An International Comparison of Implied, Realized and GARCH Volatility Forecasts [*]

Apostolos Kourtis, Raphael N. Markellos and Lazaros Symeonidis[†]

Abstract

We compare the predictive ability and economic value of implied, realized and GARCH volatility models for 13 equity indices from 10 countries. Model ranking is similar across countries, but varies with the forecast horizon. At the daily horizon, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive model offers the most accurate predictions while an implied volatility model that corrects for the volatility risk premium is superior at the monthly horizon. Widely used GARCH models have inferior performance in almost all cases considered. All methods perform significantly worse over the 2008-09 crisis period. Finally, implied volatility offers significant improvements against historical methods for international portfolio diversification.

JEL codes: G15; G17; G01; G11

Keywords: Implied Volatility; Realized Volatility; Volatility Risk Premium; Financial Crisis; International Diversification

---

[†] Norwich Business School, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK. Contacts: Apostolos Kourtis: a.kourtis@uea.ac.uk, Tel.: +44(0)1603591387, Raphael Markellos (corresponding author): R.Markellos@uea.ac.uk, Tel.: +44 (0)1603597395, Fax: +44 (0)1603593343, Lazaros Symeonidis: l.symeonidis@uea.ac.uk, Tel.: +44 (0)1603597332.

1. Introduction

Volatility is a key concept in finance especially in portfolio selection, option pricing and risk management. Despite a variety of shortcomings and alternatives, volatility still lies at the heart of modern finance. It is not surprising that a vast methodological and empirical literature exists around the development, assessment and application of volatility forecasts.[1] Unfortunately, it is difficult to draw clear conclusions from the existing literature as research designs vary considerably across different studies in terms of: countries, asset classes, time periods, forecasting techniques, forecast horizons and forecast evaluation methods. Our study aims to overcome this difficulty by comparing some of the most popular volatility models within a common framework. Our analysis employs 13 equity indices from 10 countries, three forecast horizons and different market conditions, i.e. before, during and after the 2008-09 crisis. Comparisons between models are performed on the basis of different statistical tests and loss functions. Most importantly, we assess the economic significance of the competing volatility forecasting models within an international portfolio choice framework.

The models we consider span the three most prominent families of volatility forecasting methods. First, we have the GJR-GARCH of Glosten et al. (1993) which descends from the ARCH family of historical volatility models. We select this model on the basis of its popularity amongst academics and practitioners and the fact that it is often found to have superior performance against alternative ARCH specifications (e.g., see Brownlees et al., 2012). Second, we use two model specifications based on raw and volatility risk-premium adjusted implied volatility levels, respectively. For the adjustment we use the technique of Prokopczuk and Wese Simen (2014) which reduces the bias in the raw implied volatility. It is the first time a study assesses the importance of adjusting for the volatility risk premium in volatility forecasting in the context of international equity markets. Third, we employ realized volatility-based forecasts using plain lagged values and the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model of Corsi (2009). The latter approach is becoming increasingly popular due to its simplicity and modeling accuracy (e.g., see Giot and Laurent, 2007; Corsi et al., 2010; Busch et al., 2011; Patton and Sheppard, 2015).

We adopt the standard practice of using the daily realized volatility as a proxy for the "actual" latent volatility. We then employ a battery of different techniques in order to compare the volatility models on the basis of various statistical and economic criteria. In order to

---

[1] Characteristically, on 24 November 2015, 6,110 papers in Google Scholar and 1,548 research outputs in Scopus include the term "volatility forecasting".

accommodate the possibility of different forecasting scenaria and tasks, we use horizons of 1, 5 and 22 days, respectively. We apply univariate Mincer-Zarnowitz as well as encompassing regressions to assess the information content of each set of volatility forecasts in an in-sample setting. Out-of-sample analysis is based on the Diebold-Mariano test of predictive accuracy. Two statistical loss functions are utilized: the root mean-squared error and the quasi-likelihood. We perform several robustness checks by considering alternative models, configurations and estimation periods along with the effect of volatility spillovers from the US.

We find that model rankings remain roughly the same across countries but vary with the forecast horizon. While the results for the weekly horizon are mixed, the HAR model is superior at the daily horizon and the implied volatility model yields the best forecasts at the monthly horizon. The superiority of the volatility risk premium-adjusted implied volatility forecasts at the monthly horizon is likely due to the fact that all model-free implied volatility indices have a fixed forecast horizon of one month by construction. Our results also reveal that volatility risk premium-adjusted implied volatility forecasts outperform raw implied volatility forecasts in most markets under consideration. This finding highlights the importance of accounting for the volatility risk premium when forecasting volatility using information from option prices. In line with the literature, comparing raw implied volatility forecasts to lagged realized volatility forecasts leads to mixed results (see Blair et al., 2001; Jiang and Tian, 2005; Pong et al., 2004; Martens and Zein, 2004; Andersen et al., 2007b). Finally, we find that the historical GJR-GARCH model underperforms the realized and implied volatility alternatives in almost all cases (similar results are reported by Flemming, 1998; Jorion, 1995; Andersen et al., 2003; Covrig and Low, 2003; Giot, 2003; Andersen et al., 2007b and Charoenwong et al., 2009, among others).

Accurate volatility predictions are particularly important during periods of market turmoil, such as that of 2008-2009, when risks typically soar (see Schwert, 2011). Given that our dataset spans the period 2000-2012, we examine whether the performance of our forecasting methods changes between periods of market calmness and unrest. The ranking of our models is comparable in the periods before, during and after the crisis. However, all models perform significantly worse during the crisis period. This result suggests that researchers and practitioners should be more cautious when forecasting volatility during periods of market downturn.

Finally, we examine the practical value of the forecasting models considered for an international investor. This reveals that implied volatility-based forecasts lead to superior out-of-sample portfolio performance. In particular, only this forecasting method yields portfolios

that manage to outperform our benchmark strategy of an equally-weighted portfolio (1/N). We also find that correcting for the volatility risk premium further improves portfolio performance.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents our data and the forecasting models under consideration. The information content and predictive ability of the models is evaluated in sections 3 and 4, respectively. Section 4 also examines the value of the volatility forecasts before, during and after the 2008-09 crisis period. Section 5 assesses the economic value of volatility forecasts. Section 6 summarizes our robustness checks while the last section concludes the paper.

2. Methodology

2.1 Data

Our analysis involves three types of data. First, we collect daily dividend-adjusted closing levels for 13 international equity indices from Bloomberg. We use these to compute percentage index returns. Second, we use a daily realized volatility series for each equity index, constructed from equally spaced 5-min intraday returns. These series are collected from the Oxford-Man Institute Realized Library of the University of Oxford. Finally, we obtain daily data on the option implied volatility indices which correspond to the equity markets under consideration, from Bloomberg. All implied volatility indices used are constructed in a model-free manner. Our sample spans the period from January 3, 2000 to October 26, 2012, although for some markets the sample is shorter. Table 1 reports the equity indices considered, along with the sample period covered and the relevant implied volatility indices.

2.2 Volatility Forecasting Models

This section describes our proxy of the actual unobserved volatility and the volatility forecasting models we use in our analysis, respectively.

2.2.1 Realized Volatility

As the true volatility is unobservable, we need to proxy it using an observable variable. The most popular and theoretically appealing proxy is the so-called realized variance. This is given by the sum of squared intraday returns sampled at equally spaced intervals (see Andersen and Bollerslev, 1998; Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard, 2002b). It has been shown that in a

4

frictionless market realized variance is a consistent estimator of the actual unobserved variance (Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard, 2002a). In particular, it follows from the quadratic variation theory that realized variance asymptotically converges to the actual unobserved variance as the sampling frequency increases to infinity.

Suppose that on a trading day *t,* we observe *M+1* prices at times: $t_0, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_M$. If p_{t_j} is the logarithmic price at time t_j, then the corresponding return, r_{t_j}, for the jth intraday interval of day *t* is defined as: $r_{t_j} = p_{t_j} - p_{t_{j-1}}$. The *realized volatility* estimator for day *t* is then defined as the square root of the sum of squared intraday returns:

$$RV_t = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{t_j}^2} \qquad (1)$$

Realized volatility over horizons of *k* days is given by $RV_{t:t+k} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{k} RV_{t+i}^2}$, under the convention that $RV_t = RV_{t-1:t}$. In our analysis, we consider horizons of 1, 5 and 22 days, respectively.

2.2.2 Forecasts from Intraday Returns (LRE)

The first forecasting model we consider involves the lagged realized volatility (LRE). This model stems from the assumption that volatility is a Markov process and therefore last period's volatility is highly informative of future values. An alternative to LRE would be to use historical volatility constructed from daily data. Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence has shown that historical volatility estimators obtained using daily data are inferior to high-frequency-based counterparts (e.g., Andersen and Bollerslev, 1998; Blair et al., 2001, Andersen et al., 2007a).

2.2.3 Model-Free Implied Volatility Forecasts (MFIV)

The second forecasting model we adopt is based on volatility measures implied from the prices of liquid traded options. Option implied volatility can be constructed using the Black-Scholes (BS) option pricing model. However, this approach fails to accommodate empirically documented facts, such as stochastic volatility, non-Gaussian returns, etc. To address these issues, recent research advocates the derivation of implied volatility in a model-free manner that employs mid-quote prices of out-of-the money call and put options with different strike

prices and maturities (e.g., Britten-Jones and Neuberger, 2000). The implied volatility indices we adopt in this work are constructed this way.

Implied volatility indices represent the market expectation of future volatility of the underlying equity index over the next 30 calendar days. In order to predict volatility at various horizons, we re-scale implied volatility so that it forecasts the k-day volatility (see for example, Blair et al., 2001). This is done by setting $MFIV_{t:t+k} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{252}} IV_t$, where: IV_t is the daily value of the implied volatility index. Then, $MFIV_{t:t+k}$ corresponds to the k-day option implied volatility forecast.

2.2.4 Model -Free Implied Volatility Adjusted for the Volatility Risk Premium (C-MFIV)

It is well known that implied volatility is a biased estimator of future volatility (Jiang and Tian, 2005; Andersen et al., 2007b). One of the main reasons for this bias is the existence of an economically significant variance risk premium (see Chernov, 2007). To correct for this premium, Prokopczuk and Wese Simen (2014) introduce a simple non-parametric adjustment to the implied volatility in their study of energy futures markets. They show that accounting for the volatility risk premium leads to superior volatility forecasts, compared to time series models, Black-Scholes implied volatility and the non-adjusted MFIV.

Motivated by this evidence, we assess the value of the approach of Prokopczuk and Wese Simen in the context of equity markets. In particular, we consider a relative variance risk premium, defined as:

$$VRP_t = E_t^Q(Var_{t:t+k})/E_t^P(Var_{t:t+k}),\qquad(2)$$

where P and Q are the physical and risk-neutral probability measures, respectively, and $Var_{t:t+k}$ is the variance from day to t to day $t+k$. Empirically, the risk neutral expectation of variance between t and $t+k$ can be estimated using $MFIV_{t:t+k}$. To estimate the ex-ante expectation of variance under the physical measure, we employ the realized variance computed from 5-min. intraday returns. Then, following Prokopczuk and Wese Simen (2014), the average estimated relative variance risk premium over a period of 252-k days is:

$$\overline{VRP}_t = \frac{1}{252-k} \sum_{i=t-251}^{t-k} \frac{MFIV_{i:i+k}^2}{RV_{i:i+k}^2},\qquad(3)$$

6

where k is the forecast horizon (k=1, 5 or 22). Finally, the *corrected model-free implied volatility* (*C-MFIV*) forecast for a horizon of k days is obtained as follows:

$$C - MFIV_{t:t+k} = \frac{MFIV_{t:t+k}}{\sqrt{\overline{VRP_t}}}. \tag{4}$$

Other approaches in the literature that correct for the variance risk premium include Chernov (2007) and Kang et al. (2010). Chernov relies on at-the-money option contracts and models the volatility risk premium as an affine function of the underlying spot volatility. Kang et al. take a more structural approach that employs investor risk preferences and higher order risk neutral moments. While both of these alternatives successfully correct for the variance risk premium, we adopt the technique of Prokopczuk and Wese Simen, as it is simpler to apply in our framework without requiring additional estimations from options data. Moreover, as argued by Prokopczuk and Wese Simen, dividing raw implied volatility by the squared root of the variance risk premium, instead of using a volatility spread as in Chernov, can improve volatility forecasting in time-periods that include regime switches. This makes the method of Prokopczuk and Wese Simen more suitable for our empirical analysis, as our datasets cover the 2008-09 financial crisis. A potential downside of (4) is that the choice of the averaging period is not theoretically justified. To deal with this issue, we consider alternative estimation periods, namely 18 and 24 months, and we do not observe any significant change in our results.

2.2.5 GJR-GARCH Model Forecasts (GJR)

The fourth forecasting model in our analysis is the so-called GJR-GARCH model of Glosten et al. (1993). This captures serial dependencies in an asymmetrical manner in that negative shocks have a greater impact on volatility than positive shocks of the same magnitude. Moreover, if offers superior forecasting performance against other ARCH specifications (see, for example, Brownlees et al., 2012). The GJR-GARCH model is specified as follows:

$$h_t = \omega + \alpha\, e_{t-1}^2 + \gamma\, I_{\{e_{t-1}<0\}}\, e_{t-1}^2 + \beta\, h_{t-1}, \tag{5}$$

where e_t are the residuals from the mean equation $r_t = \mu + e_t$, μ is the unconditional mean of the return series, h_t is the conditional variance of the residuals and $I_{\{e_{t-1}<0\}}$ is an indicator function that equals 1 if the previous period innovation is negative and zero otherwise. To

produce out-of-sample volatility forecasts, we estimate the above model on a rolling basis using a window of 800 observations and construct *k*-step ahead forecasts in a recursive manner.[2]

2.2.6 Heterogeneous Autoregressive Model Forecasts (HAR)

The literature highlights the importance of long memory for modelling and forecasting volatility (Comte and Renault, 1998; Areal and Taylor, 2002; Andersen et al., 2003; Deo et al., 2006). Alas, many of the conventional long memory models, such as the Fractional Integrated GARCH (FIGARCH), present significant estimation and computational difficulties. To overcome such issues, we adopt the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model introduced by Corsi (2009). This is relatively straightforward to estimate and can capture known stylized facts of financial data, such as long memory and fat tails.

In the HAR setting, realized volatility over the next *k* days is modeled as an affine function of past realized volatilities computed over three different horizons:

$$RV_{t:t+k} = \omega + \beta_d\, RV_t + \beta_w\, RV_{t-5:t} + \beta_m RV_{t-22:t} + e_{t+k} \tag{6}$$

To be consistent with the application of the GJR-GARCH model, we estimate the above model using a rolling sample of the 800 most recent observations. We then use the parameter estimates to forecast the volatility of equity returns over horizons of 1, 5 and 22 business days, respectively.

3. Information Content of Volatility Forecasts

3.1 Univariate Regressions

To assess the information content of individual volatility forecasts we estimate Mincer-Zarnowitz regressions (Mincer and Zarnowitz, 1969). These involve regressing the *k*-day realized volatility for each equity index on forecasts from the different volatility models:

$$RV_{t:t+k} = \alpha + \beta\, \hat{F}_{t:t+k} + e_t\,, \tag{7}$$

where $\hat{F}_{t:t+k}$ is the *k*-day ahead forecast from a particular volatility forecasting model. A forecast is informative about future volatility if it has a coefficient in regression (7) that is statistically different from zero.

---

[2] In Section 6, we also consider sample lengths of 1,000 and 1,200 observations, respectively.

The results from estimating the above regressions are reported in Tables 2 and 3 for the daily and monthly horizon, respectively (to preserve space, results for the weekly horizon can be found in the Appendix A). The tables give the coefficient estimates (β) for each forecasting model along with the associated t-statistics in parentheses. The bottom section of each table presents the average values of the coefficients for each forecasting model across the thirteen indices. We observe that all slope coefficient estimates are statistically significant, indicating that all forecasts bear information about future volatility. Looking at the results across markets, we observe that according to the adjusted R^2 ($\bar{R}^2$) values the HAR model has the greatest explanatory power at the daily horizon for 9 out 13 indices. However, at the monthly horizon the C-MFIV model exhibits the highest $\bar{R}^2$ values in 12 out of 13 markets. At the weekly forecast horizon, the results are more mixed with the GJR, HAR and MFIV producing the most informative forecasts in the majority of markets. Notably, the GJR model is superior for the S&P 500 index for all three forecast horizons considered.

Regression (7) can also be employed to assess the biasedness of volatility forecasts. In particular, a volatility forecast is an unbiased forecast of future realized volatility, if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. We test the validity of this joint restriction through a standard Wald-type test. As we use overlapping samples, the errors from (7) are serially correlated for forecasting horizons that exceed one day. For this reason, we employ the heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors of Newey and West (1987) with k lags. We draw three conclusions from these results which are available upon request. First, for most markets and forecast horizons the regression intercept α is statistically significant while β is different from 1. This result means that most volatility forecasts are biased and is in line with Jiang and Tian (2005) and Andersen et al. (2007b). The only notable exception is the HAR model at the 1-day forecast horizon where the restriction cannot be rejected for 6 out of the 13 equity markets at the 5% significance level. Second, the observed bias is significantly smaller for the HAR forecasts and to a lesser extent for the C-MFIV forecasts, respectively. Third, our results indicate that the volatility risk premium adjustment significantly reduces the bias in the model-free implied volatility. The reduction is more pronounced at the monthly forecast horizon.

3.2 Encompassing Regressions

We further assess the incremental information content of the volatility forecasts by estimating encompassing regressions for each of the 13 equity markets. These regressions replace the individual forecasts in the right hand-side of (7) with a pair of forecasts from competing

volatility models. Apart from the relative importance of two competing volatility forecasts, encompassing regressions can detect whether a volatility forecast subsumes all the information included in another forecast.[3]

Table 4 presents aggregate results for daily and weekly encompassing regressions, namely, the average intercept and slope as well as the average $\bar{R}^2$ coefficient across the thirteen indices. Extensive results for daily and weekly forecasts are not reported, as they indicate that all forecasting models contain information about future volatility with statistically significant coefficients at the 5% level. We find that all models explain a large fraction of the variation in realized volatility, since the average $\bar{R}^2$ values range between 70.7% (74.2%) and 72.7% (75%) at the daily (weekly) forecast horizon.

More interesting results emerge from the monthly encompassing regressions presented in Table 5. First, focusing on the fourth column of the table (labeled "LRE+C-MFIV") reveals that the corrected model-free implied volatility subsumes all information contained in lagged realized volatility. The coefficients of C-MFIV are all highly significant and lie between 0.70 and 0.99. In contrast, the raw MFIV is not significantly superior to lagged realized volatility. This result confirms the importance of accounting for the volatility risk premium for volatility forecasting. Second, C-MFIV subsumes the information in HAR forecasts in all markets except for the S&P 500. The raw MFIV also outperforms HAR forecasts in 7 out of 13 markets. Third, C-MFIV yields superior forecasts to GARCH in 6 of the 13 markets (see column labeled "GJR+C-MFIV"), while for the remaining markets both are informative, but C-MFIV has a more pronounced impact on realized volatility as indicated by the greater regression coefficients. The latter result extends to all regressions that involve raw or adjusted implied volatility, indicating that these models have a stronger effect on future volatility compared to the remaining models. This finding is also clear from the bottom panel of Table 5, which contains the cross-sectional average of the regression coefficients.

Overall, our results indicate the superiority of implied volatility forecasts in the monthly horizon. The superior predictive ability of the C-MFIV at the monthly horizon is likely a consequence of its construction from options with maturity of one month. This is in line with Taylor et al. (2010) who show that option implied volatility forecasts are more informative than

---

[3] We do not present combinations of in-sample forecasts for CMFIV+MFIV and LRE+HAR in Tables 4 and 5. The main reason is that the MFIV is essentially nested within the C-MFIV and LRE is nested within HAR. Moreover, from an econometric perspective, inclusion of MFIV (LRE) and the C-MFIV (HAR) in the same regression would make it much more susceptible to multicollinearity.

historical volatility when the forecast horizon matches the maturity date of the underlying options.

In summary, the results from univariate and encompassing regressions suggest that all forecasts are informative about future volatility across all markets, even though they generally produce biased forecasts. The forecast horizon determines the most informative model with the HAR and the C-MFIV being clearly superior at the daily and monthly horizons, respectively.

4. Out-of-Sample Forecast Evaluation

So far, our analysis has been devoted to regressions that explore the information content of volatility forecasts in-sample. In this section, we assess the out-of-sample forecasting accuracy of the competing models. To this end, we consider two of the most frequently used loss functions: the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). These are defined as:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(RV_{t:t+k} - \hat{F}_{t:t+k}\right)^2} \qquad (8)$$

$$QLIKE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left[\log(\hat{F}_{t:t+k}) + \frac{RV_{t:t+k}}{\hat{F}_{t:t+k}}\right], \qquad (9)$$

where N is the number of out-of-sample volatility forecasts. RMSE is a popular choice in empirical applications while QLIKE is known to be robust to noise in the volatility proxy (Patton, 2011).

To formally investigate whether the differences between the forecast errors of competing volatility models are statistically significant, we employ the Diebold-Mariano (DM) predictive accuracy test (Diebold and Mariano, 1995). In implementing the DM test, we account for possible autocorrelation in overlapping multi-period forecasts using the covariance estimator of Newey-West (1987).

Tables 6 and 7 report our out-of-sample results (results for the weekly forecast horizon are reported in Table A2 of the appendix to save space). Rows correspond to markets and columns correspond to forecasting models. Panel A (Panel B) reports the results for the RMSE (QLIKE) loss function. The model with the lowest forecast errors for each loss function is highlighted in bold. Models with significantly higher forecast errors than the best model according to the DM

test at the 5% (10%) are marked with two (one) asterisks. The results generally indicate model rankings that are similar to those obtained previously by the in-sample univariate and encompassing regressions. Starting with Table 6, we observe that HAR is clearly the best performing model at the daily horizon. All models are inferior to the HAR model, according to both performance criteria. The model with the worst overall performance is the MFIV, which yields the highest forecast error in almost all markets, followed by the GJR-GARCH. For instance, in the case of the NASDAQ index, HAR has a RMSE value of 0.29, while at the same time the RMSE of MFIV is more than double as high and equal to 0.69.

Table 7 shows that at the monthly horizon C-MFIV exhibits the lowest forecast errors among almost all competing models for all markets and loss functions. The loss differences of most models against the C-MFIV are statistically significant at the 5% level. The only exception is the HAR model. Nevertheless, HAR still has larger forecast errors than C-MFIV for the majority of the markets. HAR also appears to outperform the raw implied volatility forecasts in all markets according to both criteria. The GJR-GARCH model underperforms most of the models except for the MFIV. The latter results shows that correcting implied volatility for the volatility risk premium is of high importance when forecasting volatility.

The results for the weekly horizon suggest that C-MFIV produces the lowest forecast errors for the majority of the markets (Table A2 in appendix). In particular, according to the RMSE (QLIKE), C-MFIV yields the best performance in 10 (8) out of 13 markets. Nevertheless, the differences in forecast errors between C-MFIV and HAR are insignificant in all but 2 cases. Again MFIV and GJR-GARCH result in the worst performance. For example, we find that the RMSE value for C-MFIV is 0.46 compared to a 0.98 for GJR-GARCH in the FTSE 100 index.

We further investigate whether the accuracy of volatility forecasting changes in periods of market turmoil. In this context, we perform a separate out-of-sample forecast evaluation over three different sub-periods: (i) the pre-crisis period (January 2003- July 2008), (ii) the crisis period (August 2008-December 2009) and, (iii) the after-crisis period (January 2010 – August 2012). We define the crisis period to be the shortly after the Lehman collapse until the end of 2009, similar to Genre et al. (2013).[4] Tables 8-9 present forecast losses for each market and forecasting model over the three sub-periods considered (weekly results are in Table A3 of the appendix to conserve space).

---

[4] We have experimented with different periods such as 2007-2009 and obtained almost identical results. These results are available upon request.

This analysis leads to three major conclusions. First, the forecasting ability of all models significantly deteriorates over the crisis period according to both loss functions. Also for most markets, the forecast errors in the post-crisis period are higher than the errors in the pre-crisis period. One of the possible explanations for this is the anomalous situation around European sovereign debt in the post-crisis period. These results indicate that academics and practitioners should be more cautious when forecasting volatility in periods of turmoil. Second, as in the full sample, HAR and C-MFIV are superior to the remaining models during the crisis period, at the 1-day and 22-day horizons, respectively. Although model rankings do not change for these horizons, the statistical differences among models are less significant. Third, the ranking of the models at the weekly horizon substantially changes in the crisis period and the evidence regarding the best model is fairly mixed. However, the loss differences between the best models are almost never statistically significant.

5. Economic Value of Volatility Forecasts

We now assess the economic value of the five volatility forecasting methodologies under study from the perspective of an international portfolio investor. We consider a market of 11 assets, which correspond to 11 out of the 13 international indices we consider. We exclude KOSPI and Russell 2000 from this analysis due to the relatively smaller period that their data span. We also do not account for currency risk, as this goes beyond the aims of this study. We assume weekly and monthly rebalancing instead of daily to avoid any significant bias caused from the non-synchronous trading times of the markets considered. So, let r_t be the vector of asset returns in period t, where t could be week or month. In each period, the investor adopts a "volatility-timing" strategy with portfolio weights $w_t = \left(w_{1t}, w_{2t}, ..., w_{Nt} \right)'$ defined as:

$$w_{it} = \frac{(1/\sigma_{it}^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (1/\sigma_{it}^2)}, \quad i = 1,...,N, \tag{10}$$

where σ_{it}^2 is the conditional variance of the return on the i index at time $t+1$, given the information available at time t. This portfolio strategy is proposed by Kirby and Ostdiek (2012) and is equivalent to the portfolio of minimum variance under the assumption of zero covariance between assets. We adopt this strategy as the weights are only a function of the variances and

are not subject to other moments, such as means and covariances. This allows us to evaluate the different volatility forecasting models in a portfolio choice setting, protecting our conclusions from biases due to errors in estimates of means and covariances. Kirby and Ostdiek also show that the above volatility-timing strategy offers several practical advantages. For instance, it requires no optimization, does not yield short positions, leads to low transaction costs and appears to perform at least as good as several alternative strategies that involve the estimation of means and/or covariances.

In practice, σ_{it}^2's are unknown and need to be estimated. More accurate estimates lead to smaller levels of portfolio risk (for the effects of estimation errors in the portfolio choice process, see Michaud, 1989). By using each of the five volatility models under study to estimate σ_{it}^2's in Equation (10), we can test how efficient a model is in improving portfolio performance. Through this process, we end up with five portfolios with each one corresponding to a different forecasting model. We evaluate the out-of-sample performance of each portfolio by following a standard rolling window approach from the portfolio choice literature (e.g., see DeMiguel et al., 2009). In particular, we first estimate the portfolio weights $\hat{w}_t^s$ for each period t and forecasting model s. We then use the weights for each strategy to compute the respective portfolio return at time $t + 1$:

$$r_{t+1}^s = (\hat{w}_t^s)' r_{t+1} \tag{11}$$

The outcome of this procedure is a time-series of out-of-sample portfolio returns for each forecasting methodology. Using these series, we compute the following statistics that allow us to assess the economic significance of each forecasting method:

- Out-of-sample mean: $\hat{\mu}^s = \dfrac{1}{M} \sum_{t=1}^{M} r_t^s$

- Out-of-sample variance: $\left(\hat{\sigma}^s \right)^2 = \dfrac{1}{M-1} \sum_{t=1}^{M} \left(r_t^s - \hat{\mu}^s \right)^2$

- Out-of-sample mean-to-standard deviation ratio: $\hat{\theta}^s = \dfrac{\hat{\mu}^s}{\hat{\sigma}^s}$

- Average Portfolio Turnover: $\hat{\tau}^s = \dfrac{1}{M-1} \sum_{t=1}^{M-1} \left\| \hat{w}_{t+1}^s - \hat{w}_{t+}^s \right\|_1$

In the above, M denotes the total number of out-of-sample returns, $\hat{w}_{t+}^S$ is the vector of portfolio weights at time $t +1$before rebalancing to $\hat{w}_{t+1}^S$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the 1-norm. The average portfolio

turnover is important as a measure of the stability of the portfolio and of relevant transaction costs (see Kourtis, 2014).

Table 10 presents the results of this analysis for the cases of weekly and monthly rebalancing. For weekly (monthly) rebalancing the covariance matrix is estimated using the respective 5-day (22-day) ahead volatility forecasts. We compare the performance of the five estimated portfolios with that of the equally-weighted portfolio (1/*N*). This is a typical benchmark in the portfolio choice literature because of its superiority over many sample-based portfolios (DeMiguel et al., 2009; Kourtis, 2015). The parentheses next to the portfolio variance give the *p*-values from testing the hypothesis of no-difference between the variance of the portfolio and that of the 1/N strategy, respectively. To derive the p-values, we use the non-parametric circular bootstrap method by Ledoit and Wolf (2011), assuming an average block size of 10 and 10,000 trials.

In the case of weekly rebalancing (Panel A), we find that only the forecasts based on implied volatility offer significant reduction in portfolio risk over 1/*N*. The portfolios computed using the MFIV and C-MFIV methodologies offer the lowest out-of-sample variance, i.e., 0.0255. The variance for 1/*N* is 0.0268 with the difference to the implied-volatility-based portfolio being significant at the 1% level. The remaining data-driven portfolios also result to lower variance than 1/*N*, however the difference is not statistically significant. The two portfolios that use forward-looking information also outperform the history-based portfolios in terms of mean return. As a result, their mean-to-standard deviation ratio is higher. This finding is consistent with the results of Kempf et al. (2014) who show that the use of implied moments is of significant merit for portfolio optimization. Between the two portfolios, MFIV offers slightly higher mean. Finally, both portfolios offer relatively low levels of turnover making them attractive under transaction costs.

When rebalancing is performed monthly, only the C-MFIV-based portfolio offers significantly lower risk than 1/*N*, namely, 0.0237 versus 0.0268, respectively. The corrected model-free implied volatility model offers the lowest variance among all forecasting models, including the raw implied volatility (MFIV). A similar result is obtained by DeMiguel et al. (2013) who find that correcting the implied volatility forecast for the risk-premium can enhance out-of-sample portfolio performance. As in the case of weekly rebalancing, portfolios that utilize implied volatility are more stable in terms of portfolio turnover. However, the HAR-based portfolio leads to a higher average return in this case.

6. Robustness Checks

We conduct several additional tests to investigate the robustness of our findings. We begin by analyzing whether our main findings persist if we predict logarithmic volatility rather than the level of volatility. We then analyze our results with respect to other intraday volatility estimators, such as the realized kernel of Barndorff Nielsen and Shephard (2008). Next, we show that our findings are robust to the choice of the rolling sample used to obtain forecasts from the HAR and GARCH models. Finally, we check whether our core findings persist when volatility spillovers from the US market are taken into consideration. The corresponding results are included in the appendix of this work. We find that for all alternatives our main conclusions generally remain the same.

6.1 Forecasting Logarithmic Volatility

Our analysis has focused so far on forecasting raw realized volatility. This is because volatility is the key input in option pricing and modern portfolio theories. Nonetheless, one may argue that volatility is heavily skewed and therefore the residuals from univariate and encompassing regressions violate the normal distribution assumption of the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation. As a robustness check, we repeat our in-sample and out-of-sample model evaluation, replacing volatility by its natural logarithm. Tables B1–B9 of the appendix present these results. Consistent with our main findings, we see that the results allow similar conclusions to those that assume raw realized volatility.

6.2 Robustness to Microstructure Noise

According to the theory of quadratic variation, realized variance is a consistent estimator of the true unobserved variance (i.e., "integrated variance") in the absence of microstructure noise. However, numerous studies have shown that realized variance is susceptible to microstructure effects (Zhang et al., 2005; Hansen and Lunde, 2006; Bandi and Russell, 2006; Lee and Mykland, 2012). More specifically, in the presence of microstructure noise, realized variance fails to converge to the actual unobserved variance due to accumulation of error. To explore the robustness of our findings to microstructure noise, we repeat our analysis using the realized kernel as a more robust proxy for the latent volatility in the presence of market frictions (for practical details on realized kernels the reader can refer to Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2009). Our results are practically unaffected by this choice (see Tables C1-C9 of the appendix).

6.3 Alternative Estimation Periods for the Forecasting Models

Our out-of-sample analysis rests on a rolling window of 800 observations. Since this choice may seem arbitrary, we also consider windows of 1,000 and 1,200 observations. The results reported in Tables D1-D9 of the appendix show that changing the width of the rolling window has virtually no impact on our main conclusions.

6.4 Controlling for Spillovers from the US Market

Several papers document volatility spillovers from the US to other international equity markets (e.g., Theodossiou and Lee, 1993; Ng, 2000; Baele, 2005). This means that the ability of the various models to predict future realized volatility may be influenced or even vanish once the effect from the US market volatility is considered. To investigate this possibility we re-estimate all univariate and encompassing regressions using the lagged realized volatility of the S&P 500 as an additional regressor for the indices of all countries except the US. To avoid issues associated with asynchronous trading times across global stock markets (see Hamao et al., 1990), we limit our analysis to the weekly and monthly forecast horizon.

The results from Mincer-Zarnowitz regressions in Tables E1 and E2 of the appendix allow two conclusions. First, the coefficient estimates of the various volatility forecasts remain strongly significant in all markets. Second, most coefficients of the lagged US volatility are significant showing that lagged US volatility provides additional information to that contained in the volatility forecasts of the domestic equity market. However, as shown in the row labeled "$\Delta\bar{R}^2$" the increase in the adjusted-R^2 is relatively low in most cases. For example, at the monthly forecast horizon the $\bar{R}^2$ improvement ranges between 0.1% and 10%.

Turning to the results from the encompassing regressions presented in Tables E3 and E4, we see that both at the weekly and monthly forecast horizons, most forecasts are still informative, while lagged US volatility enters again with a highly significant coefficient. Similar to the two-variable regressions above, the improvement in the explanatory power of the regressions augmented with lagged realized US volatility is relatively modest in most cases. Collectively, the above results highlight the importance of spillovers for volatility forecasting, however, they do not alter our core findings about the forecasting ability of the different models under study.

17

6.5 Alternative Estimation Periods for the Variance Risk Premium

One could possibly argue that the choice of a period of just under one year for the estimation of the VPR is not theoretically justified. Our choice is driven by the desire to strike a balance between estimation accuracy by using a sufficiently large sample for the VRP calculation and the use of an as recent as possible information set. To assess the robustness of our results to this choice we experimented with alternative durations of 18 months (378 trading days) and 24 months (504 trading days) and found that our evidence is literally unaffected. These results are presented in tables F1-F6 of the appendix.

7. Conclusions

We study how the performance of several popular forecasting models for equity index volatility is affected by a series of factors, i.e., country, forecast horizon, market conditions, statistical accuracy and economic significance. The Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model is the most accurate for deriving one-day ahead forecasts. An implied volatility model that incorporates the volatility risk premium is more suitable for monthly forecasts. Forecasts based on GJR-GARCH models are inferior to realized and implied volatility forecasts in almost all markets considered. The accuracy of forecasts based on implied volatility can be improved by making an adjustment for the volatility risk premium. Forecasting volatility in periods of market turmoil should be undertaken with caution as forecasting performance is likely to deteriorate significantly. Finally, implied volatility forecasts can significantly enhance international portfolio choice over historical methods. Future research could seek to improve international volatility forecasting by combining individual models or incorporating spillover effects between markets.

References

Andersen, T. G. and T. Bollerslev (1998). Answering the skeptics: Yes, standard volatility models do provide accurate forecasts. *International Economic Review* 39(4), 885–905.

Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F. X. and P. Labys (2003). Modeling and forecasting realized volatility. *Econometrica* 71(2), 579–625.

Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T. and F. X. Diebold (2007a). Roughing it up: Including jump components in the measurement, modeling, and forecasting of return volatility. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 89(4), 701–720.

Andersen, T. G., Frederiksen, P. and A. D. Staal (2007b). The information content of realized volatility forecasts. *Working paper Northwestern University*.

Areal, N. M. and S. J. Taylor (2002). The realized volatility of FTSE-100 futures prices. *Journal of Futures Market*s 22(7), 627–648.

Baele, L. (2005) Volatility spillover effects in European equity markets. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 40 (2), 373-401.

Bandi, F. M. and J. R. Russell (2006). Separating microstructure noise from volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics* 79(3), 655–692.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and N. Shephard (2002a). Econometric analysis of realized volatility and its use in estimating stochastic volatility models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* 64(2), 253–280.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and N. Shephard (2002b). Estimating quadratic variation using realized variance. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 17(5), 457–477.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., and N. Shephard. "Designing realized kernels to measure the ex post variation of equity prices in the presence of noise", *Econometrica*, 76 (6), 1481-1536.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A. and N. Shephard (2009). Realized kernels in practice: Trades and quotes. *The Econometrics Journal* 12(3), C1-C32.

Blair, B. J., Poon, S. H. and S. J. Taylor (2001). Forecasting S&P 100 volatility: The incremental information content of implied volatilities and high-frequency index returns. *Journal of Econometrics* 105(1), 5–26.

Britten-Jones, M. and A. Neuberger (2000). Option prices, implied price processes, and stochastic volatility. *Journal of Finance* 55(2), 839–866.

Brownlees, C., Engle, R. and B. Kelly (2012). A practical guide to volatility forecasting through calm and storm. *Journal of Risk* 14(2), 3.

Busch, T., Christensen, B. J. and M. Ø. Nielsen (2011). The role of implied volatility in forecasting future realized volatility and jumps in foreign exchange, stock, and bond markets. *Journal of Econometrics* 160(1), 48–57.

Charoenwong, C., Jenwittayaroje, N. and B. S. Low (2009). Who knows more about future currency volatility? *Journal of Futures Markets* 29(3), 270-295.

Chernov, M. (2007). On the role of risk premia in volatility forecasting. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 25(4), 411–426.

Comte, F. and E. Renault (1998). Long memory in continuous-time stochastic volatility models. *Mathematical Finance* 8(4), 291–323.

Corsi, F. (2009). A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics* 7(2), 174–196.

Corsi, F., Pirino, D. and R. Reno (2010). Threshold bipower variation and the impact of jumps on volatility forecasting. Journal of Econometrics 159(2), 276-288.

Covrig, V., and B. S. Low (2003). The quality of volatility traded on the over-the-counter currency market: A multiple horizons study. *Journal of Futures Markets* 23(3), 261-285.

DeMiguel, V., Plyakha, Y., Uppal, R. and G. Vilkov (2013). Improving portfolio selection using option-implied volatility and skewness. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 48(06), 1813-1845.

Deo, R., Hurvich, C. and Y. Lu (2006). Forecasting realized volatility using a long-memory stochastic volatility model: Estimation, prediction and seasonal adjustment. *Journal of Econometrics* 131(1), 29–58.

Diebold, F. X. and R. S. Mariano (1995). Comparing predictive accuracy. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 13(3), 253–263.

Fleming, J. (1998). The quality of market volatility forecasts implied by S&P 100 index option prices. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 5(4), 317-345.

Genre, V., Kenny, G., Meyler, A. and A. Timmermann (2013). Combining expert forecasts: Can anything beat the simple average? *International Journal of Forecasting* 29(1), 108-121.

Giot, P. (2003). The information content of implied volatility in agricultural commodity markets. *Journal of Futures Markets* 23(5), 441-454.

Giot, P and S. Laurent (2007). The information content of implied volatility in light of the jump/continuous decomposition of realized volatility. *Journal of Futures Markets* 27(4), 337-359.

Glosten, L. R., Jagannathan, R. and D. E. Runkle (1993). On the relation between the expected value and the volatility of the nominal excess return on stocks. *Journal of Finance* 48(5), 1779–1801.

Hamao, Y., Masulis, R. W. and V. Ng (1990). Correlations in price changes and volatility across international stock markets. *Review of Financial Studies* 3(2), 281-307.

Hansen, P. R. and A. Lunde (2006). Realized variance and market microstructure noise. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 24(2), 127–161.

Jiang, G. J. and Y. S. Tian (2005). The model-free implied volatility and its information content. *Review of Financial Studies* 18(4), 1305–1342.

Jorion, P. (1995). Predicting volatility in the foreign exchange market. *Journal of Finance*, 50(2), 507-528.

Kang, B. J., Kim, T. S., and S. J. Yoon, (2010). Information content of volatility spreads. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 30(6), 533-558.

Kempf, A., Korn, O. and S. Saßning (2014). Portfolio optimization using forward-looking information. *Review of Finance*, 19(1), 467-490.

Kirby, C. and B. Ostdiek (2012). It's all in the timing: simple active portfolio strategies that outperform naive diversification. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 47(2), 437-467.

Kourtis, A. (2014). On the distribution and estimation of trading costs. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 28, 104-117.

Kourtis A. (2015). A stability approach to mean-variance optimization. *Financial Review*, 50(3), 301-330.

Ledoit, O. and M. Wolf (2011). Robust performances hypothesis testing with the variance. *Wilmott* 2011(55), 86-89.

Lee, S. S. and P. A. Mykland (2012). Jumps in equilibrium prices and market microstructure noise. *Journal of Econometrics* 168(2), 396-406.

Martens, M. and J. Zein (2004). Predicting financial volatility: High-frequency time-series forecasts vis-à-vis implied volatility. *Journal of Futures Markets* 24(11), 1005-1028.

Michaud, R. O. (1989). The Markowitz optimization enigma: Is "optimized" optimal? *Financial Analysts Journal* 45(1), 31-42.

Mincer, J., and V. Zarnowitz (1969). The Evaluation of Economic Forecasts, in Zarnowitz, J. (ed.) *Economic Forecasts and Expectations*, National Bureau of Economic Research, New York.

Newey, W. K. and K. D. West (1987). A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix. *Econometrica* 55(3), 703–708.

Patton, A. J. (2011). Volatility forecast comparison using imperfect volatility proxies. *Journal of Econometrics* 160(1), 246–256.

Patton, A. J., and K. Sheppard (2015). Good volatility, bad volatility: Signed jumps and the persistence of volatility. *Review of Economics and Statistics,* 97 (3), 683-697.

Pong, S., Shackleton, M. B., Taylor, S. J. and X. Xu (2004). Forecasting currency volatility: A comparison of implied volatilities and AR(FI)MA models. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 28(10), 2541-2563.

Prokopczuk, M. and C. Wese Simen (2014). The importance of the volatility risk premium for volatility forecasting. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 40, 303–320.

Schwert, G. W. (2011). Stock volatility during the recent financial crisis. *European Financial Management* 17(5), 789-805.

Taylor, S. J., Yadav, P. K., and Y. Zhang (2010). The information content of implied volatilities and model-free volatility expectations: Evidence from options written on individual stocks. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(4), 871-881.

Theodossiou, P., U. and Lee (1993). Mean and volatility spillovers across major national stock markets: Further empirical evidence. *Journal of Financial Research* 16(4), 337-350.

Zhang, L., Mykland, P. A. and Y. Aït-Sahalia (2005). A tale of two time scales: Determining integrated volatility with noisy high-frequency data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 100(472), 1394–1411.

Table 1: **List of equity and implied volatility indices**

Equity Index	Stock Exchange	Country	Implied Volatility Index	Sample period
AEX	Amsterdam Stock Exchange	Netherlands	VAEX	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
CAC 40	Euronext Paris	France	VCAC	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
DAX	Frankfurt Stock Exchange	Germany	V1X	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
DOW JONES INDUSTRIAL AVERAGE (DJIA)	New York Stock Exchange	United States	VXD	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
EURO STOXX 50	Multiple Exchanges in Europe	Europe	V2X	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
FTSE 100	London Stock Exchange	United Kingdom	VFTSE	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
HANG SENG INDEX	Hong Kong Stock Exchange	China	VHSI	02/01/2001 - 26/10/2012
KOREA COMPOSITE STOCK PRICE INDEX (KOSPI)	Korea Exchange	South Korea	VKOSPI	02/01/2003 - 26/10/2012
NIKKEI 225	Tokyo Stock Exchange	Japan	VXJ	04/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
NASDAQ 100	New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	United States	VXN	05/02/2001 - 26/10/2012
RUSSELL 2000	NASDAQ, NYSE	United States	RVX	02/01/2004 - 26/10/2012
SWISS MARKET INDEX (SMI)	SIX Swiss Exchange	Switzerland	V3X	04/01/2000 - 26/10/2012
S&P 500	NYSE, NASDAQ	United States	VIX	03/01/2000 - 26/10/2012

Table 2: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient of the regression. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The bottom panel of the table contains the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasts are based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. Significant coefficients at the 5% level are highlighted in bold. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	**0.022** (7.42)	**-0.022** (-5.20)	0.005 (1.49)	**0.021** (5.99)	0.003 (0.88)
β	**0.855** (38.48)	**0.726** (36.06)	**1.062** (34.10)	0.652 (32.60)	0.977 (40.83)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.90%	71.29%	69.69%	74.29%	76.45%
CAC 40					
α	**0.028** (6.68)	**-0.044** (-7.40)	-0.006 (-1.29)	**0.011** (2.51)	0.005 (1.01)
β	**0.829** (29.46)	**0.889** (30.94)	**1.150** (29.61)	**0.732** (29.52)	**0.970** (31.44)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.72%	70.04%	66.93%	71.56%	71.78%
DAX					
α	**0.028** (7.00)	**-0.046** (-6.81)	-0.010 (-1.73)	-0.002 (-0.37)	0.004 (0.93)
β	**0.837** (32.27)	**0.910** (28.98)	**1.167** (28.53)	**0.848** (28.15)	**0.968** (31.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.36%	70.34%	65.80%	71.30%	72.77%
DJIA					
α	**0.030** (8.15)	**-0.043** (-6.30)	**-0.015** (-2.51)	0.001 (0.17)	0.006 (1.29)
β	**0.794** (27.41)	**0.986** (24.45)	**1.278** (23.36)	**0.893** (22.54)	**0.948** (27.45)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.01%	68.01%	66.71%	70.39%	69.07%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	**0.037** (6.60)	**-0.042** (-5.75)	**-0.015** (-2.24)	0.010 (1.80)	0.007 (1.10)
β	**0.788** (22.80)	**0.881** (26.14)	**1.220** (25.04)	**0.789** (25.03)	**0.958** (23.33)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.09%	64.80%	62.05%	67.94%	65.55%
FTSE 100					
α	**0.020** (6.83)	**-0.022** (-5.68)	-0.002 (-0.50)	**0.015** (3.89)	0.001 (0.28)
β	**0.840** (31.82)	**0.718** (32.37)	**1.110** (31.09)	**0.632** (24.39)	**0.984** (34.28)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.53%	72.51%	71.31%	70.54%	73.64%
HANG SENG					
α	**0.036** (6.77)	0.000 (-0.02)	-0.014 (-1.67)	**0.073** (15.00)	0.003 (0.40)
β	**0.731** (16.83)	**0.564** (15.68)	**1.202** (15.99)	**0.256** (10.90)	**0.968** (15.95)
$\bar{R}^2$	53.46%	59.02%	60.33%	45.27%	60.19%
KOSPI					
α	**0.025** (5.24)	**-0.031** (-3.39)	**-0.019** (-2.18)	-0.001 (-0.17)	0.006 (0.90)
β	**0.841** (25.07)	**0.737** (18.54)	**1.225** (18.33)	**0.711** (20.95)	**0.954** (21.40)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.75%	65.05%	64.29%	65.45%	72.86%
NIKKEI 225					
α	**0.028** (7.54)	-0.004 (-0.59)	0.002 (0.30)	**0.022** (3.88)	0.004 (0.79)
β	**0.803** (27.48)	**0.570** (19.37)	**1.074** (19.98)	**0.528** (19.09)	**0.960** (26.16)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.43%	57.91%	61.16%	59.77%	67.59%
NASDAQ					
α	**0.024** (6.67)	**-0.046** (-8.12)	**-0.011** (-2.34)	-0.002 (-0.31)	0.003 (0.80)
β	**0.832** (29.93)	**0.787** (29.83)	**1.218** (28.29)	**0.690** (24.72)	**0.970** (28.75)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.29%	71.51%	69.57%	68.24%	72.70%

Table 2 continued

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
RUSSELL 2000					
α	**0.040** (7.27)	**-0.065** (-7.61)	0.000 (0.05)	**0.015** (2.15)	0.006 (0.95)
β	**0.793** (24.97)	**0.818** (26.72)	**1.136** (25.17)	**0.631** (21.83)	**0.969** (26.35)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.80%	63.57%	61.45%	62.31%	66.95%
SMI					
α	**0.019** (6.74)	**-0.015** (-3.12)	0.005 (1.13)	**0.013** (3.23)	0.003 (0.89)
β	**0.850** (33.80)	**0.748** (25.77)	**1.026** (23.90)	**0.705** (25.32)	**0.971** (34.58)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.54%	70.43%	68.58%	71.05%	75.12%
S&P 500					
α	**0.027** (7.29)	**-0.042** (-6.98)	**-0.015** (-2.82)	0.008 (1.68)	0.005 (1.17)
β	**0.820** (29.50)	**0.912** (27.72)	**1.268** (26.52)	**0.805** (25.18)	**0.956** (29.9)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.21%	70.27%	68.69%	72.46%	72.19%
Aggregate results					
Average α	0.028	-0.032	-0.007	0.014	0.004
Average β	0.816	0.788	1.164	0.682	0.966
Average $\bar{R}^2$	66.85%	67.29%	65.89%	66.97%	70.53%

Table 3: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient of the regression. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The bottom panel of the table contains the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasts are based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. Significant coefficients at the 5% level are highlighted in bold. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	**0.037** (4.94)	0.011 (1.37)	**0.032** (4.51)	**0.037** (5.82)	0.010 (1.11)
β	**0.743** (13.38)	**0.602** (15.08)	**0.819** (14.92)	**0.580** (17.23)	**0.916** (14.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.29%	63.05%	67.40%	60.46%	60.32%
CAC 40					
α	**0.039** (4.83)	-0.004 (-0.49)	**0.026** (3.25)	**0.029** (4.02)	0.015 (1.79)
β	**0.758** (13.56)	**0.743** (15.93)	**0.883** (14.55)	**0.660** (16.95)	**0.898** (15.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.10%	62.16%	65.36%	58.30%	59.32%
DAX					
α	**0.046** (4.91)	-0.001 (-0.11)	**0.028** (2.96)	0.020 (1.79)	0.018 (1.85)
β	**0.731** (12.58)	**0.745** (14.78)	**0.874** (13.64)	**0.758** (12.44)	**0.877** (14.56)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.07%	63.04%	63.06%	57.04%	55.84%
DJIA					
α	**0.032** (2.79)	-0.010 (-0.66)	0.015 (1.11)	0.012 (0.87)	**0.024** (2.05)
β	**0.783** (8.41)	**0.853** (8.95)	**0.964** (8.49)	**0.856** (8.42)	**0.810** (9.01)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.25%	63.75%	66.18%	65.81%	60.23%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	**0.052** (5.41)	0.003 (0.26)	**0.026** (2.38)	**0.034** (3.65)	0.018 (1.78)
β	**0.706** (11.63)	**0.724** (12.61)	**0.902** (11.69)	**0.694** (13.54)	**0.896** (14.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	51.97%	59.20%	60.61%	57.86%	51.66%
FTSE 100					
α	**0.025** (3.85)	0.000 (0.05)	**0.021** (3.17)	**0.024** (3.29)	0.007 (1.02)
β	**0.802** (13.26)	**0.628** (15.86)	**0.863** (13.49)	**0.593** (13.01)	**0.913** (14.46)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.42%	68.52%	71.44%	62.02%	63.13%
HANG SENG					
α	**0.041** (3.20)	**0.026** (3.21)	**0.020** (2.42)	**0.094** (11.21)	0.022 (1.89)
β	**0.701** (6.50)	**0.476** (10.59)	**0.877** (10.89)	**0.180** (5.89)	**0.806** (8.35)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.81%	56.42%	57.94%	28.44%	49.71%
KOSPI					
α	**0.049** (3.30)	0.006 (0.30)	0.020 (1.15)	0.002 (0.09)	0.025 (1.27)
β	**0.696** (6.29)	**0.618** (6.81)	**0.916** (6.99)	**0.742** (6.39)	**0.830** (6.27)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.02%	57.96%	58.81%	46.78%	48.50%
NIKKEI 225					
α	**0.047** (3.44)	**0.031** (2.01)	**0.033** (2.42)	**0.035** (2.47)	0.019 (1.09)
β	**0.677** (6.43)	**0.456** (6.87)	**0.810** (7.58)	**0.491** (7.36)	**0.841** (6.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	45.67%	49.89%	57.50%	47.43%	46.16%
NASDAQ					
α	**0.033** (3.29)	-0.011 (-0.87)	0.019 (1.74)	0.010 (0.85)	0.015 (1.34)
β	**0.770** (9.55)	**0.662** (10.91)	**0.927** (10.35)	**0.655** (10.12)	**0.889** (10.43)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.04%	63.08%	65.95%	62.84%	60.29%

Table 3 continued

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
RUSSELL 2000					
α	**0.051** (3.32)	-0.001 (-0.05)	**0.040** (2.52)	**0.048** (2.37)	0.022 (1.20)
β	**0.745** (9.30)	**0.645** (9.65)	**0.845** (9.78)	**0.550** (7.30)	**0.899** (9.30)
$\bar{R}^2$	54.83%	53.49%	59.98%	51.22%	54.63%
SMI					
α	**0.031** (4.77)	0.008 (1.00)	**0.026** (3.20)	**0.024** (4.00)	0.008 (1.18)
β	**0.759** (13.06)	**0.645** (13.58)	**0.824** (11.20)	**0.644** (16.07)	**0.910** (15.71)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.21%	64.09%	66.71%	56.39%	62.19%
S&P 500					
α	**0.032** (2.95)	-0.009 (-0.64)	0.015 (1.21)	0.019 (1.51)	0.017 (1.46)
β	**0.790** (9.36)	**0.786** (10.07)	**0.966** (9.44)	**0.774** (9.18)	**0.869** (10.25)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.31%	64.06%	66.20%	67.45%	62.36%
Aggregate results					
Average α	0.040	0.004	0.025	0.030	0.017
Average β	0.743	0.660	0.882	0.629	0.873
Average $\bar{R}^2$	56.38%	60.67%	63.63%	55.54%	56.49%

Table 4: **Aggregate results from encompassing regressions for the 1-day and 5-day forecast horizons**

This table presents average coefficient estimates as well as average adjusted R^2 coefficients ($\bar{R}^2$) from regressions of realized volatility on competing volatility forecasts at the 1-day and 5-day forecast horizons, respectively. Each column reports results for a different pair of forecasting models. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the aggregate results from estimating a two-variable regression of realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and the GJR model, respectively. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
Panel A: 1-day forecast horizon								
Average α	0.010	-0.016	-0.002	0.000	-0.017	-0.006	-0.017	-0.006
Average β_1	0.429	0.432	0.461	0.613	0.623	0.670	0.375	0.414
Average β_2	0.388	0.439	0.613	0.297	0.316	0.407	0.399	0.555
Average $\bar{R}^2$	72.60%	72.70%	72.36%	72.46%	72.20%	71.92%	70.69%	70.78%
Panel B: 5-day forecast horizon								
Average α	0.013	-0.008	0.006	0.004	-0.012	-0.001	-0.010	0.001
Average β_1	0.436	0.431	0.443	0.530	0.517	0.535	0.372	0.384
Average β_2	0.379	0.411	0.551	0.350	0.371	0.492	0.386	0.542
Average $\bar{R}^2$	74.57%	74.68%	74.66%	74.67%	74.23%	74.17%	74.55%	75.03%

Table 5: **Encompassing regressions for volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 22-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 22-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH(1,1) model, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. The last three rows of the table contain the mean values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 22 lags. Significant coefficients at the 5% level are highlighted in bold.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	**0.030** (4.12)	0.016 (1.86)	**0.032** (4.76)	**0.019** (2.49)	0.009 (1.04)	**0.032** (4.09)	**0.016** (2.37)	**0.030** (4.00)
β_1	**0.392** (3.94)	**0.266** (4.03)	0.035 (0.29)	**0.470** (2.63)	0.241 (1.70)	-0.002 (-0.01)	**0.239** (2.12)	0.134 (1.58)
β_2	**0.312** (3.21)	**0.405** (5.92)	**0.785** (4.94)	**0.306** (2.27)	**0.453** (4.39)	**0.820** (4.51)	**0.381** (4.23)	**0.656** (7.14)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.30%	64.10%	67.40%	62.80%	63.40%	67.40%	64.80%	67.90%
CAC 40								
α	**0.025** (3.06)	0.005 (0.50)	**0.026** (3.17)	**0.016** (2.07)	-0.002 (-0.25)	**0.024** (3.20)	0.000 (0.01)	**0.021** (2.71)
β_1	**0.424** (5.57)	**0.268** (4.29)	0.114 (1.11)	**0.515** (3.85)	**0.268** (2.05)	0.088 (0.47)	**0.239** (2.83)	**0.183** (2.65)
β_2	**0.338** (4.21)	**0.506** (6.22)	**0.765** (5.10)	**0.308** (2.58)	**0.538** (4.23)	**0.805** (3.94)	**0.508** (6.56)	**0.677** (7.20)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.90%	63.20%	65.50%	61.20%	62.70%	65.40%	63.50%	66.30%
DAX								
α	**0.022** (1.99)	0.003 (0.22)	**0.028** (2.91)	0.012 (1.17)	-0.001 (-0.11)	**0.030** (3.11)	-0.002 (-0.18)	0.020 (1.92)
β_1	**0.394** (6.42)	0.101 (1.20)	0.089 (0.86)	**0.409** (2.99)	-0.040 (-0.19)	-0.051 (-0.20)	0.193 (1.96)	**0.218** (2.27)
β_2	**0.407** (4.60)	**0.654** (6.17)	**0.779** (5.28)	**0.440** (2.82)	**0.776** (4.02)	**0.919** (3.47)	**0.581** (7.32)	**0.659** (6.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.40%	63.20%	63.20%	59.10%	63.00%	63.10%	63.70%	64.00%
DJIA								
α	0.014 (0.92)	0.001 (0.04)	0.016 (1.16)	0.012 (0.88)	-0.004 (-0.29)	0.014 (1.06)	0.000 (0.00)	0.009 (0.64)
β_1	0.216 (1.73)	**0.281** (2.57)	0.112 (1.10)	0.079 (0.36)	**0.267** (3.63)	0.145 (1.50)	**0.552** (2.10)	**0.424** (2.42)
β_2	**0.644** (3.16)	**0.572** (6.46)	**0.838** (4.86)	**0.780** (2.62)	**0.600** (6.59)	**0.811** (4.54)	0.326 (1.79)	**0.518** (4.31)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.40%	64.70%	66.30%	65.90%	64.70%	66.40%	66.90%	68.10%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	**0.031** (3.37)	0.007 (0.51)	**0.026** (2.32)	**0.027** (3.53)	0.004 (0.33)	**0.030** (3.12)	0.010 (1.07)	**0.022** (2.23)
β_1	**0.249** (3.23)	0.105 (1.38)	0.015 (0.12)	0.161 (0.81)	-0.071 (-0.30)	-0.098 (-0.35)	**0.312** (2.91)	**0.281** (3.58)
β_2	**0.495** (4.80)	**0.632** (5.86)	**0.886** (4.56)	**0.586** (3.31)	**0.774** (3.71)	**0.988** (3.31)	**0.427** (5.43)	**0.578** (6.03)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.50%	59.40%	60.60%	58.10%	59.20%	60.70%	60.90%	62.30%

Table 5 continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
FTSE 100								
α	**0.017** (2.44)	0.004 (0.53)	**0.020** (3.32)	0.010 (1.54)	-0.001 (-0.08)	**0.023** (3.99)	0.002 (0.36)	**0.020** (2.83)
β_1	**0.481** (5.60)	**0.234** (3.63)	0.077 (0.72)	**0.520** (3.85)	0.149 (1.58)	-0.053 (-0.36)	0.137 (1.22)	0.063 (0.78)
β_2	**0.281** (3.19)	**0.462** (6.62)	**0.789** (5.06)	**0.285** (2.52)	**0.535** (6.68)	**0.907** (5.24)	**0.501** (5.59)	**0.785** (7.58)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.00%	69.20%	71.50%	65.70%	68.70%	71.40%	69.00%	71.50%
HANG SENG								
α	**0.041** (3.10)	**0.026** (3.01)	**0.020** (2.40)	0.016 (1.14)	**0.024** (2.55)	**0.019** (2.13)	0.019 (1.81)	0.015 (1.47)
β_1	**0.719** (5.59)	0.087 (0.67)	0.026 (0.24)	**0.933** (6.40)	0.102 (0.71)	0.053 (0.39)	**-0.069** (-2.80)	**-0.048** (-2.25)
β_2	-0.008 (-0.32)	**0.427** (5.02)	**0.850** (5.83)	-0.046 (-1.54)	**0.424** (4.73)	**0.828** (5.28)	**0.580** (7.72)	**1.006** (7.88)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.80%	56.50%	57.90%	50.30%	56.50%	57.90%	57.80%	58.70%
KOSPI								
α	0.008 (0.30)	0.005 (0.22)	0.019 (1.07)	-0.002 (-0.10)	0.005 (0.24)	0.017 (1.11)	-0.004 (-0.18)	0.010 (0.38)
β_1	**0.412** (3.81)	-0.031 (-0.15)	-0.071 (-0.35)	**0.494** (3.41)	0.102 (0.66)	0.082 (0.55)	0.173 (1.28)	0.141 (0.92)
β_2	**0.405** (2.09)	**0.641** (2.79)	**0.993** (3.00)	**0.382** (1.98)	**0.556** (3.35)	**0.843** (3.65)	**0.510** (7.39)	**0.785** (8.31)
$\bar{R}^2$	54.00%	57.90%	58.90%	52.90%	58.10%	58.90%	58.70%	59.30%
NIKKEI 225								
α	**0.030** (2.05)	0.030 (1.96)	**0.034** (2.69)	0.019 (1.38)	0.020 (1.28)	**0.037** (3.20)	0.024 (1.68)	0.027 (1.88)
β_1	**0.336** (3.11)	**0.241** (2.12)	-0.120 (-0.81)	**0.407** (2.42)	**0.306** (2.33)	-0.107 (-0.63)	0.218 (1.87)	0.106 (1.21)
β_2	**0.293** (2.55)	**0.319** (3.28)	**0.927** (4.20)	**0.286** (2.03)	**0.314** (3.36)	**0.894** (4.15)	**0.284** (4.17)	**0.673** (6.12)
$\bar{R}^2$	50.90%	51.20%	57.70%	50.00%	51.20%	57.60%	52.20%	58.10%
NASDAQ								
α	0.013 (0.89)	-0.003 (-0.24)	0.019 (1.72)	0.008 (0.65)	-0.007 (-0.52)	0.017 (1.70)	-0.006 (-0.60)	0.010 (0.77)
β_1	0.279 (1.79)	0.196 (1.59)	0.047 (0.30)	0.315 (1.31)	0.245 (1.71)	0.107 (0.62)	0.331 (1.85)	**0.256** (2.20)
β_2	**0.447** (2.63)	**0.509** (4.14)	**0.877** (3.88)	**0.442** (2.04)	**0.492** (3.92)	**0.827** (3.75)	**0.352** (2.68)	**0.605** (5.59)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.20%	63.50%	66.00%	63.70%	63.50%	66.00%	65.20%	67.60%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	**0.042** (2.29)	0.022 (1.18)	**0.038** (2.43)	0.025 (1.39)	0.008 (0.40)	**0.034** (2.12)	0.010 (0.56)	**0.036** (2.14)
β_1	**0.499** (4.92)	**0.443** (3.60)	0.147 (0.99)	**0.707** (3.51)	**0.552** (2.98)	0.134 (0.62)	0.202 (1.52)	0.089 (0.90)
β_2	**0.212** (2.08)	**0.286** (3.03)	**0.697** (3.79)	0.129 (0.77)	**0.265** (1.99)	**0.733** (3.29)	**0.429** (3.84)	**0.732** (5.62)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.40%	56.40%	60.20%	54.90%	55.50%	60.10%	54.40%	60.20%

Table 5 continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
SMI								
α	**0.019** (2.76)	0.011 (1.30)	**0.026** (3.58)	0.007 (1.14)	0.005 (0.69)	**0.021** (3.23)	0.007 (0.88)	**0.023** (2.84)
β_1	**0.481** (6.54)	**0.202** (2.31)	0.089 (0.65)	**0.680** (6.56)	**0.326** (2.32)	0.188 (1.04)	0.159 (1.60)	0.109 (1.38)
β_2	**0.291** (3.59)	**0.489** (4.91)	**0.738** (3.77)	0.191 (1.93)	**0.428** (3.78)	**0.669** (3.36)	**0.513** (6.33)	**0.710** (6.40)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.60%	64.60%	66.80%	63.10%	64.80%	67.00%	64.80%	67.10%
S&P 500								
α	0.019 (1.46)	0.003 (0.26)	0.016 (1.31)	0.019 (1.83)	-0.003 (-0.26)	0.012 (1.01)	0.008 (1.03)	0.013 (1.07)
β_1	0.184 (1.25)	**0.319** (2.76)	0.177 (1.55)	0.044 (0.17)	**0.342** (3.20)	**0.230** (2.01)	**0.574** (2.13)	**0.454** (2.51)
β_2	**0.612** (3.09)	**0.492** (6.20)	**0.767** (4.62)	**0.738** (2.55)	**0.497** (6.60)	**0.732** (4.43)	0.220 (1.04)	**0.430** (2.85)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.90%	65.20%	66.50%	67.40%	65.10%	66.70%	68.00%	69.10%
Aggregate results								
Average α	0.024	0.010	0.025	0.014	0.004	0.024	0.006	0.020
Average β_1	0.390	0.209	0.057	0.441	0.215	0.055	0.251	0.185
Average β_2	0.364	0.492	0.822	0.371	0.512	0.829	0.432	0.678
Average $\bar{R}^2$	60.65%	61.47%	63.73%	59.63%	61.26%	63.74%	62.30%	64.62%

Table 6: **Out-of-sample forecasting performance: 1-day horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	**MFIV**	**C-MFIV**	**GJR**	**HAR**	**LRE**	**MFIV**	**C-MFIV**	**GJR**	**HAR**
AEX	0.301^{**}	0.648^{**}	0.325^{**}	0.492^{**}	**0.275**	-3.762^{**}	-3.667^{**}	-3.758^{**}	-3.734^{**}	**-3.771**
CAC 40	0.355^{**}	0.552^{**}	0.368^{**}	0.465^{**}	**0.322**	-3.675^{**}	-3.614^{**}	-3.676^{**}	-3.655^{**}	**-3.685**
DAX	0.375^{**}	0.560^{**}	0.407^{**}	0.423^{**}	**0.345**	-3.608^{**}	-3.557^{**}	-3.608^{**}	-3.600^{**}	**-3.618**
DJIA	0.431^{**}	0.475^{**}	0.424^{**}	0.386^{*}	**0.375**	-3.787^{**}	-3.766^{**}	-3.799^{**}	-3.805^{**}	**-3.808**
EURO STOXX 50	0.451^{**}	0.616^{**}	0.455^{**}	0.472^{**}	**0.407**	-3.594^{**}	-3.541^{**}	-3.594^{**}	-3.590^{**}	**-3.605**
FTSE 100	0.273^{**}	0.583^{**}	0.270^{**}	0.462^{**}	**0.248**	-3.960^{**}	-3.849^{**}	-3.963^{**}	-3.917^{**}	**-3.968**
HANG SENG	0.356^{**}	0.779^{**}	0.319	1.212^{**}	**0.306**	-3.825^{**}	-3.704^{**}	-3.835^{**}	-3.723^{**}	**-3.840**
KOSPI	0.348^{**}	0.738^{**}	0.389^{**}	0.586^{**}	**0.323**	-3.700^{**}	-3.590^{**}	-3.694^{**}	-3.638^{**}	**-3.707**
NIKKEI 225	0.311^{**}	0.838^{**}	0.318^{**}	0.709^{**}	**0.282**	-3.775^{**}	-3.629^{**}	-3.773^{**}	-3.685^{**}	**-3.784**
NASDAQ	0.319^{**}	0.685^{**}	0.331^{**}	0.555^{**}	**0.288**	-3.808^{**}	-3.685^{**}	-3.806^{**}	-3.743^{**}	**-3.818**
RUSSELL 2000	0.479^{**}	0.901^{**}	0.489^{**}	0.796^{**}	**0.428**	-3.504^{**}	-3.387^{**}	-3.499^{**}	-3.446^{**}	**-3.517**
SMI	0.262^{**}	0.500^{**}	0.275^{**}	0.382^{**}	**0.240**	-3.898^{**}	-3.823^{**}	-3.899^{**}	-3.870^{**}	**-3.904**
S&P 500	0.408^{**}	0.533^{**}	0.417^{**}	0.416^{**}	**0.360**	-3.780^{**}	-3.733^{**}	-3.786^{**}	-3.787^{**}	**-3.798**

Table 7: **Out-of-sample forecasting performance: 22-day horizon**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	**MFIV**	**C-MFIV**	**GJR**	**HAR**	**LRE**	**MFIV**	**C-MFIV**	**GJR**	**HAR**
AEX	1.612**	3.042**	**1.365**	2.411**	1.492	-2.152**	-2.084**	**-2.161**	-2.127**	-2.155
CAC 40	1.735**	2.495**	**1.475**	2.283**	1.632	-2.068**	-2.028**	**-2.079**	-2.049**	-2.071*
DAX	1.913	2.518**	**1.611**	2.076**	1.810	-1.996*	-1.969**	**-2.008**	-1.991**	-2.000*
DJIA	1.862*	2.018**	**1.613**	1.727	1.860*	-2.172**	-2.161**	**-2.184**	-2.179	-2.176*
EURO STOXX 50	2.117**	2.698**	**1.731**	2.251**	1.963	-1.974**	-1.948**	**-1.988**	-1.974**	-1.979*
FTSE 100	1.283**	2.663**	**1.088**	2.165**	1.255**	-2.354**	-2.268**	**-2.364**	-2.311**	-2.352**
HANG SENG	1.527	3.558**	**1.250**	6.058**	1.447*	-2.236*	-2.127**	**-2.241**	-2.107**	-2.235**
KOSPI	2.016	3.368**	**1.617**	2.547**	1.889	-2.076	-2.002**	**-2.086**	-2.033**	-2.080
NIKKEI 225	1.612*	3.878**	**1.311**	3.187**	1.501	-2.158**	-2.048**	**-2.170**	-2.083**	-2.166
NASDAQ	1.577**	3.151**	**1.336**	2.490**	1.480	-2.196**	-2.103**	**-2.205**	-2.147**	-2.202
RUSSELL 2000	2.149**	4.052**	**1.896**	3.582**	2.031	-1.862*	-1.784**	**-1.872**	-1.820**	-1.869
SMI	1.385*	2.317**	**1.192**	1.928**	1.272	-2.298**	-2.245**	**-2.308**	-2.269**	-2.300**
S&P 500	1.875	2.369**	**1.649**	1.889	1.810	-2.163**	-2.134**	**-2.174**	-2.166	-2.167

Table 8: **Forecast losses for 1-day horizon: calm vs. turmoil periods**

This table presents out-of-sample forecast losses for the indices considered over three sub-samples. The first sub-sample covers the period from the beginning of our out-of-sample period until July 31, 2008. The second sub-sample covers the crisis period from August 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and finally the last sub-sample covers the after crisis period from January 1, 2010 to October 26, 2012. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR models are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
I. Pre-crisis period										
AEX	0.245^*	0.578^{**}	0.280^{**}	0.382^{**}	**0.225**	-3.928^{**}	-3.822^{**}	-3.925^{**}	-3.904^{**}	**-3.939**
CAC 40	0.253^*	0.478^{**}	0.271^{**}	0.342^{**}	**0.232**	-3.897^{**}	-3.822^{**}	-3.898^{**}	-3.877^{**}	**-3.907**
DAX	0.306^{**}	0.485^{**}	0.320^{**}	0.343^{**}	**0.278**	-3.759^{**}	-3.704^{**}	-3.761^{**}	-3.753^{**}	**-3.770**
DJIA	0.281^{**}	0.332^{**}	0.259^{**}	0.245	**0.242**	-3.957^{**}	-3.938^{**}	-3.970^{**}	-3.972^{**}	**-3.975**
EURO STOXX 50	0.327^{**}	0.495^{**}	0.346^{**}	0.340^{**}	**0.297**	-3.788^{**}	-3.736^{**}	-3.790^{**}	-3.791^{**}	**-3.802**
FTSE 100	0.223^*	0.468^{**}	0.232^{**}	0.320^{**}	**0.205**	-4.151^{**}	-4.035^{**}	-4.153^{**}	-4.113^{**}	**-4.159**
HANG SENG	0.327^{**}	0.706^{**}	0.289	0.569^{**}	**0.277**	-3.864^{**}	-3.761^{**}	-3.876^{**}	-3.817^{**}	**-3.881**
KOSPI	0.278^{**}	0.642^{**}	0.297^{**}	0.508^{**}	**0.250**	-3.714^{**}	-3.615^{**}	-3.707^{**}	-3.662^{**}	**-3.721**
NIKKEI 225	0.257^{**}	0.632^{**}	0.255^{**}	0.535^{**}	**0.226**	-3.800^{**}	-3.691^{**}	-3.798^{**}	-3.734^{**}	**-3.809**
NASDAQ	0.227^{**}	0.563^{**}	0.244^{**}	0.418^{**}	**0.201**	-3.927^{**}	-3.813^{**}	-3.929^{**}	-3.865^{**}	**-3.939**
RUSSELL 2000	0.379^*	0.642^{**}	0.461^{**}	0.431^{**}	**0.349**	-3.529^{**}	-3.457^{**}	-3.511^{**}	-3.513^{**}	**-3.541**
SMI	0.200^*	0.405^{**}	0.209^{**}	0.324^{**}	**0.184**	-4.016^{**}	-3.944^{**}	-4.017^{**}	-3.985^{**}	**-4.022**
S&P 500	0.265^{**}	0.377^{**}	0.254^{**}	0.247^{**}	**0.231**	-3.962^{**}	-3.922^{**}	-3.974^{**}	-3.971^{**}	**-3.979**
II. Crisis period										
AEX	0.495^{**}	0.984^{**}	0.490	0.842^{**}	**0.451**	-3.221^{**}	-3.134^{**}	-3.220^{**}	-3.199^{**}	**-3.228**
CAC 40	0.611^*	0.764^{**}	0.580	0.677^{**}	**0.548**	-3.189^{**}	-3.146^{**}	-3.185^{**}	-3.178^{**}	**-3.195**
DAX	0.597	0.800^{**}	0.648^*	0.606	**0.563**	-3.133^{**}	-3.090^{**}	-3.123^{**}	-3.126^{**}	**-3.137**
DJIA	0.718^*	0.770^{**}	0.764^{**}	0.651	**0.645**	-3.200^{**}	-3.178^{**}	-3.204^{**}	-3.214^{**}	**-3.220**
EURO STOXX 50	0.836^*	0.946^{**}	0.783	0.770	**0.745**	-3.145^{**}	-3.091^{**}	-3.139^{**}	-3.136^{**}	**-3.151**
FTSE 100	0.449^*	0.884^{**}	0.423	0.814^{**}	**0.408**	-3.390^{**}	-3.303^{**}	-3.395^{**}	-3.359^{**}	**-3.400**
HANG SENG	0.649	1.212^{**}	0.613	3.072^{**}	**0.584**	-3.354^{**}	-3.221^{**}	-3.362	-3.144^{**}	**-3.366**
KOSPI	0.513	0.990^{**}	0.602^*	0.660^{**}	**0.482**	-3.312^{**}	-3.221^{**}	-3.304^{**}	-3.282^{**}	**-3.318**
NIKKEI 225	0.487^*	1.308^{**}	0.516^{**}	1.084^{**}	**0.450**	-3.367^{**}	-3.210^{**}	-3.365^{**}	-3.293^{**}	**-3.374**
NASDAQ	0.528^{**}	0.947^{**}	0.504	0.817^{**}	**0.470**	-3.335^{**}	-3.226^{**}	-3.331^{**}	-3.287^{**}	**-3.342**
RUSSELL 2000	0.665^{**}	1.115^{**}	0.599	1.079^{**}	**0.584**	-3.140^{**}	-3.047^{**}	-3.147^{**}	-3.091^{**}	**-3.153**
SMI	0.423^*	0.788^{**}	0.436^*	0.591^{**}	**0.388**	-3.398^{**}	-3.330^{**}	-3.395^{**}	-3.384^{**}	**-3.403**
S&P 500	0.681^*	0.838^{**}	0.731^{**}	0.739^{**}	**0.618**	-3.188^{**}	-3.135^{**}	-3.181^{**}	-3.181^{**}	**-3.200**

Table 8 continued

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
III. Post-crisis period										
AEX	0.268[**]	0.557[**]	0.301[**]	0.434[**]	**0.245**	-3.717[**]	-3.639[**]	-3.712[**]	-3.682[**]	**-3.725**
CAC 40	0.343[**]	0.553[**]	0.387[**]	0.530[**]	**0.316**	-3.503[**]	-3.457[**]	-3.503[**]	-3.477[**]	**-3.513**
DAX	0.352[**]	0.549[**]	0.400[**]	0.451[**]	**0.317**	-3.557[**]	-3.508[**]	-3.557[**]	-3.542[**]	**-3.568**
DJIA	0.472[**]	0.509[**]	0.437[**]	0.421	**0.397**	-3.760[**]	-3.736[**]	-3.775[**]	-3.786	**-3.790**
EURO STOXX 50	0.382[**]	0.619[**]	0.417[**]	0.495[**]	**0.352**	-3.445[**]	-3.391[**]	-3.444[**]	-3.431[**]	**-3.454**
FTSE 100	0.239[**]	0.585[**]	0.235[*]	0.442[**]	**0.214**	-3.889[**]	-3.776[**]	-3.893[**]	-3.830[**]	**-3.898**
HANG SENG	0.261[**]	0.724[**]	0.220	0.975[**]	**0.215**	-3.903[**]	-3.756[**]	-3.914	-3.742[**]	**-3.918**
KOSPI	0.291	0.658[**]	0.309[*]	0.604[**]	**0.270**	-3.887[**]	-3.758[**]	-3.880[*]	-3.798[**]	**-3.894**
NIKKEI 225	0.289	0.880[**]	0.295	0.758[**]	**0.269**	-3.928[**]	-3.718[**]	-3.928[**]	-3.786[**]	**-3.939**
NASDAQ	0.297[*]	0.695[**]	0.333[**]	0.574[**]	**0.279**	-3.866[**]	-3.722[**]	-3.861[**]	-3.789[**]	**-3.876**
RUSSELL 2000	0.406[**]	0.890[**]	0.439[**]	0.766[**]	**0.366**	-3.674[**]	-3.522[**]	-3.669[**]	-3.590[**]	**-3.687**
SMI	0.262[*]	0.476[**]	0.281[**]	0.349[**]	**0.238**	-3.923[**]	-3.841[**]	-3.926[**]	-3.895[**]	**-3.931**
S&P 500	0.446[**]	0.583[**]	0.446[**]	0.445[**]	**0.381**	-3.733[**]	-3.676[**]	-3.737[**]	-3.743[**]	**-3.758**

Table 9: **Losses for 22-day forecasts: calm vs. turmoil periods**

This table presents out-of-sample forecast losses for the indices considered over three sub-samples. The first sub-sample covers the period from the beginning of our out-of-sample period until July 31, 2008. The second sub-sample covers the period of the crisis from August 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and finally the last sub-sample covers the after crisis period from January 1, 2010 to October 26, 2012. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR models are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
I. Pre-crisis period										
AEX	1.303**	2.664**	**1.056**	2.013**	1.178**	-2.333*	-2.251**	**-2.341**	-2.305**	-2.333**
CAC 40	1.186**	2.111**	**0.954**	1.667**	1.161**	-2.305**	-2.249**	**-2.314**	-2.280**	-2.302**
DAX	1.429**	2.084**	**1.097**	1.646**	1.299**	-2.161**	-2.129**	**-2.174**	-2.157**	-2.164**
DJIA	1.019**	1.293**	**0.795**	1.011**	1.046**	-2.371**	-2.356**	**-2.382**	-2.370**	-2.368**
EURO STOXX 50	1.498**	2.097**	**1.154**	1.583**	1.355**	-2.180**	-2.152**	**-2.194**	-2.182**	-2.184**
FTSE 100	0.961**	2.021**	**0.768**	1.454**	1.028**	-2.557**	-2.465**	**-2.566**	-2.514**	-2.548**
HANG SENG	1.132	3.042**	**0.960**	2.465**	1.139*	-2.289	-2.196**	**-2.292**	-2.239**	-2.288
KOSPI	1.103	2.819**	1.104	1.884**	**1.017**	-2.117	-2.041**	-2.116	-2.078**	**-2.120**
NIKKEI 225	0.934	2.787**	**0.871**	2.382**	0.936	-2.207	-2.120**	**-2.211**	-2.144**	-2.208
NASDAQ	0.951**	2.492**	**0.796**	1.761**	0.900**	-2.347**	-2.249**	**-2.353**	-2.295**	-2.348*
RUSSELL 2000	1.930*	2.611**	1.544	**1.492**	1.693	-1.877	-1.859**	-1.898	**-1.899**	-1.885
SMI	0.961**	1.810**	**0.746**	1.644**	0.919**	-2.438**	-2.381**	**-2.446**	-2.399**	-2.436**
S&P 500	1.063**	1.541**	**0.814**	1.071**	1.069**	-2.374**	-2.343**	**-2.385**	-2.371**	-2.371**
II. Crisis period										
AEX	2.632	4.643**	**2.291**	3.642*	2.552	-1.590	-1.534**	**-1.602**	-1.585	-1.585
CAC 40	2.917	3.522**	**2.525**	3.140	2.836	-1.557	-1.538	**-1.566**	-1.559	-1.551
DAX	3.269	3.712	**2.830**	2.962	3.292	-1.496	-1.484	**-1.503**	-1.497	-1.483
DJIA	3.664	3.620	3.283	**3.155**	3.783	-1.555	-1.541	-1.558	**-1.568**	-1.549
EURO STOXX 50	3.870	4.158	**3.181**	3.508	3.755	-1.491	-1.475	**-1.506**	-1.504	-1.478
FTSE 100	2.229	4.146**	**1.970**	3.630	2.123	-1.765	-1.703**	**-1.776**	-1.751	-1.764
HANG SENG	3.731	6.041**	**2.874**	15.104**	3.308	-1.677	-1.593	**-1.701**	-1.487**	-1.686
KOSPI	3.565	4.668*	**2.627**	2.750*	3.306	-1.664*	-1.621*	**-1.685**	-1.676	-1.665
NIKKEI 225	3.016	6.201**	**2.370**	4.209*	2.754	-1.727*	-1.618**	**-1.749**	-1.707	-1.730
NASDAQ	2.763*	4.486**	**2.255**	3.479	2.616	-1.682*	-1.616**	**-1.697**	-1.673	-1.684
RUSSELL 2000	2.897	5.057**	**2.560**	4.594	2.873	-1.503	-1.440**	**-1.512**	-1.478	-1.501
SMI	2.194	3.670*	2.065	2.722	**2.060**	-1.766	-1.727**	**-1.779**	-1.769*	-1.768
S&P 500	3.639	4.056	**3.266**	3.430	3.578	-1.523	-1.503**	-1.533	**-1.542**	-1.523

Table 9 continued

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
III. Post-crisis period										
AEX	1.450**	2.667**	**1.250**	2.316**	1.282	-2.098	-2.046**	-2.106	-2.068**	**-2.109**
CAC 40	1.796**	2.528**	1.553	2.718**	**1.549**	-1.888*	-1.867**	-1.901	-1.867**	**-1.903**
DAX	1.794**	2.533**	1.593	2.257**	**1.586**	-1.935	-1.910**	-1.946	-1.926**	**-1.948**
DJIA	1.728**	2.001**	**1.470**	1.768**	1.558	-2.110**	-2.110**	-2.131	-2.129	**-2.133**
EURO STOXX 50	1.884**	2.788**	**1.622**	2.510**	1.623	-1.825*	-1.800**	-1.838	-1.816**	**-1.840**
FTSE 100	1.143**	2.746**	**0.958**	2.268**	1.028	-2.277**	-2.189**	**-2.288**	-2.219**	-2.286
HANG SENG	**0.701**	3.312**	0.746	5.340**	0.822	-2.322	-2.177**	**-2.322**	-2.078**	-2.318
KOSPI	1.383	2.952**	**1.240**	2.872**	1.353	-2.251	-2.166**	**-2.265**	-2.178**	-2.260
NIKKEI 225	1.602	4.107**	**1.249**	3.830**	1.469	-2.279	-2.127**	**-2.302**	-2.155**	-2.302
NASDAQ	1.497**	3.208**	**1.352**	2.794**	1.376	-2.231	-2.131**	-2.240	-2.167**	**-2.246**
RUSSELL 2000	1.774	4.027**	1.634	3.679**	**1.625**	-2.033	-1.921**	-2.039	-1.955**	**-2.044**
SMI	1.524*	2.289**	**1.272**	1.941**	1.324	-2.302**	-2.247**	**-2.315**	-2.276**	-2.313
S&P 500	1.752	2.485**	1.598	1.985**	**1.569**	-2.092	-2.063**	-2.103	-2.100**	**-2.113**

Table 10: **Portfolio performance**

This table summarizes the performance of the minimum variance portfolios constructed using volatility estimates from the five forecasting methodologies under study. Portfolios are computed assuming a diagonal covariance matrix while short sales are not allowed. For Panel A, 5-day ahead volatility forecasts are used and portfolio rebalancing is performed weekly. For Panel B, 22-day ahead volatility forecasts are used and portfolio rebalancing is performed weekly. The first row reports the performance for the equally-weighted portfolio (1/N). $\hat{\mu}^s$, $\hat{\sigma}^s$ and $\hat{\theta}^s$ denote the annualized out-of-sample portfolio mean return, variance and mean-to-standard deviation ratio, respectively. $\hat{\tau}^s$ is the average turnover. The p-value next to the variance corresponds to the test of the hypothesis that the portfolio variance is different to the variance of the 1/N portfolio. For this test, the non-parametric methodology of Ledoit and Wolf (2011) is adopted. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5 minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	$\hat{\mu}^s$	$(\hat{\sigma}^s)^2$	(p-value)	$\hat{\theta}^s$	$\hat{\tau}^s$
1/N	0.0316	0.0268	-	0.1934	0.0095
Panel A: Weekly Rebalancing					
LRE	0.0062	0.0260	(0.15)	0.0386	0.3489
MFIV	0.0293	0.0255***	(0.00)	0.1834	0.1994
C-MFIV	0.0265	0.0255***	(0.00)	0.1659	0.2519
GJR	0.0137	0.0259	(0.22)	0.0852	0.3218
HAR	0.0124	0.0259	(0.16)	0.0770	0.2917
Panel B: Monthly Rebalancing					
LRE	0.0367	0.0248	(0.86)	0.2332	0.1967
MFIV	0.0356	0.0248	(0.71)	0.2260	0.1326
C-MFIV	0.0349	0.0237**	(0.04)	0.2266	0.1448
GJR	0.0355	0.0238	(0.29)	0.2297	0.2006
HAR	0.0380	0.0243	(0.70)	0.2437	0.1705

Appendix to:

"International Comparison of Implied, Realized and GARCH Volatility Forecasts"

Not Intended for Publication!

Will be provided as online supplementary material

Appendix A: Results for the Weekly Forecast Horizon

Table A1: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors with 5 lags. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.020 (4.59)	-0.013 (-2.22)	0.013 (2.68)	0.025 (6.95)	0.004 (0.97)
β	0.863 (26.04)	0.698 (25.40)	0.958 (24.27)	0.639 (31.47)	0.965 (30.32)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.51%	74.55%	74.74%	76.95%	77.72%
CAC 40					
α	0.024 (4.67)	-0.033 (-4.54)	0.003 (0.55)	0.016 (3.42)	0.006 (1.09)
β	0.854 (23.51)	0.856 (23.83)	1.036 (22.34)	0.721 (27.55)	0.962 (25.93)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.26%	73.27%	72.04%	74.33%	74.06%
DAX					
α	0.029 (4.21)	-0.033 (-4.42)	0.000 (-0.06)	0.004 (0.55)	0.007 (1.03)
β	0.829 (18.53)	0.868 (24.70)	1.059 (23.26)	0.835 (23.36)	0.950 (21.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.10%	73.18%	70.10%	73.95%	72.16%
DJIA					
α	0.022 (3.39)	-0.034 (-3.52)	-0.007 (-0.85)	0.004 (0.59)	0.011 (1.50)
β	0.853 (16.87)	0.963 (16.58)	1.140 (15.72)	0.897 (16.83)	0.907 (15.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.90%	73.76%	73.30%	77.32%	73.80%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.033 (4.31)	-0.030 (-3.07)	-0.005 (-0.54)	0.016 (2.36)	0.009 (1.05)
β	0.813 (16.42)	0.847 (18.76)	1.102 (17.76)	0.778 (21.01)	0.947 (17.54)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.64%	69.04%	67.33%	72.81%	67.88%
FTSE 100					
α	0.017 (4.09)	-0.016 (-3.55)	0.007 (1.70)	0.018 (3.70)	0.001 (0.31)
β	0.866 (22.43)	0.701 (26.21)	0.987 (24.24)	0.627 (19.66)	0.976 (26.71)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.89%	77.29%	76.90%	74.16%	75.66%
HANG SENG					
α	0.027 (2.48)	0.007 (0.74)	-0.004 (-0.39)	0.081 (10.55)	0.008 (0.68)
β	0.804 (9.24)	0.547 (11.58)	1.072 (11.78)	0.235 (6.51)	0.926 (9.71)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.54%	65.19%	66.26%	44.36%	63.91%
KOSPI					
α	0.027 (2.75)	-0.021 (-1.50)	-0.008 (-0.64)	0.000 (0.03)	0.013 (1.07)
β	0.828 (11.77)	0.711 (11.53)	1.112 (11.51)	0.722 (13.05)	0.905 (10.94)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.50%	67.73%	67.49%	65.16%	69.40%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.029 (4.09)	0.006 (0.63)	0.012 (1.40)	0.027 (4.01)	0.010 (1.26)
β	0.799 (14.71)	0.542 (13.27)	0.962 (14.09)	0.520 (16.29)	0.913 (16.19)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.79%	60.86%	65.54%	62.15%	65.72%
NASDAQ					
α	0.021 (3.65)	-0.036 (-4.42)	-0.003 (-0.38)	0.001 (0.14)	0.008 (1.23)
β	0.856 (18.75)	0.760 (19.53)	1.098 (18.42)	0.691 (19.16)	0.940 (19.29)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.31%	74.71%	73.88%	73.51%	74.27%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.035 (4.75)	-0.047 (-4.00)	0.008 (0.84)	0.026 (2.51)	0.011 (1.36)
β	0.823 (18.36)	0.778 (18.51)	1.029 (17.3)	0.612 (14.78)	0.947 (20.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.57%	66.99%	67.33%	65.27%	69.42%

Table A1 continued

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
SMI					
α	0.018 (4.49)	-0.010 (-1.74)	0.011 (2.05)	0.016 (3.24)	0.003 (0.83)
β	0.858 (22.94)	0.730 (21.26)	0.948 (18.82)	0.696 (20.59)	0.963 (26.36)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.52%	74.03%	73.10%	72.48%	75.80%
S&P 500					
α	0.020 (3.38)	-0.032 (-3.74)	-0.007 (-0.94)	0.011 (1.74)	0.009 (1.29)
β	0.866 (18.43)	0.886 (18.30)	1.141 (17.27)	0.807 (18.28)	0.927 (18.29)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.00%	74.37%	73.55%	78.29%	75.95%
Aggregate results					
Average α	0.025	-0.022	0.002	0.019	0.008
Average β	0.839	0.761	1.049	0.676	0.941
Average $\bar{R}^2$	70.96%	71.15%	70.89%	70.06%	71.98%

Table A2: **Out-of-sample forecasting performance: 5-day horizon**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.590	1.369**	0.570	1.046**	**0.565**	-2.935**	-2.848**	-2.936	-2.906**	**-2.937**
CAC 40	0.687*	1.118**	**0.642**	0.972**	0.652	-2.848**	-2.793**	**-2.852**	-2.826**	-2.850
DAX	0.792	1.125**	**0.722**	0.858**	0.732	-2.777**	-2.735**	**-2.783**	-2.769**	-2.781
DJIA	0.762	0.883**	0.722	**0.708**	0.733	-2.961**	-2.935**	**-2.966**	-2.964	-2.964
EURO STOXX 50	0.877	1.218**	**0.798**	0.937**	0.821	-2.759**	-2.716**	**-2.766**	-2.754**	-2.763
FTSE 100	0.530**	1.225**	**0.460**	0.979**	0.505**	-3.130**	-3.030**	**-3.137**	-3.088**	-3.132**
HANG SENG	0.627	1.647**	**0.541**	2.727**	0.605*	-3.004**	-2.885**	**-3.008**	-2.892**	-3.007
KOSPI	0.766	1.559**	**0.722**	1.225**	0.732	-2.863**	-2.770**	-2.867	-2.809**	**-2.868**
NIKKEI 225	0.651**	1.801**	**0.568**	1.524**	0.608*	-2.943	-2.810**	**-2.946**	-2.858**	-2.946
NASDAQ	0.624	1.448**	**0.580**	1.158**	0.594	-2.980**	-2.867**	-2.982	-2.919**	**-2.983**
RUSSELL 2000	0.918	1.872**	**0.851**	1.678**	0.854	-2.664**	-2.562**	-2.668	-2.611**	**-2.670**
SMI	0.537*	1.042**	**0.502**	0.818**	0.505	-3.075**	-3.007**	**-3.078**	-3.045**	-3.076*
S&P 500	0.745**	1.043**	0.731	0.799	**0.713**	-2.953**	-2.906**	-2.956	-2.950**	**-2.957**

Table A3: **Losses for 5-day volatility forecasts: calm vs. turmoil periods**
This table presents out-of-sample forecast losses for the indices considered over three sub-samples. The first sub-sample covers the period from the beginning of our out-of-sample period until July 31, 2008. The second sub-sample covers the period of the crisis from August 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and finally the last sub-sample covers the after crisis period from January 1, 2010 to October 26, 2012. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
I. Pre-crisis period											
AEX	0.535	1.231**	0.499	0.840**	**0.484**		-3.102	-3.005**	-3.104	-3.077**	**-3.105**
CAC 40	0.543	0.992**	**0.472**	0.733**	0.494		-3.070	-3.003**	**-3.076**	-3.049**	-3.073
DAX	0.643	0.978**	**0.552**	0.716**	0.575		-2.928**	-2.883**	**-2.937**	-2.922**	-2.932
DJIA	0.483	0.624**	**0.406**	0.427	0.456		-3.136**	-3.114**	**-3.145**	-3.139	-3.139
EURO STOXX 50	0.690	0.985**	**0.596**	0.702**	0.616		-2.952**	-2.911**	**-2.962**	-2.953	-2.958
FTSE 100	0.471*	0.979**	**0.403**	0.677**	0.441		-3.321	-3.218**	**-3.329**	-3.285**	-3.322
HANG SENG	0.554	1.481**	**0.472**	1.209**	0.525		-3.045	-2.943**	**-3.050**	-2.993**	-3.048
KOSPI	0.557	1.351**	0.528	1.044**	**0.494**		-2.884**	-2.797**	-2.884*	-2.837**	**-2.888**
NIKKEI 225	0.479	1.339**	**0.427**	1.138**	0.434		-2.972	-2.874**	-2.975	-2.909**	**-2.976**
NASDAQ	0.417	1.195**	0.404	0.861**	**0.391**		-3.106	-2.997**	**-3.109**	-3.045**	-3.109
RUSSELL 2000	0.769	1.294**	0.808	0.797**	**0.716**		-2.692	-2.632**	-2.686	-2.680**	**-2.695**
SMI	0.423	0.847**	**0.361**	0.703**	0.389**		-3.196	-3.129**	**-3.200**	-3.163**	-3.197
S&P 500	0.471	0.741**	**0.410**	0.450	0.448**		-3.141	-3.099**	**-3.148**	-3.140**	-3.143
II. Crisis period											
AEX	**0.827**	2.045**	0.841	1.712**	0.874		**-2.393**	-2.313**	-2.393	-2.369**	-2.391
CAC 40	1.023	1.480**	**1.003**	1.294	1.049		**-2.357**	-2.321**	-2.356	-2.345	-2.355
DAX	1.302	1.578**	1.179	**1.128**	1.250		**-2.297**	-2.266**	-2.296	-2.295	-2.296
DJIA	1.340	1.454**	1.372	**1.222**	1.356		**-2.376**	-2.344**	-2.366	-2.371	-2.374
EURO STOXX 50	1.492	1.800**	1.388	**1.385**	1.477		**-2.308**	-2.264**	-2.307	-2.301	-2.305
FTSE 100	0.818	1.832**	**0.707**	1.692**	0.805		-2.561**	-2.482**	**-2.566**	-2.531**	-2.561
HANG SENG	1.293	2.557**	**1.111**	6.946**	1.268		-2.516	-2.392**	**-2.522**	-2.306**	-2.515
KOSPI	**1.115**	2.081**	1.127	1.297	1.148		-2.476	-2.400**	**-2.479**	-2.454*	-2.477
NIKKEI 225	0.971	2.799**	**0.924**	2.215**	0.975		-2.537	-2.392**	**-2.539**	-2.471**	-2.537
NASDAQ	0.932	1.971**	**0.873**	1.624**	0.948		-2.502	-2.405**	-2.502	-2.462**	**-2.503**
RUSSELL 2000	1.166	2.289**	**1.028**	2.230**	1.140		-2.309	-2.223**	**-2.312**	-2.261**	-2.310
SMI	0.835	1.631**	0.816	1.231	**0.806**		-2.566**	-2.509**	**-2.569**	-2.554**	-2.567
S&P 500	1.303	1.655**	1.338	1.433	**1.299**		**-2.357**	-2.306**	-2.347	-2.345	-2.356

44

Table A3 continued

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
III. Post-crisis period										
AEX	0.545**	1.182**	0.525	0.953**	**0.505**	-2.890**	-2.820**	-2.891	-2.853**	**-2.893**
CAC 40	0.718**	1.132**	0.685	1.157**	**0.654**	-2.678**	-2.637**	-2.681	-2.649**	**-2.682**
DAX	0.712*	1.117**	0.707	0.946**	**0.646**	-2.728**	-2.685**	-2.733	-2.712**	**-2.733**
DJIA	0.791**	0.919**	0.712	0.776	**0.711**	-2.923**	-2.896**	-2.932	-2.931	**-2.932**
EURO STOXX 50	0.784**	1.263**	0.735	1.047**	**0.705**	-2.616**	-2.570**	-2.620	-2.601**	**-2.621**
FTSE 100	0.443	1.254**	**0.398**	0.965**	0.413	-3.063	-2.958**	**-3.069**	-3.003**	-3.067
HANG SENG	0.377	1.551**	**0.346**	2.222**	0.367	-3.086	-2.938**	**-3.088**	-2.902**	-3.088
KOSPI	0.692*	1.399**	**0.582**	1.318**	0.612	-3.042	-2.936**	-3.049	-2.967**	**-3.050**
NIKKEI 225	0.728	1.919**	**0.566**	1.723**	0.648	-3.087	-2.897**	**-3.094**	-2.952**	-3.093
NASDAQ	0.688**	1.484**	0.618	1.261**	**0.616**	-3.030**	-2.902**	-3.033	-2.958**	**-3.036**
RUSSELL 2000	0.840**	1.875**	0.771	1.668**	**0.741**	-2.828**	-2.697**	-2.836	-2.753**	**-2.838**
SMI	0.540	1.000**	0.525	0.762**	**0.504**	-3.099**	-3.022**	**-3.101**	-3.067**	-3.101
S&P 500	0.779**	1.130**	0.770	0.864**	**0.704**	-2.898**	-2.843**	-2.899**	-2.896**	**-2.907**

Appendix B: Forecasting Logarithmic Volatility

Table B1: **Univariate regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on the logarithm of individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	-0.744 (-13.54)	0.073 (1.14)	0.047 (0.70)	-0.717 (-12.45)	-0.003 (-0.06)
β	0.845 (74.74)	1.136 (76.63)	0.997 (73.15)	0.905 (71.55)	0.999 (85.07)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.71%	74.59%	73.64%	71.09%	76.24%
CAC 40					
α	-0.743 (-13.38)	0.651 (9.44)	0.260 (3.75)	-0.485 (-7.77)	-0.016 (-0.26)
β	0.842 (72.33)	1.250 (78.25)	1.043 (72.85)	0.950 (68.34)	0.996 (79.78)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.00%	77.40%	75.29%	70.72%	75.81%
DAX					
α	-0.810 (-14.12)	0.642 (9.00)	0.337 (4.54)	-0.268 (-3.91)	-0.043 (-0.69)
β	0.826 (67.69)	1.244 (74.41)	1.061 (67.93)	0.988 (64.44)	0.991 (74.38)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.38%	76.10%	72.79%	69.41%	73.21%
DJIA					
α	-1.197 (-15.37)	0.323 (3.70)	0.548 (5.74)	-0.271 (-3.31)	-0.070 (-0.90)
β	0.754 (48.05)	1.15 (60.14)	1.096 (57.55)	0.975 (56.7)	0.988 (62.91)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.80%	68.07%	68.28%	66.27%	65.57%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	-0.888 (-15.01)	0.350 (4.66)	0.321 (4.01)	-0.536 (-8.07)	-0.002 (-0.03)
β	0.809 (64.41)	1.18 (66.69)	1.055 (62.58)	0.924 (62.22)	0.998 (67.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.49%	73.07%	71.16%	68.69%	70.75%
FTSE 100					
α	-0.751 (-12.51)	0.284 (4.28)	0.283 (4.07)	-0.645 (-9.29)	-0.015 (-0.24)
β	0.850 (71.89)	1.190 (80.87)	1.047 (77.26)	0.936 (63.42)	0.997 (81.46)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.19%	79.50%	78.57%	70.15%	76.83%
HANG SENG					
α	-1.326 (-14.37)	-1.096 (-10.99)	0.088 (0.75)	-2.189 (-23.53)	-0.020 (-0.19)
β	0.728 (39.12)	0.884 (38.24)	1.010 (42.73)	0.618 (29.68)	0.998 (45.38)
$\bar{R}^2$	53.00%	60.53%	63.98%	50.63%	62.99%
KOSPI					
α	-0.828 (-11.02)	-0.026 (-0.25)	0.193 (1.68)	-0.819 (-6.78)	-0.031 (-0.38)
β	0.825 (53.03)	1.123 (45.63)	1.033 (43.46)	0.901 (32.84)	0.995 (59.85)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.09%	67.82%	66.85%	54.79%	71.89%
NIKKEI 225					
α	-1.045 (-14.45)	-0.631 (-6.46)	-0.059 (-0.58)	-1.153 (-11.88)	-0.114 (-1.45)
β	0.783 (52.83)	1.000 (43.15)	0.978 (46.68)	0.847 (38.10)	0.978 (60.50)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.31%	57.91%	63.45%	53.43%	66.66%
NASDAQ					
α	-0.883 (-13.10)	0.414 (4.73)	0.559 (5.69)	-0.534 (-5.53)	-0.001 (-0.02)
β	0.818 (59.62)	1.235 (60.66)	1.100 (55.91)	0.975 (45.22)	1.000 (65.10)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.90%	71.58%	70.48%	61.47%	71.75%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	-0.905 (-12.56)	0.732 (6.67)	0.430 (3.83)	-0.587 (-5.72)	0.004 (0.04)
β	0.802 (51.52)	1.331 (48.17)	1.079 (44.81)	0.960 (38.86)	1.000 (53.58)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.21%	65.64%	64.81%	60.23%	68.80%
SMI					
α	-0.717 (-12.35)	0.145 (1.95)	0.220 (2.71)	-0.727 (-9.92)	-0.035 (-0.56)
β	0.855 (73.94)	1.132 (69.22)	1.038 (64.75)	0.900 (58.52)	0.993 (79.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.23%	79.15%	78.44%	68.01%	77.67%
S&P 500					
α	-1.024 (-14.98)	0.243 (3.05)	0.507 (5.69)	-0.398 (-5.26)	-0.051 (-0.73)
β	0.789 (57.02)	1.150 (64.81)	1.088 (61.26)	0.957 (59.78)	0.991 (68.96)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.17%	70.42%	70.29%	68.90%	69.68%

Table B2: **Univariate regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on the logarithm of individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	-0.499 (-6.80)	-0.199 (-2.58)	-0.164 (-2.10)	-0.726 (-11.36)	-0.094 (-1.26)
β	0.875 (47.83)	1.080 (49.48)	0.951 (49.35)	0.875 (51.41)	0.976 (52.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.86%	79.18%	79.68%	74.50%	79.01%
CAC 40					
α	-0.472 (-6.23)	0.293 (3.53)	0.015 (0.19)	-0.498 (-6.88)	-0.094 (-1.23)
β	0.879 (45.62)	1.195 (50.75)	0.996 (48.29)	0.929 (47.4)	0.974 (50.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.58%	82.21%	81.52%	74.52%	79.15%
DAX					
α	-0.557 (-6.98)	0.231 (2.87)	0.088 (1.02)	-0.340 (-4.28)	-0.116 (-1.42)
β	0.854 (41.13)	1.171 (50.50)	1.017 (46.02)	0.956 (44.21)	0.969 (45.10)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.81%	81.23%	78.82%	73.85%	75.64%
DJIA					
α	-0.603 (-6.35)	0.114 (1.09)	0.225 (1.99)	-0.239 (-2.41)	-0.089 (-0.92)
β	0.849 (36.89)	1.111 (40.05)	1.046 (38.27)	0.965 (38.73)	0.980 (41.37)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.36%	77.91%	77.73%	75.63%	73.92%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	-0.596 (-6.76)	0.006 (0.06)	0.092 (0.94)	-0.567 (-7.20)	-0.086 (-0.92)
β	0.843 (36.88)	1.108 (41.79)	1.015 (40.21)	0.89 (41.59)	0.976 (40.21)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.66%	78.16%	77.01%	73.47%	73.42%
FTSE 100					
α	-0.488 (-5.80)	0.014 (0.18)	-0.009 (-0.11)	-0.606 (-7.30)	-0.091 (-1.11)
β	0.883 (44.39)	1.148 (53.82)	0.993 (51.82)	0.926 (43.30)	0.978 (49.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.97%	84.04%	83.67%	73.82%	79.62%
HANG SENG					
α	-0.647 (-4.93)	-1.045 (-8.35)	-0.112 (-0.75)	-1.939 (-16.37)	-0.097 (-0.62)
β	0.840 (26.43)	0.860 (24.43)	0.969 (26.84)	0.592 (18.47)	0.978 (25.66)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.20%	70.38%	73.61%	56.35%	71.66%
KOSPI					
α	-0.676 (-5.62)	-0.295 (-2.21)	-0.081 (-0.55)	-0.733 (-4.31)	-0.078 (-0.65)
β	0.827 (27.42)	1.062 (27.5)	0.974 (26.34)	0.894 (18.91)	0.983 (31.95)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.18%	70.70%	70.35%	54.71%	71.49%
NIKKEI 225					
α	-0.751 (-6.66)	-0.790 (-6.61)	-0.275 (-2.28)	-1.099 (-8.50)	-0.196 (-1.62)
β	0.811 (29.03)	0.942 (26.91)	0.925 (30.68)	0.819 (22.48)	0.954 (31.43)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.84%	62.38%	69.18%	56.25%	68.01%
NASDAQ					
α	-0.583 (-5.77)	0.049 (0.49)	0.172 (1.53)	-0.543 (-4.86)	-0.064 (-0.62)
β	0.855 (34.75)	1.173 (41.22)	1.032 (38.34)	0.958 (31.61)	0.984 (38.51)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.11%	76.86%	76.26%	67.14%	74.92%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	-0.625 (-5.72)	0.258 (2.13)	0.153 (1.20)	-0.642 (-5.16)	-0.011 (-0.10)
β	0.831 (28.81)	1.249 (32.82)	1.033 (30.77)	0.920 (24.82)	0.995 (33.02)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.82%	70.82%	71.51%	63.92%	71.31%
SMI					
α	-0.457 (-5.50)	-0.071 (-0.78)	-0.007 (-0.07)	-0.651 (-7.12)	-0.079 (-0.95)
β	0.889 (45.09)	1.095 (45.35)	0.994 (43.49)	0.895 (38.87)	0.982 (49.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.72%	83.05%	82.96%	70.62%	80.55%
S&P 500					
α	-0.542 (-6.07)	0.021 (0.22)	0.216 (2.00)	-0.373 (-4.10)	-0.079 (-0.88)
β	0.864 (39.75)	1.108 (42.59)	1.044 (40.27)	0.942 (40.85)	0.982 (44.26)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.84%	78.36%	78.01%	76.77%	76.26%

Table B3: **Univariate regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on the logarithm of individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	-0.704 (-5.64)	-0.623 (-5.56)	-0.475 (-4.43)	-0.877 (-7.58)	-0.287 (-1.93)
β	0.783 (20.43)	0.938 (23.38)	0.842 (25.93)	0.791 (20.61)	0.910 (20.02)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.50%	68.33%	72.90%	60.29%	64.89%
CAC 40					
α	-0.608 (-5.07)	-0.216 (-1.85)	-0.303 (-2.68)	-0.612 (-4.92)	-0.255 (-1.90)
β	0.806 (21.47)	1.053 (25.4)	0.892 (25.88)	0.870 (20.40)	0.916 (21.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.69%	71.49%	75.38%	62.43%	67.97%
DAX					
α	-0.716 (-5.62)	-0.299 (-2.68)	-0.332 (-2.81)	-0.525 (-3.96)	-0.322 (-2.14)
β	0.767 (18.5)	1.012 (24.99)	0.883 (23.46)	0.879 (19.47)	0.894 (18.51)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.57%	70.78%	71.07%	60.69%	61.70%
DJIA					
α	-0.698 (-3.94)	-0.290 (-1.70)	-0.228 (-1.31)	-0.354 (-2.01)	-0.280 (-1.47)
β	0.785 (14.8)	0.991 (18.01)	0.917 (17.91)	0.917 (16.62)	0.918 (15.9)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.75%	70.02%	71.65%	65.57%	62.22%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	-0.762 (-5.79)	-0.448 (-3.48)	-0.330 (-2.49)	-0.704 (-5.36)	-0.281 (-1.74)
β	0.750 (17.65)	0.958 (20.54)	0.880 (21.01)	0.812 (18.14)	0.904 (17.36)
$\bar{R}^2$	58.27%	68.32%	69.56%	62.02%	59.20%
FTSE 100					
α	-0.549 (-4.10)	-0.378 (-3.20)	-0.303 (-2.55)	-0.665 (-5.00)	-0.293 (-2.02)
β	0.840 (21.89)	1.041 (26.23)	0.903 (26.66)	0.890 (20.64)	0.916 (21.86)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.73%	76.27%	78.99%	63.51%	70.18%
HANG SENG					
α	-0.612 (-2.88)	-1.076 (-6.97)	-0.388 (-2.08)	-1.906 (-14.83)	-0.327 (-1.47)
β	0.814 (13.10)	0.802 (15.12)	0.877 (16.19)	0.495 (11.74)	0.906 (13.80)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.66%	67.25%	70.35%	42.92%	64.84%
KOSPI					
α	-0.763 (-3.51)	-0.649 (-3.12)	-0.468 (-2.09)	-0.632 (-1.93)	-0.112 (-0.43)
β	0.757 (11.21)	0.934 (12.24)	0.843 (12.29)	0.892 (7.78)	0.969 (11.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.10%	61.80%	63.79%	39.79%	58.01%
NIKKEI 225					
α	-0.973 (-3.95)	-1.103 (-5.80)	-0.613 (-3.19)	-1.198 (-5.05)	-0.434 (-1.56)
β	0.697 (9.11)	0.796 (11.21)	0.801 (13.54)	0.731 (8.59)	0.871 (10.01)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.45%	51.85%	61.39%	40.76%	50.20%
NASDAQ					
α	-0.729 (-4.12)	-0.463 (-2.92)	-0.301 (-1.78)	-0.660 (-3.55)	-0.219 (-1.11)
β	0.776 (14.63)	1.022 (18.14)	0.895 (17.84)	0.901 (14.21)	0.934 (15.79)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.80%	65.30%	68.00%	56.98%	61.08%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	-0.737 (-4.07)	-0.450 (-2.49)	-0.371 (-2.04)	-0.876 (-4.37)	-0.133 (-0.59)
β	0.749 (12.04)	1.016 (13.33)	0.863 (13.86)	0.794 (9.90)	0.950 (12.26)
$\bar{R}^2$	54.34%	55.23%	62.23%	46.85%	54.36%
SMI					
α	-0.663 (-4.55)	-0.449 (-3.51)	-0.327 (-2.48)	-0.741 (-4.71)	-0.237 (-1.55)
β	0.804 (19.12)	0.984 (23.69)	0.896 (23.77)	0.845 (17.37)	0.932 (20.93)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.74%	72.79%	75.94%	56.04%	67.79%
S&P 500					
α	-0.658 (-4.19)	-0.35 (-2.30)	-0.209 (-1.31)	-0.466 (-3.06)	-0.241 (-1.41)
β	0.797 (17.02)	0.996 (19.86)	0.923 (19.72)	0.895 (18.59)	0.929 (17.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.55%	70.46%	72.18%	67.35%	64.49%

Table B4: **Encompassing regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on competing logarithmic volatility forecasts for the 1-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 1-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	-0.442 (-9.24)	-0.058 (-1.15)	-0.06 (-1.20)	-0.063 (-1.12)	0.130 (2.32)	0.126 (2.25)	-0.138 (-2.23)	-0.128 (-2.09)
β_1	0.465 (20.46)	0.449 (16.69)	0.462 (16.82)	0.744 (23.35)	0.721 (18.18)	0.743 (18.22)	0.447 (12.7)	0.470 (13.82)
β_2	0.471 (21.04)	0.603 (18.85)	0.519 (18.15)	0.257 (8.6)	0.343 (7.87)	0.279 (7.12)	0.617 (14.95)	0.524 (15.09)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.50%	77.00%	76.90%	77.00%	77.10%	77.00%	74.90%	75.10%
CAC 40								
α	-0.322 (-6.35)	0.308 (5.11)	0.071 (1.28)	-0.004 (-0.07)	0.356 (5.58)	0.183 (3.11)	0.378 (5.45)	0.151 (2.38)
β_1	0.457 (18.59)	0.367 (13.61)	0.411 (14.83)	0.731 (22.38)	0.587 (14.28)	0.669 (15.60)	0.359 (10.47)	0.440 (13.72)
β_2	0.503 (19.47)	0.767 (22.09)	0.597 (20.2)	0.284 (8.72)	0.536 (11.01)	0.365 (8.63)	0.814 (19.93)	0.609 (19.4)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.90%	77.60%	77.10%	76.70%	77.30%	76.90%	76.20%	76.10%
DAX								
α	-0.225 (-4.2)	0.292 (4.67)	0.084 (1.46)	0.039 (0.64)	0.356 (5.33)	0.188 (3.01)	0.425 (5.89)	0.258 (3.75)
β_1	0.422 (17.78)	0.347 (12.94)	0.418 (15.32)	0.681 (19.76)	0.540 (12.53)	0.683 (15.91)	0.388 (9.91)	0.511 (14.03)
β_2	0.555 (21.54)	0.783 (22.61)	0.593 (19.95)	0.343 (9.95)	0.587 (11.68)	0.353 (8.31)	0.789 (17.40)	0.562 (15.76)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.90%	75.60%	74.50%	74.40%	75.00%	74.20%	74.50%	73.70%
DJIA								
α	-0.246 (-3.46)	0.149 (2.00)	0.316 (3.89)	-0.041 (-0.53)	0.277 (3.56)	0.403 (4.87)	0.149 (1.83)	0.296 (3.38)
β_1	0.233 (8.94)	0.264 (10.11)	0.257 (9.63)	0.464 (9.86)	0.501 (11.15)	0.487 (10.61)	0.528 (12.57)	0.515 (12.97)
β_2	0.739 (24.85)	0.827 (25.85)	0.795 (24.58)	0.545 (11.81)	0.601 (12.56)	0.587 (12.32)	0.560 (12.38)	0.553 (13.19)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.80%	68.00%	68.20%	67.90%	68.20%	68.40%	68.50%	69.00%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	-0.397 (-7.10)	0.107 (1.66)	0.084 (1.31)	-0.063 (-0.93)	0.258 (3.67)	0.237 (3.40)	0.073 (0.98)	0.056 (0.76)
β_1	0.370 (15.74)	0.354 (13.72)	0.391 (14.95)	0.618 (16.79)	0.569 (13.61)	0.646 (15.27)	0.441 (12.14)	0.504 (14.73)
β_2	0.569 (22.88)	0.734 (22.11)	0.618 (20.81)	0.384 (11.26)	0.533 (11.36)	0.399 (9.50)	0.651 (14.82)	0.522 (14.28)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.20%	73.10%	72.50%	72.30%	72.60%	72.10%	72.20%	72.00%
FTSE 100								
α	-0.387 (-7.14)	0.078 (1.34)	0.091 (1.56)	-0.015 (-0.24)	0.199 (3.16)	0.198 (3.15)	0.118 (1.73)	0.138 (2.02)
β_1	0.497 (20.32)	0.346 (12.00)	0.365 (12.32)	0.767 (25.29)	0.546 (12.23)	0.583 (12.00)	0.228 (5.95)	0.300 (8.44)
β_2	0.458 (17.87)	0.754 (21.35)	0.647 (20.27)	0.248 (8.22)	0.556 (10.98)	0.452 (9.31)	0.913 (21.15)	0.741 (21.05)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.50%	78.70%	78.60%	77.60%	78.50%	78.20%	76.90%	77.00%

Table B4 – continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	-1.256 (-14.57)	-0.813 (-9.37)	-0.078 (-0.77)	-0.167 (-1.46)	-0.162 (-1.51)	0.158 (1.43)	-1.296 (-12.8)	-0.286 (-2.21)
β_1	0.446 (18.80)	0.353 (14.35)	0.297 (11.80)	0.893 (24.12)	0.727 (18.36)	0.652 (14.08)	0.140 (4.06)	0.126 (4.42)
β_2	0.333 (16.45)	0.547 (20.60)	0.681 (21.84)	0.083 (3.26)	0.274 (7.89)	0.378 (8.06)	0.694 (15.25)	0.821 (19.57)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.70%	62.10%	63.40%	63.20%	64.20%	64.30%	57.70%	60.70%
KOSPI								
α	-0.407 (-5.45)	-0.167 (-2.31)	-0.066 (-0.86)	0.058 (0.71)	0.105 (1.30)	0.145 (1.75)	-0.052 (-0.51)	0.114 (1.05)
β_1	0.627 (27.70)	0.526 (20.14)	0.534 (20.52)	0.874 (31.57)	0.803 (21.00)	0.818 (21.22)	0.302 (7.27)	0.320 (7.60)
β_2	0.312 (11.25)	0.496 (15.21)	0.448 (15.02)	0.152 (4.76)	0.249 (5.82)	0.212 (5.33)	0.803 (20.02)	0.723 (19.37)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.70%	72.30%	72.20%	72.40%	72.50%	72.40%	65.30%	65.00%
NIKKEI 225								
α	-0.639 (-9.43)	-0.434 (-6.23)	-0.168 (-2.25)	-0.108 (-1.38)	-0.007 (-0.08)	0.079 (0.98)	-0.711 (-7.73)	-0.199 (-2.11)
β_1	0.541 (25.00)	0.529 (25.34)	0.455 (19.62)	0.864 (29.30)	0.811 (29.84)	0.736 (21.33)	0.426 (10.13)	0.311 (8.97)
β_2	0.363 (14.51)	0.438 (17.14)	0.505 (18.97)	0.128 (4.15)	0.218 (7.46)	0.279 (8.10)	0.540 (12.10)	0.672 (19.44)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.30%	66.00%	67.40%	67.00%	67.40%	67.70%	57.10%	62.00%
NASDAQ								
α	-0.327 (-4.78)	0.096 (1.34)	0.175 (2.33)	0.042 (0.54)	0.253 (3.25)	0.300 (3.73)	0.237 (2.69)	0.363 (3.86)
β_1	0.532 (24.51)	0.427 (15.85)	0.441 (15.85)	0.873 (26.85)	0.700 (16.32)	0.720 (16.55)	0.233 (4.97)	0.332 (7.95)
β_2	0.439 (16.16)	0.675 (18.59)	0.586 (17.48)	0.149 (4.15)	0.401 (7.92)	0.337 (7.35)	0.952 (19.17)	0.760 (19.31)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.20%	73.00%	72.80%	72.00%	72.80%	72.80%	68.30%	68.60%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	-0.361 (-4.84)	0.268 (3.06)	0.122 (1.47)	0.033 (0.38)	0.368 (3.85)	0.303 (3.38)	0.324 (2.81)	0.201 (1.84)
β_1	0.502 (18.04)	0.467 (15.67)	0.471 (15.33)	0.825 (18.18)	0.748 (15.30)	0.743 (15.23)	0.369 (5.75)	0.444 (8.61)
β_2	0.462 (13.87)	0.679 (15.36)	0.547 (14.75)	0.201 (4.26)	0.381 (6.01)	0.318 (6.21)	0.844 (10.58)	0.631 (12.16)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.20%	70.10%	70.10%	69.30%	69.80%	69.90%	63.80%	65.20%
SMI								
α	-0.397 (-7.20)	-0.026 (-0.42)	0.026 (0.42)	-0.008 (-0.13)	0.115 (1.76)	0.147 (2.25)	0.044 (0.59)	0.131 (1.68)
β_1	0.557 (24.78)	0.388 (14.01)	0.401 (13.88)	0.803 (31.81)	0.622 (14.94)	0.644 (14.67)	0.271 (8.49)	0.313 (10.39)
β_2	0.383 (16.27)	0.667 (20.93)	0.600 (19.59)	0.207 (8.00)	0.442 (9.92)	0.383 (8.89)	0.828 (24.70)	0.725 (24.87)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.60%	79.00%	78.80%	78.40%	78.90%	78.80%	77.10%	77.40%
S&P 500								
α	-0.321 (-5.09)	0.076 (1.15)	0.257 (3.56)	-0.080 (-1.12)	0.217 (3.12)	0.343 (4.68)	-0.022 (-0.29)	0.159 (1.93)
β_1	0.292 (10.56)	0.326 (11.41)	0.326 (11.53)	0.559 (11.3)	0.592 (12.79)	0.590 (13.1)	0.546 (11.70)	0.536 (13.21)
β_2	0.668 (22.01)	0.756 (21.90)	0.716 (21.64)	0.443 (9.31)	0.497 (9.97)	0.474 (10.21)	0.517 (10.11)	0.510 (11.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.20%	71.20%	71.20%	71.20%	71.50%	71.60%	70.40%	71.00%

Table B5: **Encompassing regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 5-day forecast horizon**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on competing logarithmic volatility forecasts for the 5-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 5-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 5 lags.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	-0.454 (-6.83)	-0.248 (-3.56)	-0.220 (-3.22)	-0.175 (-2.47)	-0.054 (-0.72)	-0.043 (-0.58)	-0.319 (-4.53)	-0.267 (-3.85)
β_1	0.570 (12.87)	0.513 (11.46)	0.485 (10.55)	0.701 (13.54)	0.652 (11.33)	0.612 (10.28)	0.408 (8.32)	0.389 (8.29)
β_2	0.338 (7.92)	0.483 (9.32)	0.455 (9.88)	0.272 (5.62)	0.380 (6.09)	0.373 (6.69)	0.612 (10.69)	0.564 (11.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.50%	80.40%	80.70%	80.00%	80.10%	80.30%	78.80%	79.70%
CAC 40								
α	-0.343 (-4.80)	0.035 (0.46)	-0.104 (-1.43)	-0.101 (-1.40)	0.141 (1.78)	0.016 (0.21)	0.110 (1.39)	-0.039 (-0.53)
β_1	0.559 (12.57)	0.408 (9.77)	0.417 (9.80)	0.697 (15.01)	0.521 (9.35)	0.530 (8.88)	0.336 (7.20)	0.369 (8.50)
β_2	0.376 (8.07)	0.668 (12.78)	0.552 (12.66)	0.294 (6.35)	0.571 (8.75)	0.469 (8.22)	0.792 (14.3)	0.638 (15.33)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.50%	81.10%	81.20%	80.20%	80.90%	80.80%	80.40%	80.90%
DAX								
α	-0.309 (-4.16)	0.024 (0.31)	-0.090 (-1.19)	-0.081 (-1.09)	0.129 (1.60)	0.038 (0.47)	0.113 (1.50)	0.036 (0.48)
β_1	0.443 (9.94)	0.294 (7.23)	0.385 (8.68)	0.581 (11.33)	0.367 (6.15)	0.500 (7.63)	0.360 (7.17)	0.448 (8.91)
β_2	0.499 (10.14)	0.786 (16.04)	0.587 (12.64)	0.418 (7.92)	0.736 (10.98)	0.506 (8.03)	0.758 (13.77)	0.578 (12.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.90%	78.80%	77.90%	77.60%	78.60%	77.50%	79.30%	78.60%
DJIA								
α	-0.240 (-2.59)	-0.052 (-0.55)	0.018 (0.17)	-0.081 (-0.86)	0.108 (1.08)	0.175 (1.67)	0.020 (0.21)	0.092 (0.89)
β_1	0.322 (6.91)	0.378 (8.39)	0.376 (8.47)	0.399 (6.88)	0.465 (7.71)	0.466 (7.95)	0.538 (9.69)	0.537 (10.38)
β_2	0.634 (11.92)	0.658 (12.74)	0.622 (12.10)	0.596 (9.96)	0.608 (9.79)	0.573 (9.45)	0.519 (9.36)	0.494 (9.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.10%	77.40%	77.30%	76.90%	76.90%	76.90%	77.90%	78.10%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	-0.449 (-5.69)	-0.135 (-1.56)	-0.086 (-0.99)	-0.207 (-2.45)	0.020 (0.22)	0.071 (0.76)	-0.166 (-1.96)	-0.112 (-1.33)
β_1	0.375 (8.37)	0.350 (8.95)	0.381 (9.40)	0.502 (9.12)	0.438 (7.47)	0.489 (8.08)	0.423 (8.80)	0.460 (10.04)
β_2	0.530 (11.88)	0.680 (14.86)	0.590 (13.86)	0.463 (9.05)	0.627 (9.83)	0.524 (8.96)	0.611 (10.85)	0.526 (10.95)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.60%	76.60%	76.20%	76.00%	76.20%	75.70%	77.00%	77.00%
FTSE 100								
α	-0.372 (-4.78)	-0.098 (-1.28)	-0.100 (-1.30)	-0.103 (-1.32)	0.016 (0.20)	0.001 (0.01)	-0.077 (-0.97)	-0.071 (-0.90)
β_1	0.587 (13.08)	0.322 (7.09)	0.326 (6.61)	0.720 (15.79)	0.407 (7.13)	0.391 (5.62)	0.192 (3.76)	0.244 (5.14)
β_2	0.351 (7.53)	0.748 (14.08)	0.646 (12.81)	0.277 (6.09)	0.683 (10.76)	0.605 (9.00)	0.920 (16.47)	0.753 (16.57)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.80%	82.30%	82.40%	80.70%	82.20%	82.00%	81.40%	81.90%

Table B5 – continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	-0.749 (-5.53)	-0.624 (-4.90)	-0.207 (-1.52)	-0.170 (-1.03)	-0.289 (-1.89)	0.017 (0.11)	-1.144 (-8.84)	-0.332 (-2.04)
β_1	0.686 (15.31)	0.509 (11.22)	0.444 (9.36)	0.920 (16.23)	0.653 (11.03)	0.570 (8.57)	0.069 (1.47)	0.100 (2.59)
β_2	0.147 (4.62)	0.390 (9.82)	0.502 (10.66)	0.046 (1.32)	0.321 (6.72)	0.433 (6.92)	0.761 (11.68)	0.826 (14.1)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.30%	73.80%	74.30%	71.70%	73.60%	73.80%	67.50%	70.60%
KOSPI								
α	-0.471 (-3.67)	-0.319 (-2.78)	-0.209 (-1.70)	-0.012 (-0.100)	-0.027 (-0.22)	0.048 (0.39)	-0.263 (-1.83)	-0.086 (-0.57)
β_1	0.670 (13.85)	0.468 (9.39)	0.467 (9.26)	0.863 (17.79)	0.678 (10.98)	0.679 (10.62)	0.222 (3.26)	0.223 (3.23)
β_2	0.230 (3.62)	0.518 (8.32)	0.476 (8.34)	0.150 (2.52)	0.364 (5.24)	0.333 (5.13)	0.840 (14.03)	0.770 (14.16)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.40%	72.10%	72.10%	72.00%	72.90%	72.90%	68.00%	68.00%
NIKKEI 225								
α	-0.645 (-5.63)	-0.527 (-4.91)	-0.327 (-3.05)	-0.215 (-1.86)	-0.169 (-1.45)	-0.087 (-0.75)	-0.795 (-6.81)	-0.350 (-3.07)
β_1	0.622 (14.44)	0.563 (14.62)	0.438 (10.33)	0.809 (15.02)	0.730 (16.08)	0.584 (11.00)	0.365 (5.45)	0.252 (4.62)
β_2	0.245 (4.76)	0.358 (8.54)	0.476 (10.80)	0.158 (2.67)	0.271 (5.92)	0.393 (7.79)	0.561 (8.54)	0.684 (13.41)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.30%	68.70%	70.20%	68.50%	69.40%	70.30%	61.10%	67.20%
NASDAQ								
α	-0.390 (-3.83)	-0.143 (-1.46)	-0.066 (-0.64)	-0.056 (-0.54)	0.053 (0.51)	0.120 (1.13)	-0.062 (-0.61)	0.069 (0.64)
β_1	0.602 (12.67)	0.453 (9.36)	0.457 (9.37)	0.813 (14.65)	0.615 (9.74)	0.612 (9.56)	0.255 (3.66)	0.334 (5.66)
β_2	0.334 (5.74)	0.593 (9.73)	0.521 (9.25)	0.192 (3.02)	0.462 (6.49)	0.413 (6.23)	0.874 (12.69)	0.708 (13.21)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.80%	76.60%	76.70%	75.30%	76.30%	76.40%	73.70%	74.50%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	-0.433 (-3.95)	-0.046 (-0.40)	-0.064 (-0.55)	-0.039 (-0.35)	0.170 (1.48)	0.170 (1.46)	-0.008 (-0.06)	0.000 (0)
β_1	0.552 (9.86)	0.486 (8.83)	0.454 (8.10)	0.813 (11.21)	0.707 (9.65)	0.640 (8.65)	0.330 (3.83)	0.36 (4.88)
β_2	0.368 (5.66)	0.586 (7.60)	0.524 (7.71)	0.195 (2.64)	0.395 (4.43)	0.401 (5.15)	0.819 (7.94)	0.670 (8.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.30%	72.50%	73.10%	71.80%	72.40%	73.00%	68.00%	70.40%
SMI								
α	-0.354 (-4.24)	-0.172 (-2.16)	-0.128 (-1.59)	-0.060 (-0.74)	-0.018 (-0.21)	0.018 (0.21)	-0.111 (-1.24)	-0.032 (-0.35)
β_1	0.696 (18.06)	0.446 (9.98)	0.438 (8.99)	0.796 (20.43)	0.536 (8.67)	0.522 (7.79)	0.229 (4.79)	0.257 (5.78)
β_2	0.232 (5.44)	0.571 (11.40)	0.529 (10.58)	0.202 (4.93)	0.513 (7.99)	0.481 (7.58)	0.845 (17.83)	0.746 (18.54)
$\bar{R}^2$	80.70%	82.50%	82.70%	81.30%	82.20%	82.30%	81.00%	81.60%
S&P 500								
α	-0.318 (-3.74)	-0.114 (-1.30)	-0.010 (-0.11)	-0.147 (-1.69)	0.054 (0.59)	0.152 (1.59)	-0.150 (-1.72)	-0.024 (-0.25)
β_1	0.372 (7.45)	0.442 (9.56)	0.447 (9.69)	0.468 (7.31)	0.558 (8.98)	0.565 (9.22)	0.573 (8.64)	0.563 (9.60)
β_2	0.570 (10.35)	0.584 (11.10)	0.545 (10.54)	0.515 (8.02)	0.503 (7.86)	0.469 (7.54)	0.453 (6.62)	0.448 (7.44)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.70%	78.70%	78.60%	78.30%	78.20%	78.20%	78.10%	78.60%

Table B6: **Encompassing regressions for logarithmic volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of the logarithm of realized volatility on competing logarithmic volatility forecasts for the 22-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 22-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 22 lags.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	-0.625 (-5.32)	-0.588 (-5.14)	-0.498 (-4.55)	-0.363 (-2.78)	-0.437 (-3.20)	-0.453 (-3.74)	-0.634 (-5.69)	-0.496 (-4.59)
β_1	0.518 (6.88)	0.337 (4.43)	0.092 (1.05)	0.690 (5.86)	0.383 (2.71)	0.102 (0.68)	0.208 (2.03)	0.099 (1.16)
β_2	0.315 (3.70)	0.560 (6.13)	0.743 (7.85)	0.214 (1.80)	0.560 (3.90)	0.746 (5.54)	0.712 (6.83)	0.744 (9.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.60%	68.00%	71.20%	65.50%	67.50%	71.20%	67.00%	71.30%
CAC 40								
α	-0.453 (-3.68)	-0.300 (-2.50)	-0.332 (-2.88)	-0.264 (-2.07)	-0.193 (-1.56)	-0.315 (-2.60)	-0.255 (-2.21)	-0.309 (-2.66)
β_1	0.527 (7.48)	0.299 (4.44)	0.066 (0.87)	0.728 (8.02)	0.371 (2.88)	0.054 (0.37)	0.194 (1.95)	0.106 (1.19)
β_2	0.356 (4.24)	0.684 (7.94)	0.817 (9.68)	0.201 (2.03)	0.641 (4.54)	0.834 (6.18)	0.836 (8.04)	0.793 (10.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.10%	70.60%	73.70%	68.40%	70.40%	73.70%	69.90%	73.80%
DAX								
α	-0.468 (-3.75)	-0.354 (-3.08)	-0.373 (-3.10)	-0.322 (-2.32)	-0.336 (-2.9)	-0.383 (-3.07)	-0.310 (-2.70)	-0.328 (-2.72)
β_1	0.429 (6.50)	0.074 (0.92)	0.036 (0.43)	0.515 (4.96)	0.032 (0.21)	-0.035 (-0.2)	0.161 (1.59)	0.155 (1.56)
β_2	0.444 (6.08)	0.910 (9.28)	0.833 (9.11)	0.403 (3.60)	0.962 (5.74)	0.900 (5.59)	0.838 (7.92)	0.739 (8.13)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.10%	68.60%	69.10%	63.30%	68.50%	69.10%	68.80%	69.40%
DJIA								
α	-0.359 (-2.01)	-0.339 (-2.00)	-0.266 (-1.5)	-0.286 (-1.66)	-0.273 (-1.43)	-0.217 (-1.20)	-0.248 (-1.40)	-0.187 (-1.07)
β_1	0.282 (3.34)	0.156 (1.51)	0.041 (0.48)	0.222 (1.89)	0.158 (1.20)	0.119 (1.04)	0.355 (2.53)	0.303 (2.98)
β_2	0.626 (5.85)	0.805 (7.67)	0.864 (9.01)	0.712 (5.33)	0.825 (6.89)	0.802 (7.16)	0.630 (5.39)	0.639 (7.28)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.90%	68.30%	69.80%	65.90%	68.20%	69.90%	69.30%	70.90%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	-0.591 (-4.70)	-0.485 (-3.74)	-0.368 (-2.73)	-0.493 (-3.66)	-0.478 (-3.38)	-0.373 (-2.67)	-0.479 (-3.82)	-0.370 (-2.93)
β_1	0.304 (4.07)	0.092 (1.23)	0.003 (0.03)	0.307 (2.29)	0.030 (0.18)	-0.010 (-0.05)	0.252 (2.33)	0.233 (2.47)
β_2	0.530 (6.03)	0.841 (8.81)	0.864 (8.33)	0.560 (4.27)	0.913 (5.35)	0.874 (5.36)	0.678 (6.08)	0.649 (7.15)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.10%	66.20%	67.50%	62.90%	66.00%	67.50%	66.90%	68.30%
FTSE 100								
α	-0.423 (-3.10)	-0.375 (-3.00)	-0.321 (-2.62)	-0.288 (-2.09)	-0.324 (-2.47)	-0.335 (-2.75)	-0.408 (-3.14)	-0.334 (-2.62)
β_1	0.609 (8.58)	0.269 (3.66)	0.086 (1.09)	0.737 (7.71)	0.229 (2.26)	-0.018 (-0.16)	0.034 (0.33)	-0.021 (-0.26)
β_2	0.296 (3.32)	0.727 (8.14)	0.812 (9.18)	0.199 (1.82)	0.792 (7.31)	0.911 (8.01)	0.995 (10.24)	0.913 (12.64)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.40%	75.30%	77.50%	70.70%	74.90%	77.40%	74.40%	77.40%

Table B6 - continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	-0.617 (-2.75)	-0.732 (-3.86)	-0.385 (-1.95)	-0.218 (-0.84)	-0.597 (-2.95)	-0.265 (-1.30)	-1.072 (-6.54)	-0.419 (-2.02)
β_1	0.807 (8.62)	0.432 (5.07)	0.316 (3.39)	1.007 (8.77)	0.456 (4.57)	0.337 (3.01)	-0.111 (-1.70)	0.000 (0.00)
β_2	0.007 (0.12)	0.413 (5.37)	0.563 (5.76)	-0.080 (-1.27)	0.437 (5.16)	0.581 (5.48)	0.915 (8.87)	0.867 (9.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.60%	69.00%	70.00%	65.10%	68.70%	70.00%	66.10%	68.50%
KOSPI								
α	-0.546 (-1.74)	-0.624 (-2.96)	-0.495 (-2.21)	-0.085 (-0.29)	-0.322 (-1.40)	-0.272 (-1.15)	-0.703 (-2.42)	-0.567 (-1.82)
β_1	0.648 (8.01)	0.272 (2.21)	0.173 (1.22)	0.933 (8.57)	0.443 (3.14)	0.334 (1.99)	-0.006 (-0.04)	-0.066 (-0.46)
β_2	0.199 (1.31)	0.623 (3.58)	0.663 (3.92)	0.050 (0.35)	0.538 (3.69)	0.575 (3.91)	0.919 (9.71)	0.869 (10.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.90%	60.60%	62.10%	58.00%	61.40%	62.80%	59.40%	61.80%
NIKKEI 225								
α	-0.857 (-3.48)	-0.889 (-4.1)	-0.653 (-3.25)	-0.458 (-1.77)	-0.583 (-2.43)	-0.569 (-2.65)	-1.089 (-4.95)	-0.645 (-3.12)
β_1	0.523 (5.02)	0.359 (3.10)	0.028 (0.25)	0.737 (5.50)	0.493 (4.24)	0.119 (1.00)	0.124 (1.08)	0.039 (0.46)
β_2	0.246 (2.11)	0.443 (3.78)	0.760 (6.54)	0.148 (1.01)	0.399 (3.71)	0.697 (6.40)	0.673 (6.97)	0.757 (10.06)
$\bar{R}^2$	50.00%	53.00%	59.10%	50.60%	53.80%	59.30%	49.60%	59.10%
NASDAQ								
α	-0.567 (-2.97)	-0.497 (-2.98)	-0.349 (-1.95)	-0.256 (-1.51)	-0.345 (-1.95)	-0.262 (-1.56)	-0.480 (-2.72)	-0.305 (-1.76)
β_1	0.493 (5.32)	0.267 (3.01)	0.118 (1.24)	0.702 (5.55)	0.335 (2.32)	0.182 (1.18)	0.165 (1.06)	0.174 (1.58)
β_2	0.377 (2.73)	0.692 (6.02)	0.764 (6.46)	0.249 (1.49)	0.666 (4.16)	0.728 (4.63)	0.842 (6.45)	0.741 (8.25)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.80%	64.00%	66.20%	61.70%	63.70%	66.30%	63.20%	66.50%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	-0.644 (-3.43)	-0.536 (-2.94)	-0.418 (-2.22)	-0.132 (-0.64)	-0.199 (-0.93)	-0.309 (-1.63)	-0.517 (-2.8)	-0.400 (-2.15)
β_1	0.571 (5.64)	0.455 (3.95)	0.130 (1.00)	0.951 (5.84)	0.624 (3.46)	0.171 (0.85)	0.132 (0.75)	0.076 (0.54)
β_2	0.238 (1.94)	0.435 (2.92)	0.718 (4.68)	-0.002 (-0.01)	0.367 (1.86)	0.714 (3.78)	0.849 (4.4)	0.787 (5.74)
$\bar{R}^2$	55.40%	56.10%	60.30%	54.30%	55.10%	60.30%	52.80%	60.20%
SMI								
α	-0.502 (-3.22)	-0.469 (-3.54)	-0.360 (-2.67)	-0.228 (-1.49)	-0.365 (-2.43)	-0.329 (-2.38)	-0.482 (-3.30)	-0.357 (-2.51)
β_1	0.624 (10.3)	0.230 (2.86)	0.031 (0.33)	0.856 (11.09)	0.267 (1.79)	0.073 (0.49)	0.024 (0.27)	0.008 (0.11)
β_2	0.247 (3.21)	0.717 (7.46)	0.855 (7.91)	0.085 (0.97)	0.711 (4.80)	0.822 (5.89)	0.948 (11.86)	0.879 (13.09)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.20%	71.40%	74.20%	67.90%	71.20%	74.20%	70.70%	74.20%
S&P 500								
α	-0.434 (-2.84)	-0.384 (-2.53)	-0.250 (-1.53)	-0.381 (-2.70)	-0.300 (-1.72)	-0.182 (-1.10)	-0.352 (-2.38)	-0.223 (-1.48)
β_1	0.270 (2.90)	0.204 (2.19)	0.073 (0.75)	0.206 (1.47)	0.221 (1.6)	0.175 (1.22)	0.389 (2.27)	0.332 (2.64)
β_2	0.623 (5.27)	0.756 (7.54)	0.837 (7.51)	0.707 (4.65)	0.767 (6.00)	0.758 (5.49)	0.580 (3.68)	0.605 (5.28)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.40%	69.00%	70.40%	67.60%	68.80%	70.60%	69.70%	71.60%

Table B7: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.275	0.578	0.274	0.397	**0.242**	2.565	2.571	2.565	2.567	**2.564**
CAC 40	0.277	0.497	0.264	0.376	**0.243**	2.547	2.551	2.547	2.548	**2.546**
DAX	0.289	0.478	0.276	0.345	**0.254**	2.533	2.537	2.533	2.534	**2.533**
DJIA	0.369	0.471	0.318	0.341	**0.309**	2.577	2.579	2.577	2.577	**2.576**
EURO STOXX 50	0.309	0.497	0.290	0.346	**0.270**	2.531	2.535	2.531	2.532	**2.531**
FTSE 100	0.276	0.613	0.254	0.445	**0.243**	2.606	2.612	2.605	2.608	**2.605**
HANG SENG	0.309	0.661	0.268	0.630	**0.255**	2.582	2.591	2.582	2.592	**2.582**
KOSPI	0.271	0.609	0.282	0.496	**0.243**	2.552	2.560	2.553	2.557	**2.552**
NIKKEI 225	0.280	0.694	0.275	0.573	**0.246**	2.570	2.580	2.569	2.576	**2.569**
NASDAQ	0.282	0.648	0.279	0.513	**0.248**	2.576	2.584	2.576	2.580	**2.575**
RUSSELL 2000	0.328	0.671	0.327	0.534	**0.290**	2.513	2.523	2.513	2.518	**2.513**
SMI	0.243	0.502	0.228	0.367	**0.214**	2.592	2.596	2.592	2.594	**2.591**
S&P 500	0.346	0.521	0.312	0.356	**0.293**	2.574	2.577	2.573	2.574	**2.573**

Table B8: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.224	0.522	**0.210**	0.355	0.210	2.371	2.379	2.371	2.374	**2.371**
CAC 40	0.224	0.437	**0.199**	0.333	0.210	2.349	2.354	**2.348**	2.351	2.349
DAX	0.238	0.411	**0.207**	0.292	0.221	2.332	2.336	**2.331**	2.333	2.331
DJIA	0.260	0.373	**0.228**	0.256	0.243	2.380	2.382	**2.379**	2.380	2.379
EURO STOXX 50	0.252	0.425	**0.221**	0.292	0.235	2.328	2.333	**2.327**	2.329	2.328
FTSE 100	0.229	0.558	**0.193**	0.405	0.214	2.420	2.429	**2.419**	2.424	2.420
HANG SENG	0.215	0.597	**0.195**	0.592	0.202	2.390	2.403	**2.390**	2.406	2.390
KOSPI	0.250	0.556	0.232	0.458	**0.227**	2.356	2.366	2.356	2.362	**2.355**
NIKKEI 225	0.237	0.640	**0.218**	0.533	0.219	2.376	2.391	**2.376**	2.386	2.376
NASDAQ	0.231	0.590	**0.213**	0.462	0.215	2.384	2.395	**2.383**	2.390	2.383
RUSSELL 2000	0.274	0.594	0.253	0.471	**0.252**	2.304	2.316	2.303	2.310	**2.303**
SMI	0.198	0.457	**0.177**	0.339	0.189	2.405	2.411	**2.405**	2.408	2.405
S&P 500	0.253	0.436	**0.232**	0.280	0.237	2.377	2.381	**2.376**	2.377	2.376

Table B9: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.273	0.514	**0.237**	0.390	0.257		2.156	2.168	**2.154**	2.161	2.155
CAC 40	0.265	0.429	**0.223**	0.363	0.248		2.127	2.135	**2.126**	2.132	2.127
DAX	0.276	0.401	**0.230**	0.319	0.260		2.106	2.112	**2.105**	2.108	2.106
DJIA	0.293	0.359	**0.245**	0.282	0.278		2.163	2.165	**2.161**	2.162	2.162
EURO STOXX 50	0.293	0.411	**0.241**	0.319	0.272		2.100	2.106	**2.099**	2.102	2.100
FTSE 100	0.255	0.543	**0.213**	0.430	0.249		2.214	2.227	**2.213**	2.221	2.214
HANG SENG	0.222	0.580	**0.202**	0.637	0.218		2.179	2.198	**2.178**	2.221	2.179
KOSPI	0.281	0.534	**0.248**	0.455	0.259		2.134	2.148	**2.132**	2.141	2.133
NIKKEI 225	0.279	0.622	**0.236**	0.544	0.257		2.161	2.183	**2.160**	2.176	2.161
NASDAQ	0.273	0.575	**0.237**	0.463	0.254		2.170	2.186	**2.169**	2.179	2.169
RUSSELL 2000	0.310	0.568	**0.272**	0.475	0.292		2.064	2.082	**2.063**	2.075	2.064
SMI	0.250	0.455	**0.207**	0.383	0.234		2.199	2.207	**2.198**	2.203	2.198
S&P 500	0.294	0.420	**0.249**	0.304	0.277		2.160	2.165	**2.158**	2.160	2.159

Appendix C. Alternative Volatility Estimators (Realised Kernel)

Table C1: **Univariate regressions for daily volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts at the 1-day forecast horizon. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility (Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2008). Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.022 (7.67)	-0.026 (-6.02)	0.004 (1.18)	0.018 (5.15)	0.003 (0.96)
β	0.854 (39.52)	0.745 (36.22)	1.077 (34.29)	0.668 (32.69)	0.975 (41.32)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.91%	71.77%	69.70%	74.46%	76.57%
CAC 40					
α	0.027 (7.53)	-0.045 (-7.82)	-0.006 (-1.35)	0.011 (2.44)	0.004 (1.00)
β	0.835 (33.74)	0.891 (31.82)	1.157 (30.69)	0.731 (29.59)	0.972 (33.79)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.73%	70.27%	66.71%	71.17%	72.61%
DAX					
α	0.026 (5.83)	-0.046 (-6.17)	-0.010 (-1.55)	-0.003 (-0.51)	0.004 (0.81)
β	0.851 (30.13)	0.911 (26.20)	1.160 (25.84)	0.852 (26.38)	0.969 (28.45)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.66%	70.40%	66.28%	71.82%	74.38%
DJIA					
α	0.025 (6.08)	-0.042 (-6.54)	-0.015 (-2.63)	0.001 (0.12)	0.006 (1.40)
β	0.825 (26.15)	0.967 (25.33)	1.247 (24.33)	0.878 (23.51)	0.945 (26.99)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.10%	69.73%	68.61%	72.56%	72.42%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.034 (5.72)	-0.041 (-6.05)	-0.013 (-2.24)	0.011 (2.04)	0.008 (1.13)
β	0.800 (21.53)	0.863 (27.48)	1.204 (26.75)	0.768 (25.38)	0.955 (22.58)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.07%	66.12%	62.96%	68.59%	66.95%
FTSE 100					
α	0.018 (6.34)	-0.025 (-6.54)	-0.004 (-1.29)	0.013 (3.40)	0.001 (0.42)
β	0.851 (32.48)	0.727 (33.59)	1.133 (32.82)	0.638 (24.56)	0.984 (35.75)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.48%	73.73%	72.84%	71.19%	75.27%
HANG SENG					
α	0.032 (5.64)	-0.009 (-1.19)	-0.012 (-1.48)	0.068 (12.72)	0.004 (0.50)
β	0.756 (16.04)	0.593 (16.03)	1.195 (16.05)	0.265 (10.27)	0.963 (15.79)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.19%	61.77%	62.10%	46.02%	62.84%
KOSPI					
α	0.022 (5.16)	-0.038 (-3.86)	-0.020 (-2.28)	-0.008 (-1.08)	0.005 (0.86)
β	0.856 (27.44)	0.756 (17.91)	1.247 (17.63)	0.733 (20.30)	0.958 (21.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.23%	65.88%	64.74%	67.02%	74.76%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.030 (7.39)	-0.011 (-1.38)	-0.007 (-0.89)	0.016 (2.44)	0.005 (0.85)
β	0.788 (24.61)	0.600 (17.80)	1.152 (18.34)	0.558 (17.50)	0.953 (23.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.09%	56.77%	59.70%	59.25%	65.91%
NASDAQ					
α	0.023 (5.17)	-0.047 (-7.65)	-0.010 (-2.06)	-0.003 (-0.48)	0.003 (0.66)
β	0.835 (24.11)	0.792 (27.48)	1.205 (26.25)	0.695 (23.05)	0.97 (24.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.69%	70.57%	69.37%	67.22%	72.69%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.035 (5.73)	-0.067 (-7.81)	-0.002 (-0.27)	0.015 (2.06)	0.005 (0.82)
β	0.817 (23.38)	0.827 (26.91)	1.132 (25.69)	0.636 (21.73)	0.971 (24.83)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.68%	65.07%	63.69%	63.37%	69.65%
SMI					
α	0.016 (6.37)	-0.017 (-3.75)	0.005 (1.32)	0.013 (3.17)	0.002 (0.89)
β	0.872 (38.15)	0.749 (27.51)	1.018 (25.56)	0.697 (25.69)	0.975 (38.93)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.35%	73.21%	71.18%	72.01%	78.38%
S&P 500					
α	0.024 (4.71)	-0.040 (-6.51)	-0.016 (-2.92)	0.008 (1.67)	0.005 (1.02)
β	0.834 (21.97)	0.882 (26.4)	1.261 (25.55)	0.782 (24.38)	0.951 (23.30)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.60%	70.29%	69.28%	73.03%	73.00%

Table C2: **Univariate regressions for weekly volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts at the 5-day forecast horizon. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility (Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2008). Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.020 (4.59)	-0.016 (-2.79)	0.012 (2.48)	0.023 (6.05)	0.005 (1.06)
β	0.861 (25.76)	0.716 (25.48)	0.966 (24.36)	0.654 (30.71)	0.961 (30.05)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.73%	75.08%	75.02%	77.03%	77.99%
CAC 40					
α	0.024 (4.48)	-0.034 (-4.82)	0.003 (0.58)	0.016 (3.48)	0.006 (1.01)
β	0.853 (22.62)	0.858 (24.71)	1.036 (23.28)	0.718 (27.83)	0.963 (25.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.11%	73.52%	72.11%	73.65%	74.16%
DAX					
α	0.030 (3.60)	-0.033 (-3.93)	0.000 (0.04)	0.003 (0.39)	0.008 (0.98)
β	0.824 (15.42)	0.867 (21.96)	1.054 (20.9)	0.837 (21.37)	0.943 (17.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.14%	72.07%	69.36%	73.42%	71.49%
DJIA					
α	0.020 (3.17)	-0.033 (-3.58)	-0.007 (-0.84)	0.004 (0.50)	0.011 (1.58)
β	0.859 (16.53)	0.942 (16.62)	1.132 (15.86)	0.879 (16.93)	0.906 (16.21)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.89%	73.20%	72.97%	76.97%	74.87%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.032 (4.57)	-0.029 (-3.24)	-0.003 (-0.38)	0.017 (2.67)	0.009 (1.16)
β	0.816 (17.71)	0.828 (19.73)	1.087 (18.89)	0.754 (21.29)	0.949 (19.94)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.27%	69.77%	67.62%	72.29%	68.76%
FTSE 100					
α	0.016 (3.98)	-0.019 (-4.16)	0.006 (1.48)	0.016 (3.24)	0.001 (0.39)
β	0.871 (22.8)	0.709 (26.61)	0.994 (24.81)	0.631 (19.19)	0.976 (27.45)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.78%	77.58%	77.79%	73.62%	76.69%
HANG SENG					
α	0.025 (2.46)	-0.002 (-0.16)	-0.004 (-0.38)	0.076 (9.37)	0.009 (0.78)
β	0.811 (9.47)	0.573 (11.87)	1.079 (11.92)	0.243 (6.35)	0.921 (10.12)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.57%	66.57%	67.01%	44.15%	65.16%
KOSPI					
α	0.027 (2.60)	-0.027 (-1.82)	-0.010 (-0.77)	-0.006 (-0.48)	0.014 (1.07)
β	0.832 (11.35)	0.728 (11.18)	1.133 (11.12)	0.742 (12.58)	0.902 (10.29)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.12%	67.74%	67.27%	65.63%	69.72%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.029 (4.13)	-0.001 (-0.08)	0.005 (0.48)	0.020 (2.60)	0.011 (1.32)
β	0.802 (14.95)	0.574 (12.2)	1.021 (12.97)	0.554 (14.82)	0.906 (15.28)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.31%	60.47%	64.89%	62.60%	65.74%
NASDAQ					
α	0.021 (3.61)	-0.038 (-4.21)	-0.002 (-0.24)	0.000 (-0.06)	0.007 (1.06)
β	0.854 (18.15)	0.765 (18.05)	1.088 (17.26)	0.697 (17.3)	0.942 (17.98)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.94%	73.13%	73.36%	72.17%	73.96%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.034 (4.49)	-0.050 (-4.08)	0.007 (0.69)	0.025 (2.36)	0.010 (1.22)
β	0.828 (18.14)	0.785 (18.18)	1.028 (17.22)	0.616 (14.48)	0.950 (19.99)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.42%	66.98%	68.16%	64.75%	70.23%
SMI					
α	0.016 (4.28)	-0.012 (-2.33)	0.011 (2.31)	0.015 (3.07)	0.003 (0.88)
β	0.871 (24.61)	0.730 (23.49)	0.945 (20.61)	0.690 (21.02)	0.965 (28.62)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.80%	75.89%	74.84%	72.94%	78.06%
S&P 500					
α	0.020 (3.31)	-0.031 (-3.52)	-0.008 (-1.02)	0.011 (1.67)	0.009 (1.28)
β	0.861 (17.42)	0.856 (17.49)	1.143 (16.72)	0.784 (17.82)	0.924 (17.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.20%	73.01%	72.81%	77.61%	75.21%

Table C3: **Univariate regressions for monthly volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts at the 22-day forecast horizon. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility (Barndorff-Nielsen et al., 2008). Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.037 (4.89)	0.008 (0.99)	0.031 (4.43)	0.035 (5.38)	0.010 (1.17)
β	0.745 (13.37)	0.619 (15.47)	0.826 (15.20)	0.596 (17.25)	0.912 (14.66)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.01%	63.68%	67.78%	60.83%	60.86%
CAC 40					
α	0.039 (4.88)	-0.006 (-0.66)	0.026 (3.37)	0.029 (4.03)	0.015 (1.80)
β	0.760 (14.05)	0.746 (16.95)	0.884 (15.37)	0.660 (17.61)	0.899 (16.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.65%	62.71%	65.60%	58.37%	59.68%
DAX					
α	0.046 (4.87)	-0.001 (-0.10)	0.029 (2.91)	0.020 (1.71)	0.019 (1.90)
β	0.728 (12.07)	0.743 (13.71)	0.870 (12.77)	0.757 (11.96)	0.873 (14.24)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.26%	61.61%	62.10%	55.97%	55.31%
DJIA					
α	0.031 (2.88)	-0.010 (-0.72)	0.015 (1.2)	0.012 (0.87)	0.023 (2.23)
β	0.784 (8.71)	0.834 (9.23)	0.960 (8.82)	0.837 (8.8)	0.816 (10.00)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.40%	62.83%	65.45%	64.87%	61.60%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.049 (5.60)	0.002 (0.24)	0.027 (2.85)	0.033 (4.19)	0.018 (2.05)
β	0.718 (12.96)	0.710 (14.43)	0.892 (13.18)	0.677 (15.35)	0.892 (16.55)
$\bar{R}^2$	53.79%	60.08%	60.92%	57.91%	53.40%
FTSE 100					
α	0.024 (3.69)	-0.002 (-0.32)	0.020 (2.99)	0.023 (3.14)	0.007 (0.95)
β	0.807 (13.13)	0.635 (15.72)	0.871 (13.55)	0.596 (13.25)	0.917 (14.24)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.06%	68.43%	72.15%	61.19%	63.79%
HANG SENG					
α	0.041 (3.20)	0.018 (2.03)	0.019 (2.22)	0.090 (9.75)	0.024 (2.15)
β	0.698 (6.35)	0.501 (10.42)	0.893 (10.58)	0.188 (5.5)	0.791 (8.44)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.44%	57.51%	59.24%	28.42%	50.03%
KOSPI					
α	0.050 (3.38)	0.001 (0.02)	0.017 (1.00)	-0.003 (-0.14)	0.023 (1.20)
β	0.692 (6.29)	0.632 (6.76)	0.935 (6.92)	0.759 (6.37)	0.840 (6.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	47.52%	57.58%	58.36%	46.45%	48.69%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.047 (3.30)	0.025 (1.46)	0.027 (1.82)	0.028 (1.76)	0.021 (1.19)
β	0.683 (6.26)	0.483 (6.43)	0.853 (7.12)	0.526 (6.92)	0.828 (6.67)
$\bar{R}^2$	46.54%	49.32%	56.80%	47.99%	45.82%
NASDAQ					
α	0.032 (3.30)	-0.012 (-0.93)	0.019 (1.77)	0.0100 (0.78)	0.013 (1.25)
β	0.774 (9.73)	0.665 (10.67)	0.923 (10.23)	0.657 (9.69)	0.894 (10.62)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.64%	61.45%	65.74%	60.97%	60.67%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.051 (3.29)	-0.003 (-0.16)	0.038 (2.46)	0.047 (2.29)	0.021 (1.14)
β	0.746 (9.38)	0.652 (9.60)	0.848 (9.95)	0.552 (7.22)	0.902 (9.38)
$\bar{R}^2$	55.05%	53.08%	60.42%	50.13%	54.95%
SMI					
α	0.028 (4.41)	0.005 (0.65)	0.026 (3.33)	0.021 (3.80)	0.008 (1.13)
β	0.776 (13.33)	0.649 (14.44)	0.824 (11.73)	0.644 (17.08)	0.915 (15.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.55%	65.90%	68.53%	57.23%	64.89%
S&P 500					
α	0.032 (3.12)	-0.007 (-0.58)	0.014 (1.22)	0.019 (1.55)	0.015 (1.45)
β	0.784 (9.49)	0.758 (9.98)	0.965 (9.50)	0.749 (9.27)	0.873 (10.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.31%	62.53%	65.15%	66.36%	61.53%

Table C4: **Encompassing regressions for daily volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 1-day forecast horizon. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 1-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.012 (3.90)	-0.010 (-2.94)	0.004 (1.68)	0.004 (1.36)	-0.010 (-2.91)	-0.001 (-0.38)	-0.007 (-2.02)	0.006 (1.80)
β_1	0.441 (10.25)	0.502 (9.63)	0.54 (10.82)	0.599 (10.0)	0.719 (10.14)	0.769 (11.49)	0.411 (7.99)	0.445 (9.31)
β_2	0.365 (11.06)	0.358 (9.15)	0.477 (8.60)	0.281 (6.11)	0.218 (4.44)	0.260 (3.79)	0.323 (6.75)	0.418 (6.38)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.80%	77.90%	77.50%	78.30%	77.40%	77.20%	76.90%	76.70%
CAC 40								
α	0.008 (2.16)	-0.022 (-4.77)	-0.001 (-0.15)	0.001 (0.24)	-0.021 (-4.45)	-0.005 (-1.37)	-0.024 (-6.01)	-0.006 (-1.64)
β_1	0.416 (9.28)	0.436 (7.77)	0.506 (9.43)	0.566 (9.16)	0.611 (7.18)	0.721 (9.10)	0.407 (6.95)	0.473 (9.15)
β_2	0.417 (10.63)	0.490 (9.98)	0.553 (8.95)	0.334 (6.42)	0.362 (5.31)	0.343 (4.12)	0.440 (8.35)	0.485 (8.05)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.30%	75.00%	74.20%	74.80%	74.20%	73.60%	74.30%	74.00%
DAX								
α	0.001 (0.30)	-0.019 (-4.27)	0.000 (-0.07)	-0.006 (-1.27)	-0.018 (-4.18)	-0.005 (-1.13)	-0.031 (-4.25)	-0.015 (-2.58)
β_1	0.472 (8.38)	0.503 (9.49)	0.573 (11.29)	0.600 (7.18)	0.674 (8.47)	0.775 (10.29)	0.492 (6.66)	0.585 (9.24)
β_2	0.436 (9.89)	0.435 (10.68)	0.470 (9.51)	0.362 (5.61)	0.308 (5.41)	0.272 (3.94)	0.424 (5.71)	0.429 (5.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.00%	76.50%	75.80%	76.50%	75.50%	75.00%	74.30%	73.80%
DJIA								
α	0.002 (0.38)	-0.023 (-5.13)	-0.007 (-1.69)	-0.001 (-0.25)	-0.020 (-4.60)	-0.008 (-1.84)	-0.017 (-4.28)	-0.008 (-1.82)
β_1	0.343 (8.82)	0.415 (10.71)	0.438 (10.94)	0.481 (7.49)	0.592 (10.25)	0.626 (10.64)	0.604 (5.85)	0.627 (7.08)
β_2	0.570 (11.2)	0.557 (14.50)	0.689 (12.27)	0.460 (6.62)	0.403 (8.58)	0.476 (6.99)	0.327 (3.70)	0.394 (3.91)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.40%	74.40%	74.10%	74.80%	74.40%	74.20%	73.40%	73.40%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.010 (2.10)	-0.021 (-3.87)	-0.004 (-0.85)	0.003 (0.61)	-0.023 (-4.3)	-0.008 (-1.84)	-0.018 (-3.74)	-0.006 (-1.31)
β_1	0.335 (4.83)	0.394 (6.31)	0.456 (7.42)	0.426 (4.09)	0.532 (5.70)	0.639 (6.79)	0.480 (6.59)	0.539 (8.18)
β_2	0.500 (7.89)	0.507 (9.20)	0.631 (8.43)	0.456 (5.40)	0.419 (5.83)	0.456 (4.54)	0.361 (5.83)	0.423 (5.40)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.40%	70.40%	69.50%	70.60%	69.40%	68.70%	70.50%	70.30%
FTSE 100								
α	0.009 (2.85)	-0.013 (-3.96)	-0.001 (-0.30)	0.001 (0.30)	-0.014 (-4.31)	-0.004 (-1.63)	-0.015 (-5.19)	-0.002 (-0.78)
β_1	0.483 (9.71)	0.422 (6.52)	0.445 (6.83)	0.669 (11.21)	0.590 (6.74)	0.633 (6.88)	0.264 (4.40)	0.300 (5.63)
β_2	0.321 (8.41)	0.411 (8.84)	0.614 (8.30)	0.229 (4.95)	0.313 (5.40)	0.438 (4.52)	0.455 (8.98)	0.658 (9.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.90%	77.60%	77.40%	76.70%	76.80%	76.60%	75.60%	75.80%

Table C4 continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.033 (5.96)	-0.004 (-0.73)	-0.006 (-1.00)	0.005 (0.68)	-0.009 (-1.28)	-0.010 (-1.43)	-0.004 (-0.71)	-0.008 (-1.23)
β_1	0.561 (10.85)	0.342 (6.29)	0.335 (6.23)	0.935 (11.42)	0.548 (5.97)	0.535 (5.82)	0.036 (1.31)	0.027 (0.98)
β_2	0.103 (5.37)	0.382 (12.43)	0.778 (12.25)	0.011 (0.39)	0.284 (6.46)	0.585 (6.35)	0.536 (13.97)	1.108 (14.03)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.30%	65.60%	65.70%	62.80%	65.30%	65.30%	62.00%	62.20%
KOSPI								
α	-0.002 (-0.46)	-0.011 (-2.34)	-0.004 (-0.94)	-0.010 (-1.81)	-0.013 (-2.55)	-0.008 (-1.62)	-0.037 (-5.68)	-0.028 (-4.10)
β_1	0.566 (14.04)	0.593 (11.13)	0.607 (11.48)	0.673 (12.2)	0.746 (9.49)	0.764 (9.77)	0.416 (5.86)	0.434 (6.20)
β_2	0.314 (7.33)	0.290 (8.08)	0.461 (7.91)	0.272 (5.54)	0.202 (4.23)	0.309 (3.97)	0.390 (8.83)	0.617 (8.57)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.10%	76.00%	75.90%	77.30%	75.80%	75.70%	72.00%	71.70%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.013 (2.54)	-0.001 (-0.20)	0.000 (0.02)	0.002 (0.43)	-0.005 (-0.98)	-0.004 (-0.73)	-0.004 (-0.70)	-0.006 (-0.97)
β_1	0.479 (11.06)	0.514 (11.26)	0.471 (9.06)	0.741 (12.25)	0.766 (12.90)	0.725 (9.66)	0.343 (5.72)	0.292 (5.34)
β_2	0.272 (7.51)	0.275 (10.26)	0.581 (9.71)	0.145 (3.09)	0.145 (5.39)	0.321 (4.49)	0.268 (5.95)	0.633 (8.25)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.60%	66.50%	67.20%	66.60%	66.70%	66.80%	61.80%	63.80%
NASDAQ								
α	0.000 (0.03)	-0.025 (-4.41)	-0.004 (-1.01)	-0.004 (-0.80)	-0.023 (-4.02)	-0.007 (-1.62)	-0.038 (-7.46)	-0.015 (-2.82)
β_1	0.496 (8.61)	0.431 (7.12)	0.457 (7.34)	0.708 (7.79)	0.597 (6.43)	0.639 (6.77)	0.241 (4.29)	0.311 (6.42)
β_2	0.343 (7.17)	0.442 (8.56)	0.643 (7.80)	0.214 (3.26)	0.334 (4.87)	0.459 (4.21)	0.543 (11.3)	0.725 (10.62)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.60%	75.40%	75.10%	73.80%	74.40%	74.20%	71.60%	71.90%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.010 (1.8)	-0.033 (-4.31)	0.001 (0.17)	0.000 (0.03)	-0.030 (-3.63)	-0.006 (-1.08)	-0.041 (-4.91)	-0.004 (-0.64)
β_1	0.500 (9.06)	0.473 (8.67)	0.495 (8.69)	0.720 (7.96)	0.666 (7.83)	0.694 (8.09)	0.262 (3.83)	0.329 (5.79)
β_2	0.310 (7.26)	0.427 (8.68)	0.561 (7.83)	0.192 (3.16)	0.299 (4.47)	0.380 (3.99)	0.511 (6.90)	0.610 (6.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	71.70%	72.20%	72.00%	70.80%	71.20%	71.10%	66.40%	67.10%
SMI								
α	0.007 (2.47)	-0.005 (-1.61)	0.005 (1.60)	0.000 (0.13)	-0.006 (-1.83)	0.000 (-0.10)	-0.011 (-2.72)	0.001 (0.31)
β_1	0.557 (12.66)	0.536 (9.95)	0.573 (10.54)	0.710 (14.23)	0.739 (9.83)	0.783 (10.39)	0.343 (6.64)	0.387 (7.79)
β_2	0.297 (7.86)	0.334 (7.72)	0.415 (6.78)	0.219 (5.08)	0.201 (3.59)	0.228 (2.89)	0.423 (9.75)	0.521 (8.76)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.50%	79.50%	79.20%	79.70%	79.10%	78.90%	76.80%	76.40%
S&P 500								
α	0.006 (1.58)	-0.020 (-4.26)	-0.007 (-1.65)	0.002 (0.54)	-0.019 (-3.91)	-0.009 (-1.99)	-0.011 (-2.90)	-0.004 (-0.91)
β_1	0.370 (5.82)	0.435 (7.27)	0.457 (7.39)	0.490 (4.45)	0.597 (6.69)	0.628 (6.92)	0.539 (6.50)	0.548 (7.94)
β_2	0.486 (8.14)	0.489 (9.08)	0.672 (8.18)	0.405 (4.40)	0.365 (5.05)	0.483 (4.44)	0.295 (4.15)	0.417 (4.70)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.30%	75.30%	75.00%	75.40%	74.90%	74.70%	73.80%	74.00%

Table C5: **Encompassing regressions for weekly volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 5-day forecast horizon. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 5-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 5 lags.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.017 (4.33)	0.000 (-0.08)	0.011 (2.72)	0.009 (2.28)	-0.006 (-1.42)	0.003 (0.73)	-0.001 (-0.28)	0.011 (2.93)
β_1	0.472 (7.38)	0.536 (7.64)	0.535 (8.25)	0.542 (6.37)	0.653 (9.26)	0.644 (9.70)	0.386 (6.57)	0.385 (7.01)
β_2	0.320 (6.06)	0.299 (6.41)	0.407 (6.57)	0.306 (4.56)	0.248 (5.52)	0.346 (5.48)	0.329 (6.09)	0.448 (6.40)
$\bar{R}^2$	80.30%	79.80%	79.90%	80.00%	78.90%	79.10%	79.80%	80.10%
CAC 40								
α	0.014 (2.77)	-0.013 (-2.35)	0.007 (1.42)	0.005 (1.05)	-0.018 (-3.02)	0.000 (-0.06)	-0.017 (-3.30)	0.001 (0.15)
β_1	0.424 (5.78)	0.431 (6.83)	0.477 (7.87)	0.522 (6.19)	0.532 (7.40)	0.600 (8.07)	0.380 (5.98)	0.411 (7.48)
β_2	0.390 (5.98)	0.461 (8.69)	0.508 (8.05)	0.354 (4.98)	0.408 (7.35)	0.424 (5.86)	0.446 (7.47)	0.507 (8.07)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.30%	76.50%	76.30%	76.50%	75.90%	75.60%	77.20%	77.50%
DAX								
α	0.005 (0.78)	-0.016 (-2.36)	0.007 (1.08)	-0.002 (-0.30)	-0.019 (-2.70)	0.000 (0.02)	-0.021 (-3.47)	-0.007 (-1.14)
β_1	0.299 (3.87)	0.330 (3.53)	0.428 (4.66)	0.417 (4.75)	0.447 (3.29)	0.597 (4.44)	0.481 (5.38)	0.545 (6.77)
β_2	0.569 (6.76)	0.555 (7.35)	0.563 (6.36)	0.501 (5.68)	0.478 (4.60)	0.417 (3.35)	0.406 (5.82)	0.423 (5.54)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.00%	73.80%	72.90%	75.60%	73.60%	72.80%	75.90%	75.70%
DJIA								
α	0.005 (0.78)	-0.013 (-1.93)	0.001 (0.08)	0.003 (0.45)	-0.014 (-2.32)	-0.002 (-0.28)	-0.01 (-1.98)	-0.004 (-0.52)
β_1	0.311 (4.06)	0.471 (6.10)	0.478 (6.85)	0.348 (3.61)	0.535 (6.07)	0.543 (6.72)	0.644 (4.94)	0.629 (5.95)
β_2	0.587 (6.36)	0.472 (7.57)	0.560 (7.05)	0.563 (5.42)	0.423 (6.72)	0.497 (6.21)	0.273 (2.67)	0.353 (3.39)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.20%	77.20%	77.10%	78.10%	77.00%	76.90%	77.60%	77.80%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.016 (2.55)	-0.013 (-1.92)	0.005 (0.77)	0.009 (1.46)	-0.018 (-2.59)	-0.003 (-0.39)	-0.009 (-1.52)	0.002 (0.32)
β_1	0.241 (3.23)	0.354 (5.74)	0.422 (6.70)	0.328 (3.14)	0.435 (4.79)	0.547 (5.83)	0.471 (5.56)	0.512 (6.59)
β_2	0.556 (7.40)	0.509 (11.37)	0.587 (9.76)	0.518 (5.65)	0.475 (7.43)	0.499 (5.81)	0.345 (4.99)	0.403 (4.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.20%	72.10%	71.30%	73.40%	71.50%	70.60%	74.20%	74.10%
FTSE 100								
α	0.011 (2.61)	-0.009 (-2.37)	0.006 (1.63)	0.003 (0.68)	-0.013 (-3.47)	0.001 (0.33)	-0.012 (-3.40)	0.005 (1.12)
β_1	0.525 (7.51)	0.380 (6.82)	0.375 (7.15)	0.631 (9.23)	0.443 (6.77)	0.426 (5.92)	0.228 (3.44)	0.242 (4.37)
β_2	0.278 (4.62)	0.425 (11.77)	0.602 (10.67)	0.247 (4.28)	0.405 (10.79)	0.583 (8.28)	0.480 (9.05)	0.658 (10.7)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.10%	79.50%	79.70%	78.30%	79.00%	79.10%	79.00%	79.70%

Table C5 continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.025 (2.58)	0.004 (0.48)	0.003 (0.32)	0.004 (0.37)	-0.002 (-0.26)	-0.004 (-0.39)	-0.003 (-0.27)	-0.005 (-0.53)
β_1	0.829 (8.53)	0.402 (3.42)	0.387 (3.24)	1.027 (9.02)	0.420 (3.33)	0.397 (3.15)	-0.007 (-0.30)	-0.010 (-0.43)
β_2	-0.008 (-0.22)	0.322 (5.84)	0.622 (5.81)	-0.039 (-1.08)	0.335 (5.65)	0.655 (5.77)	0.585 (10.71)	1.109 (10.64)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.60%	69.90%	69.90%	65.40%	68.60%	68.80%	66.60%	67.00%
KOSPI								
α	0.000 (0.03)	-0.008 (-0.74)	0.000 (0.04)	-0.007 (-0.59)	-0.014 (-1.27)	-0.006 (-0.61)	-0.032 (-2.70)	-0.022 (-1.77)
β_1	0.513 (6.60)	0.475 (6.35)	0.486 (6.27)	0.566 (5.49)	0.531 (5.51)	0.542 (5.53)	0.366 (3.16)	0.376 (3.22)
β_2	0.347 (3.35)	0.358 (6.79)	0.543 (6.81)	0.339 (3.17)	0.344 (5.23)	0.522 (5.24)	0.428 (6.81)	0.655 (6.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.30%	72.80%	72.60%	73.80%	73.00%	72.90%	72.20%	72.10%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.019 (2.29)	0.008 (1.02)	0.009 (1.15)	0.008 (1.12)	0.000 (-0.06)	0.002 (0.25)	0.002 (0.33)	0.002 (0.24)
β_1	0.473 (5.46)	0.513 (7.86)	0.410 (5.80)	0.587 (5.81)	0.635 (9.70)	0.507 (6.77)	0.331 (4.15)	0.263 (3.64)
β_2	0.257 (3.36)	0.248 (6.89)	0.56 (7.15)	0.220 (2.59)	0.201 (5.69)	0.493 (6.22)	0.267 (4.44)	0.610 (6.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.90%	67.20%	68.40%	67.50%	67.30%	68.10%	65.60%	68.40%
NASDAQ								
α	0.004 (0.51)	-0.016 (-2.03)	0.003 (0.38)	-0.001 (-0.17)	-0.018 (-2.40)	-0.002 (-0.28)	-0.027 (-4.27)	-0.009 (-1.14)
β_1	0.471 (5.93)	0.441 (6.82)	0.436 (7.22)	0.564 (4.97)	0.528 (6.37)	0.515 (6.40)	0.328 (3.31)	0.339 (4.99)
β_2	0.347 (4.21)	0.405 (7.33)	0.586 (6.74)	0.306 (2.99)	0.360 (5.90)	0.531 (5.36)	0.432 (5.49)	0.615 (7.96)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.20%	76.40%	76.80%	75.90%	75.90%	76.20%	75.20%	76.50%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.021 (2.36)	-0.017 (-1.50)	0.009 (1.01)	0.008 (0.92)	-0.019 (-1.75)	0.000 (0.05)	-0.029 (-2.57)	0.004 (0.42)
β_1	0.532 (7.57)	0.475 (7.16)	0.447 (7.00)	0.717 (7.98)	0.631 (7.70)	0.576 (6.93)	0.238 (3.09)	0.252 (4.36)
β_2	0.255 (4.15)	0.384 (6.09)	0.539 (5.80)	0.172 (2.47)	0.293 (4.32)	0.449 (4.31)	0.506 (6.44)	0.660 (7.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.80%	72.00%	72.60%	71.00%	71.60%	72.30%	68.10%	70.30%
SMI								
α	0.010 (2.43)	-0.002 (-0.38)	0.009 (2.35)	0.002 (0.50)	-0.005 (-1.32)	0.003 (0.65)	-0.008 (-1.76)	0.005 (1.13)
β_1	0.566 (8.30)	0.486 (7.57)	0.518 (7.92)	0.670 (10.71)	0.626 (7.12)	0.661 (7.45)	0.303 (4.46)	0.333 (5.17)
β_2	0.274 (4.19)	0.351 (7.13)	0.423 (5.87)	0.241 (4.30)	0.274 (4.52)	0.323 (3.68)	0.449 (7.96)	0.549 (7.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.90%	79.30%	79.20%	79.60%	79.10%	79.10%	78.70%	78.70%
S&P 500								
α	0.010 (1.62)	-0.011 (-1.64)	0.000 (0.04)	0.007 (1.17)	-0.013 (-2.09)	-0.003 (-0.48)	-0.001 (-0.19)	0.002 (0.30)
β_1	0.298 (3.71)	0.487 (6.68)	0.492 (7.35)	0.328 (3.06)	0.571 (6.38)	0.577 (6.91)	0.622 (5.06)	0.584 (6.26)
β_2	0.536 (5.99)	0.414 (7.34)	0.548 (6.60)	0.523 (4.97)	0.358 (5.80)	0.472 (5.22)	0.192 (1.85)	0.321 (3.00)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.70%	77.30%	77.30%	78.50%	77.00%	77.00%	78.00%	78.30%

Table C6: **Encompassing regressions for monthly volatility forecasts using the realised kernel estimator**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 22-day forecast horizon. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 22-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 22 lags.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.029 (3.83)	0.014 (1.64)	0.031 (4.59)	0.017 (2.38)	0.006 (0.77)	0.032 (4.18)	0.013 (1.90)	0.028 (3.77)
β_1	0.401 (4.10)	0.268 (4.12)	0.041 (0.36)	0.476 (2.71)	0.229 (1.65)	-0.013 (-0.07)	0.239 (2.09)	0.141 (1.61)
β_2	0.313 (3.21)	0.415 (6.33)	0.786 (5.24)	0.309 (2.27)	0.473 (4.60)	0.837 (4.65)	0.398 (4.37)	0.658 (7.29)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.80%	64.70%	67.80%	63.30%	64.00%	67.80%	65.30%	68.40%
CAC 40								
α	0.025 (3.10)	0.004 (0.43)	0.025 (3.26)	0.015 (1.97)	-0.004 (-0.38)	0.023 (3.15)	-0.001 (-0.18)	0.021 (2.75)
β_1	0.436 (5.71)	0.267 (4.19)	0.129 (1.38)	0.527 (4.11)	0.258 (1.96)	0.097 (0.53)	0.226 (2.73)	0.180 (2.59)
β_2	0.328 (4.25)	0.509 (6.52)	0.750 (5.51)	0.300 (2.65)	0.548 (4.36)	0.798 (4.06)	0.523 (6.73)	0.682 (7.46)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.20%	63.70%	65.80%	61.50%	63.20%	65.70%	63.90%	66.50%
DAX								
α	0.023 (1.94)	0.004 (0.28)	0.029 (2.86)	0.012 (1.18)	-0.001 (-0.10)	0.030 (3.04)	-0.002 (-0.17)	0.020 (1.88)
β_1	0.398 (6.21)	0.121 (1.52)	0.087 (0.80)	0.429 (3.00)	-0.016 (-0.07)	-0.028 (-0.10)	0.201 (1.87)	0.212 (2.06)
β_2	0.401 (4.14)	0.633 (5.79)	0.777 (4.87)	0.420 (2.53)	0.755 (3.57)	0.894 (3.21)	0.572 (6.62)	0.662 (6.21)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.30%	61.80%	62.20%	58.20%	61.60%	62.10%	62.30%	62.90%
DJIA								
α	0.014 (0.97)	0.003 (0.23)	0.016 (1.30)	0.012 (0.89)	-0.001 (-0.07)	0.014 (1.15)	-0.001 (-0.06)	0.009 (0.65)
β_1	0.257 (2.05)	0.333 (2.72)	0.165 (1.57)	0.214 (1.03)	0.367 (4.80)	0.236 (2.76)	0.541 (2.14)	0.405 (2.44)
β_2	0.589 (3.07)	0.505 (5.72)	0.775 (4.98)	0.634 (2.27)	0.489 (6.28)	0.708 (4.49)	0.319 (1.79)	0.528 (4.51)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.80%	64.20%	65.70%	65.30%	64.50%	66.10%	65.90%	67.40%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.030 (3.75)	0.006 (0.58)	0.027 (2.80)	0.025 (3.37)	0.002 (0.26)	0.029 (3.30)	0.008 (1.00)	0.023 (2.66)
β_1	0.286 (3.79)	0.122 (1.67)	0.065 (0.62)	0.241 (1.28)	-0.022 (-0.10)	-0.052 (-0.19)	0.275 (2.92)	0.264 (3.55)
β_2	0.450 (4.92)	0.605 (6.19)	0.821 (4.97)	0.515 (3.17)	0.726 (3.65)	0.937 (3.29)	0.449 (6.09)	0.584 (6.56)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.90%	60.30%	61.00%	58.50%	60.10%	60.90%	61.50%	62.40%
FTSE 100								
α	0.016 (2.23)	0.003 (0.36)	0.019 (3.15)	0.008 (1.21)	-0.003 (-0.38)	0.022 (4.02)	-0.001 (-0.11)	0.019 (2.68)
β_1	0.514 (6.23)	0.270 (4.07)	0.054 (0.52)	0.576 (4.49)	0.183 (1.92)	-0.091 (-0.63)	0.116 (1.00)	0.042 (0.54)
β_2	0.260 (3.08)	0.442 (6.63)	0.818 (5.26)	0.251 (2.31)	0.519 (6.65)	0.948 (5.53)	0.528 (5.64)	0.819 (8.10)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.10%	69.40%	72.20%	65.70%	68.70%	72.20%	68.80%	72.20%

Table C6 continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.040 (3.12)	0.018 (2.06)	0.019 (2.27)	0.019 (1.45)	0.017 (1.87)	0.019 (2.15)	0.009 (0.87)	0.012 (1.22)
β_1	0.721 (5.42)	-0.017 (-0.14)	-0.071 (-0.62)	0.902 (5.92)	0.048 (0.35)	-0.002 (-0.02)	-0.077 (-3.01)	-0.069 (-2.78)
β_2	-0.01 (-0.38)	0.512 (5.76)	0.968 (6.04)	-0.043 (-1.26)	0.475 (5.20)	0.895 (5.35)	0.618 (7.82)	1.072 (7.78)
$\bar{R}^2$	48.40%	57.50%	59.30%	50.50%	57.50%	59.20%	59.20%	60.70%
KOSPI								
α	0.005 (0.18)	-0.001 (-0.03)	0.017 (0.89)	-0.005 (-0.21)	0.000 (-0.02)	0.015 (0.94)	-0.010 (-0.4)	0.006 (0.24)
β_1	0.408 (3.62)	-0.036 (-0.17)	-0.072 (-0.35)	0.510 (3.27)	0.113 (0.68)	0.097 (0.62)	0.177 (1.26)	0.150 (0.96)
β_2	0.417 (2.06)	0.660 (2.73)	1.015 (2.92)	0.378 (1.84)	0.562 (3.18)	0.846 (3.47)	0.522 (7.25)	0.796 (8.16)
$\bar{R}^2$	53.50%	57.60%	58.40%	52.70%	57.70%	58.50%	58.30%	58.90%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.026 (1.59)	0.027 (1.58)	0.027 (1.85)	0.016 (1.10)	0.016 (0.97)	0.031 (2.41)	0.018 (1.09)	0.021 (1.26)
β_1	0.344 (3.11)	0.285 (2.61)	-0.068 (-0.44)	0.374 (2.16)	0.315 (2.52)	-0.096 (-0.52)	0.256 (1.95)	0.137 (1.36)
β_2	0.309 (2.37)	0.311 (3.09)	0.924 (3.75)	0.324 (2.02)	0.327 (3.17)	0.934 (3.76)	0.282 (3.86)	0.678 (5.85)
$\bar{R}^2$	51.60%	51.10%	56.90%	50.30%	50.80%	56.90%	52.10%	57.70%
NASDAQ								
α	0.014 (0.92)	0.001 (0.08)	0.019 (1.75)	0.007 (0.55)	-0.004 (-0.34)	0.016 (1.67)	-0.007 (-0.71)	0.010 (0.76)
β_1	0.364 (2.59)	0.313 (2.99)	0.074 (0.53)	0.445 (1.89)	0.397 (2.92)	0.125 (0.81)	0.323 (1.67)	0.223 (1.99)
β_2	0.381 (2.33)	0.417 (4.23)	0.844 (4.07)	0.352 (1.60)	0.385 (3.57)	0.805 (3.99)	0.362 (2.54)	0.651 (6.44)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.40%	62.70%	65.80%	62.80%	62.50%	65.80%	63.40%	67.00%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.041 (2.27)	0.023 (1.20)	0.037 (2.39)	0.022 (1.22)	0.009 (0.43)	0.034 (2.11)	0.006 (0.34)	0.035 (2.1)
β_1	0.532 (4.96)	0.470 (3.58)	0.132 (0.84)	0.788 (3.85)	0.614 (3.04)	0.111 (0.51)	0.176 (1.33)	0.069 (0.72)
β_2	0.189 (1.80)	0.267 (2.57)	0.715 (3.75)	0.078 (0.47)	0.222 (1.55)	0.755 (3.39)	0.464 (4.15)	0.762 (6.00)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.30%	56.40%	60.60%	55.00%	55.50%	60.50%	53.70%	60.60%
SMI								
α	0.016 (2.42)	0.009 (1.07)	0.024 (3.68)	0.006 (0.92)	0.003 (0.44)	0.019 (2.99)	0.004 (0.53)	0.022 (2.92)
β_1	0.513 (7.42)	0.241 (2.91)	0.136 (1.09)	0.718 (7.31)	0.391 (2.84)	0.246 (1.43)	0.140 (1.45)	0.103 (1.35)
β_2	0.277 (3.69)	0.465 (5.11)	0.695 (3.98)	0.166 (1.77)	0.386 (3.53)	0.620 (3.33)	0.532 (6.65)	0.718 (6.69)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.90%	66.60%	68.80%	65.70%	66.90%	69.00%	66.40%	68.80%
S&P 500								
α	0.019 (1.52)	0.005 (0.48)	0.016 (1.36)	0.018 (1.88)	-0.002 (-0.16)	0.011 (1.01)	0.010 (1.29)	0.012 (1.08)
β_1	0.185 (1.19)	0.345 (2.87)	0.182 (1.58)	0.057 (0.21)	0.386 (3.46)	0.242 (2.14)	0.581 (2.17)	0.437 (2.50)
β_2	0.590 (2.99)	0.448 (5.68)	0.759 (4.65)	0.704 (2.46)	0.443 (5.95)	0.721 (4.44)	0.185 (0.87)	0.435 (2.87)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.80%	64.00%	65.50%	66.40%	63.80%	65.70%	66.70%	68.10%

Table C7: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for daily volatility using the realized kernel estimator**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE						Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.309	0.644	0.335	0.486	**0.281**		-3.760	-3.666	-3.757	-3.734	**-3.770**
CAC 40	0.349	0.554	0.371	0.469	**0.318**		-3.680	-3.617	-3.681	-3.659	**-3.691**
DAX	0.359	0.560	0.403	0.421	**0.335**		-3.611	-3.557	-3.610	-3.601	**-3.620**
DJIA	0.385	0.469	0.394	0.369	**0.343**		-3.816	-3.779	-3.820	-3.820	**-3.829**
EUROSTOXX50	0.424	0.620	0.434	0.474	**0.387**		-3.616	-3.555	-3.616	-3.607	**-3.627**
FTSE 100	0.264	0.584	0.266	0.463	**0.241**		-3.971	-3.855	-3.973	-3.923	**-3.979**
HANG SENG	0.348	0.785	0.322	1.213	**0.304**		-3.854	-3.721	-3.862	-3.741	**-3.868**
KOSPI	0.338	0.746	0.397	0.587	**0.317**		-3.719	-3.600	-3.709	-3.649	**-3.726**
NIKKEI 225	0.342	0.837	0.348	0.704	**0.308**		-3.770	-3.627	-3.770	-3.683	**-3.781**
NASDAQ	0.321	0.689	0.333	0.560	**0.292**		-3.814	-3.686	-3.810	-3.744	**-3.822**
RUSSELL 2000	0.449	0.892	0.471	0.787	**0.409**		-3.506	-3.385	-3.500	-3.443	**-3.516**
SMI	0.238	0.500	0.258	0.385	**0.220**		-3.917	-3.834	-3.916	-3.883	**-3.922**
S&P 500	0.379	0.545	0.397	0.420	**0.343**		-3.812	-3.749	-3.813	-3.807	**-3.825**

Table C8: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for weekly volatility using the realized kernel estimator**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.601	1.355	0.580	1.033	**0.574**		-2.933	-2.847	-2.935	-2.905	**-2.936**
CAC 40	0.689	1.123	**0.642**	0.984	0.651		-2.853	-2.797	**-2.857**	-2.829	-2.855
DAX	0.810	1.141	**0.734**	0.870	0.746		-2.781	-2.737	**-2.786**	-2.771	-2.784
DJIA	0.734	0.914	0.708	0.721	**0.706**		-2.988	-2.953	-2.991	-2.986	**-2.991**
EUROSTOXX50	0.845	1.248	**0.769**	0.967	0.788		-2.784	-2.733	**-2.789**	-2.774	-2.788
FTSE 100	0.525	1.236	**0.455**	0.992	0.499		-3.143	-3.037	**-3.149**	-3.096	-3.145
HANG SENG	0.640	1.666	**0.560**	2.737	0.617		-3.031	-2.902	**-3.036**	-2.911	-3.034
KOSPI	0.776	1.580	0.747	1.241	**0.746**		-2.880	-2.780	-2.882	-2.820	**-2.885**
NIKKEI 225	0.685	1.783	**0.612**	1.501	0.646		-2.938	-2.808	**-2.942**	-2.855	-2.941
NASDAQ	0.640	1.460	**0.593**	1.171	0.608		-2.983	-2.868	-2.986	-2.920	**-2.987**
RUSSELL 2000	0.913	1.872	**0.842**	1.682	0.851		-2.668	-2.562	-2.671	-2.611	**-2.673**
SMI	0.504	1.056	0.480	0.833	**0.475**		-3.095	-3.019	**-3.097**	-3.059	-3.096
S&P 500	0.738	1.099	0.719	0.835	**0.706**		-2.983	-2.925	-2.985	-2.974	**-2.986**

Table C9: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for monthly volatility using the realized kernel estimator**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. The realised kernel is used as an estimator of latent volatility. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

	Panel A: RMSE						Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	1.635	3.013	**1.382**	2.389	1.518		-2.150	-2.083	**-2.160**	-2.126	-2.153
CAC 40	1.723	2.498	**1.469**	2.291	1.624		-2.073	-2.032	**-2.084**	-2.053	-2.076
DAX	1.947	2.556	**1.649**	2.113	1.838		-2.000	-1.971	**-2.011**	-1.993	-2.004
DJIA	1.830	2.092	**1.607**	1.762	1.799		-2.199	-2.181	**-2.210**	-2.202	-2.204
EUROSTOXX50	2.018	2.757	**1.683**	2.287	1.881		-2.000	-1.966	**-2.012**	-1.996	-2.004
FTSE 100	1.285	2.690	**1.083**	2.199	1.258		-2.363	-2.274	**-2.375**	-2.317	-2.362
HANG SENG	1.598	3.597	**1.282**	6.084	1.511		-2.261	-2.143	**-2.267**	-2.124	-2.260
KOSPI	2.081	3.416	**1.668**	2.610	1.931		-2.089	-2.012	**-2.100**	-2.042	-2.095
NIKKEI	1.701	3.846	**1.388**	3.155	1.611		-2.152	-2.044	**-2.164**	-2.079	-2.159
NASDAQ	1.592	3.178	**1.363**	2.528	1.499		-2.198	-2.104	**-2.208**	-2.148	-2.205
RUSSELL 2000	2.175	4.067	**1.909**	3.613	2.053		-1.864	-1.785	**-1.876**	-1.821	-1.872
SMI	1.324	2.346	**1.154**	1.950	1.215		-2.319	-2.258	**-2.328**	-2.284	-2.322
S&P 500	1.856	2.481	**1.633**	1.955	1.782		-2.191	-2.154	**-2.202**	-2.191	-2.196

Appendix D. Alternative Estimation Periods (Rolling sample of 1,200 observations)

Table D1: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient of the regression. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.022 (6.71)	-0.025 (-5.65)	0.003 (0.73)	0.019 (5.00)	0.002 (0.45)
β	0.856 (34.62)	0.758 (34.56)	1.100 (32.09)	0.675 (30.48)	0.989 (36.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.26%	75.85%	72.96%	73.83%	75.85%
CAC 40					
α	0.029 (6.45)	-0.048 (-8.21)	-0.010 (-2.00)	0.010 (1.99)	0.004 (0.86)
β	0.827 (27.90)	0.923 (32.30)	1.191 (29.74)	0.750 (28.08)	0.978 (30.17)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.41%	74.98%	70.76%	70.38%	71.57%
DAX					
α	0.027 (6.01)	-0.051 (-7.15)	-0.020 (-3.06)	-0.008 (-1.35)	0.003 (0.52)
β	0.843 (28.51)	0.935 (27.49)	1.255 (25.56)	0.874 (25.29)	0.983 (27.58)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.98%	75.64%	70.97%	71.39%	72.91%
DJIA					
α	0.030 (7.82)	-0.046 (-6.63)	-0.018 (-2.92)	-0.001 (-0.16)	0.006 (1.38)
β	0.800 (27.05)	1.006 (24.70)	1.302 (23.49)	0.916 (21.66)	0.952 (26.63)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.00%	71.05%	69.37%	69.68%	69.42%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.038 (6.22)	-0.049 (-5.46)	-0.022 (-2.64)	0.008 (1.22)	0.007 (1.05)
β	0.783 (20.82)	0.912 (22.72)	1.278 (21.37)	0.803 (23.18)	0.964 (21.76)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.35%	70.14%	66.89%	67.38%	65.02%
FTSE 100					
α	0.020 (6.48)	-0.027 (-6.56)	-0.003 (-0.83)	0.013 (3.12)	0.001 (0.29)
β	0.842 (30.48)	0.739 (33.38)	1.127 (31.63)	0.648 (24.4)	0.991 (33.19)
$\bar{R}^2$	70.85%	77.28%	75.58%	70.13%	73.91%
HANG SENG					
α	0.038 (6.48)	-0.022 (-2.37)	-0.022 (-2.37)	0.072 (12.86)	0.004 (0.54)
β	0.732 (15.97)	0.631 (15.77)	1.262 (15.71)	0.259 (10.29)	0.968 (15.24)
$\bar{R}^2$	53.51%	66.58%	66.46%	42.61%	59.91%
KOSPI					
α	0.025 (4.63)	-0.044 (-4.11)	-0.026 (-2.62)	-0.015 (-2.08)	0.004 (0.64)
β	0.850 (23.5)	0.780 (17.24)	1.272 (17.01)	0.772 (21.82)	0.967 (20.6)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.16%	71.38%	70.43%	69.13%	74.07%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.026 (6.92)	-0.014 (-2.04)	-0.003 (-0.47)	0.022 (3.87)	0.003 (0.56)
β	0.814 (26.67)	0.600 (19.91)	1.115 (20.37)	0.527 (19.23)	0.970 (24.89)
$\bar{R}^2$	66.27%	66.04%	68.32%	61.63%	69.00%
NASDAQ					
α	0.025 (6.49)	-0.054 (-9.25)	-0.017 (-3.39)	0.000 (0.08)	0.004 (0.96)
β	0.830 (28.58)	0.819 (31.04)	1.254 (28.84)	0.689 (22.11)	0.971 (27.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.97%	75.03%	71.95%	66.53%	72.28%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.036 (7.87)	-0.077 (-9.99)	0.004 (0.64)	0.007 (1.05)	0.003 (0.59)
β	0.805 (28.53)	0.811 (30.15)	1.067 (27.22)	0.626 (22.26)	0.968 (26.81)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.55%	72.20%	65.92%	67.83%	69.62%
SMI					
α	0.019 (6.41)	-0.020 (-4.21)	0.002 (0.45)	0.009 (2.11)	0.002 (0.56)
β	0.853 (32.45)	0.777 (27.91)	1.057 (25.73)	0.740 (24.97)	0.982 (33.47)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.78%	75.22%	72.69%	71.38%	75.37%
S&P 500					
α	0.027 (7.00)	-0.045 (-7.43)	-0.017 (-3.12)	0.009 (1.86)	0.006 (1.32)
β	0.824 (28.91)	0.931 (28.32)	1.289 (26.95)	0.803 (24.78)	0.959 (29.32)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.90%	73.50%	71.54%	72.66%	72.38%

Table D2: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient of the regression. Numbers in parentheses denote *t*-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.019 (3.97)	-0.016 (-2.68)	0.011 (2.08)	0.022 (5.35)	0.002 (0.39)
β	0.876 (24.15)	0.732 (24.66)	0.99 (22.94)	0.675 (29.03)	0.989 (27.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.79%	78.85%	77.48%	76.84%	77.24%
CAC 40					
α	0.026 (4.67)	-0.036 (-4.93)	0.001 (0.17)	0.015 (2.83)	0.006 (1.06)
β	0.850 (22.32)	0.886 (24.21)	1.063 (22.13)	0.743 (25.67)	0.969 (24.07)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.31%	77.96%	75.44%	72.93%	73.48%
DAX					
α	0.029 (3.76)	-0.037 (-4.66)	-0.009 (-1.18)	-0.004 (-0.53)	0.005 (0.57)
β	0.831 (16.23)	0.892 (22.63)	1.126 (20.74)	0.872 (20.75)	0.972 (18.73)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.02%	77.04%	73.91%	72.92%	71.27%
DJIA					
α	0.023 (3.43)	-0.037 (-3.94)	-0.010 (-1.22)	0.003 (0.38)	0.013 (1.67)
β	0.854 (16.58)	0.987 (17.43)	1.161 (16.50)	0.923 (15.79)	0.910 (15.68)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.88%	77.39%	76.37%	76.22%	73.80%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.035 (4.12)	-0.034 (-3.13)	-0.010 (-0.94)	0.013 (1.75)	0.010 (0.99)
β	0.809 (14.99)	0.871 (17.27)	1.141 (16.12)	0.798 (18.9)	0.955 (15.81)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.53%	73.15%	71.01%	71.55%	66.86%
FTSE 100					
α	0.018 (4.10)	-0.019 (-3.93)	0.007 (1.68)	0.017 (3.22)	0.002 (0.37)
β	0.863 (21.62)	0.715 (26.60)	0.996 (24.51)	0.643 (19.70)	0.985 (25.07)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.56%	80.86%	80.05%	73.32%	75.81%
HANG SENG					
α	0.029 (2.51)	-0.011 (-0.97)	-0.010 (-0.88)	0.081 (10.12)	0.011 (0.81)
β	0.797 (8.78)	0.603 (11.67)	1.115 (11.65)	0.239 (6.67)	0.927 (9.15)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.44%	71.44%	71.33%	41.76%	62.98%
KOSPI					
α	0.028 (2.52)	-0.032 (-2.05)	-0.014 (-0.97)	-0.015 (-1.31)	0.010 (0.79)
β	0.831 (11.00)	0.745 (11.31)	1.146 (11.26)	0.792 (14.00)	0.933 (11.22)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.04%	72.34%	72.15%	69.43%	70.43%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.029 (3.92)	-0.002 (-0.2)	0.009 (1.03)	0.027 (4.07)	0.008 (1.08)
β	0.804 (14.23)	0.564 (13.88)	0.988 (14.4)	0.516 (15.9)	0.928 (15.75)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.64%	66.98%	70.86%	63.65%	66.74%
NASDAQ					
α	0.023 (3.75)	-0.044 (-5.30)	-0.007 (-1.02)	0.004 (0.47)	0.010 (1.48)
β	0.850 (17.99)	0.791 (21.15)	1.124 (19.53)	0.687 (15.31)	0.938 (18.52)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.29%	78.61%	76.47%	71.87%	73.46%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.037 (4.54)	-0.060 (-6.65)	0.012 (1.56)	0.019 (1.94)	0.012 (1.44)
β	0.798 (16.51)	0.771 (25.55)	0.958 (22.37)	0.600 (15.76)	0.913 (18.87)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.58%	78.65%	73.58%	71.01%	72.10%
SMI					
α	0.018 (4.33)	-0.012 (-2.04)	0.009 (1.61)	0.011 (2.19)	0.003 (0.58)
β	0.862 (22.03)	0.751 (20.48)	0.969 (18.21)	0.737 (20.28)	0.976 (25.08)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.21%	77.52%	75.87%	72.58%	75.79%
S&P 500					
α	0.022 (3.45)	-0.036 (-4.19)	-0.009 (-1.19)	0.014 (2.03)	0.011 (1.53)
β	0.865 (18.1)	0.907 (19.3)	1.159 (18.23)	0.803 (17.99)	0.929 (17.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.88%	78.01%	76.79%	78.22%	75.91%

Table D3: **Univariate regressions for volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realized volatility on individual volatility forecasts. The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α and β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while the row "$\bar{R}^2$" shows the adjusted R^2 coefficient of the regressions. Numbers in parentheses denote t-statistics. The last four rows of the table contain the average values of the intercepts and slopes across markets for each forecasting model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.035 (4.30)	0.008 (0.96)	0.030 (3.82)	0.029 (4.07)	0.005 (0.57)
β	0.774 (12.89)	0.639 (14.72)	0.851 (13.85)	0.653 (16.95)	0.966 (14.19)
$\bar{R}^2$	59.91%	66.85%	69.35%	60.97%	60.71%
CAC 40					
α	0.042 (4.67)	-0.004 (-0.41)	0.027 (3.10)	0.028 (3.40)	0.017 (1.68)
β	0.759 (12.73)	0.762 (15.62)	0.895 (14.01)	0.696 (15.99)	0.909 (13.69)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.86%	65.24%	66.96%	56.35%	58.81%
DAX					
α	0.044 (4.23)	-0.006 (-0.48)	0.022 (2.02)	0.006 (0.44)	0.009 (0.73)
β	0.753 (11.34)	0.771 (13.42)	0.927 (12.21)	0.843 (11.22)	0.949 (12.15)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.69%	64.74%	64.91%	54.77%	55.70%
DJIA					
α	0.035 (2.89)	-0.010 (-0.66)	0.014 (1.06)	0.012 (0.75)	0.028 (2.24)
β	0.780 (8.27)	0.866 (9.09)	0.973 (8.59)	0.894 (7.99)	0.813 (8.63)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.73%	65.88%	67.74%	64.98%	59.88%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.053 (4.97)	0.001 (0.09)	0.024 (1.93)	0.030 (2.72)	0.017 (1.40)
β	0.714 (10.69)	0.745 (11.77)	0.931 (10.77)	0.737 (11.99)	0.927 (12.77)
$\bar{R}^2$	51.10%	61.38%	62.41%	55.19%	50.93%
FTSE 100					
α	0.028 (4.09)	0.002 (0.24)	0.024 (3.46)	0.026 (3.28)	0.009 (1.08)
β	0.791 (12.79)	0.630 (15.97)	0.858 (13.55)	0.605 (13.06)	0.926 (13.39)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.87%	69.81%	72.73%	59.61%	63.51%
HANG SENG					
α	0.047 (3.50)	0.017 (1.84)	0.021 (2.37)	0.098 (9.77)	0.027 (2.00)
β	0.68 (6.27)	0.504 (10.66)	0.887 (10.89)	0.186 (5.71)	0.813 (7.66)
$\bar{R}^2$	46.17%	57.28%	58.95%	27.41%	48.27%
KOSPI					
α	0.051 (3.22)	-0.002 (-0.08)	0.016 (0.87)	-0.022 (-0.95)	0.014 (0.69)
β	0.687 (6.01)	0.642 (6.61)	0.941 (6.77)	0.850 (7.19)	0.909 (6.63)
$\bar{R}^2$	47.08%	60.95%	61.94%	51.43%	50.17%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.048 (3.46)	0.026 (1.69)	0.031 (2.34)	0.039 (2.88)	0.019 (1.04)
β	0.675 (6.22)	0.468 (6.96)	0.823 (7.57)	0.475 (7.25)	0.855 (6.47)
$\bar{R}^2$	45.70%	53.28%	60.63%	48.36%	47.46%
NASDAQ					
α	0.039 (3.45)	-0.011 (-0.83)	0.019 (1.64)	0.016 (1.08)	0.021 (1.69)
β	0.754 (9.10)	0.673 (10.83)	0.930 (10.17)	0.643 (8.36)	0.877 (9.83)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.06%	64.11%	66.11%	62.50%	58.45%
RUSSELL 2000					
α	0.048 (3.13)	-0.019 (-0.98)	0.041 (2.75)	0.030 (1.41)	0.016 (0.87)
β	0.711 (10.66)	0.648 (11.87)	0.780 (12.09)	0.566 (7.77)	0.868 (10.49)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.17%	69.17%	69.15%	62.33%	68.17%
SMI					
α	0.030 (4.41)	0.007 (0.86)	0.026 (2.94)	0.017 (2.37)	0.007 (0.96)
β	0.778 (12.89)	0.662 (13.08)	0.838 (10.79)	0.711 (15.33)	0.932 (14.46)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.28%	66.47%	68.33%	55.97%	62.49%
S&P 500					
α	0.035 (3.07)	-0.008 (-0.59)	0.016 (1.27)	0.025 (1.88)	0.021 (1.76)
β	0.785 (9.19)	0.795 (10.2)	0.970 (9.54)	0.767 (9.01)	0.867 (9.82)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.70%	65.89%	67.76%	67.52%	62.23%

Table D4: **Encompassing regressions for volatility forecasts: 1-day forecast horizon**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 1-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 1-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 1 lag. HAR and GJR-GARCH model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.012 (3.64)	-0.008 (-2.10)	0.005 (1.78)	0.004 (1.10)	-0.010 (-2.71)	-0.002 (-0.52)	-0.005 (-1.46)	0.007 (2.07)
β_1	0.441 (8.59)	0.494 (8.06)	0.538 (9.30)	0.608 (8.90)	0.706 (8.37)	0.769 (9.82)	0.406 (7.96)	0.448 (9.18)
β_2	0.369 (9.63)	0.362 (7.91)	0.480 (7.42)	0.283 (5.55)	0.233 (4.05)	0.273 (3.39)	0.331 (7.39)	0.419 (6.39)
$\bar{R}^2$	78.10%	77.40%	77.00%	77.50%	76.80%	76.60%	76.50%	76.00%
CAC 40								
α	0.008 (1.84)	-0.021 (-4.02)	0.000 (0.06)	0.000 (0.11)	-0.022 (-4.07)	-0.005 (-1.26)	-0.025 (-5.62)	-0.007 (-1.54)
β_1	0.402 (6.85)	0.408 (6.34)	0.479 (7.71)	0.560 (6.79)	0.574 (5.90)	0.692 (7.69)	0.401 (6.80)	0.473 (9.07)
β_2	0.439 (8.65)	0.516 (8.83)	0.585 (7.83)	0.349 (5.16)	0.400 (5.03)	0.385 (3.98)	0.461 (9.18)	0.505 (8.69)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.40%	74.30%	73.30%	73.80%	73.50%	72.80%	73.80%	73.50%
DAX								
α	-0.002 (-0.45)	-0.019 (-3.76)	-0.002 (-0.57)	-0.009 (-1.79)	-0.021 (-3.98)	-0.008 (-1.55)	-0.033 (-4.94)	-0.021 (-3.38)
β_1	0.441 (9.38)	0.465 (8.72)	0.540 (10.50)	0.573 (7.88)	0.632 (7.89)	0.752 (9.93)	0.494 (6.29)	0.589 (8.52)
β_2	0.476 (11.39)	0.466 (10.30)	0.536 (8.95)	0.402 (6.60)	0.352 (6.00)	0.329 (4.30)	0.434 (5.99)	0.469 (5.45)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.00%	75.50%	74.70%	75.30%	74.30%	73.70%	74.10%	73.60%
DJIA								
α	0.001 (0.13)	-0.026 (-5.25)	-0.007 (-1.62)	-0.002 (-0.41)	-0.023 (-4.78)	-0.008 (-1.75)	-0.023 (-4.85)	-0.011 (-1.9)
β_1	0.319 (8.42)	0.357 (8.17)	0.387 (9.10)	0.477 (7.31)	0.542 (8.14)	0.592 (9.42)	0.552 (5.31)	0.606 (6.73)
β_2	0.616 (12.48)	0.627 (15.29)	0.775 (13.13)	0.486 (6.79)	0.466 (8.91)	0.539 (7.45)	0.420 (5.03)	0.472 (4.70)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.40%	72.00%	71.50%	71.80%	71.80%	71.40%	71.00%	70.80%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.008 (1.43)	-0.020 (-3.27)	-0.004 (-0.73)	0.001 (0.18)	-0.023 (-3.88)	-0.009 (-1.67)	-0.018 (-3.14)	-0.008 (-1.31)
β_1	0.300 (4.77)	0.377 (6.22)	0.428 (7.06)	0.395 (4.30)	0.540 (6.18)	0.629 (7.18)	0.525 (7.24)	0.578 (8.54)
β_2	0.552 (8.69)	0.528 (9.42)	0.680 (8.53)	0.505 (6.41)	0.424 (6.62)	0.489 (5.30)	0.340 (5.82)	0.406 (5.12)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.70%	68.40%	67.50%	69.00%	67.60%	66.90%	69.00%	68.80%
FTSE 100								
α	0.009 (2.65)	-0.011 (-3.15)	0.002 (0.74)	0.001 (0.19)	-0.013 (-3.71)	-0.003 (-0.98)	-0.013 (-4.07)	0.001 (0.25)
β_1	0.466 (9.05)	0.410 (6.05)	0.440 (6.55)	0.676 (10.47)	0.588 (6.08)	0.642 (6.69)	0.268 (4.42)	0.308 (5.35)
β_2	0.336 (8.46)	0.413 (8.55)	0.603 (7.99)	0.229 (4.62)	0.312 (4.99)	0.422 (4.30)	0.447 (9.01)	0.628 (8.54)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.60%	76.30%	76.00%	75.20%	75.50%	75.20%	74.20%	73.90%

Table D4 – continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.037 (6.83)	-0.008 (-1.18)	-0.008 (-1.19)	0.004 (0.53)	-0.012 (-1.47)	-0.012 (-1.48)	-0.015 (-1.75)	-0.016 (-1.82)
β_1	0.541 (10.26)	0.290 (5.55)	0.293 (5.61)	0.964 (10.78)	0.477 (5.40)	0.484 (5.51)	-0.005 (-0.21)	-0.008 (-0.31)
β_2	0.106 (6.12)	0.418 (11.79)	0.839 (11.73)	0.001 (0.07)	0.33 (6.86)	0.655 (6.82)	0.610 (12.49)	1.238 (12.41)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.00%	63.30%	63.20%	59.90%	62.60%	62.60%	60.40%	60.30%
KOSPI								
α	-0.006 (-1.15)	-0.012 (-2.23)	-0.004 (-0.93)	-0.014 (-2.31)	-0.014 (-2.52)	-0.009 (-1.66)	-0.039 (-5.86)	-0.032 (-4.40)
β_1	0.513 (10.34)	0.565 (8.46)	0.572 (8.56)	0.632 (10.34)	0.739 (7.90)	0.750 (7.92)	0.467 (6.45)	0.475 (6.60)
β_2	0.371 (7.53)	0.311 (6.64)	0.502 (6.60)	0.319 (5.96)	0.209 (3.69)	0.330 (3.52)	0.356 (7.59)	0.575 (7.59)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.80%	75.40%	75.30%	77.00%	75.10%	75.00%	73.20%	73.20%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.014 (3.37)	0.001 (0.23)	0.005 (1.1)	0.003 (0.56)	-0.005 (-0.97)	-0.002 (-0.46)	0.002 (0.42)	0.004 (0.75)
β_1	0.523 (11.50)	0.547 (11.9)	0.505 (9.61)	0.803 (12.37)	0.79 (13.12)	0.741 (9.99)	0.314 (5.61)	0.262 (5.13)
β_2	0.234 (7.00)	0.243 (9.14)	0.506 (8.38)	0.105 (2.38)	0.128 (4.70)	0.294 (4.13)	0.262 (5.87)	0.604 (7.84)
$\bar{R}^2$	69.90%	69.90%	70.60%	69.40%	69.60%	69.80%	64.10%	65.90%
NASDAQ								
α	0.002 (0.44)	-0.027 (-4.52)	-0.005 (-1.12)	-0.002 (-0.38)	-0.025 (-4.15)	-0.008 (-1.56)	-0.042 (-7.45)	-0.013 (-2.48)
β_1	0.493 (10.34)	0.397 (7.40)	0.452 (8.20)	0.728 (9.83)	0.551 (6.73)	0.646 (7.96)	0.163 (2.85)	0.288 (5.11)
β_2	0.341 (7.82)	0.473 (9.48)	0.658 (8.24)	0.197 (3.50)	0.373 (5.88)	0.458 (4.58)	0.627 (11.80)	0.762 (8.90)
$\bar{R}^2$	73.90%	75.20%	74.50%	73.20%	74.30%	73.80%	71.70%	70.50%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.007 (1.25)	-0.045 (-5.36)	0.006 (1.15)	-0.001 (-0.20)	-0.045 (-4.57)	-0.004 (-0.67)	-0.049 (-4.85)	-0.002 (-0.31)
β_1	0.393 (8.79)	0.347 (5.97)	0.459 (8.21)	0.590 (7.15)	0.475 (4.74)	0.673 (7.64)	0.238 (3.12)	0.400 (6.18)
β_2	0.371 (9.79)	0.511 (9.87)	0.555 (7.97)	0.266 (4.59)	0.433 (5.49)	0.370 (3.82)	0.515 (5.88)	0.433 (4.14)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.20%	73.50%	71.60%	71.20%	72.50%	71.20%	71.30%	70.00%
SMI								
α	0.006 (1.80)	-0.005 (-1.27)	0.005 (1.54)	-0.001 (-0.24)	-0.006 (-1.77)	-0.001 (-0.29)	-0.009 (-2.12)	0.000 (0.03)
β_1	0.486 (10.17)	0.508 (9.00)	0.544 (9.71)	0.656 (11.72)	0.736 (8.85)	0.785 (9.59)	0.416 (6.48)	0.457 (7.55)
β_2	0.371 (8.69)	0.356 (8.04)	0.450 (7.14)	0.276 (5.44)	0.210 (3.52)	0.237 (2.84)	0.370 (7.21)	0.458 (6.53)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.20%	76.70%	76.30%	77.00%	76.10%	75.90%	74.80%	74.40%
S&P 500								
α	0.007 (1.82)	-0.023 (-4.71)	-0.006 (-1.39)	0.003 (0.78)	-0.020 (-4.29)	-0.008 (-1.71)	-0.013 (-3.00)	-0.002 (-0.44)
β_1	0.336 (6.89)	0.396 (8.46)	0.429 (8.86)	0.477 (5.92)	0.581 (8.83)	0.631 (9.53)	0.530 (6.40)	0.570 (8.07)
β_2	0.527 (10.05)	0.542 (11.8)	0.712 (10.11)	0.426 (5.69)	0.395 (7.26)	0.485 (5.86)	0.331 (4.56)	0.403 (4.35)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.30%	74.50%	74.00%	74.60%	74.40%	74.00%	73.60%	73.50%

Table D5: **Encompassing regressions for volatility forecasts: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 5-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 5-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 5 lags. HAR and GJR-GARCH model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.016 (3.76)	-0.001 (-0.15)	0.011 (2.35)	0.007 (1.67)	-0.008 (-1.55)	0.002 (0.39)	-0.001 (-0.17)	0.011 (2.62)
β_1	0.452 (6.23)	0.511 (6.92)	0.536 (7.85)	0.531 (5.54)	0.621 (8.21)	0.656 (8.91)	0.390 (6.75)	0.407 (7.36)
β_2	0.351 (6.04)	0.328 (6.70)	0.421 (6.18)	0.333 (4.56)	0.286 (6.10)	0.356 (4.94)	0.339 (6.53)	0.438 (6.03)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.50%	79.20%	79.10%	79.30%	78.60%	78.40%	80.00%	79.80%
CAC 40								
α	0.014 (2.47)	-0.012 (-1.97)	0.008 (1.52)	0.005 (0.89)	-0.017 (-2.65)	0.001 (0.09)	-0.017 (-3.17)	0.000 (0.05)
β_1	0.421 (5.64)	0.407 (6.90)	0.469 (8.31)	0.527 (5.33)	0.503 (6.73)	0.593 (7.69)	0.378 (5.65)	0.422 (7.41)
β_2	0.406 (5.61)	0.485 (9.41)	0.519 (8.04)	0.364 (4.24)	0.439 (7.32)	0.437 (5.41)	0.465 (7.94)	0.515 (8.19)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.60%	76.00%	75.60%	75.70%	75.60%	75.10%	76.90%	76.90%
DAX								
α	0.001 (0.14)	-0.016 (-2.44)	0.004 (0.61)	-0.007 (-1.09)	-0.020 (-3.00)	-0.004 (-0.56)	-0.026 (-4.25)	-0.014 (-2.12)
β_1	0.306 (4.05)	0.319 (3.93)	0.417 (5.21)	0.438 (4.82)	0.429 (3.43)	0.585 (4.79)	0.475 (5.00)	0.541 (6.44)
β_2	0.586 (6.58)	0.567 (8.87)	0.604 (7.36)	0.514 (5.27)	0.505 (5.48)	0.471 (4.04)	0.434 (6.23)	0.480 (6.01)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.50%	73.90%	73.00%	75.10%	73.70%	72.80%	76.10%	75.80%
DJIA								
α	0.005 (0.69)	-0.014 (-1.98)	0.000 (0.07)	0.003 (0.39)	-0.016 (-2.38)	-0.002 (-0.24)	-0.014 (-2.35)	-0.004 (-0.57)
β_1	0.306 (3.69)	0.422 (5.67)	0.441 (6.55)	0.333 (2.89)	0.475 (4.99)	0.499 (5.50)	0.607 (4.61)	0.626 (5.90)
β_2	0.621 (5.76)	0.534 (8.37)	0.609 (7.28)	0.608 (4.67)	0.497 (6.79)	0.557 (5.74)	0.355 (3.81)	0.399 (4.13)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.50%	76.90%	76.70%	77.20%	76.60%	76.20%	77.30%	77.30%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.013 (1.82)	-0.011 (-1.46)	0.004 (0.59)	0.007 (1.00)	-0.018 (-2.17)	-0.004 (-0.44)	-0.009 (-1.47)	-0.001 (-0.14)
β_1	0.215 (2.92)	0.358 (4.78)	0.407 (5.62)	0.285 (2.71)	0.436 (3.85)	0.515 (4.49)	0.521 (5.84)	0.550 (6.94)
β_2	0.612 (7.58)	0.515 (9.60)	0.619 (8.54)	0.586 (5.84)	0.488 (6.13)	0.556 (5.06)	0.328 (5.05)	0.400 (5.10)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.30%	70.70%	70.10%	72.40%	70.10%	69.40%	73.30%	73.30%
FTSE 100								
α	0.013 (2.75)	-0.007 (-1.69)	0.009 (2.22)	0.003 (0.75)	-0.011 (-2.69)	0.003 (0.74)	-0.009 (-2.28)	0.008 (1.68)
β_1	0.492 (7.03)	0.352 (6.00)	0.370 (6.47)	0.630 (8.78)	0.421 (5.17)	0.444 (5.30)	0.235 (3.77)	0.251 (4.55)
β_2	0.303 (5.14)	0.438 (11.68)	0.596 (9.70)	0.252 (4.34)	0.415 (9.00)	0.561 (7.08)	0.468 (9.71)	0.636 (10.02)
$\bar{R}^2$	77.10%	78.70%	78.70%	77.20%	78.20%	78.10%	78.40%	78.50%

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.029 (2.51)	0.003 (0.26)	0.003 (0.32)	0.004 (0.28)	-0.004 (-0.36)	-0.003 (-0.32)	-0.012 (-0.89)	-0.010 (-0.75)
β_1	0.815 (7.36)	0.364 (3.14)	0.363 (3.05)	1.072 (8.13)	0.355 (2.67)	0.356 (2.63)	-0.044 (-1.86)	-0.036 (-1.54)
β_2	-0.008 (-0.25)	0.346 (5.89)	0.644 (5.82)	-0.053 (-1.61)	0.376 (5.32)	0.699 (5.34)	0.649 (8.88)	1.185 (8.91)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.40%	68.20%	68.10%	63.50%	66.70%	66.70%	65.90%	65.70%
KOSPI								
α	-0.007 (-0.48)	-0.009 (-0.75)	0.000 (0.03)	-0.015 (-1.14)	-0.015 (-1.26)	-0.007 (-0.66)	-0.036 (-3)	-0.027 (-2.07)
β_1	0.440 (5.09)	0.454 (5.53)	0.452 (5.18)	0.524 (5.02)	0.551 (5.55)	0.548 (5.33)	0.444 (3.79)	0.443 (3.70)
β_2	0.434 (3.87)	0.373 (6.36)	0.581 (6.5)	0.407 (3.45)	0.336 (4.81)	0.524 (5.00)	0.377 (5.65)	0.586 (5.72)
$\bar{R}^2$	74.60%	73.00%	73.00%	75.20%	73.30%	73.40%	74.50%	74.70%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.022 (3.09)	0.009 (1.31)	0.013 (1.84)	0.010 (1.58)	0.000 (0.06)	0.005 (0.68)	0.010 (1.52)	0.012 (1.7)
β_1	0.454 (4.48)	0.490 (6.16)	0.379 (4.74)	0.622 (5.08)	0.647 (8.83)	0.502 (6.46)	0.303 (3.79)	0.230 (3.24)
β_2	0.249 (3.36)	0.248 (5.98)	0.561 (6.40)	0.188 (2.16)	0.189 (5.59)	0.480 (6.10)	0.254 (3.89)	0.589 (5.66)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.10%	67.70%	69.20%	67.90%	68.20%	69.20%	66.40%	69.00%
NASDAQ								
α	0.007 (0.91)	-0.019 (-2.20)	0.002 (0.34)	0.002 (0.27)	-0.021 (-2.44)	-0.001 (-0.19)	-0.027 (-3.81)	-0.006 (-0.72)
β_1	0.458 (5.22)	0.381 (5.24)	0.434 (6.39)	0.555 (5.06)	0.448 (5.03)	0.519 (5.96)	0.270 (2.92)	0.332 (4.56)
β_2	0.349 (4.14)	0.455 (7.27)	0.593 (6.18)	0.306 (3.14)	0.423 (6.01)	0.530 (4.77)	0.489 (6.55)	0.611 (6.97)
$\bar{R}^2$	75.50%	76.40%	76.10%	75.40%	75.90%	75.70%	75.40%	75.10%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.019 (2.21)	-0.036 (-2.82)	0.016 (1.86)	0.010 (1.17)	-0.036 (-2.92)	0.007 (0.79)	-0.037 (-3.05)	0.009 (1.01)
β_1	0.326 (3.54)	0.226 (2.78)	0.373 (4.38)	0.530 (5.07)	0.336 (2.86)	0.526 (4.62)	0.188 (2.46)	0.321 (5.02)
β_2	0.378 (5.13)	0.561 (7.34)	0.554 (5.23)	0.269 (3.59)	0.493 (5.27)	0.437 (3.58)	0.532 (6.06)	0.483 (4.80)
$\bar{R}^2$	72.70%	75.70%	74.00%	73.60%	75.90%	74.60%	75.60%	74.50%
SMI								
α	0.01 (1.95)	0.000 (0.01)	0.01 0(2.23)	0.001 (0.17)	-0.005 (-1.06)	0.002 (0.47)	-0.007 (-1.26)	0.004 (0.73)
β_1	0.503 (6.34)	0.465 (6.58)	0.502 (7.25)	0.631 (8.55)	0.624 (5.80)	0.673 (6.53)	0.363 (4.30)	0.391 (4.92)
β_2	0.340 (4.14)	0.368 (6.76)	0.441 (5.64)	0.290 (4.05)	0.282 (3.91)	0.320 (3.20)	0.413 (6.28)	0.505 (5.80)
$\bar{R}^2$	76.80%	77.10%	76.90%	77.60%	76.90%	76.70%	77.30%	77.10%
S&P 500								
α	0.012 (1.94)	-0.011 (-1.61)	0.002 (0.28)	0.010 (1.54)	-0.013 (-2.01)	-0.001 (-0.14)	-0.001 (-0.14)	0.005 (0.76)
β_1	0.299 (3.37)	0.476 (6.19)	0.497 (7.14)	0.330 (2.78)	0.556 (5.89)	0.584 (6.63)	0.611 (5.04)	0.608 (6.58)
β_2	0.549 (5.59)	0.440 (7.04)	0.541 (6.21)	0.535 (4.59)	0.386 (5.68)	0.463 (4.82)	0.230 (2.25)	0.306 (2.92)
$\bar{R}^2$	79.30%	78.10%	77.90%	79.10%	77.80%	77.60%	78.70%	78.90%

Table D6: **Encompassing regressions for volatility forecasts: 22-day forecast horizon**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the 22-day forecast horizon. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 22-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. The row labeled "$\bar{R}^2$" contains the adjusted R^2 coefficient of each regression. α is the intercept, while β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 22 lags. HAR and GJR-GARCH model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 1,200 daily observations.

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
AEX								
α	0.024 (3.04)	0.013 (1.46)	0.032 (4.27)	0.012 (1.46)	0.008 (0.93)	0.033 (3.71)	0.011 (1.46)	0.026 (3.19)
β_1	0.385 (3.72)	0.177 (2.59)	0.029 (0.23)	0.489 (2.61)	0.175 (1.36)	-0.031 (-0.15)	0.259 (2.47)	0.186 (2.22)
β_2	0.369 (3.48)	0.498 (6.40)	0.813 (4.78)	0.348 (2.37)	0.522 (5.43)	0.866 (4.24)	0.410 (5.43)	0.635 (6.97)
$\bar{R}^2$	64.30%	64.80%	67.20%	63.20%	64.60%	67.20%	66.30%	68.10%
CAC 40								
α	0.026 (2.85)	0.006 (0.56)	0.029 (3.31)	0.016 (1.66)	0.001 (0.07)	0.028 (3.35)	0.001 (0.07)	0.023 (2.75)
β_1	0.441 (5.68)	0.191 (2.60)	0.093 (0.85)	0.588 (4.38)	0.193 (1.55)	0.049 (0.24)	0.192 (2.49)	0.174 (2.57)
β_2	0.341 (4.09)	0.578 (6.30)	0.787 (5.00)	0.273 (2.32)	0.600 (4.97)	0.839 (3.86)	0.570 (8.41)	0.700 (7.32)
$\bar{R}^2$	61.30%	63.00%	64.70%	60.10%	62.80%	64.60%	63.30%	65.40%
DAX								
α	0.015 (1.16)	0.003 (0.21)	0.025 (2.24)	-0.001 (-0.05)	-0.001 (-0.13)	0.027 (2.29)	-0.006 (-0.53)	0.013 (1.14)
β_1	0.447 (6.36)	0.122 (1.31)	0.096 (0.82)	0.538 (3.88)	-0.026 (-0.11)	-0.060 (-0.20)	0.196 (1.79)	0.222 (2.16)
β_2	0.406 (3.74)	0.645 (5.45)	0.808 (4.52)	0.407 (2.44)	0.773 (3.85)	0.964 (3.28)	0.607 (7.29)	0.714 (6.33)
$\bar{R}^2$	60.00%	62.10%	62.50%	58.00%	61.90%	62.40%	62.50%	63.30%
DJIA								
α	0.014 (0.84)	0.003 (0.22)	0.018 (1.27)	0.012 (0.76)	-0.001 (-0.05)	0.016 (1.20)	0.000 (-0.02)	0.010 (0.66)
β_1	0.197 (1.45)	0.267 (2.35)	0.114 (1.08)	0.112 (0.55)	0.265 (3.59)	0.123 (1.17)	0.548 (2.07)	0.426 (2.29)
β_2	0.690 (3.01)	0.583 (6.30)	0.833 (4.74)	0.783 (2.61)	0.600 (6.86)	0.830 (4.41)	0.354 (2.12)	0.531 (4.47)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.40%	64.30%	65.70%	65.10%	64.30%	65.70%	66.20%	67.20%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.028 (2.68)	0.008 (0.61)	0.027 (2.19)	0.02 (2.27)	0.006 (0.54)	0.032 (3.04)	0.007 (0.62)	0.020 (1.88)
β_1	0.293 (4.16)	0.088 (1.02)	0.005 (0.04)	0.279 (1.58)	-0.044 (-0.20)	-0.139 (-0.45)	0.275 (3.03)	0.258 (3.44)
β_2	0.487 (4.66)	0.651 (5.44)	0.909 (4.16)	0.543 (3.13)	0.757 (3.83)	1.034 (3.15)	0.488 (7.38)	0.640 (5.75)
$\bar{R}^2$	57.40%	58.60%	59.70%	55.90%	58.40%	59.80%	59.80%	61.10%
FTSE 100								
α	0.020 (2.57)	0.007 (0.93)	0.025 (3.90)	0.010 (1.25)	0.003 (0.46)	0.029 (4.60)	0.005 (0.69)	0.025 (3.51)
β_1	0.498 (5.98)	0.209 (3.07)	0.039 (0.35)	0.660 (4.88)	0.154 (1.28)	-0.137 (-0.70)	0.091 (0.96)	0.005 (0.07)
β_2	0.265 (3.07)	0.471 (6.55)	0.812 (5.02)	0.195 (1.75)	0.523 (5.67)	0.963 (4.58)	0.539 (7.40)	0.843 (8.61)
$\bar{R}^2$	65.60%	67.90%	70.60%	64.40%	67.50%	70.70%	67.60%	70.60%

Table D6 – continued

	LRE+GJR	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	HAR+GJR	HAR+MFIV	HAR+C-MFIV	GJR+MFIV	GJR+C-MFIV
HANG SENG								
α	0.043 (3.27)	0.021 (2.28)	0.024 (2.73)	0.012 (0.71)	0.021 (2.09)	0.024 (2.67)	0.007 (0.58)	0.015 (1.31)
β_1	0.819 (5.59)	0.019 (0.15)	-0.011 (-0.11)	1.097 (5.36)	0.024 (0.14)	-0.032 (-0.19)	-0.100 (-2.94)	-0.080 (-2.69)
β_2	-0.059 (-1.58)	0.479 (5.45)	0.880 (5.90)	-0.101 (-2.10)	0.477 (4.54)	0.897 (4.84)	0.645 (6.78)	1.078 (6.91)
$\bar{R}^2$	46.90%	54.10%	55.90%	50.30%	54.10%	55.90%	56.50%	57.70%
KOSPI								
α	-0.012 (-0.41)	0.000 (0.01)	0.018 (0.99)	-0.022 (-0.87)	0.000 (0.02)	0.017 (1.06)	-0.019 (-0.79)	-0.004 (-0.14)
β_1	0.335 (3.22)	-0.068 (-0.31)	-0.131 (-0.59)	0.468 (3.12)	0.110 (0.59)	0.059 (0.32)	0.310 (2.24)	0.270 (1.72)
β_2	0.549 (2.68)	0.678 (2.74)	1.068 (2.96)	0.493 (2.30)	0.563 (3.00)	0.873 (3.30)	0.446 (6.63)	0.692 (7.61)
$\bar{R}^2$	56.10%	58.10%	59.50%	55.60%	58.20%	59.30%	60.10%	60.70%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.035 (2.48)	0.030 (1.92)	0.036 (2.87)	0.024 (1.69)	0.020 (1.19)	0.039 (2.98)	0.027 (1.94)	0.031 (2.17)
β_1	0.295 (2.26)	0.224 (1.90)	-0.146 (-0.92)	0.406 (1.93)	0.330 (2.26)	-0.112 (-0.66)	0.216 (1.79)	0.081 (0.93)
β_2	0.300 (2.41)	0.327 (3.30)	0.949 (4.06)	0.272 (1.83)	0.300 (3.58)	0.895 (4.29)	0.275 (3.50)	0.696 (5.64)
$\bar{R}^2$	50.50%	51.20%	58.00%	50.20%	51.40%	57.80%	52.30%	58.00%
NASDAQ								
α	0.017 (1.07)	-0.001 (-0.04)	0.022 (1.78)	0.015 (1.04)	-0.003 (-0.23)	0.020 (1.81)	0.001 (0.12)	0.014 (1.02)
β_1	0.123 (0.64)	0.174 (1.31)	0.061 (0.38)	0.189 (0.85)	0.218 (1.42)	0.096 (0.49)	0.379 (2.21)	0.288 (2.40)
β_2	0.549 (2.71)	0.521 (3.91)	0.850 (3.63)	0.517 (2.46)	0.506 (3.72)	0.825 (3.36)	0.290 (2.44)	0.538 (4.79)
$\bar{R}^2$	62.70%	61.70%	63.80%	62.80%	61.60%	63.80%	63.90%	65.50%
RUSSELL 2000								
α	0.042 (2.54)	0.015 (0.83)	0.041 (2.69)	0.016 (0.86)	-0.002 (-0.11)	0.024 (1.41)	-0.009 (-0.42)	0.033 (1.92)
β_1	0.590 (4.24)	0.410 (2.76)	0.387 (3.18)	0.854 (7.06)	0.508 (2.73)	0.473 (2.95)	0.143 (1.37)	0.176 (1.71)
β_2	0.108 (1.03)	0.287 (2.68)	0.374 (3.44)	0.010 (0.12)	0.278 (2.24)	0.371 (2.75)	0.490 (4.50)	0.559 (4.40)
$\bar{R}^2$	68.40%	69.70%	70.10%	68.10%	69.40%	69.90%	67.70%	68.70%
SMI								
α	0.016 (2.04)	0.012 (1.36)	0.027 (3.44)	0.005 (0.61)	0.007 (0.80)	0.023 (3.11)	0.006 (0.69)	0.022 (2.60)
β_1	0.504 (6.53)	0.200 (2.11)	0.109 (0.76)	0.723 (6.91)	0.334 (2.05)	0.156 (0.65)	0.178 (1.82)	0.124 (1.32)
β_2	0.309 (3.53)	0.497 (4.60)	0.724 (3.54)	0.187 (1.92)	0.428 (3.34)	0.699 (2.77)	0.515 (6.45)	0.711 (5.69)
$\bar{R}^2$	63.40%	64.40%	66.30%	63.20%	64.60%	66.30%	64.80%	66.50%
S&P 500								
α	0.024 (1.85)	0.006 (0.56)	0.019 (1.52)	0.023 (2.19)	0.002 (0.14)	0.016 (1.27)	0.015 (1.7)	0.018 (1.47)
β_1	0.144 (0.91)	0.316 (2.59)	0.175 (1.47)	0.068 (0.28)	0.376 (3.44)	0.240 (2.06)	0.610 (2.19)	0.482 (2.58)
β_2	0.641 (3.11)	0.489 (5.89)	0.762 (4.48)	0.712 (2.65)	0.464 (6.73)	0.714 (4.33)	0.174 (0.78)	0.386 (2.42)
$\bar{R}^2$	67.80%	64.60%	65.90%	67.50%	64.70%	66.10%	67.80%	68.80%

Table D7: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 1-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 1,200 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.316	0.621	0.345	0.476	**0.289**	-3.769	-3.683	-3.763	-3.745	**-3.778**
CAC 40	0.377	0.549	0.395	0.476	**0.342**	-3.653	-3.598	-3.651	-3.633	**-3.663**
DAX	0.378	0.560	0.421	0.426	**0.351**	-3.636	-3.583	-3.634	-3.624	**-3.646**
DJIA	0.457	0.495	0.456	0.412	**0.401**	-3.763	-3.744	-3.774	-3.780	**-3.784**
EUROSTOXX50	0.474	0.625	0.480	0.485	**0.427**	-3.591	-3.541	-3.589	-3.586	**-3.602**
FTSE 100	0.289	0.604	0.289	0.482	**0.262**	-3.922	-3.814	-3.923	-3.876	**-3.930**
HANG SENG	0.388	0.855	0.350	1.315	**0.335**	-3.786	-3.647	-3.796	-3.664	**-3.801**
KOSPI	0.371	0.780	0.421	0.593	**0.345**	-3.672	-3.558	-3.664	-3.609	**-3.679**
NIKKEI	0.323	0.876	0.335	0.738	**0.295**	-3.788	-3.633	-3.784	-3.696	**-3.796**
NASDAQ	0.344	0.711	0.359	0.585	**0.312**	-3.753	-3.633	-3.750	-3.692	**-3.763**
RUSSELL 2000	0.445	0.975	0.440	0.831	**0.397**	-3.528	-3.388	-3.529	-3.459	**-3.542**
SMI	0.279	0.507	0.296	0.381	**0.255**	-3.890	-3.818	-3.890	-3.863	**-3.896**
S&P 500	0.434	0.553	0.449	0.444	**0.385**	-3.751	-3.705	-3.755	-3.758	**-3.769**

Table D8: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 5-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 1,200 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.620	1.296	0.607	0.985	**0.595**	-2.937	-2.862	-2.939	-2.914	**-2.940**
CAC 40	0.740	1.098	**0.692**	0.979	0.698	-2.821	-2.776	**-2.826**	-2.801	-2.825
DAX	0.828	1.128	**0.758**	0.871	0.764	-2.801	-2.760	**-2.808**	-2.791	-2.805
DJIA	0.822	0.914	0.782	**0.761**	0.788	-2.932	-2.910	**-2.938**	-2.935	-2.937
EUROSTOXX50	0.931	1.229	**0.851**	0.959	0.870	-2.752	-2.714	**-2.759**	-2.748	-2.757
FTSE 100	0.567	1.267	**0.494**	1.014	0.534	-3.089	-2.993	**-3.097**	-3.047	-3.092
HANG SENG	0.696	1.811	**0.598**	2.936	0.668	-2.961	-2.826	**-2.966**	-2.834	-2.964
KOSPI	0.835	1.648	**0.785**	1.233	0.786	-2.832	-2.737	-2.838	-2.781	**-2.838**
NIKKEI	0.693	1.884	**0.606**	1.590	0.643	-2.952	-2.813	-2.956	-2.867	**-2.956**
NASDAQ	0.683	1.497	**0.635**	1.218	0.647	-2.920	-2.813	-2.923	-2.865	**-2.925**
RUSSELL 2000	0.877	2.057	**0.756**	1.774	0.785	-2.691	-2.567	-2.698	-2.628	**-2.699**
SMI	0.577	1.052	0.544	0.805	**0.540**	-3.063	-2.999	**-3.066**	-3.035	-3.064
S&P 500	0.803	1.078	0.793	0.853	**0.767**	-2.920	-2.876	-2.922	-2.918	**-2.924**

Table D9: **Out-of-sample forecast losses for logarithmic volatility: 22-day forecast horizon**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 1,200 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR		LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	1.669	2.874	**1.442**	2.175	1.558		-2.147	-2.092	**-2.156**	-2.130	-2.150
CAC 40	1.857	2.455	**1.588**	2.238	1.733		-2.034	-2.005	**-2.045**	-2.020	-2.037
DAX	1.987	2.525	**1.708**	2.086	1.885		-2.013	-1.988	**-2.024**	-2.006	-2.016
DJIA	2.019	2.107	**1.755**	1.829	2.001		-2.134	-2.130	**-2.148**	-2.143	-2.140
EUROSTOXX50	2.241	2.716	**1.860**	2.264	2.087		-1.958	-1.939	**-1.972**	-1.960	-1.963
FTSE 100	1.393	2.765	**1.181**	2.207	1.314		-2.303	-2.226	**-2.314**	-2.264	-2.306
HANG SENG	1.713	3.919	**1.393**	6.342	1.577		-2.183	-2.061	**-2.189**	-2.052	-2.185
KOSPI	2.245	3.600	**1.764**	2.591	2.012		-2.043	-1.969	**-2.057**	-2.005	-2.050
NIKKEI	1.744	4.072	**1.418**	3.335	1.593		-2.157	-2.046	**-2.172**	-2.088	-2.168
NASDAQ	1.730	3.267	**1.471**	2.608	1.616		-2.126	-2.043	**-2.136**	-2.088	-2.134
RUSSELL 2000	1.829	4.456	1.655	3.683	**1.622**		-1.911	-1.800	-1.916	-1.851	**-1.920**
SMI	1.464	2.338	**1.290**	1.860	1.350		-2.277	-2.231	**-2.288**	-2.251	-2.281
S&P 500	2.033	2.469	**1.796**	1.998	1.944		-2.120	-2.099	**-2.132**	-2.129	-2.127

Appendix E. Controlling for Spillovers from the US market

Table E1: **Univariate regressions for weekly volatility forecasts: Controlling for spillovers from the US market**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on individual volatility forecasts taking into account volatility spillovers from the US market. The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α, β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while β_{US} is the coefficient of the lagged realized volatility of the S&P 500. The row labeled "$\Delta\bar{R}^2$" shows the change in the adjusted R^2 of the regression after adding lagged US volatility. T-statistics of the coefficients are reported in parentheses next to each coefficient. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors with 5 lags. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. Significant coefficients at the 5% level are highlighted in bold. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.023 (4.99)	0.005 (0.95)	0.020 (4.01)	0.026 (5.98)	0.012 (2.72)
β	0.637 (9.33)	0.399 (11.71)	0.550 (10.46)	0.421 (11.44)	0.686 (13.29)
β_{US}	0.207 (3.64)	0.348 (7.08)	0.344 (6.94)	0.275 (6.07)	0.232 (4.57)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.85%	4.45%	4.31%	2.31%	1.30%
CAC 40					
α	0.030 (6.15)	-0.009 (-1.76)	0.016 (3.06)	0.021 (4.24)	0.016 (3.29)
β	0.578 (7.09)	0.540 (13.78)	0.617 (11.6)	0.475 (11.86)	0.653 (9.27)
β_{US}	0.264 (2.97)	0.324 (5.47)	0.352 (5.78)	0.302 (5.87)	0.268 (3.49)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.21%	3.05%	3.69%	2.73%	1.54%
DAX					
α	0.036 (5.19)	-0.009 (-1.55)	0.013 (2.42)	0.013 (2.30)	0.018 (2.64)
β	0.494 (5.54)	0.571 (11.26)	0.617 (10.88)	0.558 (15.41)	0.604 (8.46)
β_{US}	0.345 (3.65)	0.309 (4.16)	0.393 (5.84)	0.312 (6.75)	0.329 (4.47)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	2.57%	2.82%	5.77%	3.31%	3.15%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.040 (5.40)	-0.001 (-0.18)	0.015 (2.39)	0.021 (3.30)	0.024 (2.78)
β	0.394 (4.27)	0.486 (9.71)	0.581 (8.70)	0.533 (11.01)	0.513 (5.56)
β_{US}	0.444 (4.24)	0.394 (4.67)	0.437 (5.32)	0.297 (5.00)	0.411 (4.47)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	2.97%	3.70%	4.88%	2.16%	3.38%
FTSE 100					
α	0.021 (5.24)	-0.005 (-1.30)	0.012 (3.06)	0.021 (4.26)	0.009 (2.59)
β	0.561 (6.65)	0.495 (12.87)	0.679 (11.57)	0.373 (7.65)	0.654 (10.95)
β_{US}	0.226 (3.40)	0.199 (4.09)	0.211 (4.42)	0.267 (6.02)	0.216 (4.21)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.17%	1.26%	1.46%	2.29%	1.25%
HANG SENG					
α	0.022 (1.98)	0.008 (0.86)	-0.002 (-0.19)	0.054 (5.81)	0.009 (0.71)
β	0.604 (7.54)	0.430 (9.15)	0.846 (9.56)	0.104 (3.20)	0.692 (7.82)
β_{US}	0.232 (3.35)	0.197 (2.20)	0.200 (2.30)	0.423 (5.04)	0.234 (2.99)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	3.26%	2.11%	2.30%	10.10%	3.29%
KOSPI					
α	0.025 (2.76)	-0.007 (-0.55)	0.002 (0.20)	0.010 (0.73)	0.014 (1.41)
β	0.558 (8.76)	0.492 (8.58)	0.749 (9.37)	0.451 (5.04)	0.616 (8.37)
β_{US}	0.265 (4.90)	0.237 (3.68)	0.255 (4.13)	0.292 (5.98)	0.267 (4.79)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	3.79%	2.29%	2.95%	4.11%	4.16%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.030 (4.91)	0.014 (1.90)	0.016 (2.25)	0.029 (4.70)	0.014 (2.26)
β	0.603 (9.46)	0.440 (8.93)	0.792 (12.98)	0.386 (7.67)	0.704 (12.96)
β_{US}	0.182 (3.50)	0.123 (1.60)	0.128 (2.32)	0.183 (3.28)	0.176 (3.69)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	2.75%	0.75%	1.11%	2.60%	2.69%
SMI					
α	0.022 (5.97)	0.004 (0.99)	0.019 (3.96)	0.021 (3.81)	0.010 (2.69)
β	0.586 (7.18)	0.466 (8.82)	0.571 (9.05)	0.404 (6.88)	0.691 (9.51)
β_{US}	0.209 (3.04)	0.242 (3.93)	0.264 (4.90)	0.286 (6.18)	0.191 (3.36)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.22%	1.91%	2.30%	3.49%	1.14%

Table E2: **Univariate regressions for monthly volatility forecasts: Controlling for spillovers from the US market**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on individual volatility forecasts taking into account volatility spillovers from the US market. The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Each panel corresponds to a different market. Columns show estimation results for a particular forecasting model. α, β denote the intercept and slope of the regression, while β_{US} is the coefficient of the lagged realized volatility of the S&P 500. The row labeled "$\Delta\bar{R}^2$" shows the change in the adjusted R^2 of the regression after adding lagged US volatility. T-statistics of the coefficients are reported in parentheses next to each coefficient. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors with 22 lags. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. Significant coefficients at the 5% level are highlighted in bold. HAR and GJR model forecasts are produced using a rolling sample of 800 daily observations.

	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX					
α	0.042 (5.89)	0.020 (2.52)	0.032 (4.03)	0.036 (4.51)	0.023 (2.63)
β	0.411 (3.41)	0.389 (8.57)	0.694 (8.02)	0.330 (5.68)	0.510 (5.84)
β_{US}	0.305 (2.21)	0.264 (3.66)	0.123 (1.79)	0.333 (5.3)	0.327 (3.78)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.62%	2.25%	0.38%	5.07%	3.76%
CAC 40					
α	0.044 (6.16)	0.008 (1.12)	0.028 (3.51)	0.033 (3.97)	0.027 (3.19)
β	0.547 (3.01)	0.538 (8.81)	0.776 (9.94)	0.387 (7.06)	0.559 (4.64)
β_{US}	0.203 (0.97)	0.224 (2.42)	0.103 (1.27)	0.347 (4.26)	0.293 (2.21)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.52%	1.40%	0.24%	5.36%	2.40%
DAX					
α	0.054 (5.70)	0.017 (1.75)	0.034 (3.56)	0.028 (3.20)	0.035 (2.97)
β	0.290 (1.45)	0.474 (5.21)	0.564 (6.16)	0.395 (6.84)	0.393 (2.68)
β_{US}	0.458 (1.92)	0.302 (2.15)	0.304 (2.43)	0.435 (4.73)	0.458 (2.89)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	3.96%	2.34%	2.51%	9.09%	7.48%
EURO STOXX 50					
α	0.063 (6.31)	0.019 (2.02)	0.031 (3.01)	0.037 (3.91)	0.039 (3.12)
β	0.180 (0.66)	0.489 (6.20)	0.700 (7.64)	0.420 (6.79)	0.381 (2.22)
β_{US}	0.551 (1.69)	0.273 (2.07)	0.193 (1.69)	0.356 (3.89)	0.468 (2.60)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	3.46%	1.61%	0.67%	4.55%	6.09%
FTSE 100					
α	0.026 (4.50)	0.004 (0.61)	0.021 (3.07)	0.026 (3.34)	0.017 (2.55)
β	0.701 (3.98)	0.548 (9.52)	0.904 (7.49)	0.337 (4.14)	0.579 (6.10)
β_{US}	0.076 (0.51)	0.081 (1.35)	-0.029 (-0.43)	0.275 (4.22)	0.222 (2.66)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.07%	0.19%	0.01%	3.69%	1.42%
HANG SENG					
α	0.027 (2.61)	0.024 (3.04)	0.018 (2.17)	0.051 (6.97)	0.020 (1.97)
β	0.372 (2.65)	0.274 (2.64)	0.528 (2.98)	0.025 (0.86)	0.418 (2.64)
β_{US}	0.423 (3.00)	0.357 (1.92)	0.350 (2.00)	0.577 (5.67)	0.416 (2.78)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	15.51%	8.66%	9.01%	28.13%	14.15%
KOSPI					
α	0.053 (4.63)	0.021 (1.56)	0.028 (2.33)	0.033 (1.75)	0.043 (3.06)
β	0.137 (0.48)	0.376 (2.69)	0.577 (3.23)	0.226 (2.31)	0.234 (1.00)
β_{US}	0.498 (1.70)	0.259 (1.26)	0.251 (1.31)	0.460 (4.29)	0.461 (2.11)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	8.62%	1.81%	1.98%	11.34%	9.23%
NIKKEI 225					
α	0.052 (4.33)	0.041 (3.87)	0.036 (3.04)	0.041 (3.58)	0.033 (2.48)
β	0.368 (2.58)	0.316 (3.11)	0.675 (7.63)	0.291 (4.00)	0.477 (3.51)
β_{US}	0.271 (1.87)	0.168 (0.98)	0.106 (1.06)	0.256 (2.69)	0.270 (2.19)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	5.45%	1.12%	0.66%	5.33%	6.23%
SMI					
α	0.033 (5.87)	0.009 (1.20)	0.024 (2.80)	0.027 (3.86)	0.015 (2.44)
β	0.568 (3.69)	0.599 (6.69)	0.927 (6.21)	0.346 (4.88)	0.689 (6.34)
β_{US}	0.153 (1.12)	0.049 (0.56)	-0.071 (-0.86)	0.302 (5.00)	0.152 (1.69)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.52%	0.05%	0.10%	5.41%	0.73%

Table E3: **Encompassing regressions for weekly volatility forecasts: Controlling for spillovers from the US market**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the weekly forecast horizon controlling for volatility spillover effects from the US market. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 5-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH(1,1) models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated *t*-statistics in parentheses. α is the intercept, and β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column, while β_{US} is the coefficient of the lagged realized volatility of the S&P 500. The row labeled "$\Delta\bar{R}^2$" shows the increase in the adjusted R^2 of the regression by adding lagged US volatility. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 5 lags.

	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	LRE+GJR	MFIV+GJR	MFIV+HAR	C-MFIV+GJR	C-MFIV+HAR	GJR+HAR
AEX								
α	0.005 (1.10)	0.015 (3.31)	0.020 (4.97)	0.009 (1.94)	0.003 (0.60)	0.017 (3.92)	0.010 (2.39)	0.015 (3.68)
β_1	0.390 (5.24)	0.394 (5.54)	0.338 (4.13)	0.229 (4.11)	0.211 (4.54)	0.323 (4.20)	0.299 (4.25)	0.273 (3.98)
β_2	0.262 (5.84)	0.365 (5.7)	0.301 (5.71)	0.293 (4.75)	0.428 (6.12)	0.294 (5.03)	0.428 (5.93)	0.371 (3.63)
β_{US}	0.169 (3.32)	0.160 (3.08)	0.137 (2.51)	0.204 (4.51)	0.223 (4.48)	0.196 (4.20)	0.216 (4.28)	0.172 (3.60)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.56%	0.50%	0.35%	1.14%	1.20%	1.03%	1.11%	0.68%
CAC 40								
α	-0.006 (-1.17)	0.012 (2.84)	0.019 (4.03)	-0.003 (-0.65)	-0.006 (-1.23)	0.008 (1.82)	0.010 (2.06)	0.014 (3.06)
β_1	0.273 (3.33)	0.328 (4.04)	0.194 (1.79)	0.302 (4.71)	0.365 (6.29)	0.352 (5.04)	0.371 (4.72)	0.359 (4.69)
β_2	0.418 (9.10)	0.456 (7.67)	0.397 (6.16)	0.326 (5.28)	0.307 (3.13)	0.352 (6.47)	0.376 (3.69)	0.263 (2.12)
β_{US}	0.193 (2.36)	0.183 (2.20)	0.214 (2.81)	0.206 (4.05)	0.237 (3.26)	0.194 (3.70)	0.235 (3.19)	0.219 (3.33)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.62%	0.55%	0.78%	1.05%	1.18%	0.89%	1.15%	1.00%
DAX								
α	-0.006 (-1.13)	0.013 (2.44)	0.013 (2.34)	-0.005 (-0.91)	-0.004 (-0.76)	0.004 (0.77)	0.011 (1.89)	0.008 (1.70)
β_1	0.128 (1.42)	0.161 (1.68)	0.034 (0.31)	0.259 (2.88)	0.383 (4.29)	0.284 (3.25)	0.366 (3.49)	0.436 (5.86)
β_2	0.499 (8.57)	0.518 (7.34)	0.541 (7.89)	0.399 (5.38)	0.260 (2.26)	0.415 (6.51)	0.314 (2.59)	0.217 (2.00)
β_{US}	0.258 (2.83)	0.316 (3.54)	0.297 (3.77)	0.235 (3.89)	0.272 (3.60)	0.257 (4.84)	0.318 (4.39)	0.254 (4.03)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.38%	2.15%	1.89%	1.52%	2.00%	2.03%	2.93%	1.78%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.000 (-0.07)	0.014 (2.24)	0.022 (3.71)	0.009 (1.70)	0.000 (-0.08)	0.013 (2.40)	0.012 (1.84)	0.021 (3.47)
β_1	0.155 (1.74)	0.190 (2.09)	-0.078 (-0.68)	0.157 (1.94)	0.378 (4.64)	0.190 (2.01)	0.406 (3.79)	0.524 (5.86)
β_2	0.428 (7.97)	0.495 (6.94)	0.566 (7.59)	0.444 (5.60)	0.195 (1.55)	0.458 (6.40)	0.253 (1.96)	0.020 (0.15)
β_{US}	0.301 (2.84)	0.318 (2.97)	0.337 (3.75)	0.250 (3.71)	0.336 (3.64)	0.250 (3.72)	0.354 (3.85)	0.291 (3.81)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.24%	1.39%	1.66%	1.29%	2.11%	1.29%	2.39%	1.59%
FTSE 100								
α	-0.004 (-1.22)	0.009 (2.70)	0.015 (3.72)	-0.003 (-0.94)	-0.006 (-1.62)	0.009 (2.29)	0.007 (1.89)	0.008 (2.23)
β_1	0.257 (4.07)	0.285 (4.21)	0.378 (4.17)	0.387 (6.68)	0.380 (8.04)	0.528 (7.11)	0.510 (6.49)	0.241 (4.24)
β_2	0.407 (10.27)	0.551 (8.85)	0.279 (4.95)	0.195 (3.07)	0.262 (3.66)	0.215 (3.76)	0.275 (3.27)	0.455 (5.46)
β_{US}	0.099 (1.70)	0.094 (1.62)	0.099 (1.74)	0.113 (2.63)	0.138 (2.73)	0.106 (2.32)	0.147 (2.96)	0.119 (2.54)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.19%	0.17%	0.18%	0.31%	0.47%	0.27%	0.53%	0.31%

Table E3 continued

	LRE+MFIV	LRE+C-MFIV	LRE+GJR	MFIV+GJR	MFIV+HAR	C-MFIV+GJR	C-MFIV+HAR	GJR+HAR
HANG SENG								
α	0.010 (1.11)	0.003 (0.40)	0.017 (1.66)	0.004 (0.38)	0.003 (0.35)	-0.007 (-0.70)	-0.002 (-0.24)	-0.006 (-0.51)
β_1	0.354 (3.32)	0.305 (2.80)	0.696 (7.76)	0.466 (9.21)	0.249 (3.27)	0.921 (9.66)	0.567 (3.86)	-0.091 (-2.69)
β_2	0.244 (3.69)	0.528 (4.03)	-0.054 (-1.61)	-0.032 (-1.19)	0.368 (2.80)	-0.036 (-1.36)	0.290 (2.23)	0.893 (8.77)
β_{US}	0.153 (1.79)	0.162 (1.97)	0.274 (3.99)	0.222 (2.40)	0.170 (1.89)	0.230 (2.55)	0.177 (2.04)	0.293 (3.80)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	1.22%	1.43%	3.88%	2.35%	1.54%	2.60%	1.74%	4.51%
KOSPI								
α	0.004 (0.43)	0.008 (0.91)	0.008 (0.65)	-0.017 (-1.39)	0.000 (0.02)	-0.010 (-0.79)	0.003 (0.33)	0.002 (0.13)
β_1	0.411 (5.64)	0.400 (5.32)	0.427 (5.84)	0.346 (5.03)	0.180 (2.91)	0.532 (5.10)	0.296 (3.29)	0.213 (2.06)
β_2	0.237 (4.25)	0.375 (4.57)	0.244 (2.35)	0.298 (2.65)	0.492 (5.60)	0.287 (2.44)	0.478 (5.44)	0.492 (5.49)
β_{US}	0.172 (2.79)	0.182 (3.08)	0.169 (3.66)	0.132 (2.55)	0.197 (3.15)	0.151 (3.14)	0.201 (3.35)	0.182 (4.04)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	1.15%	1.38%	1.18%	0.59%	1.56%	0.84%	1.76%	1.43%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.016 (2.85)	0.016 (2.54)	0.024 (3.52)	0.015 (2.68)	0.011 (1.87)	0.012 (1.98)	0.010 (1.50)	0.014 (2.27)
β_1	0.481 (6.93)	0.347 (4.97)	0.402 (4.68)	0.193 (3.19)	0.053 (1.16)	0.535 (6.26)	0.337 (4.55)	0.121 (1.55)
β_2	0.172 (3.86)	0.467 (6.13)	0.183 (2.66)	0.290 (4.40)	0.655 (10.62)	0.208 (3.37)	0.475 (6.88)	0.551 (5.77)
β_{US}	0.098 (1.49)	0.096 (1.89)	0.137 (2.44)	0.095 (1.47)	0.152 (2.44)	0.076 (1.51)	0.122 (2.43)	0.147 (2.88)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	0.47%	0.61%	1.37%	0.45%	1.17%	0.36%	1.01%	1.62%
SMI								
α	0.004 (1.03)	0.013 (3.52)	0.015 (3.23)	0.002 (0.37)	0.002 (0.57)	0.010 (2.02)	0.008 (1.94)	0.007 (1.70)
β_1	0.395 (4.82)	0.429 (4.90)	0.383 (4.02)	0.320 (4.87)	0.212 (3.10)	0.393 (4.87)	0.242 (2.72)	0.238 (3.72)
β_2	0.315 (5.87)	0.383 (5.48)	0.278 (3.97)	0.288 (3.81)	0.503 (4.80)	0.309 (4.23)	0.538 (4.88)	0.495 (5.68)
β_{US}	0.096 (1.36)	0.090 (1.39)	0.130 (2.16)	0.133 (2.91)	0.140 (2.38)	0.131 (3.20)	0.140 (2.58)	0.124 (2.28)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	0.21%	0.18%	0.42%	0.48%	0.53%	0.46%	0.52%	0.43%

Table E4: **Encompassing regressions for monthly volatility forecasts: Controlling for spillovers from the US market**

This table presents results from estimating regressions of realised volatility on competing volatility forecasts for the monthly forecast horizon controlling for volatility spillover effects from the US market. Each column reports the results for a different specification. For example, the column headed "HAR+GJR" contains the results from estimating a two-variable regression of the 5-day realized volatility on the forecasts from the HAR and GJR-GARCH(1,1) models, respectively. Rows report regression coefficients and their associated t-statistics in parentheses. α is the intercept, and β_1 (β_2) is the slope coefficient of the left (right) hand-side model in a column, while β_{US} is the coefficient of the lagged realized volatility of the S&P 500. The row labeled "$\Delta \bar{R}^2$" shows the increase in the adjusted R^2 of the regression by adding lagged US volatility. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. All regressions are estimated using Newey-West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation corrected standard errors with 22 lags.

	LRE+MFIV	LRE+CMFIV	LRE+GJR	MFIV+GJR	MFIV+HAR	CMFIV+GJR	CMFIV+HAR	GJR+HAR
AEX								
α	0.020 (2.55)	0.034 (5.08)	0.033 (4.74)	0.023 (3.00)	0.018 (2.23)	0.029 (3.61)	0.033 (3.91)	0.029 (3.22)
β_1	0.016 (0.11)	-0.103 (-0.58)	0.125 (0.76)	0.223 (2.14)	0.319 (3.63)	0.543 (4.85)	0.713 (3.96)	0.262 (2.04)
β_2	0.384 (6.33)	0.746 (5.04)	0.303 (3.49)	0.210 (1.91)	0.131 (0.81)	0.134 (1.58)	-0.025 (-0.14)	0.172 (0.82)
β_{US}	0.257 (2.04)	0.166 (1.49)	0.259 (2.37)	0.232 (3.63)	0.252 (3.20)	0.113 (1.69)	0.124 (1.74)	0.292 (3.96)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	1.13%	0.45%	1.15%	1.67%	1.95%	0.32%	0.39%	2.92%
CAC 40								
α	0.009 (1.13)	0.027 (4.28)	0.030 (4.16)	0.012 (1.65)	0.008 (1.10)	0.022 (2.94)	0.027 (3.32)	0.028 (3.25)
β_1	0.091 (0.43)	0.042 (0.18)	0.161 (0.76)	0.326 (3.64)	0.459 (5.33)	0.583 (6.62)	0.751 (4.65)	0.322 (2.97)
β_2	0.503 (6.78)	0.757 (5.83)	0.347 (4.47)	0.223 (2.82)	0.128 (0.69)	0.176 (2.64)	0.034 (0.16)	0.147 (0.69)
β_{US}	0.175 (0.91)	0.081 (0.46)	0.244 (1.31)	0.214 (2.44)	0.203 (1.75)	0.098 (1.28)	0.098 (0.99)	0.302 (2.48)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	0.39%	0.07%	0.76%	1.28%	1.04%	0.21%	0.20%	2.56%
DAX								
α	0.015 (1.59)	0.035 (3.74)	0.029 (3.43)	0.018 (1.90)	0.017 (1.73)	0.025 (2.79)	0.036 (3.09)	0.029 (3.09)
β_1	-0.153 (-0.69)	-0.223 (-0.90)	-0.093 (-0.40)	0.216 (1.38)	0.506 (4.61)	0.305 (2.12)	0.629 (3.55)	0.422 (3.64)
β_2	0.558 (8.82)	0.713 (6.08)	0.430 (5.38)	0.265 (2.51)	-0.043 (-0.20)	0.246 (2.67)	-0.076 (-0.30)	-0.049 (-0.21)
β_{US}	0.363 (1.65)	0.391 (1.88)	0.491 (2.30)	0.339 (2.41)	0.302 (2.15)	0.319 (2.63)	0.306 (2.39)	0.448 (3.27)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	2.38%	2.84%	4.54%	2.87%	2.35%	2.76%	2.54%	7.13%
EURO STOXX 50								
α	0.019 (2.12)	0.034 (3.84)	0.041 (5.37)	0.026 (3.16)	0.020 (2.05)	0.028 (2.99)	0.036 (3.09)	0.047 (3.97)
β_1	-0.197 (-0.69)	-0.209 (-0.69)	-0.256 (-0.85)	0.166 (1.27)	0.575 (4.32)	0.344 (3.17)	0.798 (3.61)	0.533 (3.67)
β_2	0.554 (7.24)	0.791 (5.64)	0.484 (5.15)	0.328 (3.21)	-0.134 (-0.49)	0.290 (3.67)	-0.120 (-0.41)	-0.239 (-0.81)
β_{US}	0.397 (1.32)	0.319 (1.14)	0.540 (1.90)	0.285 (2.29)	0.283 (1.92)	0.211 (1.99)	0.198 (1.61)	0.417 (2.85)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	1.70%	1.04%	3.32%	1.76%	1.70%	0.80%	0.70%	4.76%
FTSE 100								
α	0.002 (0.32)	0.017 (3.53)	0.015 (2.23)	0.005 (0.77)	0.002 (0.38)	0.019 (2.67)	0.022 (4.05)	0.017 (2.51)
β_1	0.316 (1.88)	0.214 (1.14)	0.581 (3.50)	0.448 (4.48)	0.507 (6.69)	0.829 (6.65)	0.926 (5.06)	0.254 (2.29)
β_2	0.473 (7.55)	0.831 (5.51)	0.296 (3.42)	0.131 (1.14)	0.104 (0.91)	0.070 (0.84)	-0.040 (-0.26)	0.310 (2.00)
β_{US}	-0.069 (-0.57)	-0.128 (-1.15)	-0.084 (-0.80)	0.060 (1.07)	0.058 (0.81)	-0.038 (-0.55)	-0.021 (-0.30)	0.167 (2.46)
$\Delta \bar{R}^2$	0.05%	0.21%	0.08%	0.09%	0.07%	0.03%	0.00%	0.76%

Table E4 continued

	LRE+MFIV	LRE+CMFIV	LRE+GJR	MFIV+GJR	MFIV+HAR	CMFIV+GJR	CMFIV+HAR	GJR+HAR
HANG SENG								
α	0.023 (2.65)	0.018 (2.14)	0.020 (1.69)	0.013 (1.27)	0.021 (2.24)	0.008 (0.77)	0.018 (2.10)	0.003 (0.26)
β_1	0.160 (1.24)	0.045 (0.39)	0.522 (3.81)	0.403 (4.41)	0.212 (1.91)	0.722 (4.99)	0.530 (2.72)	-0.106 (-3.16)
β_2	0.178 (1.48)	0.480 (2.29)	-0.083 (-2.86)	-0.097 (-2.98)	0.121 (0.90)	-0.091 (-2.93)	-0.003 (-0.02)	0.666 (4.34)
β_{US}	0.364 (1.98)	0.351 (2.00)	0.477 (3.58)	0.389 (2.29)	0.358 (1.93)	0.397 (2.46)	0.350 (1.99)	0.466 (3.42)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	8.96%	9.03%	17.69%	10.07%	8.73%	10.91%	8.98%	16.66%
KOSPI								
α	0.020 (1.39)	0.029 (2.51)	0.030 (1.86)	0.014 (0.86)	0.021 (1.54)	0.024 (1.29)	0.030 (2.65)	0.027 (1.78)
β_1	-0.149 (-0.53)	-0.226 (-0.78)	0.104 (0.37)	0.336 (2.04)	0.376 (3.57)	0.541 (2.43)	0.606 (4.24)	0.181 (1.41)
β_2	0.455 (4.17)	0.748 (4.43)	0.214 (1.98)	0.099 (0.83)	0.000 (0.00)	0.057 (0.43)	-0.046 (-0.22)	0.158 (0.61)
β_{US}	0.294 (1.12)	0.308 (1.23)	0.395 (1.49)	0.237 (1.20)	0.259 (1.11)	0.239 (1.32)	0.260 (1.17)	0.397 (2.08)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	2.12%	2.61%	4.19%	1.43%	1.73%	1.69%	1.96%	5.61%
NIKKEI 225								
α	0.039 (3.62)	0.037 (3.45)	0.038 (3.01)	0.035 (2.98)	0.030 (2.27)	0.031 (2.44)	0.040 (3.65)	0.031 (2.35)
β_1	0.234 (1.83)	-0.146 (-0.85)	0.188 (1.09)	0.133 (0.93)	0.117 (1.36)	0.586 (4.80)	0.755 (5.91)	0.183 (1.65)
β_2	0.191 (2.95)	0.804 (5.95)	0.219 (2.61)	0.225 (2.26)	0.367 (3.25)	0.086 (1.10)	-0.099 (-0.61)	0.263 (1.25)
β_{US}	0.160 (0.93)	0.116 (1.06)	0.205 (1.60)	0.176 (1.08)	0.204 (1.23)	0.090 (0.93)	0.105 (1.06)	0.224 (2.07)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	1.01%	0.79%	2.77%	1.23%	1.61%	0.46%	0.65%	3.78%
SMI								
α	0.009 (1.27)	0.021 (3.38)	0.019 (3.04)	0.008 (0.99)	0.005 (0.73)	0.020 (2.43)	0.017 (2.71)	0.013 (2.15)
β_1	0.240 (1.62)	0.191 (1.07)	0.430 (2.82)	0.471 (3.77)	0.431 (3.94)	0.816 (4.79)	0.789 (3.74)	0.185 (1.97)
β_2	0.505 (5.67)	0.838 (4.40)	0.283 (3.82)	0.161 (1.68)	0.315 (2.08)	0.111 (1.48)	0.221 (1.21)	0.488 (2.89)
β_{US}	-0.038 (-0.30)	-0.142 (-1.38)	0.052 (0.47)	0.043 (0.55)	0.009 (0.11)	-0.074 (-0.92)	-0.104 (-1.30)	0.138 (1.68)
$\Delta\bar{R}^2$	0.01%	0.34%	0.04%	0.03%	-0.01%	0.12%	0.22%	0.59%

Appendix F. Different sample length for the volatility risk premium adjustment

Table F1: **Forecasting performance: 1-day forecast horizon (MFIV adjusted using the volatility risk premium averaged over 18 months)**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. A sample length of approximately 18 months (378 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.301^{**}	0.648^{**}	0.333^{**}	0.492^{**}	**0.275**	-3.762^{**}	-3.667^{**}	-3.757^{**}	-3.734^{**}	**-3.771**
CAC 40	0.355^{**}	0.552^{**}	0.375^{**}	0.465^{**}	**0.322**	-3.675^{**}	-3.614^{**}	-3.675^{**}	-3.655^{**}	**-3.685**
DAX	0.375^{**}	0.560^{**}	0.417^{**}	0.423^{**}	**0.345**	-3.608^{**}	-3.557^{**}	-3.607^{**}	-3.600^{**}	**-3.618**
DJIA	0.431^{**}	0.475^{**}	0.435^{**}	0.386	**0.375**	-3.787^{**}	-3.766^{**}	-3.798^{**}	-3.805^{**}	**-3.808**
EURO STOXX 50	0.451^{**}	0.616^{**}	0.466^{**}	0.472^{**}	**0.407**	-3.594^{**}	-3.541^{**}	-3.592^{**}	-3.590^{**}	**-3.605**
FTSE 100	0.273^{**}	0.583^{**}	0.275	0.462^{**}	**0.248**	-3.960^{**}	-3.849^{**}	-3.962	-3.917^{**}	**-3.968**
HANG SENG	0.356^{**}	0.779^{**}	0.322	1.212^{**}	**0.306**	-3.825^{**}	-3.704^{**}	-3.835	-3.723^{**}	**-3.840**
KOSPI	0.348^{**}	0.738^{**}	0.388^{**}	0.586^{**}	**0.323**	-3.700^{**}	-3.590^{**}	-3.695^{**}	-3.638^{**}	**-3.707**
NIKKEI 225	0.311^{**}	0.838^{**}	0.320^{**}	0.709^{**}	**0.282**	-3.775^{**}	-3.629^{**}	-3.773^{**}	-3.685^{**}	**-3.784**
NASDAQ	0.319^{**}	0.685^{**}	0.340^{**}	0.555^{**}	**0.288**	-3.808^{**}	-3.685^{**}	-3.806^{**}	-3.743^{**}	**-3.818**
RUSSELL 2000	0.479^{**}	0.901^{**}	0.495^{**}	0.796^{**}	**0.428**	-3.504^{**}	-3.387^{**}	-3.500^{**}	-3.446^{**}	**-3.517**
SMI	0.262^{**}	0.500^{**}	0.276^{*}	0.382^{**}	**0.240**	-3.898^{**}	-3.823^{**}	-3.899	-3.870^{**}	**-3.904**
S&P 500	0.408^{**}	0.533^{**}	0.424^{**}	0.416^{**}	**0.360**	-3.780^{**}	-3.733^{**}	-3.786^{**}	-3.787^{**}	**-3.798**

Table F2: **Forecasting performance: 5-day forecast horizon (MFIV adjusted using the volatility risk premium averaged over 18 months)**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. A sample length of approximately 18 months (378 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.590	1.369**	0.584	1.046**	**0.565**	-2.935**	-2.848**	-2.935	-2.906**	**-2.937**
CAC 40	0.687	1.118**	0.657	0.972**	**0.652**	-2.848**	-2.793**	**-2.851**	-2.826**	-2.850
DAX	0.792**	1.125**	0.742	0.858**	**0.732**	-2.777**	-2.735**	**-2.782**	-2.769**	-2.781
DJIA	0.762	0.883	0.747	**0.708**	0.733	-2.961**	-2.935**	**-2.966**	-2.964**	-2.964
EURO STOXX 50	0.877	1.218**	**0.820**	0.937	0.821	-2.759**	-2.716**	**-2.765**	-2.754**	-2.763
FTSE 100	0.530**	1.225**	**0.471**	0.979**	0.505**	-3.130**	-3.030**	**-3.137**	-3.088**	-3.132**
HANG SENG	0.627	1.647**	**0.548**	2.727**	0.605*	-3.004**	-2.885**	**-3.008**	-2.892**	-3.007
KOSPI	0.766	1.559**	**0.717**	1.225**	0.732	-2.863**	-2.770**	-2.868	-2.809**	**-2.868**
NIKKEI 225	0.651*	1.801**	**0.575**	1.524**	0.608	-2.943**	-2.810**	-2.946	-2.858**	**-2.946**
NASDAQ	0.624*	1.448**	0.599	1.158**	**0.594**	-2.980**	-2.867**	-2.982	-2.919**	**-2.983**
RUSSELL 2000	0.918**	1.872**	0.864	1.678**	**0.854**	-2.664**	-2.562**	-2.668	-2.611**	**-2.670**
SMI	0.537*	1.042**	**0.502**	0.818**	0.505	-3.075**	-3.007**	**-3.077**	-3.045**	-3.076
S&P 500	0.745**	1.043**	0.746	0.799	**0.713**	-2.953**	-2.906**	-2.955	-2.950**	**-2.957**

Table F3: **Forecasting performance: 22-day forecast horizon (MFIV adjusted using the volatility risk premium averaged over 18 months)**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. For the C-MFIV a sample length of approximately 18 months (378 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	1.612**	3.042**	**1.384**	2.411**	1.492*	-2.152**	-2.084**	**-2.159**	-2.127**	-2.155
CAC 40	1.735**	2.495**	**1.494**	2.283**	1.632**	-2.068**	-2.028**	**-2.077**	-2.049**	-2.071**
DAX	1.913**	2.518**	**1.639**	2.076**	1.810*	-1.996**	-1.969**	**-2.007**	-1.991**	-2.000*
DJIA	1.862	2.018**	**1.647**	1.727	1.860	-2.172**	-2.161**	-2.183	-2.179	-2.176**
EURO STOXX 50	2.117**	2.698**	**1.771**	2.251**	1.963	-1.974**	-1.948**	**-1.986**	-1.974*	-1.979*
FTSE 100	1.283**	2.663**	**1.105**	2.165**	1.255**	-2.354**	-2.268**	**-2.362**	-2.311**	-2.352**
HANG SENG	1.527*	3.558**	**1.275**	6.058	1.447*	-2.236	-2.127**	**-2.239**	-2.107**	-2.235
KOSPI	2.016	3.368**	**1.586**	2.547**	1.889	-2.076**	-2.002**	**-2.086**	-2.033**	-2.080
NIKKEI 225	1.612*	3.878**	**1.343**	3.187**	1.501	-2.158*	-2.048**	**-2.169**	-2.083**	-2.166
NASDAQ	1.577**	3.151**	**1.350**	2.490**	1.480**	-2.196**	-2.103**	**-2.205**	-2.147**	-2.202
RUSSELL 2000	2.149**	4.052**	**1.912**	3.582**	2.031	-1.862**	-1.784**	**-1.873**	-1.820**	-1.869
SMI	1.385**	2.317**	**1.191**	1.928**	1.272	-2.298**	-2.245**	**-2.307**	-2.269**	-2.300**
S&P 500	1.875*	2.369**	**1.671**	1.889	1.810	-2.163**	-2.134**	**-2.173**	-2.166	-2.167

Table F4: **Forecasting performance: 1-day horizon (MFIV adjusted using the volatility risk premium averaged over 24 months)**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 1 trading day. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. A sample length of approximately 24 months (504 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

	Panel A: RMSE					Panel B: QLIKE				
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.301^{**}	0.648^{**}	0.335^{**}	0.492^{**}	**0.275**	-3.762^{**}	-3.667	-3.757^{**}	-3.734^{**}	**-3.771**
CAC 40	0.355^{**}	0.552^{**}	0.380^{**}	0.465^{**}	**0.322**	-3.675^{**}	-3.614	-3.674^{**}	-3.655^{**}	**-3.685**
DAX	0.375^{**}	0.560^{**}	0.420^{**}	0.423^{**}	**0.345**	-3.608^{**}	-3.557	-3.608^{**}	-3.600^{**}	**-3.618**
DJIA	0.431^{**}	0.475^{**}	0.439^{**}	0.386	**0.375**	-3.787^{**}	-3.766	-3.798^{**}	-3.805^{**}	**-3.808**
EURO STOXX 50	0.451^{**}	0.616^{**}	0.469^{**}	0.472^{**}	**0.407**	-3.594^{**}	-3.541	-3.592^{**}	-3.590^{**}	**-3.605**
FTSE 100	0.273^{**}	0.583^{**}	0.279	0.462^{**}	**0.248**	-3.960^{**}	-3.849	-3.961	-3.917^{**}	**-3.968**
HANG SENG	0.356^{**}	0.779^{**}	0.321	1.212^{**}	**0.306**	-3.825^{**}	-3.704	-3.835	-3.723^{**}	**-3.840**
KOSPI	0.348^{**}	0.738^{**}	0.389^{**}	0.586^{**}	**0.323**	-3.700^{**}	-3.590	-3.695^{**}	-3.638^{**}	**-3.707**
NIKKEI 225	0.311^{**}	0.838^{**}	0.317^{*}	0.709^{**}	**0.282**	-3.775^{**}	-3.629	-3.774^{**}	-3.685^{**}	**-3.784**
NASDAQ	0.319^{**}	0.685^{**}	0.344^{**}	0.555^{**}	**0.288**	-3.808^{**}	-3.685	-3.805^{**}	-3.743^{**}	**-3.818**
RUSSELL 2000	0.479^{**}	0.901^{**}	0.500^{**}	0.796^{**}	**0.428**	-3.504^{**}	-3.387	-3.501^{**}	-3.446^{**}	**-3.517**
SMI	0.262^{**}	0.500^{**}	0.277^{*}	0.382^{**}	**0.240**	-3.898^{**}	-3.823	-3.899	-3.870^{**}	**-3.904**
S&P 500	0.408^{**}	0.533^{**}	0.427^{**}	0.416^{**}	**0.360**	-3.780^{**}	-3.733	-3.786^{**}	-3.787^{**}	**-3.798**

Table F5: **Forecasting performance: 5-day forecast horizon (MFIV adjusted using the volatility risk premium averaged over 24 months)**

This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 5 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. A sample length of approximately 24 months (504 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	0.590	1.369**	0.586	1.046**	**0.565**	-2.935*	-2.848**	-2.935	-2.906**	**-2.937**
CAC 40	0.687	1.118**	0.669	0.972**	**0.652**	-2.848*	-2.793**	**-2.851**	-2.826**	-2.850
DAX	0.792**	1.125**	0.750	0.858**	**0.732**	-2.777**	-2.735**	**-2.782**	-2.769**	-2.781
DJIA	0.762	0.883**	0.755	**0.708**	0.733	-2.961	-2.935**	**-2.966**	-2.964	-2.964
EURO STOXX 50	0.877	1.218**	0.824	0.937	**0.821**	-2.759**	-2.716**	**-2.765**	-2.754**	-2.763
FTSE 100	0.530**	1.225**	**0.480**	0.979**	0.505*	-3.130**	-3.030**	**-3.136**	-3.088**	-3.132**
HANG SENG	0.627	1.647**	**0.544**	2.727**	0.605	-3.004	-2.885**	**-3.007**	-2.892**	-3.007
KOSPI	0.766	1.559**	**0.725**	1.225**	0.732	-2.863**	-2.770**	-2.868	-2.809**	**-2.868**
NIKKEI 225	0.651*	1.801**	**0.570**	1.524**	0.608	-2.943**	-2.810**	-2.946	-2.858**	**-2.946**
NASDAQ	0.624	1.448**	0.608	1.158**	**0.594**	-2.980**	-2.867**	-2.982	-2.919**	**-2.983**
RUSSELL 2000	0.918**	1.872**	0.874	1.678**	**0.854**	-2.664**	-2.562**	-2.668	-2.611**	**-2.670**
SMI	0.537	1.042**	**0.502**	0.818**	0.505	-3.075**	-3.007**	**-3.077**	-3.045**	-3.076
S&P 500	0.745**	1.043**	0.752	0.799	**0.713**	-2.953**	-2.906**	-2.955	-2.950**	**-2.957**

Table F6: **Forecasting performance: 22-day forecast horizon (MFIV adjusted using average volatility risk premium over 24 months)**
This table presents out-of-sample forecasting errors for the indices under consideration. Columns correspond to forecasting models. We report the results for the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the quasi-likelihood (QLIKE). The forecast horizon is 22 trading days. Out-of-sample forecasts for GJR and HAR are obtained using a rolling window of 800 observations. In order to facilitate the presentation of our results, we multiply RMSE by 100. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level. Forecasting is based on: the lagged realized volatility from 5-minute returns (LRE), the model-free implied volatility (MFIV), the MFIV adjusted for the volatility risk premium (C-MFIV), the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model (GJR), and, the Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) model. A sample length of approximately 24 months (504 trading days) is employed for the estimation of the volatility risk premium. The model with the lowest forecast errors is highlighted in bold. One (two) asterisk shows that the indicated model is inferior to the best model (i.e. has significantly higher forecasts errors) using a Diebold-Mariano test at the 10% (5%) significance level.

| | Panel A: RMSE | | | | | Panel B: QLIKE | | | | |
	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR	LRE	MFIV	C-MFIV	GJR	HAR
AEX	1.612^{**}	3.042^{**}	**1.381**	2.411^{**}	1.492^{*}	-2.152^{**}	-2.084^{**}	**-2.159**	-2.127^{**}	-2.155
CAC 40	1.735^{**}	2.495^{**}	**1.511**	2.283^{**}	1.632^{**}	-2.068^{**}	-2.028^{**}	**-2.076**	-2.049^{**}	-2.071^{**}
DAX	1.913^{**}	2.518^{**}	**1.649**	2.076^{**}	1.810^{*}	-1.996^{**}	-1.969^{**}	**-2.007**	-1.991^{**}	-2.000
DJIA	1.862	2.018^{*}	**1.663**	1.727	1.860	-2.172^{**}	-2.161^{**}	**-2.183**	-2.179	-2.176^{**}
EURO STOXX 50	2.117^{**}	2.698	**1.770**	2.251^{**}	1.963	-1.974^{**}	-1.948^{**}	**-1.986**	-1.974^{**}	-1.979
FTSE 100	1.283^{**}	2.663	**1.113**	2.165^{**}	1.255^{**}	-2.354^{**}	-2.268^{**}	**-2.361**	-2.311^{**}	-2.352^{**}
HANG SENG	1.527	3.558	**1.261**	6.058^{**}	1.447	-2.236	-2.127^{**}	**-2.239**	-2.107^{**}	-2.235
KOSPI	2.016	3.368	**1.605**	2.547^{**}	1.889	-2.076^{**}	-2.002^{**}	**-2.086**	-2.033^{**}	-2.080
NIKKEI 225	1.612^{*}	3.878	**1.339**	3.187^{**}	1.501	-2.158^{*}	-2.048^{**}	**-2.169**	-2.083^{**}	-2.166
NASDAQ	1.577^{**}	3.151	**1.369**	2.490^{**}	1.480^{*}	-2.196^{**}	-2.103^{**}	**-2.204**	-2.147^{**}	-2.202
RUSSELL 2000	2.149^{**}	4.052	**1.929**	3.582^{**}	2.031	-1.862^{**}	-1.784^{**}	**-1.872**	-1.820^{**}	-1.869
SMI	1.385^{**}	2.317	**1.187**	1.928^{**}	1.272^{**}	-2.298^{**}	-2.245^{**}	**-2.307**	-2.269^{**}	-2.300^{**}
S&P 500	1.875	2.369	**1.684**	1.889	1.810	-2.163^{**}	-2.134^{**}	**-2.173**	-2.166	-2.167