05	.05	.34
Authoritarian Populist/not	1.01	.38	.01
'Not Home around here' scale	.49	.06	.00
Annual average GDP change 2006-15	.46	.45	.07
Percent Population foreign born 2015	.01	.04	.80
Cut 1	.32	.49	
Cut 2	2.19	.49	
Cut 3	2.92	.45	
Cut 4	4.61	.45	
Pseudo R ²	.09		
N	6466		

Dependent variable is a 5-category ordered measure: 1=Far left; 2=Centre-left; 3=Centre; 4=Centre-right; 5=Right-wing Populist

Ordered logit with robust standard errors adjusted for 10 (country) clusters