Towards Decoding of Depersonalisation Disorder Using EEG: A Time Series Analysis Using CDTW

Abstract—Depersonalisation/derealisation refers to a transient psychological condition characterised by losing the sense of body ownership and feeling detached from the outside world. It is often accompanied by a lack of emotional responsiveness and sometimes memory fragmentation. Studies have shown the temporary occurrence of this condition among 34-70% of the general population during their life span. However, if the symptoms become consistent, they can be intolerable and can profoundly affect the quality of life in such an extent that it would be considered as one type of the dissociative disorders, depersonalisation disorder (DPD). Currently, there is no laboratory method to diagnose DPD, and studies have expressed a period of seven to 12 years for the correct diagnosis of DPD. We recently aimed to investigate DPD and its symptoms based on inexpensive and convenient electroencephalogram (EEG) neuroimaging technique, using calculation of event-related-potentials (ERPs) over the somatosensory cortex. We showed that DPD symptoms could be as a result of impairment in early (implicit) stages of information processing in the brain. We also introduced P45 as a potential electrophysiological biomarker to study DPD. In this paper, we first replicated our results and then used P45 as a feature to discriminate between individuals with high and low tendency to DPD symptoms. We used Continuous Dynamic Time Warping (CDTW) to address the possible time shift and distortion in the ERP signals and to reach better classification performance. We reached 85% accuracy (Kappa 0.7) using leave-one-subject-out cross-validation, which confirms the feasibility for discrimination between DPD patients and a control group using EEG signals.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Depersonalisation/derealisation is a psychological condition in which a person feels disengaged from the self as well as the surrounding [1]. The condition often happens in response to acute anxiety or traumatic situations as a defensive mechanism of the brain to protect the body organism [2-5]. Although the contact with reality stays intact [6, 7], the person's perception becomes unreal as if they are living in a dream [7]. The transient form of depersonalisation/derealisation has been observed in more than 50% of college students [8] and 34-70% of the non-clinical [9, 10]. However, in cases the symptoms are chronic, it is considered as a type of dissociative disorders (Depersonalisation disorder (DPD); Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) [11]).

Dissociative disorders are a group of mental disorders that are characterised by a lack of continuity between memory, thoughts, identity, actions, and the outside world. DPD can profoundly affect the quality of life for the patients and intervene in their daily social life. Patients complain about their life being "colourless", and they often have a lack of emotional responsiveness [7]. The symptoms of DPD can be divided into five main categories as in TABLE I.

Many attempts have been made in the literature to discover the underlying neurological basis of DPD symptoms (for review see [7, 12, 13]). Functional neuroimaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomographic (PET) have shown abnormal activities of the insula and amygdala as sensory information processing units in DPD patients [14-16]. The insular cortex is a region located deep in the cerebral cortex [17], which is believed to be responsible for the integration and the processing of visceral signals [18] as well as the signals from our environment [19, 20]. For instance, one dominant theory to explain emotional numbing in DPD defines a threshold for the level of anxiety (or any unpleasant salient stimuli) in which the emotional processing units, including anterior insula and amygdala, stop translating emotions into perceived feelings, and DPD is associated with abnormalities in triggering that threshold [3, 21]. Nevertheless, there is no laboratory method to diagnose dissociative disorders at the moment, and due to the considerable circulation of DPD (1-2% [12, 22, 23]), it is believed to be one of the most prevalent yet under-diagnosed psychological disorders [10, 24]. Since there are also overlaps between DPD and other psychological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disembodiment feelings (desomatization)</td>
<td>Lack of body ownership or loss of agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional numbing (de-affectualisation)</td>
<td>Attenuation in emotional responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous subjective recall (de-ideation)</td>
<td>Disassociation between an incident and personal feeling in memory retrieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alienation from surroundings (derealisation)</td>
<td>Detachment of the self from its surroundings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other symptoms and processing differences</td>
<td>Impaired attentional functioning and processing speed or perceptual organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. FIVE MAJOR SYMPTOMS OF DPD (ADAPTED FROM [6,26] AND OTHER ASSOCIATED PROCESSING DIFFERENCES)

978-1-7281-2547-3/20/$31.00 ©2020 IEEE
disorders such as depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [25], it currently takes seven to 12 years for DPD to be correctly diagnosed [10].

From several functional neuroimaging techniques, electroencephalogram (EEG) is of great importance due to its inexpensive and convenient nature. EEG represents electrical activities of a population of neurons in the human brain, which can be measured by electrodes situated on the surface of the scalp (Fig. 3 (B)). As well as its potential to classify several mental tasks [26-28], EEG has also shown promising capability in helping with the diagnosis of several mental disorders and psychological conditions [29]. Adler et al. [30] recently aimed to investigate DPD using EEG signals and tried to find potential electrophysiological biomarkers associated with DPD symptoms. In this paper, we first will replicate our previous results and will introduce the potential neurophysiological biomarker, which can help us to gain a better insight into the neural mechanism of DPD. Then we will use that biomarker as a potential feature to perform a classification task, which can help with the diagnosis of the disorder.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section II, we will introduce the participants and the experimental paradigm, and then we will explain our EEG signal preprocessing stages. In this section, we will also illustrate the replication of our previous findings correspond to the neural patterns of DPD, and we will show the use of our findings to perform a classification task between DPD patients and control subjects. The results will be presented in section III, and the future potentialities of our approach will be discussed in section IV.

II. EXPERIMENT AND METHODS

A. Participants

The dataset used in this study was collected by [30]. The dataset includes 14 subjects with DPD and 15 sex and age-matched control individuals. The evaluation of DPD symptoms was conducted based on the well-known Cambridge Depersonalisation Scale (CDS) [31], which is the most common and primary tool to quantify depersonalisation. CDS is a questionnaire that consists of 29 items such as "Parts of my body feel as if they didn't belong to me" or "My surroundings feel detached or unreal, as if there were a veil between me and the outside world". Participants are asked to rate each item in a 5-point scale based on the frequency of the symptoms over the last six months. The severity of DPD is then evaluated based on the overall score. Since this is a preliminary study in the evaluation of EEG signals as a diagnostic tool for DPD symptoms, in this paper, we will replicate our previous results and will introduce the potential neurophysiological biomarker, which can help us to gain a better insight into the neural mechanism of DPD. Then we will use that biomarker as a potential feature to perform a classification task, which can help with the diagnosis of the disorder.

Fig. 1 shows the animated schematics of the two distinct categories of trials. Each trial started with 700ms pre-stimuli in which subjects saw a picture of their own faces and a pencil. Then for 200ms, they received a tactile stimulation while they saw a picture of themselves being touched or not being touched (half of the trials for each condition) by the pencil. In no-touch trials, the pencil was next to the cheek, so the perceived distance that the pencil travelled would be the same across trials. Each trial ended with 800ms post-stimuli in which subjects again saw their own faces and the pencil. The tactile stimulation was delivered to either left or right cheek (half of the trials for each) to cancel the possible effects of anatomical congruency between the viewed and felt touch. The EEG signals were recorded using 64-channels cap at 500Hz sampling frequency.

C. EEG preprocessing stages

The continuous multi-channel EEG signals were filtered using a high-pass filter with 0.1Hz cut-off frequency. The reason was to remove the DC offset and any low-frequency artifacts. EEG artifacts refer to any signals recorded during EEG acquisition with sources besides the brain, such as signals generated by blink, heart functioning, or temporary electrode displacement. Then we applied Cleanline method [32] to remove the 50Hz line noise and its harmonics followed by a 40Hz low-pass filter. In the frequency domain, the Cleanline method applies a regression model of a sinusoid signal with the frequency of the line noise on a time window of the original signal in the same frequency. Then by keeping the procedure over a sliding window, the method reconstructs the temporal representation of the line noise signal and subtracts it from the original signal. After that, bad EEG channels were identified manually by observing their temporal and frequency pattern. Electrodes containing excessive noise, constant pattern, or poor scalp-surface contact are considered as bad channels. They were removed and then interpolated using other existing channels. The whole multi-channel EEG was then re-referenced to the average of all electrodes to calculate the absolute voltage in each channel. This is because the voltages recorded by electrodes are differential voltages based on a reference (except ground). Finally, epochs containing blink or drift (linear trends) were visually detected and excluded from the dataset, and 100ms pre-stimulus baseline was subtracted from the signal in each epoch.

D. DPD brain responses and feature extraction

Event-related potential (ERP) is a neural pattern generated by the brain in response to a specific event or stimulus [33]. In cases that the stimulus is tactile, it is referred to as somatosensory evoked potential (SEP). Each ERP signal contains several components that correspond to several brain mechanisms. Temporal average over several trials can be used to extract and visualise the components of ERP. The idea is based on the assumption that each ERP signal contains an additive white Gaussian noise (AGWN). So averaging over many trials can reject noise from the signal (because AGWN has zero mean) and therefore increase the signal to noise ratio.
P45 is an early component of SEP, which appears as a positive peak around 45ms after the stimulus onset and represents the autonomic (implicit) information processing [34]. Adler et al. [30] showed that there is a difference in the activation of P45 component in DPD patients in comparison with the control group. In this paper, we replicated the result of [30] in Fig. 4, which shows the average SEPs over touch (thick line) and no-touch trials (thin line) for DPD patients and the control group. Since the sources of P45 are located in centro-parietal regions of the brain (somatosensory cortex), electrodes placed on those areas were used to calculate the average SEPs (depicted in grey in Fig. 3 (B)). Due to the lateralization of brain functions, we expected to observe SEP components in response to left and right side tactile stimulation in the opposite hemispheres. So for stimulation to the left cheek (right cheek), we calculated SEP on the average of selected electrodes in the right (left) hemisphere. Then we obtained the average SEP response over both hemispheres. As can be seen in Fig. 4, there is a difference in the activation of P45 components in DPD patients compared with the control group.

The lack of P45 activation during touch trials represents impairment in early information processing in DPD patients [35, 36]. Since there is a link between P45 and the sense of body ownership [30, 37, 38], the observed lack of P45 can be associated with the feeling of disembodiment in the DPD group [30].

E. Extracted Features and classification method

We used the difference found in the activation of P45 component of SEPs to perform classification task between DPD patients and the control group. We observed a difference between the average SEPs over touch and no-touch trials in the control group and not DPD patients. So we calculated the difference signal between touch and no-touch trials for the two groups in which it is a positive signal at around 45ms post-stimulus for the control group, while a fluctuated signal around zero for the DPD group.

In order to evaluate the potential of our founded electrophysiological biomarker to perform the classification task, we used leave-one-subject-out cross-validation. In each iteration, one subject was left for testing and the others for training. We calculated the average difference SRPs (touch vs no-touch) for all the DPD patients and all the control subjects in the training sets separately, and also for the test subject. So in each iteration of the leave-one-subject-out cross-validation, we ended up with 3 different time series for DPD group, control group and the test subject. Because the exact timing of the P45 component is not often clearly apparent, we considered a time window between 20 and 50ms after the stimulus to analyse P45 component. To further address the possible distortion and time shift in the ERP components including P45, we then used continuous dynamic time warping (CDTW) [39, 40]. DTW is used for finding the
similarity between to time series with possible delay and different speeds with respect to each other [41].

Consider two different multi-dimensional time series $A(t), t = 1, ..., T_1$ and $B(t), t = 1, ..., T_2$. The general idea is to find a warping map $\psi = [\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t)]^T$ between the two time series which minimizes the following similarity measure:

$$D(A, B) = \sum_{t=2}^{T} d((A(\psi_1(t-1),B(\psi_2(t-1))),(A(\psi_1(t)),B(\psi_2(t))))$$

$$= \sum_{t=2}^{T} ||A(\psi_1(t))B(\psi_2(t)) - A(\psi_1(t-1))B(\psi_2(t-1))||^2$$

where $\|\|\|$ is the Frobenius norm. In the warping or matching map $\psi$, a point $A(\psi_1(t))$ corresponds to a point $B(\psi_2(t))$ for $t = 1, ..., T$. Minimization of the similarity measure $D$ can be done using dynamic programming in the so-called a multi-stage decision process [42] based on the following recursive formula:

$$D(t) = \min_{i,j} \{ D(t-1) + d((A(\psi_1(t-1),B(\psi_2(t-1))),(A(\psi_1(t)),B(\psi_2(t)))) ||(A(\psi_1(t-1),B(\psi_2(t-1))))\}$$

Note that in general $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ can be samples of two continues time series, however, in case that the two time series are discrete, $\psi_1(t)$ and $\psi_2(t)$ can only take values on $\{1, ..., T_1\}$ and $\{1, ..., T_2\}$ respectively. Therefore, the warping map can be derived from the distance matrix calculated as follows:

$$D(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^{i,j} \sum_{l=1}^{T_k} ||A(\psi_1(k))B(\psi_2(l)) - A(\psi_1(k-1))B(\psi_2(l-1))||^2$$

Then a warping path (alignment path) is found, starting from the top right corner of the distance matrix to the bottom left corner, by selecting the cells with the minimum value in each step from the adjacent cells and keeping the slope of the path always non-negative. The similarity measure between the two time series is then the sum of distances on the warping path. An example of the warping plane and the warping path is illustrated in Fig. 5. In this example, the aligned signals after DTW are as follows:

$$A' = (A_1^1, A_1^2, A_1^3, A_1^4, A_1^5, A_2^1, A_2^2, A_2^3, A_2^4, A_2^5, A_7^1, A_7^2, A_9^1)$$

$$B' = (B_1^3, B_2^3, B_3^3, B_4^3, B_5^3, B_6^3, B_7^3, B_7^4, B_9^3, B_9^4, B_9^5)$$

Fig. 6 shows an example of the extracted signal for control subjects, DPD patients, and the test subject. It also shows the aligned signals after applying CDTW. For this example, the similarity measure between the signal of the test subject and the control group and DPD group is 12.4742 and 18.9643, respectively.

As mentioned earlier, $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ can be a subsample of two continues time series. CDTW is a continuous version of DTW in which each point of one time series can be matched with any value between two samples of the other time series (more details can be found in [39]).

Fig. 6 shows an example of the extracted signal for control subjects, DPD patients, and the test subject. It also shows the aligned signals after applying CDTW. For this example, the similarity measure between the signal of the test subject and the control group and DPD group is 12.4742 and 18.9643.
III. RESULTS

TABLE shows the classification results using leave-one-subject-out cross-validation. TABLE also contains the similarity measures between the signals for each subject and the two classes. We were able to reach 85% classification accuracy for our dataset. We claimed that there is a distortion and time shift in the signals. So in order to show the effectiveness of using CDTW in our scenario, we also excluded CDTW and calculated the number of correctly classified subjects based on the Euclidean distances (Euclidean distances can be calculated since the time series have same number of samples) as a similarity measure on original SEP signals without alignment. In addition, one other possible approach would be to consider the peak value in the chosen time window as the P45 component. If the value for the signal peak in the time window was closer to the signal peak of the control group, it would be assigned to the control group, otherwise the DPD group. The comparison of our proposed classification procedure with the above mentioned approaches is presented in TABLE.

VI. Conclusions

In this paper, we aimed to investigate the neurological correlates of DPD based on EEG and find an electrophysiological biomarker to serve as a potential feature to discriminate between individuals with high and low level of depersonalisation symptoms. This task is of great importance since DPD has a prevalence of around 1-2% in the general population, which is comparable to that of schizophrenia and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). We demonstrated the lack of P45 activation as one of the components of SEP in DPD patients. P45 has shown to be responsible for implicit sensory information processing. Besides, the role of this early component in the sense of body ownership has been confirmed. In this regard, we recently argued that the feeling of disembodiment or loss of sense of bodily self in DPD patients could be as a result of impairment in early implicit stages of information processing. In this paper, we replicated our previous results and used P45 component of SEP as a feature to perform the classification task between DPD patients and a control group. We used CDTW to tackle the problem of possible time shift and distortion in signals around P45. We were able to reach 85% accuracy (Kappa value of 0.7), which shows the effectiveness of our findings regarding P45 to be a valuable electrophysiological biomarker to help with the diagnosis of DPD.

In this study, we used ERP and its components which are derived from temporal analysis of EEG signals. This is while some studies have confirmed abnormal activities in the theta band (4-8Hz) of the EEG power spectrum in DPD patients [6, 43]. Although their results might be related to Adler et al. findings since the low frequencies of the EEG power spectrum are believed to play the central role in the formation of early ERP components [44], but further studies on EEG power spectrum in DPD patients is needed. Besides, since transient depersonalisation is a common phenomenon during the life span, developing a system to track depersonalisation state and its severity could be of great importance to help with the prevention of the chronic type. Analysis of the EEG signals of the DPD patients with more sophisticated signal processing and machine learning algorithms as well as addressing a solution for tracking depersonalisation state are our future directions for investigation around this psychological disorder.
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