Non-local acute stretching effects on strength performance in healthy young adults: A scoping review
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Abstract

Background: Unilateral fatigue and static stretching (SS) can impair performance and increase range of motion of a non-exercised or non-stretched muscle respectively. An underdeveloped research area is the effect of unilateral stretching on non-local force output.

Objective: The objective of this review was to describe the effects of unilateral SS on contralateral, non-stretched, muscle force and identify gaps in the literature for future research.

Methods: A systematic literature search following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses Protocols guidelines was performed according to prescribed inclusion and exclusion criteria. Weighted means and ranges highlighted the non-local force output response to unilateral stretching. The Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale was used to assess study risk of bias and methodological quality.

Results: Unilateral stretching protocols, from six studies, involved 6.3±2 repetitions of 36.3±7.4 seconds with 19.3±5.7 seconds recovery between stretches. The mean stretch-induced force deficits exhibited small magnitude effect sizes for both the stretched (-0.35: 0.01 to -1.8) and contralateral, non-stretched, muscles (-0.22: 0.08 to -1.1). Control measures exhibited trivial deficits. Further research should investigate effects of lower intensity stretching, upper versus lower body stretching, different age groups, incorporate full warm-ups, and identify predominant mechanisms among others.

Conclusion: The limited literature examining non-local effects of prolonged SS revealed that both the stretched and contralateral, non-stretched, limbs of young adults demonstrate small magnitude decrements in force output. However, the frequency of these effects were similar with three measures demonstrating deficits, and four measures showing trivial changes. These results highlight the possible global effects of prolonged SS.
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Abbreviations

EMG: electromyography
MVC: maximal voluntary contraction
PEDro: Physiotherapy Evidence Database
PIC: persistent inward currents
PICOS: Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcomes
PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols
ROM: range of motion
SS: static stretching
Introduction

A preponderance of published literature has reported that prolonged static stretching (SS: >60-s per muscle group) in isolation (without a full warm-up including prior and subsequent dynamic activities) can increase joint range of motion (ROM) and induce subsequent muscle strength (force), power, endurance, and sprint performance impairments in the stretched muscle or muscle groups (Behm and Chaouachi 2011; Behm et al. 2016a; Behm 2020; Kay and Blazevich 2012). The mechanisms underlying SS-induced performance deficits have been attributed to both morphological and neural influences (Behm 2020). Morphological mechanisms may include alterations in passive and active muscle force possibly indicating a reduction in the stiffness of the muscle’s parallel elastic components (Kay and Blazevich 2009; Kay et al. 2015; Konrad et al. 2017a; Konrad et al. 2017b), reduced Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity (i.e. due to metabolite accumulation) (Stephenson and Williams 1985; Sugi et al. 2013), and possibly changes in titin properties (Herzog 2014; Lee et al. 2007; Leonard and Herzog 2010). Neural mechanisms have been attributed to the exteroceptive reflex stimulation reducing excitatory sympathetic nervous activity (Guissard et al. 2001; Wu et al. 1999) and reduced strength of motoneurone dendrites persistent inward currents (PIC), decreasing the amplification of central neural drive (Trajano et al. 2017). Although stretch-induced neural inhibition is often hypothesized, a recent review by Behm and colleagues (Behm 2020) found that SS effects on electromyographic (EMG) activity are conflicting, with both decrements and no changes reported, although when the EMG signal is normalized to the compound muscle action potential (M-wave) there are more consistent impairments. In addition, corticospinal excitability (Pulverenti et al. 2019) and cortical silent period (Pulverenti et al. 2020) are not substantially affected by stretching. Thus in addition to the possible reductions in sympathetic nervous system activity and PIC strength, an alternative method of examining the
extent of the global neural effects of SS would be to investigate crossover or non-local muscle performance responses.

There is evidence of non-local (contralateral homologous or heterologous muscles) improvements in ROM following a bout of unilateral stretching (Behm et al. 2016b; Behm 2019; Caldwell et al. 2019; Caldwell 2019; Ce et al. 2020; Chaouachi et al. 2017; Clark et al. 1999; De-la-Cruz-Torres et al. 2020; Killen et al. 2019; Lima et al. 2014; Whalen et al. 2019; Wilke et al. 2016b; Wilke et al. 2017). Global (whole body) mechanisms contributing to these non-local improvements in ROM have been postulated to be related to psycho-physiological influences (i.e. increased stretch or pain tolerance) (Magnusson et al. 1996; Magnusson et al. 1997) and the downregulation of sympathetic excitatory nerve stimulation (Behm 2018). Prolonged SS (i.e. 30-s or more) can also reduce motoneuron spindle reflexive (excitatory) activity (Avela et al. 1999; Guissard et al. 2001). Type I and II muscle spindle afferents innervate spinal motoneurons (Prochazka and Ellaway 2012) and project to the somatosensory and the primary motor cortex (Phillips et al. 1971; Rathelot and Strick 2009) and thus could have global consequences. The global impact of decreased sympathetic nervous system stimulation and disfacilitation of excitatory spindle afferents (neural inhibition) could potentially adversely affect the performance of non-stretched muscles (Behm 2018; Behm 2020).

There is evidence of non-local SS-induced isometric maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) force (Behm 2019), jump height (Marchetti et al. 2014) and muscle activation (Marchetti 2017) deficits following unilateral SS. However, these results contrast with those which show no significant impairments of isokinetic torque following eight repetitions of 30-s each of unilateral hip flexion static stretching (Chaouachi et al. 2017). Jelmini et al. (Jelmini et al. 2018) reported no change in handgrip force or EMG activity after three static stretching repetitions of 45-s each of
the finger flexors, but did find a 10.8% decrease in rate of force generation. In contrast, Caldwell et al. (Caldwell et al. 2019) found a near significant, small magnitude improvement (p=0.06, d=0.22, 12.1%) in contralateral drop jump height and no change in ground contact time following four repetitions of 30-s of unilateral SS. Hence, with this conflict in the literature it is not known whether there is sufficient evidence to substantiate that unilateral SS generally has global (contralateral or non-local) consequences (i.e. positive, negative or no significant effect) on performance.

The objective of this exploratory review was to investigate and summarize the literature on non-local stretching effects on force and power (i.e. jump height) output to determine whether the prolonged SS-induced impairments observed with stretched limbs are generally present in non-local, non-stretched, muscle groups. In addition, we aimed to identify gaps in the literature to facilitate future lines of enquiry with regard to this research area.

Methods

Search strategy

Literature searches following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) review guidelines was performed by two co-authors (SA and DGB). These searches were conducted separately and independently using the PubMed, Scopus, SPORTDiscus, Web of Science, Cochrane, and CINHAL Plus databases. The topic was searched using a Boolean search strategy with the operator “OR” for the following title keywords: stretch, stretching, flexibility, range of motion, non-local, unilateral, contralateral, ipsilateral, crossover, or remote with the operator “AND” for terms such as performance, strength, force, power and jump (Figure 1). Researchers’ personal computer databases were also examined for related articles. Related peer-reviewed publications written in English, spanned the period from
1999 to November 2020. The search syntax was saved in PubMed so that we received regular updates on the publication of further relevant studies. The selected articles were also cross referenced by the authors to identify relevant studies that might have been overlooked in the database search. In selecting studies for inclusion, a review of all relevant article titles was conducted before an examination of article abstracts and, then, full published articles. Only peer-reviewed articles were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Data extraction

Data were extracted from gathered articles with a form created in Microsoft Excel. Where required data were not clearly or completely reported, article authors were contacted for clarification.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria (study selection)

Articles investigating non-local stretching and performance measures were included according to PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcomes) criteria (Table 1).

PLACE TABLE 1 AND FIGURE 1 (FLOW DIAGRAM) APPROXIMATELY HERE

Systematic review analysis

If effect sizes were not reported, means and standard deviations for a strength (force or torque) performance measure was used to calculate an effect size (\(M_1 - M_2 / SD\) pooled) (Cohen 1988). Effect sizes descriptors were trivial (<0.2), small (0.2 - <0.5), moderate (0.5 - <0.8) and large (≥0.8) (Hopkins et al. 2009). With only seven studies investigating the effects of unilateral stretching on non-local muscle performance (Table 2), the number of studies for a meaningful meta-analysis was considered low. Hence, weighted means (\(\text{[effect size for study #1} \times \text{(number of participants/total participants)} + \text{effect size for study #2} \times \text{(number of participants/total participants)}\)
participants) + …] = weighted mean of all selected studies) were calculated to illustrate the average response.

PLACE TABLE 2 APPROXIMATELY HERE

Assessment of risk of bias

The Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale was used to assess the risk of bias and methodological quality of the included studies (Table 3). This scale evaluates internal study validity on a scale from 0 (high risk of bias) to 10 (low risk of bias). Two reviewers (DGB and SA) independently rated each study. Any ratings that yielded different results were further adjudicated by a third reviewer. This rating was then used in the risk of bias scale. A median score of \( \geq 6 \) represents the threshold for studies with a low risk of bias (Maher et al. 2003).

PLACE TABLE 3 APPROXIMATELY HERE

Results

Table 2 illustrates the individual study characteristics. The six studies were acute intervention studies with three studies involving males and three studies including both sexes. Five of the six studies were published in 2017 or thereafter. Participant characteristics and stretch intervention characteristics are provided in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. From the six studies, the average SS-induced force deficit (weighted means) effect size was a small magnitude; \(-0.35\) (range: \(0.01\) to \(-1.8\)), whereas the contralateral, non-stretched, muscle also showed a small magnitude effect size of \(-0.22\) (range: \(0.08\) to \(-1.1\)). The five control measures exhibited a trivial strength deficit effect size of \(-0.03\).

The gaps in the literature highlight that further research should investigate effects of lower intensity stretching, upper versus lower body stretching effects, different age groups, incorporate full warm-ups, and identifying predominant mechanisms among others.
Discussion

The major finding from this review was that both the stretched and contralateral limbs of the young adult participants in these studies experienced small magnitude, SS-induced force deficits. This finding highlights the global (non-local) effects of prolonged, unilateral, static stretching.

In accord with prior reviews, prolonged static stretching (>60 s per muscle group) without a proper dynamic warm-up can induce small magnitude strength impairments (Behm 2018; Behm and Chaouachi 2011; Behm et al. 2016a; Behm 2020; Chaabene et al. 2019; Kay and Blazevich 2012) in the affected muscle. The typical stretching routine used in the studies in this review involved six repetitions of 36 s each for a total duration of approximately 216 s (3 min: 36 s), which exceeds the maximum duration of 60 s of SS per muscle group recommended in the aforementioned reviews. Furthermore, unlike the typical sport or training scenario, none of the studies included in this review included a full dynamic warm-up (prior aerobic activity and activity-specific dynamic activity following the stretching) as suggested in prior reviews (Behm et al. 2016a; Behm 2020). Although these results should be expected for the stretched muscle based on the prolonged stretching interventions, this is the first review to report small magnitude, force impairments in a non-local, non-stretched muscle. Hence, the same SS routine characteristics that induce decrements in the stretched muscle can also incur deficits in a non-stretched muscle, but due to different mechanisms.

Although the average result indicated SS-induced non-local force impairments, an analysis of the individual studies demonstrates variable responses. Behm et al. (Behm 2019) imposed eight SS repetitions of 30 s each on the quadriceps and hamstrings and reported small magnitude (11.1%;
d=-0.31) contralateral MVC force deficits. Similarly, 5 x 45 s repetitions of knee extensors SS generated large magnitude (-11.0%; d = -1.1) impairments of MVC force in the knee extensors (Ce et al. 2020). In contrast, there were trivial (1.2%; d=0.08), non-significant contralateral knee flexion isokinetic torque changes following eight repetitions of 30-s each of unilateral hip flexion static stretching (Chaouachi et al. 2017), trivial (-4.9%; d= -0.17) knee flexion MVC force deficits following 10 x 30 s SS of the hamstrings (Killen et al. 2019) and trivial (-4.2%; d= -0.15) knee flexion MVC force deficits with 4 repetitions of 30 s SS of the hamstrings (Caldwell et al. 2019). Jelmini et al. (Jelmini et al. 2018) reported no change in handgrip force or EMG activity after three SS repetitions of 45-s each of the finger flexors, but did report a small magnitude, 10.8% (d= -0.29) decrease in rate of force generation.

Although jump performance (proxy measure of power) was not included in the present weighted mean results, there is also variability in these findings. Ten SS of 30 s each of the upper limbs (shoulder horizontal abduction) produced large magnitude deficits in countermovement jump ground reaction forces (-25%; d = 1.41) (Marchetti et al. 2014). In contrast, Caldwell et al. (Caldwell et al. 2019) found a near significant, small magnitude improvement (p=0.06, d=0.22, 12.1%) in contralateral drop jump height following four repetitions of 30-s of unilateral SS.

In summary, although, the weighted means illustrated a small magnitude, non-local force deficit, three measures indicated deficits, while four measures showed trivial changes. The substantially larger effect size of the Ce et al. (Ce et al. 2020) study in concert with the small magnitude impairments of the Behm et al. (Behm 2019) and Jelmini et al. (Jelmini et al. 2018) studies counterbalanced the four trivial measures resulting in an overall mean small magnitude force deficit. Further research is necessary to strengthen the aggregate findings in the literature on the effect of different types of unilateral stretching (i.e. static, dynamic, proprioceptive
neuromuscular facilitation, ballistic) on non-local force as well as power and other physiological and functional measures (i.e. sprint speed, sporting skills such as kicking and throwing). Morphological mechanisms would not be a consideration of non-local force or power impairments since the non-stretched muscle would not have experienced appreciable stress or strain. While Halperin et al. (Halperin et al. 2015) suggested four possible mechanisms contributing to non-local muscle fatigue including biochemical, biomechanical, neural or psycho-physiological factors, their relative contribution to non-local stretching force and power impairments may not be similar.

A fatigued muscle can accumulate a variety of metabolites such as potassium (alteration of electrochemical gradients inhibiting muscle excitability (Juel 1986; Nordsborg et al. 2003)), hydrogen ions (reduction in the force per cross bridge and myofibrilla Ca2+ sensitivity (Fitts 2008)) among other metabolites. These metabolites dispersed from the exercised muscle can be transported through the cardiovascular system to non-exercised muscles throughout the body (Bangsbo et al. 1996; Halperin et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2014; Nordsborg et al. 2003) adversely affecting muscle contractility. However, a passive (minimally active muscle contractions) SS routine is unlikely to generate metabolic expenditures that would result in a substantial accumulation and global dispersal of force inhibiting metabolites (Colosio et al. 2020).

Biomechanical factors concern the fatigue of core or trunk muscles that stabilize the body when contracting a limb muscle. Decrement in core/trunk stability have been shown to substantially impair limb force output (Anderson and Behm 2005; Behm and Anderson 2006; Behm et al. 2010). This biomechanical mechanism is an unlikely factor as passive SS typically does not induce considerable disruptive, fatiguing torques to the trunk that need to be countered by active stabilization of the trunk muscles.
Neural inhibition has been postulated as a mechanism underlying stretch-induced performance impairments of the stretched limb (Behm 2018; Behm and Chaouachi 2011; Behm et al. 2016a; Behm 2020) as well as a factor contributing to non-local muscle fatigue effects (Halperin et al. 2015). EMG changes of the non-stretched muscles following unilateral stretching is conflicting. There are reports of very large (-11 to -22%, d= 2.25-3.9) (Ce et al. 2020) and small (-3.1%; d= -0.22) (Marchetti 2017) magnitude EMG reductions, which contrast with reports of no significant changes in EMG activity (Behm 2019; Caldwell et al. 2019; Jelmini et al. 2018; Killen et al. 2019). In the literature, there are conflicting effects of stretching on EMG activity of the stretched muscle (Behm 2020), as well as a lack of substantial corticospinal excitability (Budini et al. 2017; Budini et al. 2019; Pulverenti et al. 2019) and intracortical inhibition (silent period) (Opplert et al. 2020; Pulverenti et al. 2019; Pulverenti et al. 2020) effects. The short post-SS duration of inhibitory reflex activity and lack of corticospinal excitability changes, suggest the influence of neural inhibition on non-local strength is not conclusive.

However, according to the recent Behm et al. review (Behm 2020), there may be two possible neural mechanisms associated with increases in ROM of a stretched muscle; exteroceptive reflex stimulation, which can attenuate excitatory sympathetic nervous activity (Guissard et al. 2001; Wu et al. 1999) and reductions in motoneurone dendrites persistent inward currents (PIC) (decreasing the amplification of central neural drive (Trajano et al. 2017)). Exteroceptive reflexes arising from cutaneous receptors have polysynaptic innervations to motoneurones (Jenner and Stephens 1982; Kearney and Chan 1999), which can inhibit sympathetic excitation (Wu et al. 1999), contributing to overall relaxation (reductions in muscle tone, heart rate and blood pressure (van den Berg 1999; Wu et al. 1999). However, exteroceptive reflex activity only persists for several seconds after stretching desists (Delwaide et al. 1981; Guissard et al. 2001). A similar
response duration has been observed with the attenuation of facilitatory reflex activity from proprioceptive Ia and Ib afferents and thus their activity also seems to be too transient to persistently impair strength and power output. Hence, while strength deficits of a stretched or non-stretched muscle detected within seconds of a SS might be partially attributed to exteroceptive reflex influence on the sympathetic nervous system, deficits in the stretched or non-stretched muscles shortly thereafter would likely not be related to exteroceptive or proprioceptive reflexes.

However, monoamines from sympathetic nervous system activity such as noradrenaline can affect motoneurone PIC activity that might impact non-local responses.

PICs can amplify and prolong synaptic input from supraspinal and reflex pathways augmenting motor output 5-fold (Lee and Heckman 2000). These PICS permit motoneurones to fire at higher frequencies to generate high force levels (Lee and Heckman 2000). SS might affect PIC-dependent amplification of central drive to the stretched muscle, which would diminish force production (Trajano et al. 2017). In relation to this, it has been found that SS-induced reductions in PICs (deduced from tonic vibration reflex activity) were fully recovered by 10 minutes post-SS (Trajano et al. 2014a). These durations of impairment and recovery closely match the SS-induced deficits outlined in studies undertaken by Pulverenti et al. (Pulverenti et al. 2019; Pulverenti et al. 2020) and Trajano and colleagues (Trajano et al. 2014b). However, these findings apply to the stretched muscle’s performance and it is unknown whether the diminution of the PICs would also be experienced by contralateral motoneurones affecting non-local muscle strength. PIC activity is augmented by monoamines such as serotonin and noradrenalin (released predominantly from sympathetic nerve fibres) (Lee and Heckman 2000). Thus it is speculated that if a bout of stretching induced overall relaxation through reduced sympathetic activity, it would decrease noradrenalin release globally, affecting non-local motoneurone PIC activity. In summary, there are conflicting
effects of stretching on EMG activity (Behm 2020), a lack of substantial corticospinal excitability (Budini et al. 2017; Budini et al. 2019; Pulverenti et al. 2019) and intracortical inhibition (Opplert et al. 2020; Pulverenti et al. 2019; Pulverenti et al. 2020) effects, which in combination with the short post-SS duration of inhibitory reflex activity, suggest the effect of these neural factors or measures may not be the primary mechanism but need further investigation. The effects of unilateral stretching on contralateral motoneuron PIC activity may be a possible mechanistic candidate but these PIC effects have not been examined in non-stretched muscles and again further investigation is necessary.

Sustaining focus or concentration during prolonged SS would involve a level of cognitive demand to maintain joint position and stretch intensity (Behm 2020). Mental fatigue can negatively affect subsequent performance, principally with prolonged activities (Marcora et al. 2009; Pageaux et al. 2013; Pageaux et al. 2014). Mental fatigue can lead to the perception that an activity is more demanding, and therefore an individual may succumb earlier or exert less effort (Marcora et al. 2009; Pageaux et al. 2013; Pageaux et al. 2014). Cortical fatigue or mental energy deficit would not be specific to a single muscle or muscle group. Halperin et al. (Halperin et al. 2015) postulated that a mental energy deficit could be a major contributor to non-local muscle fatigue as non-local muscle fatigue is more apparent with prolonged fatiguing tests that would require sustained concentration. Although speculative, the concentration necessary to maintain a prolonged SS to or near the point of discomfort (maximal intensity SS) could induce some mental fatigue that would impair the ability of the individual to subsequently fully activate and co-ordinate the contraction of multiple muscle groups (activate agonists, synergists and core stabilizers, and inhibit antagonists muscles) to achieve maximal force output. Nonetheless, as there is a paucity of literature on non-
local stretching effects, more research is needed on both the non-local responses and the possible mechanisms (i.e. neural inhibition and cortical fatigue).

Another possible mechanism contributing to non-local force and power deficits may be myofascial chains. Myofascial connective tissue is reported to furnish a network of myofascial chains or meridians (Wilke et al. 2016a). Human (Norton-Old et al. 2013; van Wingerden et al. 1993; Vleeming et al. 1995) and animal (Schleip et al. 2012; Yahia et al. 1993) cadaveric studies reveal that fascia can modify its stiffness and transfer stress to nearby structures. The myofascial transfer of force is conducted through longitudinal (Benetazzo et al. 2011; Eng et al. 2014) and spiral (oblique) (Myers 2001) lines as well as with transversal orientations to synergists and antagonists (Huijing et al. 2007; Maas et al. 2001; Maas et al. 2005; Meijer et al. 2007). Wilke (Wilke et al. 2017) postulated that myofascial strain can be conveyed throughout the body. Improved acute cervical ROM after hamstrings (Wilke et al. 2017) and gastrocnemius (Wilke et al. 2016b) stretching was accredited to myofascial longitudinal and spiral lines. But the scope of these transfer effects has been contested. Krause et al. (Krause et al. 2016) proposed that myofascial strain is transferred predominantly to neighbouring skeletal muscles. The myofascial literature typically report force transmission that is distal to proximal or vice versa (i.e. stretch the plantar flexors and increase cervical ROM). The unilateral, stretch-induced, non-local muscle force and power impairments reported in this review occur primarily in contralateral muscles. Whereas longitudinal or spiral chains may affect force output of neighbouring muscles or along a longitudinal kinetic chain, they are unlikely to significantly contribute to contralateral limb muscle impairments. More research is needed to investigate this area.

Stretch-induced non-local force deficits can have implications for both training and rehabilitation. Individuals should be aware that prolonged high intensity stretching of one muscle
group can not only increase ROM of other non-stretched muscles (Behm et al. 2016b) but may also impair force and power. For example, with training or rehabilitation, extensive lower body stretching prior to training could affect subsequent upper body force output (i.e. bench press or shoulder press) or prolonged upper body stretching could negatively impact the ability to sprint, jump or kick a ball. Stretch-induced impairments of a stretched muscle are trivial when less than 60 s of SS and a full warm-up is incorporated (Behm 2018; Behm and Chaouachi 2011; Behm et al. 2016a; Behm 2020; Chaabene et al. 2019; Kay and Blazevich 2012), but it is unknown if the same parameters would nullify non-local stretching effects. These issues provoke the need for further research.

**Conclusions**

The limited scope of literature examining non-local effects of prolonged SS reveal that both the stretched and contralateral limbs of young adult participants demonstrate on average, small magnitude, strength deficits. However, this assertion must be viewed with caution as the frequency of these effects were nearly equally divided with three measures demonstrating deficits, and four measures showing trivial changes. Possible mechanisms underlying SS-induced non-local force deficits may involve a combination of factors such as a decrease in sympathetic activation affecting overall central nervous system excitation and motoneurone PIC activity as well as a mental energy deficit (cortical fatigue) adversely affecting concentration to maximally activate and coordinate muscle contractions. Myofascial chains may affect the force output of neighbouring muscles or more distant muscles located along a longitudinal or spiral meridian. Unfortunately, there is insufficient evidence to identify a predominant mechanism(s). This review will hopefully act as a clarion to motivate researchers to add and contribute to the area of non-local stretching effects as it has implications for training and rehabilitation as well as insights into psychological
and physiological mechanisms. Areas for future investigation include the volume, intensity and type of stretching that might elicit non-local force, power, speed and other performance impairments, whether similar to a stretched muscle; would a full warm-up nullify possible decrements, upper versus lower body stretching effects, possible differences associated with age, sex, trained state, muscle type, joint, and the underlying mechanisms.
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Table Legends

Table 1: PICOS selection criteria

Table 2: Individual study characteristics

Table 3: Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale used to assess the risk of bias and methodological quality of the included studies. PEDro Scale: Y: Yes, N: No

Table 4: Mean study characteristics: Mean ± standard deviation (participants, age) or number of studies (sex, trained state). Acronyms: RT: resistance trained, PA: physically active

Table 5: Intervention characteristics: Mean ± standard deviation or number of studies. Acronyms: MVC: maximal voluntary isometric contraction, POD: point of discomfort

Figure Legends

Figure 1: PRISMA flow chart illustrating different phases of the search and study selection using the following title based nomenclature: stretch, stretching, flexibility, range of motion, non-local, unilateral, contralateral, ipsilateral, crossover, or remote.
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