# The Breath of the Possible Gavin Grindon

e was surrounded by angry patriots with pepper spray and batons at the ready. To hop down would be to take a beating and maybe a felony Lcharge, so he did the only thing he could: he breathed deep, lifted his arms, and flung himself straight out over the cops and the crowd, stage-diver style. The crowd let out a collective frightened gasp. It was the shocked response of people watching something so daring it looked, at first glance, suicidal... My heart stopped too in that moment. It seemed both lightning quick and eternal, that one second when the flying anarchist hovered horizontally in the air. When he fell to earth, landing in the arms of his comrades and escaping the police, everything felt different, like we were living in the pages of history, as though in that moment there was a crystal clear delineation of past and future. Something had just Happened... the problems in the world had looked impossible before... In that incredibly bold leap over the heads of the riot police, the option of saving the world from the jaws of destructive, violent capitalism seemed possible somehow. One quick, bold move—it made other acts of amazing audacity seem possible... if that flying anarchist taught me nothing else, it was that when shit looks absolutely impossible, don't worry. Don't stop to analyse too much. Be courageous. Do what they don't expect. Take a leap. Anything is possible.—Sophia Delaney, "Anarchists Can Fly," in Notes from Nowhere (Ed.). We Are Everywhere, 2003

In her account of this activist's daring leap of faith to escape from the top of a flagpole after having replaced the American flag with a black and red flag, Delaney focuses on what has been an important element in the Global Justice Movement's understanding of itself and its actions: the importance of joy, desire and mythic moments of potent affect. The focus on these moments owes much to a particular thread of radical theory, which, orienting itself around the concept of festival found a potential in these moments and sought to mine it.

From the Surrealists to the Situationists to Reclaim the Streets, throughout the twentieth century an eclectic set of theorists and artists have picked up the gauntlet of festival and developed a line of theory that attempts to understand the effervescent moment of festival as connected to revolutionary social change. In picking up this thread they argued that the festival's participatory aesthetic and religious experience held a catalytic potential untapped by the traditional left. Such experience, they argued, was absent in modern society, and its return held the potential for revolutionary social action.

However, it is crucial that the object and aim of both their activism and theory was an experience that, as affectivity, was to some extent beyond rational analysis. This complicates their role as activists in creating such irrational moments of experience as well as their role as theorists attempting to understand the relation between these moments and social revolution.

Between 1937 and 1939 a group calling itself the College of Sociology met intermittently in the café of the Palais Royal and in a bookstore on the rue Guy Lussac in Paris. It was formed by Georges Bataille and Roger Caillois and was influenced by both the new sociology of Durkheim and Mauss as well as the Surrealist movement of the 1920s. Like the Surrealists they championed a euphoric subjective experience that was radically other to the dull, profane experience of modern life, and which, they hoped, would revolutionise it.

Instead of the Surrealist experience of 'the marvellous,' they termed their experience 'the sacred,' borrowing from Durkheim's *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life*. For Durkheim, the bounds of what is 'other' are always socially determined, and the sacred is experienced as part of a social ritual of transgression in the form of religious festivals. This logic of otherness (to which Bataille gave the name 'the heterogeneous'—"the science of the altogether other"1) finds social expression in the exuberant loss of religious festivals. The festival's transgression of taboos in the loss of goods and self was intertwined with the personal transcendence of the sacred. Such festivals were exemplified by the 'potlatch,' which Bataille's tutor Mauss had identified in numerous cultures or by the ritual sacrifices of the Aztecs. The sacred was then not only a transcendent subjective experience but a social phenomenon.

Like the Surrealists they saw their project as connected to that of revolution. Bataille argued in his essay "The Notion of Expenditure" that this sacred festival experience would make itself felt in modernity through a violent proletarian revolution inspired not by economic contradictions but by potent emotional forces and inspiring mythic images. The sacred was both end and means. Aside from their Surrealist dream of a society reshaped by the force of the sacred, the College understood radical activity towards this end as bound up with an emotional, affective experience that could not be rationalised. Bataille's heterology was an attempt to engage with this experience in theoretical terms.

Bataille's heterology stressed the *primacy* of the other. The sacred was an experience of the unknowable, of pure, irrational affect. Andre Breton compared the sublime experience to an orgasm and a religious transcendence. But for

Bataille, a late Freudian and briefly a priest-in-training before he lost his faith, it was also a *petit mort*. Rather than the Surrealists' union of the real and the surreal Bataille read such experience as a radical atheistic experience of self-loss, a brush with the void. He saw the drive towards otherness, even towards the other of death, as fundamental to the psychological and social organisation of society. Giving the example of a French village he argued that it was not by accident that it was organised with the church and its graveyard at its centre and that this centre was the place of sacred experience and religious festivals.

Despite this fundamental centrality Bataille's approach to otherness as a primary category meant that otherness is always that which is outside and cannot be contained by any totalising theoretical knowledge. Bataille's total experience of loss could not be logically built towards: its orgasmic eruption of desire appears in his writing as both the basis and the end of revolutionary activity. Bataille's heterogeneity breaks with the Hegelian theory of the dialectic that had been an influence on early Surrealism. Bataille's system began with a constellation of binary oppositions like self-other, profane-sacred and bourgeoisie-proletariat. However, where the dialectic looks to the resolution and synthesis of these binaries, Bataille maintained his interest in the transgressive moment when the negative value triumphs. It is at this point of the sacred's 'impossible' experience of the self experiencing its own loss to the other, that Bataille takes his own leap of faith and asserts the negative moment as self-justifying. It is an 'impossible' third space that attempts to step beyond and outside of the dialectic: an other which refuses recuperation.

Putting forward a theory of the undoing of theoretical totality is a rather contradictory move as it supposes a more totalising theory that can take account of this undoing: what Bataille termed "a system of thought exhausting the totality of the possible." Bataille often acknowledges the contradiction: "should I say that under these conditions I sometimes could only respond to the truth of my book and could not go on writing it?" The primacy of the other can only be grounded in the evidence of the experience of the encounter with the other itself. Koyeve was to criticise the circularity of Bataille's argument, arguing that he was putting himself "in the position of a conjurer who expected his own tricks to make him believe in magic." In reaching the limit of reason Bataille was forced to turn to faith in the sacred. Faith is an abandonment of the critical self to an idea, and Bataille had abandoned himself to the idea of abandonment. Having seen Surrealism's 'mad love' itself as a matter of self-loss, crisis and undoing, Bataille allowed himself to fall in love with the falling itself.

This became an even more torturous theoretical knot when the College went on to assert that the return of these experiences to modern life was allied to, or even made complete, the revolutionary project of the Communists. The theoretical impossibility of the sacred experience found in festivals, which Bataille had put his faith in, was also to be the basis of revolutionary social change. How could such change be built towards or encouraged? Was it a question of simply waiting for the spontaneous explosion of the sacred or could it be brought some-

how into everyday life in the meantime? How could the eruption of the sacred be made *possible*?

This created a problem for the College: how could they accomplish and articulate this leap of faith? How could such a critical impossibility be discussed without betraying it? The College's answer to this problem came in the form of myth. The revolution that would be bound up with the vertiginous experience of the festival, would take the form of an orgasmic eruption of joy and desire inspired by a powerful investment of emotion in myth. But as the College turned to focus on myth as a means to radical social change this faith in sacred experience translated into a flat opposition between activist engagement and theoretical understanding.

The College, like many sociologists of their time, worked with a model of society indebted to natural science. They understood the sacred as the centrifugal force at the centre of any social group and developed a novel notion of activism that entailed the unleashing of this force. Activism then was not simply a matter of forcing political change by practical means, but of playing agent or catalyst in the instant setting loose of an unstoppable infection or chain reaction. The College intended to spread a sacred 'virus' through the social body that would bring the full explosion of the sacred ever nearer. The sacred, which they argued was both a profound form of transcendent communion and the heart of community, was also closely tied to primitive methods of communication. Activism meant to create myths; myth would be the inspiring, viral agent by which the sacred was communicated and activated in society. The College credited Georges Sorel as an influence, and they chimed with his understanding of myth as a means of "acting on the present." Myth tapped into the irrational psychological forces which were at the heart of the sacred, "the primordial longings and conflicts of the individual condition transposed to the social dimension."6 Myth's stoking of these desires would move subjects to action, drawing them into eruptions of sacred sociality. It was a psychological activism: where the Surrealists had conceived of their 'revolution' as a matter of pure sentiment and subjectivity the College attempted through myth to tie these sentiments to a more material notion of revolution. But as they did this Bataille found himself back in the tricky position of having to relinquish theoretical enquiry. For myth's activism to be effective in sweeping one up in the experience of the sacred, one had to first believe in the myth. This meant that before he could take on society, Bataille would first have to put his own faith in the myth he had just created and leap into the impossible.

While this convoluted logic may sound rather esoteric this turn to myth for the inspiration to action is readily evident today. The Global Justice Movement often engages with this territory of myth and powerful moments of experience: consider the movement's self-representations, in its exciting and inspiring accounts of protests and actions, not least when it comes to the 'great battles' of Genoa, Seattle, Prague and London, often given iconic monikers like 'J18.' There has been a particular focus on collections of powerful, first-hand accounts of the

experience of these events. Prevalent in these accounts is, for example, the now familiar photographic image of the lone, heroic masked figure, standing perhaps before the massed police, perhaps by a burning barricade, with a flag held high, or a tear gas canister about to be returned to the police. For a group such as CrimethInc there is even a self-conscious theoretical embrace of myth: "So what can we embrace in place of history? Myth...When we tell tales around the fire at night of heroes and heroines, of other struggles and adventures... we are offering each other examples of just how much living is possible."

In the College's time sacred experience and myth were politically tricky theoretical tools to use. Had the College wanted a perfect example of the social expression of the sacred as myth inspired irrational effervescence in the streets they need have looked no further than the events of Kristalnacht, which were to take place in November 1938, only fifteen months after the College's first declarations. Sorel, whose ideas on myth they drew on, himself swayed politically from far left to far right. Caillois observed, "At present, all the movements that show mythological characteristics display a real hypertrophy of this festival or ritual function." But the examples he gives are "the Hitlerian movement or the Ku Klux Klan." In engaging with myth's political ambiguity the College argued that these forces had been all too effectively appropriated by the Right while at the same time as they had been completely ignored by the deterministic materialism of the orthodox Left.

Since the inception of the Global Justice Movement and its articulation of the ideas of festival, joy and myth, our own times, too, have become darker and more pessimistic. Bataille's co-location of sacred experience and sudden social change might also be used to understand the agonistic, orgasmic moments of political and religious martyrdom that are central to the thought of fundamentalist terrorism. It might even be turned to reflect upon the mythic status of September 11<sup>th</sup> and its accompanying images, in the discourse of Islamic and American fundamentalism, which puts faith before critical analysis in the service of reaction.

Eagleton does just this in *Holy Terror* when he argues that "Terrorism is...a Dadaist or Surrealist 'happening' pushed to an unthinkable extreme." He claims that the social realisation of sublime experience comes in the form of an unambiguous terror, in the 'shock and awe' of the terrorist act, as "the Real stages an impossible appearance in reality itself." Similarly Retort argue that the terrorists of September 11<sup>th</sup> embraced and hijacked capitalism's own logic of mythic representation understanding only too well its cold and cruel logic: "Terror can take over the image-machinery for a moment—and a moment, in the timeless echo chamber of the spectacle, may now eternally be all there is." Following September 11<sup>th</sup> an influential American conservative think tank asserted that it was now a question of winning 'the battle of the story.' Some activists, such as those of the *smart meme* project, 11 have responded to these developments by asserting the need to build new counter-myths, to "create effective memes—self-replicating units of information and culture... viral by nature." In light of all

this the College's engagement with the political ambiguity of myth seems uncannily timely. How are we to understand the radical potential of myth and affect in this context, when the potential of festival seems to have been outflanked by contesting social forces?

Eighteen years after the College's last meeting another small but far more famous group of theorists, the Situationist International, took up many of the College's concerns, and focused particularly on the competing political uses of these irrational forces. The Situationists, reacting against the College's turn from history to myth, tended to see these representations as a form of reification. Looking back on Surrealism's embrace of myth, Raoul Vaneigem wrote:

The 'spectacle' is all that remains of the myth that perished along with unitary society: an ideological organisation whereby the actions of history upon individuals themselves seeking... to act upon history, are reflected, corrupted and transformed into their opposite—into an autonomous life of the non-lived. 13

The 'spectacle' was the term Guy Debord developed to attempt to understand capital's ability to recuperate new possibilities for thought and representation created by people like the Surrealists in terms of a totality of alienating capitalist relationships that pervaded society. Debord attempted to grasp this complex social totality of division and contradiction by employing a powerfully dialectical Marxism, and it is interesting to see the Situationists' attempt to theorise and create autonomous spaces of inspiring, aesthetic experience in light of Bataille's earlier battle against Hegel's logic for an affective, subjective space.

Although they saw myth transformed into the spectacle the Situationists did not give up on the realm of affect. They described their own project for creating spaces outside of and opposed to the totality of the spectacle as the creation of 'situations.' Defined as "a moment of life concretely and deliberately constructed by the collective organisation of a unitary ambiance and a game of events," a situation was an affective experience defined by participation rather than spectatorship. This space of affective experience was understood in different terms to those of the College of Sociology. Rejecting the College's Freudianism and Nietzscheanism the Situationists turned away from a sublime experience of crisis and apocalypse and back to the early Surrealists' demand for a unifying and total aesthetic experience. The total playful re-determination of social organisation; the ultimate situation would be the revolution itself and the new society it embodied.

When it came to describing the possibility for an immediate total life outside and against the spectacle, Debord's account of reification made things very difficult for the Situationists. Because the spectacle's logic could turn any partial opposition into an image to be passively consumed, the Situationists determined that it was essential to initiate a total refusal of the society of the spectacle. But having set this scene it became increasingly difficult for the Situationists to move from instances to a totality of refusal. How could a situa-

tion lead to a revolution when, occurring within capitalist society, the relations of capitalist society served to undermine the situation as less than a totality, and thus as reified and complicit with the spectacle? Reaching total revolt was made necessary by, but yet seemed unreachable, exactly *because of* the spectacle's power to recuperate anything short of this total refusal. In the writing of the Situationists myth's affectivity would be portrayed as nowhere except in the dead hands of the spectacle and yet also as the potent force at the centre of a new world. The spectacle and the situation were represented within their theory as two competing totalities that could not be reconciled. At one point the Situationists went as far as to put radical change in terms of a simple race between artists and authority to develop new technologies of conditioning. Like the College's sacred, the situation had become an impossible space.

It has been a common critique that this emphasis on totality is disabling and that it held an awkward relationship to both their focus on aesthetics and their avowed council communism. Barrot's 1979 *Critique of the Situationist International* argues that "The S.I. explained everything from the *spectacle*," and as a result "one does not know where it comes from, who produces it... by what contradiction it lives and may die." In other words this focus on the spectacle is at the expense of the real subject who produces capital, the working class. The Situationists maintained that the spectacle only *appeared* as determining and all pervasive, yet in their employment of a rigorously totalising theory, it came to appear so also in their own theoretical attacks upon it.

The Situationists' analysis of the power of the spectacle only shows us half the picture. There is a discontinuity between this analysis and the Situationists' assertion of the role of the active subject. Caught in this gulf the Situationists found themselves in an impossible position. In order to cross this gap they undertook their own leap of faith. In the words of the graffiti found on the walls of Paris in 1968 they asserted that it was necessary to demand the impossible.

The presence of the affective moment alongside the impossibility of the theoretical subject can be seen not so much as symptomatic of an impasse but as a leap of faith beyond the limits of their theory. Putting their faith in the unscripted realm of the situation was to put faith in the realm of possibility. It was crucial in this respect that the experience of the situation, like the sacred, was a total experience that coincided with a totality of new social relationships. The transcendent terms of aesthetics and religion provided a language for possibility that theory did not. Vaneigem's most poetic moments often coincide with his most urgent demands for a new life:

People are crushed under the wardrobe... "The rationality of the wardrobe is always the best," proclaim the thousands of books published every day to be stacked in the wardrobe. And all the while everyone wants to breathe and no one can breathe, and many say, "We will breathe later," and most do not die, because they are already dead. It is now or never. 16

As a result of this move the Situationists notoriously lent themselves to heavy mythologisation—a tendency inherited by the Global Justice Movement. As I write this, in run up to the protests against the 2005 G8 meeting in Scotland, the literature of the groups involved stays true to this spirit: The Leeds May Day Group assert, "Our experiments in new ways of being are limited only by our imagination," and the flyers of the Dissent! Network echo this aesthetic of potential claiming "Everywhere there are willing accomplices desiring to live our adventures" and bringing a Surrealist slant to Zapatismo when they state that "As we walk, we dream." This inheritance is clearest in those groups most openly influenced by Situationist approaches, such as CrimethInc, whose flair for lyrically encapsulating radical ideas rivals Vaneigem's own. What these groups have copied is the Situationists' *style*, which more coherently captured radical possibility than their theoretical analysis.



More orthodox strains of Marxism would no doubt see such approaches as nothing more than bare-faced utopianism. Indeed mass actions are sometimes described as 'orgasms of history' that can seem so spontaneous that it becomes difficult to engage with them theoretically. However, the experience of another collection of radicals, the Italian autonomists of the 1960s and 1970s, can help us see that rather than simply constituting an ahistorical utopianism, the openness of dreaming and asking questions is in fact tied to practical political engagement, to walking.

Rather than a distinct group like the College or the Situationists, Autonomia was a diffuse and heterogeneous nationwide movement that came into existence in Italy in the early 1970s. One current within it, however, the network of 'Autonomia Operaia' (Workers' Autonomy) explored the contested subjective space of resistance and domination that concerned these other groups, but did so not by referring to aesthetic or religious language but by remaining thor-

oughly inside the Marxist lexicon, bending and redefining its terminology to rewrite Marxism from within.

The Situationists had understood the subject as realising itself as fundamentally other to and outside of the domination of capital. But Autonomia Operaia, for example in Antonio Negri's theory of the socialised worker, understood the subject as necessarily moving and resisting *within* a system of domination that squeezes the subject just as it depends upon it. This difference can be more clearly understood in terms of the theory of alienation which they each employ.

The Situationists' approach rested on the Marxist concept of reification drawn from *Capital* and developed by Lukács whereby "social action takes the form of the action of objects, which rule the producers instead of being ruled by them." Reification was a theory of alienation based on an analysis of the logic of the commodity, and *The Society of the Spectacle* generally understood society as divided between the passive subject who consumes the spectacle and the reified spectacle itself. This entailed the knotty problem, described above, of returning to the 'impossible' totality of the subject outside of the spectacle.

Autonomia Operaia was instead informed by the turn, in the earlier Italian Marxist current of Operaismo, to Marx's Grundrisse and the development of the real subsumption of labour in order to grasp capital's ability to enclose the world outside work. Marx argues that capitalism is characterised by the change from formal subsumption, where production remains structurally unchanged but a capitalist collects the surplus value and real subsumption where the new industrial relations of production subsume labour more thoroughly to the production of surplus value where workers become automatons who are only one-albeit conscious—part of a productive machine. The theory of the social factory or the socialised worker understood the increased time and space outside of labour not as a realm outside the productive economy that is devoted to consumption and the reificatory logic of the commodity but as an expansion of the realm of the production of surplus value. The Situationists saw all formerly sovereign areas of life as now dominated by the reifying logic of commodity consumption in the society of the spectacle. Autonomia Operaia saw these areas instead as the expanded realm of the factory's alienated relations of production in the social factory.

This may seem even more dystopian than the Situationists' vision, as it does away with the idea of creative space 'outside' the relations of capital, however problematic. The College of Sociology's theory of myth had grasped how fascism spread first not through the rigid structures of the state and economy so much as through affective and informal networks and relations. Similarly the Situationists' notion of radical subjectivity is often read as anticipating the 1968 rebellion as proliferating in spaces invisible to the objective understanding of the state, unions and Communist party. The social factory thesis now shows capital itself operating on these networks, relying on the subject's formerly autonomous social spaces for the production of surplus value.

However there was also a positive side to this vision. From this perspective, the Situationists can be seen as granting too much power to the spectacle to autonomously recuperate dissent because they tend to ignore the working class as the subject that actually produces the spectacle and instead focus exclusively on the commodity relation. This perspective also breaks with the Situationists' obsessive concern for totality. The Situationist subject, acting creatively outside the spectacle but then recuperated by it, is replaced by the autonomist subject whose creativity is caught within and compromised by the machinic relations of the social factory. So although the real subsumption thesis left Autonomia Operaia in a position comparable to that of the College and the Situationists, where these groups' total opposition between the subject and the objective world placed them in an impossible situation, Autonomia Operaia's positioning of the subject 'within and against' capital and as productive of capital put them in a position that was no longer impossible but 'cramped.' Thoburn reads this cramped position in relation to Deleuze and Guattari's notion of a minor literature:

Deleuze and Guattari argue that cramped, impossible conditions compel politics, for if the most personal individual intrigue is always traversed by a wealth of determining social relations, then these social relations must be engaged with, disrupted, politicised, if anything is to be lived.<sup>21</sup>

This moment of engagement and disruption (which Negri terms 'self-valorisation') is a leap where the subject asserts itself against the determining social relations of capital and instead constructs others. Despite Autonomia Operaia's thorough analysis of the real subsumption of labour, Negri, recalling the Situationists' impossible demand, asks us to presuppose a radical separateness of labour from these relations when it comes to moving beyond them even if this entails oversimplifying matters:

I see it as a moment of intensive rooting within my own separateness. I am other—as also is the movement of that collective praxis within which I move... It is only by recognising myself as other, only by insisting on the fact of my differentness as a radical totality that I have the possibility and the hope of a renewal.<sup>22</sup>

But despite this request it is clear that for Negri this is not a utopian faith in the sacred or the aesthetic but a positive matter of history and materialism:

It seems to me fundamental to consider the totality of the process of proletarian self-valorisation as alternative to, and radically different from, the totality of the process of capitalist production and reproduction. I realise that I am exaggerating the position, and oversimplifying its complexity. But I also know that this 'intensive road,' this radical break… is a fundamental experience of the movement as it stands today.<sup>23</sup>

For Negri theoretical oversimplification in describing this leap actually allows a more accurate and complex account of material practice. Especially as in his engaged writing the urgency of the move *towards* practice is paramount in validating his theory. For example, in *Marx Beyond Marx*, Negri ascribes a scientific methodology to Marx that might seem a little unconventional:

It is not simply what permits a passive construction of the categories on the basis of a sum of historical acquisitions; it is above all what permits a reading of the present in the light of the future... To take risks, to struggle. A science should adhere to that. And if occasionally one is an ape, it is only in order to be more agile.<sup>24</sup>

In this context of an agile theory that leaps in order to accommodate the movement of practice, Negri put his faith in the social phenomena around him that illuminated his writing. "The methodological precondition of an initial radical rupture is empirically corroborated by an extensive documentation." The 'leap' of these moments of subjective assertion is not a practice simply opposed to theory. They embody the intimate material negotiations of an engaged, critical subject. The accompanying theoretical leap in describing them is not a matter of utopianism but of historical engagement.

Communism for Negri does not take the form of a prefigurative or postrevolutionary totality but is embedded in the open material practice of the movement. It is a cramped, not impossible, practice.<sup>26</sup>Where Bataille and the Situationists resisted the logic of the dialectic by seeking to persist in the moment of the 'other,' Autonomia Operaia instead navigated in the streams of becoming. In either case, the elusive radical quality these theorists are trying to describe is creative *potential*. For Negri, the more Marxist sounding "invention power" replaces the Surrealist overtones of 'creativity' and 'desire' in describing the movement from virtuality to materiality.

In describing practices of self-valorisation the College of Sociology and the Situationist International had recourse to the language of religion and aesthetics. This was a leap of faith away from the aspects of their Marxist theory that suggested such a venture should not be possible. As we have seen in Negri's engagement with Marx, such leaps can be seen not as a turning away from reality but as intimately related to the overdetermined social conditions of contemporary capitalism. We can recast the impossible gap, more practically, as a cramped space.

Within the Global Justice Movement groups like the Clandestine Insurgent Rebel Clown Army (CIRCA) or the Tute Bianchi embody this account of minor moments of audacity, exploration and experiment. We can see the minoritarian 'performed' by CIRCA as they embrace the figure of the scapegoat or the fool whose exclusion enables social renewal. For Bataille's scapegoat or Deleuze's minor this exclusion entails a peculiar joyful affect. In the very moment of making themselves vulnerable and open to failure, appearing as

ridiculous 'bare' subjects, without guard or pretence, the clowns embrace possibility and creativity. "I may be different—a poor scapegoat... an object of ridicule...BUT—you-are-me... Activist culture is often paralysed by the desire to get things right. The fear of not creating the perfect action/campaign that will change the world... Yet many classic clown acts are founded on the idea that from failure comes opportunity."<sup>28</sup>

Indeed despite the great 'stories of the battle' of Prague and Genoa one might sense a recent minoritarian turn in the Global Justice Movement. In contrast to the apocalyptic sublime that Bataille theorised between two world wars or the Situationists' epic quest for a 'North West passage,' beyond the twentieth century the Global Justice Movement is often more in time with the profoundly quotidian folk-mythology of the single steps of the Zapatistas' 'Walking, we ask questions.' This minor perspective provides a way to think the movement which gets us from here to there, the movement which is of course the material substance of the larger, audacious leaps of history which we see crystallised in the myths of Seattle and J18.

In *Hamlet*, Shakespeare used the metaphor of an 'old mole' to bring the spectre of Hamlet's father back down to earth. Marx borrowed this metaphor to understand the virtuality of the 'spectre' of Communism as grounded in the subterranean tunnels of the working class' cycle of struggles. Perhaps the old mole can also materialise 'the breath of the possible' which Breton described in his Surrealist tracts and bring our mythology of sacred and sublime moments down to earth too. We can see the minor as grasping the movement of Marx's old mole, not only as an 'other' rupturing the surface of everyday life to emerge in visible, potent experiences of self-valorisation (in 1848, 1968 or 1998), but also as an intimate, burrowing recompositional mechanism, digging away at a series of North West passages within and against capital.

Aesthetics can create possibilities for thought. Deleuze argued that the Surrealists' method of montage, developed by the cinema, made possible a new way to think movement beyond what he saw as the false movement of dialectics. It is not surprising then that some theorists have chosen the Surrealist aesthetic as a way to represent radical possibility against the limits of Marxist theory. The Situationists' and the College's leap of faith used aesthetics, which lends itself to describing the impossible and the virtual, as a way to talk about their 'impossible' situation. Employing dialectics made it impossible for these theorists to grasp movement, to move from instances of refusal to total revolution. Autonomia Operaia's move away from totality allows us to see the theoretical leap of asserting subjective experience as an attempt to cross the gap to material practice. Similarly inspiring accounts such as that of our flying anarchist delineate the possibility of a (in this case quite literal) line of flight just as they describe the material praxis of the movement of movements.

The open nature of these vital moments of affect gives us a way to engage with the virtuality and possibility of the space of practical political engagement in a manner that we can see, in the figure of the minor, as navigat-

ing in the space between a bare-faced utopianism and blank impossibility. As Delaney's account describes these vital moments of affect are bound up with the creation of possibilities, of living in the pages of history, in the cramped space of capitalist social relationships.

#### **Notes**

- 1. Bataille, Georges. "The Pineal Eye," in *Visions of Excess: Selected Writings, 1927-39*. Edited by Allan Stoekl. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1988: 84.2
- 2. Bataille, Georges. *The Accursed Share: An Essay on General Economy Vols. 2 and 3: The History of Eroticism, Sovereignty.* Translated by Robert Hurley. New York: Zone, 1991: 14.
- 3. Bataille, Georges. *The Accursed Share: An Essay on General Economy.—Vol.1 : Consumption.* Translated by Robert Hurley. New York: Zone, 1988: 11.
- 4. Caillois, Roger. "Interview with Gilles Lapouge, June 1970," in *The Edge of Surrealism: A Roger Caillois Reader*. Edited by Claudine Frank. Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2004: 143.
- 5. Sorel, Georges. *Reflections on Violence*. Translated by Thomas Ernest Hulme and Jack Roth. Glencoe, Illinois: Free Press, 1950: 144.
- 6. Caillois, Roger. "Introduction," in *The College of Sociology 1937-39*. Edited by Denis Hollier. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1988: 10.
- 7. CrimethInc Ex-Workers Collective. *Days of War, Nights of Love: Crimethinc For Beginners*. CrimethInc Free Press, 2001: 113.
- 8. Caillois, Roger. "The Function of Myth," in *The Edge of Surrealism: A Roger Caillois Reader*. Edited by Claudine Frank. Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2003: 119.
- 9. Eagleton, Terry. *Holy Terror*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 2005: 91.
- 10. Ibid., 47.
- 11. Retort. *Afflicted Powers: Capital and Spectacle in a New Age of War*. London and New York: Verso, 2005: 28.
- 12. See http://www.smartmeme.com
- 13. Reinsborough, Patrick. "Decolonising the Revolutionary Imagination: Values Crisis, The Politics of Reality, and Why There's Going to be a Common-Sense Revolution in this Generation," in *Globalize Liberation: How to Uproot the System and Build a Better World*. Edited by David Solnit. San Francisco: City Lights, 2004: 180.
- 14. Vaneigem, Raoul. *A Cavalier History of Surrealism*. Translated by Donald Nicholson-Smith. Edinburgh: AK Press, 1999: 5.
- 15. Situationist International. "Preliminary Problems in Constructing a Situation," in *Situationist International Anthology*. Edited by Ken Knabb. Berkeley: Bureau of Public Secrets, 1995: 45.
- 16. Barrot, Jean. "Critique of the Situationist International," in *What Is Situationism? A Reader*. Edited by Stewart Home. Edinburgh and San Francisco: AK Press, 1996: 28.
- 17. Vaneigem, Raoul. The Revolution of Everyday Life. Translated by Donald

Nicholson-Smith. London: Rebel Press / Left Bank Books, 1994: 51.

- 18. Leeds May Day Group. *Summits and Plateaus*. self-published, 2005. See www.nadir.org.uk
- 19. Dissent! Flyer, 2005. See htp://www.dissent.org.uk
- 20. See, for example, Whitney, Jennifer. *Shattering the Myth of Seattle*. www.daysofdissent.org.uk/seattle.htm
- 21. Marx, Karl. *Capital: A Critical Analysis of Capitalist Production Vol.1*. Translated by Samuel Moore and Edward Aveling. London: Allen and Unwin, 1971: 46.
- 22. Thoburn, Nicholas. "Autonomous Production? On Negri's 'New Synthesis'," in *Theory, Culture and Society* 18(5): 2001: 7.9
- 23. Negri, Antonio. "Domination and Sabotage," in *Italy: Autonomia: Post-Political Politics*. Edited by Sylvere Lotringer and Christian Marazzi. New York: Semiotext(e), 1980: 63.
- 24. Ibid., 62.
- 25. Negri, Antonio. *Marx Beyond Marx: Lessons on the Grundrisse*. Translated by Harry Cleaver, Michael Ryan and Maurizio Viano. Brooklyn and London: Autonomedia and Pluto Press, 1991: 49.
- 26. Negri, Antonio. "Domination and Sabotage," 63.
- 27. Negri, Antonio. "Crisis of the Planner-State: Communism and Revolutionary Organisation," in *Revolution Retrieved: Selected Writings on Marx, Keynes, Capitalist Crisis and New Social Subjects 1967-83*. Edited by Red Notes. London: Red Notes, 1988: 147.
- 28. Kolonel Klepto. *Making War With love: The Clandestine Insurgent Rebel Clown Army*. www.clownarmy.org/about/writings.html,