

## Accepted Manuscript

Unemployment and inflammatory markers in England, Wales and Scotland,  
1998-2012: meta-analysis of results from 12 studies

Amanda Hughes, Meena Kumari, Anne McMunn, Mel Bartley


PII: S0889-1591(17)30102-2  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.03.012>  
Reference: YBRBI 3113

To appear in: *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*

Received Date: 9 December 2016  
Revised Date: 2 March 2017  
Accepted Date: 28 March 2017

Please cite this article as: Hughes, A., Kumari, M., McMunn, A., Bartley, M., Unemployment and inflammatory markers in England, Wales and Scotland, 1998-2012: meta-analysis of results from 12 studies, *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* (2017), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.03.012>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



**Title: Unemployment and inflammatory markers in England, Wales and Scotland, 1998-**

**2012: meta-analysis of results from 12 studies.**

Authors: Amanda Hughes<sup>a</sup>, Meena Kumari<sup>b</sup>, Anne McMunn<sup>c</sup> and Mel Bartley<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex, CO4 3SQ UK. Email: [a.hughes@essex.ac.uk](mailto:a.hughes@essex.ac.uk), Tel.: +441206873968, Fax: 01206873151

<sup>b</sup>Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex, CO4 3SQ UK. Email: [mkumari@essex.ac.uk](mailto:mkumari@essex.ac.uk)

<sup>c</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT

<sup>d</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT

Corresponding author: A. Hughes

Word count: 5,151 including tables

Funding: AH was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council grant ES/M008592/1. MK is supported by the University of Essex and ESRC grant RES-596-28-0001. AM was supported by the European Research Council (ERC-2011-StG\_20101124). MB is an Emeritus Professor at UCL.

## Abstract

### Introduction

Unemployment may represent a substantial source of psychosocial stress, and is linked to both increased risk of morbidity and mortality and adverse health-related behaviours. Few studies have examined the association of unemployment with systemic inflammation, a plausible mediator of the associations of psychosocial stress and health, and results are mixed and context dependent. This study examines the association of unemployment with C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen, two markers of systemic inflammation.

### Methods

A random-effects meta-analysis was performed using a multilevel modelling approach, including 12 national UK surveys of working-age participants in which CRP and fibrinogen were measured between 1998 and 2012 (N=30,037 economically active participants). The moderating impact of participant age and UK country was explored.

### Results

CRP and fibrinogen were elevated in unemployed compared to employed participants; jobseekers were also more likely (Odds Ratio: 1.39,  $p < 0.001$ ) to have CRP levels corresponding to high cardiovascular risk ( $> 3 \text{ mg/L}$ ), after adjustment for age, gender, education, long-term illness, smoking, and body mass index. Associations were not explained by mental health. Associations peaked in middle-age, and were stronger in Scotland and Wales than in England.

### Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that systemic inflammation is associated with an important but little-studied aspect of the social environment, as it is elevated in unemployed compared to employed survey participants. Modifications suggest the association of unemployment and inflammation is substantially influenced by contextual factors, and may be especially strong in Wales, where further investigation of this relationship is needed.

**Research highlights** (85 characters each)

- Inflammatory markers were elevated for jobseekers in UK studies between 1998-2012
- Elevations were net of education, health conditions and health behaviours
- Associations were stronger in Wales and Scotland than in England
- Associations were strongest for jobseekers aged 45-54

**Keywords:** unemployment, inflammation, C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, England, Scotland, Wales

## Introduction

There is an established association of stressful experiences with systemic inflammation.

Laboratory studies involving both animals(1) and humans(2, 3) have shown production of pro-inflammatory cytokines can be directly stimulated by psychologically stressful experiences(4), while in human observational studies an elevated inflammatory state has been associated with 'stressful life events' including bereavement(5) and caregiving(4).

More generally, markers of systemic inflammation are associated with disadvantaged socioeconomic position, a finding attributed both to psychosocial stress associated with socioeconomic disadvantage, and to social patterning of inflammation-related health behaviours (6-9). Unemployment is hypothesised to represent a substantial source of stress firstly through its financial impact, and secondly due to loss of non-financial benefits of employment, for example its role in supporting status and personal identity(10). An influence of unemployment on systemic inflammation through psychosocial stress might therefore be expected. Since systemic inflammation is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease(11, 12) and also associated with depression(13), such a pathway could also help to explain the elevated risk of both psychological morbidity(14) and mortality(15) among jobseekers.

However, any such associations must be shown to be independent of confounding by demographic, and health-related factors. Socioeconomic background may confound unemployment-health associations, because unemployment disproportionately affects more disadvantaged individuals(16). Since systemic inflammation is higher in women than men and increases with age(17), gender and age could either confound or suppress unemployment-inflammation associations, depending on the distribution of unemployment in a population. Diverse chronic illnesses including cancer(18), Parkinson's disease (19),

diabetes(20) and major depression(13) are associated with inflammation, and could therefore confound unemployment-inflammation associations if they also impact on employability.

Finally, differences in inflammation-related health behaviours could contribute to elevations in inflammatory markers among jobseekers. Of particular importance are smoking and adiposity: smoking increases inflammatory activity by augmenting production of pro-inflammatory cytokines(21), while adiposity is an important determinant of systemic inflammation because key pro-inflammatory cytokines are made in adipose tissue(22). Physical activity appears to have direct and immediate anti-inflammatory effects in addition to longer-lasting ones mediated through adiposity(23), while the relationship of alcohol appears U-shaped, with anti-inflammatory effects for moderate consumption(24, 25). Thus, inflammation-related health behaviours could confound unemployment-inflammation associations, if smokers or heavier people are more likely to become unemployed or to stay unemployed for longer(26), but could also act as mediators if unemployment triggers changes health-related behaviours, a possibility which has been called the 'coping hypothesis'(15). This is plausible for systemic inflammation, given evidence that unemployment may alter both smoking(27) and adiposity(28-30).

To date, only a handful of studies have investigated inflammatory markers in relation to unemployment. These used data from Finland, the US and the UK, and all report elevations in inflammatory markers for currently or recently unemployed participants (31-33).

However, these associations appear to be area specific and require further investigation.

The largest study used English and Scottish data and found elevations in the inflammatory markers C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen after taking account of age, gender,

occupational social class, housing tenure, smoking, body mass index and alcohol consumption, consistent with an influence of unemployment on inflammation which is independent of social background, chronic illness and health behaviours. Elevations were more apparent among older jobseekers and in Scotland(33), where unemployment had been higher at the time of data collection, suggesting moderation by contextual effects. This paper seeks to extend these analyses: an individual-participant data meta-analysis is presented of 12 national studies, incorporating data collected between 1998 and 2012 from all countries in Great Britain. To isolate a possible direct influence of unemployment on inflammation via psychosocial stress, we investigate jobseekers' inflammatory markers after accounting for a wide range of possible confounders including age, gender, education, long-term illness, mental health, BMI, and multiple dimensions of smoking and alcohol consumption.

## Methods

### Participants

This meta-analysis aims to be as comprehensive and representative as possible for a UK-based analysis. It therefore incorporates all usable observations from national English, Scottish or Welsh surveys to date which included working-age populations and measured C-reactive protein and fibrinogen from blood samples taken from the general population sample (as opposed to, for example, only an ethnic minority boost sample). No such data was available from Northern Ireland. Hence, we include data from the Health Survey for England (HSE), the Scottish Health Survey (SHeS), the National Child Development Study (NCDS) and *Understanding Society: The UK Household Longitudinal Survey* (UKHLS). The

HSE(34) and SHeS (35) are annually repeated cross-sectional surveys, comprising a new sample each year. Detailed sociodemographic and health-related information is collected annually; blood samples were collected from adult participants in the general population sample at HSE 1998, 2003, 2006, 2009 and SHeS 2003, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, which are included in the current analysis. HSE 1999 was not included as the biomedical component of this survey was focused specifically on the health of certain ethnic minority groups, and as such its CRP and fibrinogen measurements are not representative of the English population at the time. The NCDS is a longitudinal birth cohort study, which began as a study of over 17,000 children born in England, Wales and Scotland in one week in 1958(36). Sociodemographic information was collected at ages 7, 11, 16, 23, 33, 42, 50 and 55. When cohort members were aged 44-45, blood samples and anthropometric measurements were collected at a biomedical visit involving 9,377 remaining participants. UKHLS is a longitudinal nationally-representative UK study of over 40,000 households which began in 2009(37). Sociodemographic information is collected annually from the same participants, and in 2010-12, 13,258 adult participants gave blood samples at an additional biomedical visit(38).

The initial sample included men and women aged 22-64 (of working age but likely to have finished full-time education) and in the active labour force, i.e. currently employed or currently unemployed and seeking work. Participants not working due to sickness or disability, retired people, full-time students, and otherwise economically inactive participants were excluded. Participants whose CRP exceeded 10mg/L were excluded, since this is considered to reflect current or recent infection rather than chronic processes(39). In HSE and SHeS surveys fibrinogen was not measured for participants taking statins, fibrates



or beta-blockers, so for consistency NCDS and UKHLS participants known to be taking these medications were excluded from fibrinogen analyses. The initial sample therefore numbered 52,117 for CRP and 49,747 for fibrinogen. Of these, due primarily to lack of consent for blood samples, 32,259(62.0%) had usable CRP measurements and 30069 (60.4%) had usable fibrinogen measurements. Further exclusions for missing employment status and covariates resulted in combined complete-case sample size of 30,037 for CRP analyses and 28,661 for fibrinogen analyses.

## Measures

### Inflammatory markers

In HSE and SHeS surveys, serum CRP concentrations were analysed by the Biochemistry Department of the Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle (RVI), using the N Latex CRP mono Immunoassay on the Behring Nephelometer II Analyser (40) until SHeS 2011 when a Roche Modular P analyser was introduced(41). Fibrinogen was analysed at the RVI Haematology Department on the Organon Teknika MDA 180 analyser until HSE 2006(42-45) and subsequently the Auto Coagulation lab (TOP) CTS analyser (46-50). IN NCDS, CRP was measured on citrated plasma by high-sensitivity nephelometric analysis of latex particles coated with CRP-monoclonal antibodies on the BN ProSpec protein analyzer, and fibrinogen on citrated plasma by the Clauss method using a MDA 180 coagulometer, at the Royal Glasgow Infirmary(51). In UKHLS, CRP was analyzed using the N Latex CRP mono Immunoassay on the Behring Nephelometer II Analyser, and fibrinogen using a modified Clauss thrombin clotting method on the IL-ACS-TOPS analyser (38). Both the method and analyser used for measurement of CRP and fibrinogen were therefore for the most part consistent across surveys; the exceptions were CRP in SHeS 2011, CRP in NCDS, and

fibrinogen in UKHLS. Compared to CRP measurements from the Behring Nephelometer II Analyser used in HSE, UKHLS and SHes surveys until 2011 (41) CRP measurements from the Roche Modular P analyser used in SHes 2011 have been shown to be slightly higher. Analysis carried out in 2007 for the Health Survey for England showed a correlation of 0.96 for fibrinogen results obtained from the two analysers, meaning the results can be considered comparable(46). Quality control measures showed an acceptable level of reproducibility for CRP and fibrinogen across surveys. Intra-assay CV% values were typically higher for fibrinogen than CRP (38, 42, 43, 50), but overall CV% values for both markers in every survey were under 10% (38, 42, 43, 48, 50, 52).

Due to positive skew, CRP and fibrinogen were log-transformed for analysis; odds of CRP>3mg/L were also investigated using logistic models as values above this level correspond to 'high' cardiovascular risk(12). In the HSE and SHes, participants usually gave blood samples at the same time as sociodemographic information; in NCDS and UKHLS blood was taken during a separate visit.

#### Employment status

Employment status at blood sample collection was in all surveys determined from self-reported information, with participants choosing the most accurate description of their current economic activity from a list. For example in UKHLS, participants chose from self-employed; in paid employment (full or part time); unemployed; retired; on maternity leave; looking after family or home; full-time student; long-term sick or disabled; on a government training scheme; unpaid worker in a family business; doing something else. In HSE, SHes and UKHLS, an interviewer presented the options using a showcard, and at the NCDS biomedical interview they were included in a self-completion booklet. There were some

differences between the lists used across surveys: in HSE and SHeS surveys, unemployment was described as 'looking for paid work or a government training scheme'(41-43, 45-50), and as 'unemployed, looking for work' in the NCDS biomedical survey(53). Despite these differences, unemployment as commonly understood – the state of being in the labour force and available for work, but currently without it(54) - was always offered as a distinct option from non-employment categories such as retirement, homemaking or sickness/disability. Since analysis was restricted to economically active participants, unemployment was defined in models as a binary variable, coded as employed (full- or part-time, including self-employment) or unemployed. In UKHLS, blood samples were collected during a separate biomedical assessment which fell between annual interviews, so employment status was determined using employment history information from the following annual interview.

#### Co-variates

We aimed to include as covariates all likely confounders of an unemployment-inflammation association, including socio-demographic and lifestyle factors, chronic illness and mental health. Where variables could not be harmonised across studies, sensitivity analyses were employed to explore impact of possible confounders within studies. For smoking and body mass index, where confounding was a particular concern, additional robustness checks explored residual confounding with alternative specifications of the variables.

Age and gender were determined by questionnaire at blood sample collection in all surveys.

Given the non-linear association of age with inflammatory processes, age was categorised as 22-34, 35-44, 45-54 and 55-64; 45-54 was the largest group and therefore used as the reference group in analyses. Socioeconomic position was indexed by highest educational

qualification, since to the extent that income reduction mediates impact of unemployment on health, use of an income-based measure would be over-adjustment. Highest qualification was categorised as none, secondary/high school-level or other qualifications below college degree, or college degree or equivalent. Presence of a long-term illness was determined by self-report in all surveys. Smoking was classified as never smoker (the reference group), ex-smoker, current (<10/day), current (10-19/day) and current (20+/day); in sensitivity analyses, we explored alternative classifications of smoking based on duration. BMI was in every survey calculated from height and weight measured by a nurse, using a portable stadiometer and digital floor scales for height and weight respectively (55), (41, 45-47, 49, 56) (57). Body mass index (BMI) in  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$  was categorised using standard WHO classifications: underweight (<18.5  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) recommended weight (18.5-24.99 $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ), used as the reference group, overweight (25.0-29.99 $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ), class 1 obese (30.0-34.99 $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) and class 2 obese ( $\geq 35\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ); in sensitivity analyses we explored two further BMI specifications, firstly using a linear term for BMI and secondly and linear + a quadratic term.

Comparable measures of alcohol consumption and mental health were not available across surveys, so could not be included in the main analysis. However robustness checks explored the impact of including these factors in restricted meta-analyses including HSE, SHeS and UKHLS participants, and separately for NCDS participants. Where possible, we considered two distinct dimensions of alcohol consumption: frequency of consumption, and the maximum total units consumed on any single day of the past week (a measure of hazardous or 'binge' drinking). For HSE, SHeS and UKHLS participants, frequency of alcohol consumption was asked about using the following categories: twice a month or less/1-2 times per week/3-4 times per week/5+ times per week/non-drinker, while NCDS used once

per week or less/2-3 times per week/4+ times per week/non-drinker. For HSE, SHeS and UKHLS participants the hazardous drinking measure was categorised into roughly equal-sized groups as none/up to 4 units/4-6 units/6-8 units/8-10 units/10-15 units/>15 units in a single day in the past week. For HSE, SHeS and UKHLS participants, mental health was indexed by the 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) dichotomized as a total score of 0-3/4+, while NCDS it was indexed by a short form of the Revised Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS-R) dichotomised as 0-8/9+ points, following a procedure developed by Das-Munshi (58).

#### Statistical Analysis

A one-stage, individual-participant data meta-analysis was carried out using Stata's *xtmixed* package, as a multilevel analysis conducted with participants clustered within studies. The effect of unemployment on inflammatory markers was allowed to vary across studies (i.e. included as a random effect), and covariates representing potential confounders included in all models as fixed effects. The option covariance (unstructured) was specified, to allow the random intercepts and slopes for each study to be correlated. Survey data collected in three countries across a 14-year period was regarded as analogous to a multi-centre trial, with intercepts therefore modelled as random(59). Given prior evidence of substantial heterogeneity in effects between UK countries, the English, Scottish and Welsh components of NCDS and UKHLS were included separately from one another, resulting in a total of 15 study populations (Table 1). Modification by age and gender were explored by including interaction terms with unemployment, and stratified meta-analyses were conducted to examine associations separately within the three countries.

After each multilevel model, the STATA command *ipdforest* was used to obtain forest plots of study-specific estimates based on the previous model(59). We explored the impact of adjusting for the season of blood sample collection, and included an interaction term for use of statins, fibrates, corticosteroids, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. To check for bias resulting from complete-case analysis, age- and gender-adjusted models were run with an interaction term for whether participants had complete data of remaining covariates.

## Results

Description of pooled sample

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

**Table 1: Descriptive Characteristics of final CRP sample by employment status. N=30,037**

|  |                             | Employed<br>N=29,281 | Unemployed<br>N=918 | p (t-test/chi <sup>2</sup> ) |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
|  |                             | Mean (SD)            | Mean (SD)           |                              |
|  | CRP (mg/L)                  | 1.82(1.91)           | 2.21(2.20)          | <0.001                       |
|  | Fibrinogen (g/L)            | 2.75(0.59)           | 2.86(.65)           | <0.001                       |
|  | Age (years)                 | 43.8(9.4)            | 42.5(11.5)          | <0.001                       |
|  |                             | %                    | %                   |                              |
| <b>Age group</b>                         | 22-34                       | 18.0                 | 28.0                | <0.001                       |
|  | 35-44                       | 29.8                 | 22.7                | <0.001                       |
|  | 45-54                       | 37.9                 | 31.7                | <0.001                       |
|  | 55-64                       | 14.4                 | 17.6                | 0.006                        |
| <b>Gender</b>                            | Male                        | 51.1                 | 63.0                |                              |
|  | Female                      | 48.9                 | 37.0                | <0.001                       |
| <b>Highest educational qualification</b> | No qualifications           | 13.2                 | 23.6                | <0.001                       |
|  | School-level qualifications | 55.7                 | 54.2                | 0.37                         |
|  | College degree/equivalent   | 31.1                 | 22.2                | <0.001                       |
| <b>Survey*</b>                           | HSE 1998                    | 18.0                 | 17.2                | 0.51                         |
|  | HSE 2003                    | 14.4                 | 9.1                 | <0.001                       |
|  | HSE 2006                    | 12.5                 | 11.2                | 0.245                        |
|  | HSE 2009                    | 3.7                  | 5.0                 | 0.04                         |
|  | NCDS 2003 (England)         | 18.2                 | 7.9                 | <0.001                       |
|  | UKHLS 2010-11 (England)     | 16.2                 | 31.1                | <0.001                       |
|  | SHeS 2003                   | 6.2                  | 6.7                 | 0.57                         |
|  | SHeS 2008                   | 1.3                  | 0.9                 | 0.25                         |
|  | SHeS 2009                   | 1.3                  | 1.6                 | 0.43                         |
|  | SHeS 2010                   | 1.3                  | 1.4                 | 0.80                         |
|  | SHeS 2011                   | 1.0                  | 2.3                 | <0.001                       |
|  | NCDS 2003 (Scotland)        | 2.0                  | 1.9                 | 0.72                         |
|  | UKHLS 2010-11 (Scotland)    | 1.6                  | 1.9                 | 0.55                         |
|  | NCDS 2003 (Wales)           | 1.0                  | 0.4                 | 0.10                         |
|  | UKHLS 2010-11 (Wales)       | 1.2                  | 1.5                 | 0.36                         |
| <b>Long-term illness</b>                 | No                          | 68.8                 | 61.2                |                              |
|  | Yes                         | 31.2                 | 38.8                | <0.001                       |
| <b>Smoking</b>                           | Never                       | 45.9                 | 31.5                | <0.001                       |
|  | Ex                          | 30.5                 | 19.6                | <0.001                       |
|  | Current, ≤10/day            | 9.4                  | 17.8                | <0.001                       |
|  | Current, 11-20/day          | 10.9                 | 23.7                | <0.001                       |
|  | Current, >20/day            | 3.3                  | 7.3                 | <0.001                       |
| <b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>            | 18.5-24.99 (recommended)    | 34.7                 | 39.3                | 0.004                        |
|  | 25.0-29.99 (overweight)     | 42.1                 | 33.9                | <0.001                       |
|  | 30.0-34.99 (class 1 obese)  | 16.5                 | 16.5                | 0.99                         |
|  | ≥35.0 (class 2 obese)       | 6.1                  | 8.0                 | 0.02                         |
|  | <18.5 (underweight)         | 0.6                  | 2.3                 | <0.001                       |
| <b>Anti-inflammatory medications</b>     | No                          | 92.6                 | 89.3                |                              |
|  | Yes                         | 7.44                 | 10.7                | <0.001                       |

\*HSE: Health Survey for England. SHeS: Scottish Health Survey. NCDS: National Child Development Study. UKHLS: UK Household Longitudinal Study

Compared to employed participants, jobseekers in the sample were on average younger and less educated, and more likely to be male, to be taking anti-inflammatory medications, and to report a long-term illness. They were more likely to smoke and to smoke heavily, and were less likely to be overweight but more likely to have extreme BMIs of  $<18.5$  or  $\geq 35.0$  (all  $p < 0.05$ ). Within UKHLS data, proportionally more jobseekers came from England than Scotland or Wales (Table 1). This indicates a bias in the data, since analysis of Labour Force Survey data(60, 61) shows that in the relevant period (June 2010-June 2012) unemployment for individuals aged 22-64 was typically higher in Scotland than England.

#### Whole-sample estimates

Pooled estimates showed log-transformed CRP, log-transformed fibrinogen, and odds of  $\text{CRP} > 3\text{mg/L}$  were all significantly raised for unemployed compared to employed participants after full adjustment, with  $I^2$  values indicating minimal inter-study heterogeneity (Table 2).

Fully-adjusted associations for individual studies are displayed in Figures 1-3.

There was no evidence of gender interaction for any inflammatory marker (interaction  $p = 0.33$ ,  $p = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.91$  for log-transformed CRP, log-transformed fibrinogen, and odds of  $\text{CRP} > 3\text{mg/L}$ ), but associations did vary by age group. Compared to jobseekers aged 45-54, elevations in log-transformed CRP and log-transformed fibrinogen were weaker for jobseekers aged 22-34 (CRP interaction:  $-0.31$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , fibrinogen interaction  $-0.05$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ) and jobseekers aged 35-44 (CRP interaction:  $0.17$ ,  $p = 0.04$ , fibrinogen interaction  $-0.06$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). Elevation in odds of  $\text{CRP} > 3\text{mg/L}$  was also weaker in the youngest age group (interaction term:  $0.61$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). Associations of unemployment with inflammatory markers by age group are shown in Table 3.



In models adjusted for age and gender only, interaction terms for having complete data on further covariates were all nonsignificant but in the direction of weaker associations for retained participants (log-transformed CRP: -0.20,  $p=0.15$ ; log-transformed fibrinogen:-0.03,  $p=0.29$ ; CRP>3mg/L: 0.71,  $p=0.20$ ).

**Table 2: Association of current unemployment with inflammatory markers across all studies**

| Adjustment                 | Age, gender |           |        |                | Full: Age, gender, education, long-term illness, BMI, smoking status |           |        |                |        |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|----------------|--|-----------|--------|----------------|--------|
|                            | Coeff/OR    | CI        | p      | I <sup>2</sup> | Coeff/OR   | CI        | p      | I <sup>2</sup> | N      |
| Log-transformed CRP        | 0.20        | 0.11-0.29 | <0.001 | 0.7%           | 0.13   | 0.06-0.20 | <0.001 | 0.4%           | 30,037 |
| Log-transformed fibrinogen | 0.06        | 0.04-0.08 | <0.001 | 1.1%           | 0.04   | 0.02-0.06 | <0.001 | 0.7%           | 27,960 |
| CRP>3mg/L                  | 1.55        | 1.32-1.71 | <0.001 | n/a            | 1.39   | 1.17-1.64 | <0.001 | n/a            | 30,037 |

**Table 3: Fully-adjusted\* association of current unemployment with inflammatory markers by age group**

| Log-transformed CRP        | Coeff | CI         | p      | N      |
|----------------------------|-------|------------|--------|--------|
| 22-34                      | -0.03 | -0.15-0.09 | 0.61   | 5496   |
| 35-44                      | 0.10  | -0.03-0.23 | 0.14   | 8874   |
| 45-54                      | 0.27  | 0.16-0.38  | <0.001 | 11323  |
| 55-64                      | 0.14  | 0.00-0.29  | 0.05   | 4344   |
| Log-transformed Fibrinogen | Coeff | CI         | p      |        |
| 22-34                      | 0.02  | 0.00-0.05  | 0.10   | 5,355  |
| 35-44                      | 0.01  | -0.02-0.04 | 0.49   | 8,492  |
| 45-54                      | 0.07  | 0.04-0.09  | <0.001 | 10,563 |
| 55-64                      | 0.06  | 0.02-0.10  | <0.001 | 3,550  |
| CRP>3mg/L                  | OR    | CI         | p      |        |
| 22-34                      | 1.03  | 0.73-1.45  | 0.88   | 5496   |
| 35-44                      | 1.37  | 0.97-1.94  | 0.08   | 8874   |
| 45-54                      | 1.67  | 1.26-2.22  | <0.001 | 11323  |
| 55-64                      | 1.47  | 1.02-2.12  | 0.04   | 4344   |

\* Full adjustment: Age, gender, education, long-term illness, BMI, smoking status

Figure 1: Association of C-reactive protein with unemployment, all studies




Figure 2: Association of Fibrinogen with unemployment, all studies





Figure 3: Association of CRP&gt;3mg/L with unemployment, all studies



Country-stratified meta-analyses (Table 4) found associations differed substantially in magnitude between countries for both CRP and fibrinogen. Effects were weakest in England, intermediate in Scotland and strongest in Wales. For odds of CRP>3mg/L, associations again appeared weaker in England than Scotland and Wales, although low power led to an imprecise and non-significant estimate for the pooled Welsh sample (Table 3).

**Table 4: Association of current unemployment with inflammatory markers (fully-adjusted\*), from meta-analyses stratified by country**

|                            |        |       |            |        |                     |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|---------------------|
| Log-transformed CRP        | N      | Coeff | CI         | p      | Within-strata $I^2$ |
| ENGLAND                    | 24,909 | 0.08  | -0.00-0.16 | 0.06   | 0.3%                |
| SCOTLAND                   | 4,478  | 0.33  | 0.13-0.53  | 0.001  | 3.0%                |
| WALES                      | 650    | 0.50  | -0.05-1.05 | 0.08   | 7.2%                |
| Log-transformed Fibrinogen | N      | Coeff | CI         | p      | Within-strata $I^2$ |
| ENGLAND                    | 23,271 | 0.02  | 0.01-0.04  | 0.008  | 0.4%                |
| SCOTLAND                   | 4,068  | 0.08  | 0.05-0.11  | <0.001 | 0.0%                |
| WALES                      | 621    | 0.10  | 0.00-0.20  | <0.05  | 0.0%                |
| CRP>3mg/L                  | N      | OR    | CI         | p      | Within-strata $I^2$ |
| ENGLAND                    | 24,909 | 1.24  | 1.02- 1.51 | 0.03   | n/a†                |
| SCOTLAND                   | 4,478  | 2.04  | 1.17-3.57  | 0.01   | n/a                 |
| WALES                      | 650    | 1.96  | 0.63-6.04  | 0.24   | n/a                 |

\*Full adjustment: age, gender, education, long-term illness, BMI, smoking status

†ipdforest does not calculate an  $I^2$  statistic for dichotomous outcomes

### Robustness checks

For log-transformed CRP and odds of CRP>3mg/L, substantial and negative interactions were found between unemployment and use of potentially anti-inflammatory medications (coeff: -0.20, p=0.05 and OR: 0.55, p=0.02 respectively), indicating that among people taking these drugs, CRP elevation among jobseekers was substantially lower. For fibrinogen, where participants taking statins or fibrates had been excluded from analysis, there was no evidence of interaction with corticosteroid or NSAID use (interaction p=0.27). Addition of alcohol consumption, mental health, and season of blood samples (Table 3) made minimal differences to estimates.

To examine impact of over-representation of English jobseekers within UKHLS, separate UKHLS analyses were run using available inverse-probability weights to correct for response bias. Results were substantially the same in weighted and unweighted analysis, showing significant elevation only for fibrinogen in both cases: the overall unemployment elevation for log-transformed CRP was 0.05 (CI: -0.07-0.17) weighted against 0.07 (CI: 0.03-0.17) unweighted, for fibrinogen 0.03 (CI: 0.00-0.06) weighted against 0.03 (CI: 0.01-0.05) unweighted, and for odds of CRP>3mg/L OR 1.03 (CI: 0.72-1.48) weighted against OR 1.12 (CI: 0.84-1.50) unweighted.

**Table 4: Association of current unemployment with inflammatory markers: additional adjustment for alcohol consumption, mental health, and season of blood collection, alternative BMI and smoking classifications**

| Adjustment  | Standard full adjustment* |            |        | With additional/alternative adjustment |            |        |        |
|---|---------------------------|------------|--------|--|------------|--------|--------|
|   | Coeff                     | CI         | p      | Coeff                                  | CI         | p      | N      |
| <i>Log-transformed CRP</i>                                  |                           |            |        |  |            |        |        |
| Alcohol frequency: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                         | 0.15                      | 0.03-0.26  | 0.01   | 0.14                                   | 0.03-0.26  | 0.02   | 23,120 |
| Alcohol frequency: NCDS                                     | 0.19                      | -0.02-0.39 | 0.07   | 0.18                                   | -0.02-0.39 | 0.07   | 6,278  |
| Alcohol binge measure: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                     | 0.15                      | 0.04-0.26  | 0.006  | 0.14                                   | 0.04-0.25  | 0.008  | 22,999 |
| Mental Health: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                             | 0.17                      | 0.04-0.29  | 0.01   | 0.17                                   | 0.05-0.29  | 0.01   | 22,956 |
| Mental Health: NCDS   | 0.19                      | -0.01-0.39 | 0.07   | 0.19                                   | -0.01-0.39 | 0.06   | 6,242  |
| Season of blood collection (all participants)               | 0.13                      | 0.06-0.20  | <0.001 | 0.13                                   | 0.06-0.20  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Linear term for BMI   | 0.13                      | 0.06-0.20  | <0.001 | 0.15                                   | 0.07-0.23  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Linear + squared term for BMI                               | 0.13                      | 0.06-0.20  | <0.001 | 0.17                                   | 0.09-0.25  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Current smokers by duration: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS               | 0.16                      | 0.04-0.27  | 0.01   | 0.15                                   | 0.04-0.26  | 0.01   | 23,689 |
| Current smokers by duration and heaviness: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS | 0.17                      | 0.05-0.29  | 0.01   | 0.16                                   | 0.04-0.28  | 0.01   | 22,469 |
| <i>Log-transformed Fibrinogen</i>                           |                           |            |        |  |            |        |        |
| Alcohol frequency: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                         | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.03                                   | 0.02-0.05  | <0.001 | 21,332 |
| Alcohol frequency : NCDS                                    | 0.04                      | 0.01-0.08  | 0.02   | 0.04                                   | 0.01-0.08  | 0.02   | 6,026  |
| Alcohol binge measure: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                     | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.05  | <0.001 | 21,211 |
| Mental Health: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                             | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 21,197 |
| Mental Health: NCDS   | 0.04                      | 0.00-0.08  | 0.03   | 0.04                                   | 0.00-0.08  | 0.03   | 5,991  |
| Season of blood collection (all participants)               | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.05  | <0.001 | 27,960 |
| Linear term for BMI   | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 27,960 |
| Linear + squared term for BMI                               | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.03-0.06  | <0.001 | 27,960 |
| Current smokers by duration: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS               | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 21,867 |
| Current smokers by duration and heaviness: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS | 0.04                      | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 0.04                                   | 0.02-0.06  | <0.001 | 20,713 |
| <i>CRP&gt;3mg/L</i>   |                           |            |        |  |            |        |        |
| Alcohol frequency: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                         | 1.47                      | 1.07-2.01  | 0.02   | 1.46                                   | 1.07-1.99  | 0.02   | 23,120 |
| Alcohol frequency: NCDS                                     | 1.49                      | 0.86-2.58  | 0.15   | 1.49                                   | 0.86-2.57  | 0.16   | 6,258  |
| Alcohol binge measure: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                     | 1.41                      | 1.18-1.69  | <0.001 | 1.40                                   | 1.17-1.68  | <0.001 | 22,999 |
| Mental Health: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS                             | 1.48                      | 1.06-2.06  | 0.02   | 1.48                                   | 1.06-2.06  | 0.02   |        |
| Mental Health: NCDS   | 1.50                      | 0.87-2.59  | 0.15   | 1.51                                   | 0.88-2.63  | 0.14   | 6,222  |
| Season of blood collection (all participants)               | 1.39                      | 1.17-1.64  | <0.001 | 1.39                                   | 1.17-1.64  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Linear term for BMI   | 1.39                      | 1.17-1.64  | <0.001 | 1.39                                   | 1.17-1.64  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Linear + squared term for BMI                               | 1.39                      | 1.17-1.64  | <0.001 | 1.40                                   | 1.16-1.65  | <0.001 | 30,037 |
| Current smokers by duration: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS               | 1.45                      | 1.08-1.96  | 0.01   | 1.45                                   | 1.09-1.93  | 0.01   | 23,689 |
| Current smokers by duration and heaviness: HSE, SHeS, UKHLS | 1.58                      | 1.10-2.28  | 0.01   | 1.56                                   | 1.09-2.25  | 0.02   | 22,469 |

\*Full adjustment: age, gender, education, long-term illness, WHO BMI categories, smoking status based on heaviness



## Discussion

With overall elevations in log-transformed CRP, log-transformed fibrinogen and odds of CRP>3mg/L for unemployed participants compared to controls, results are consistent with previous studies from the US, Finland and the UK reporting elevations in inflammatory markers associated with unemployment. Associations were robust to adjustment for age, gender, education, long term illness, smoking classified using both heaviness and duration, adiposity specified using three BMI-based measures, and mental health, indicating these factors did not explain differences. While the absence of objective physical activity measures meant this aspect of health-related behaviour could not be explored, it seems unlikely that this could have produced spurious associations, since it is not clear how physical activity could causally impact on unemployment independently of effects via BMI. Jobseekers had substantially elevated odds (OR: 1.39) of CRP>3mg/L, corresponding to 'high' cardiovascular risk(12). Results therefore indicate that systemic inflammation during unemployment is of clinical significance, and may contribute to the elevated mortality observed among jobseekers.

The mechanisms responsible for age and country modification, meanwhile, are less clear. That associations were stronger in the 45-54 group than for younger participants or those approaching retirement contrasts with results a previous and smaller study, which found a stepwise increase in associations with participant age(33). A sensitivity analysis established this was not due to a different relationship in the NCDS, whose participants contributed heavily to this age group, as associations still peaked at 45-54 with NCDS participants excluded (e.g. coefficients for log-transformed CRP, by age group: 22-35: -0.01, p=0.84, 35-44: 0.15, p=0.06, 45-54: 0.29, p<0.001, and 55-64: 0.16, p=0.05). Age modification of unemployment-health relationships may itself depend on contextual factors(62), and the

age modification seen in the current, larger study may suggest an exacerbating influence in midlife of factors not measured in these surveys, such as family responsibilities(63) or declining career commitment as retirement age approaches(14). Further research using other datasets is required to investigate these possibilities in the context of systemic inflammation. The age modification in the current study does however accord with a recent meta-analysis on unemployment and mortality in which associations were stronger in midlife than for individuals approaching retirement(15), consistent with a role of systemic inflammation in the elevated mortality among jobseekers.

Previously reported country modification – stronger associations in Scotland compared to England - proved robust to meta-analytic methods and the addition of two further large-scale studies. Meanwhile, despite low power which led to imprecise estimates, results suggest associations may be even stronger in Wales. The reason for this country modification is unclear, but differences could firstly reflect an influence of local unemployment rate. Labour Force Survey data shows that during the years of data collection, unemployment was on average lower in England than in Scotland and Wales(64), consistent with a stronger inflammation-unemployment association in higher-unemployment areas. These results may therefore support operation of accumulation processes in the relationship of unemployment and systemic inflammation, since unemployment spells may typically be longer in higher-unemployment areas(65).

Psychologically-mediated health impacts may also be exacerbated in higher-unemployment areas, where jobseekers may rationally perceive prospects for re-employment as worse(66). In any case, results do not support 'selection-based' accounts of jobseekers' worse health compared to controls, which hypothesize that elevated morbidity occurs because of existing

poor health causing job loss or preventing re-employment. According to this view, associations of joblessness and ill-health should be weaker in places and times of high unemployment, where jobseekers are a less selected group(67).

It is also possible that geographical differences in former occupations may have played a role in country modification effects. For example, the decline of UK coal-mining in the 1980s left worklessness concentrated in former coalfields, areas where >10% of men employed in 1981 were employed in mining(68) and which in 2011 accounted for 8% of the population in England, 10% in Scotland, and 25% in Wales(69). Thus, country differences in associations of unemployment and inflammatory markers may partly reflect jobseekers' earlier occupational exposures(70) as well as associations with joblessness itself. In any case results indicate that, whether due to causal impacts of unemployment, occupational exposures, or additional mechanisms, middle-aged jobseekers outside England may be especially at risk of inflammation-related illness.

### Limitations

The majority of surveys in this meta-analysis are cross-sectional, while NCDS and UKHLS were longitudinal studies with a single blood sample collection. It was therefore not possible to rule out a causal influence of inflammation on unemployment, although adjustment for long-term illness will have minimised confounding by chronic inflammation-related disease. Since smoking was ascertained by self-report it is possible that, despite investigating the impact of smoking heaviness and smoking duration, associations were affected by residual confounding in smoking. There was no evidence that conducting a complete-case analysis inflated estimates, but substantial loss of data between those targeted to take part in surveys, and those from whom usable CRP and fibrinogen measurements were obtained, may have produced bias. If unemployment-inflammation associations are stronger outside England, the excess of English (as opposed to Scottish and Welsh) jobseekers within UKHLS would be expected to bias downwards associations in the whole sample. However, application of weights in a sensitivity analysis suggests this did not substantially affect results. While the strongest country-specific effects were seen in Wales, low power for that country led to imprecise estimates, and sufficient employment history information was not available to investigate the contribution of former occupation.

### Conclusions

In a large sample comprising all national UK surveys to date suitable for this research question, markers of systemic inflammation were elevated for unemployed compared to employed participants. Jobseekers were substantially more likely to have CRP levels corresponding to increased cardiovascular risk, suggesting a role for systemic inflammation in their increased morbidity and mortality. Associations were stronger in Wales and

Scotland than England, suggesting the association of unemployment and inflammation is substantially influenced by contextual factors. Middle-aged jobseekers outside England and in high-unemployment areas may be especially at risk of inflammation-related illness. Longitudinal work is now required to further investigate the mechanisms involved.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Appendix 1: Descriptive Characteristics of final CRP sample by study. N=30,037

|  |                          | HSE 1998<br>N=5,410 | HSE 2003<br>N= 4,269 | HSE 2006<br>N= 3,727 | HSE 2009<br>N=1,133 | NCDS<br>England<br>N= 5,382 | UKHLS<br>England<br>N=4,988 | SHeS<br>2003<br>N=1,869 | SHeS<br>2008<br>N=389 | SHeS<br>2009<br>N=404 | SHeS<br>2010<br>N=398 | SHeS<br>2011<br>N=325 | NCDS<br>Scotland<br>N=608 | UKHLS<br>Scotland<br>N=485 | NCDS<br>Wales<br>N=288 | UKHLS<br>Wales<br>N=362 |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|  |                          | Mean<br>(SD)        | Mean<br>(SD)         | Mean<br>(SD)         | Mean<br>(SD)        | Mean<br>(SD)                | Mean<br>(SD)                | Mean<br>(SD)            | Mean<br>(SD)          | Mean<br>(SD)          | Mean<br>(SD)          | Mean<br>(SD)          | Mean<br>(SD)              | Mean<br>(SD)               | Mean<br>(SD)           | Mean<br>(SD)            |
| CRP (mg/L)                               |                          | 1.80<br>(1.92)      | 1.96<br>(2.01)       | 1.93<br>(1.97)       | 1.91<br>(1.87)      | 1.57<br>(1.78)              | 1.87<br>(1.89)              | 1.91<br>(1.99)          | 2.08<br>(2.02)        | 1.96<br>(1.98)        | 1.75<br>(1.80)        | 1.78<br>(1.83)        | 1.75<br>(1.91)            | 1.98<br>(2.00)             | 1.68<br>(1.82)         | 2.07<br>(1.99)          |
| Fibrinogen (g/L)                         |                          | 2.49<br>(0.58)      | 2.80<br>(0.62)       | 2.84<br>(0.62)       | 2.96<br>(0.50)      | 2.90<br>(0.56)              | 2.66<br>(0.52)              | 2.81<br>(0.59)          | 3.12<br>(0.49)        | 2.97<br>(0.50)        | 2.83<br>(0.51)        | 2.84<br>(0.49)        | 2.89<br>(0.58)            | 2.64<br>(0.52)             | 2.92<br>(0.55)         | 2.76<br>(0.51)          |
| Age (years)                              |                          | 41.4<br>(10.6)      | 43.0<br>(10.7)       | 43.8<br>(10.7)       | 44.2<br>(10.6)      | 44.7<br>(0.47)              | 45.0<br>(10.5)              | 43.9<br>(10.5)          | 44.3<br>(10.7)        | 44.1<br>(10.7)        | 44.7<br>(11.0)        | 44.9<br>(10.1)        | 44.7<br>(0.47)            | 44.8<br>(10.0)             | 44.8<br>(0.44)         | 45.1<br>(10.6)          |
| <b>Gender</b>                            |                          | %                   | %                    | %                    | %                   | %                           | %                           | %                       | %                     | %                     | %                     | %                     | %                         | %                          | %                      | %                       |
|  | Male                     | 54.8                | 51.4                 | 51.2                 | 52.3                | 52.6                        | 47.5                        | 51.9                    | 49.4                  | 47.5                  | 48.5                  | 49.5                  | 54.0                      | 46.6                       | 55.2                   | 47.5                    |
|  | Female                   | 45.2                | 48.6                 | 48.8                 | 47.8                | 47.5                        | 52.5                        | 48.2                    | 50.6                  | 52.5                  | 51.5                  | 50.5                  | 46.1                      | 53.4                       | 44.8                   | 52.5                    |
| <b>Employment status</b>                 |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | Employed                 | 97.1                | 98.1                 | 97.3                 | 95.9                | 98.7                        | 94.3                        | 96.7                    | 97.9                  | 96.3                  | 96.7                  | 93.5                  | 97.2                      | 96.5                       | 98.6                   | 96.1                    |
|  | Unemployed               | 2.9                 | 1.9                  | 2.7                  | 4.1                 | 1.3                         | 5.7                         | 3.3                     | 2.1                   | 3.7                   | 3.3                   | 6.5                   | 2.8                       | 3.5                        | 1.4                    | 3.9                     |
| <b>Highest educational qualification</b> |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | No quals                 | 19.4                | 13.6                 | 13.0                 | 10.9                | 14.4                        | 5.5                         | 19.4                    | 11.3                  | 9.7                   | 12.3                  | 8.9                   | 25.0                      | 4.1                        | 19.8                   | 6.4                     |
|  | School-level quals       | 62.1                | 61.4                 | 58.3                 | 60.2                | 50.1                        | 51.6                        | 46.3                    | 52.4                  | 54.0                  | 49.3                  | 52.6                  | 56.9                      | 48.5                       | 50.7                   | 59.1                    |
|  | College degree or equiv. | 18.5                | 25.0                 | 28.7                 | 28.9                | 35.5                        | 42.9                        | 34.2                    | 36.3                  | 36.4                  | 38.4                  | 38.5                  | 18.1                      | 47.4                       | 29.5                   | 34.5                    |
| <b>Long-term illness</b>                 |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | No                       | 65.7                | 63.9                 | 63.8                 | 67.6                | 74.8                        | 72.1                        | 69.0                    | 63.2                  | 66.8                  | 66.1                  | 58.5                  | 73.5                      | 74.4                       | 74.0                   | 73.5                    |
|  | Yes                      | 34.3                | 36.1                 | 36.2                 | 32.4                | 25.2                        | 27.9                        | 31.0                    | 36.8                  | 33.2                  | 33.9                  | 41.5                  | 26.5                      | 25.6                       | 26.0                   | 26.5                    |
| <b>Smoking</b>                           |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | Never                    | 42.6                | 44.7                 | 47.0                 | 49.7                | 46.3                        | 42.5                        | 49.3                    | 49.6                  | 48.3                  | 55.0                  | 50.8                  | 47.5                      | 42.3                       | 53.8                   | 44.5                    |
|  | Ex                       | 29.3                | 29.6                 | 30.6                 | 30.3                | 27.3                        | 37.2                        | 27.2                    | 28.0                  | 27.5                  | 28.1                  | 25.2                  | 23.9                      | 35.9                       | 21.2                   | 30.1                    |
|  | Current: ≤10/day         | 9.5                 | 9.2                  | 9.3                  | 9.0                 | 10.9                        | 10.6                        | 6.5                     | 8.2                   | 7.2                   | 8.3                   | 9.9                   | 8.7                       | 10.5                       | 9.7                    | 12.2                    |
|  | Current: 11-20/day       | 13.2                | 12.4                 | 10.2                 | 8.7                 | 12.1                        | 8.6                         | 12.7                    | 10.8                  | 11.6                  | 6.8                   | 10.2                  | 14.8                      | 8.5                        | 11.8                   | 11.9                    |
|  | Current: >20/day         | 5.4                 | 4.1                  | 2.9                  | 2.3                 | 3.4                         | 1.2                         | 4.3                     | 3.3                   | 5.5                   | 1.8                   | 4.0                   | 5.1                       | 2.9                        | 3.5                    | 1.4                     |
| <b>BMI (kg/m2)</b>                       |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | 18.5-24.99               | 40.3                | 36.5                 | 36.2                 | 34.1                | 34.7                        | 30.5                        | 34.3                    | 28.5                  | 29.7                  | 26.4                  | 37.5                  | 30.8                      | 26.0                       | 26.4                   | 30.7                    |
|  | 25.0-29.99               | 41.3                | 42.4                 | 40.5                 | 41.8                | 43.2                        | 41.1                        | 43.9                    | 42.9                  | 44.1                  | 43.0                  | 35.7                  | 40.6                      | 41.0                       | 46.2                   | 37.0                    |
|  | 30.0-34.99               | 13.6                | 15.3                 | 17.0                 | 17.6                | 16.0                        | 18.5                        | 15.4                    | 18.8                  | 20.8                  | 21.6                  | 18.2                  | 20.9                      | 21.7                       | 20.5                   | 21.0                    |
|  | ≥35.0                    | 3.9                 | 5.1                  | 5.6                  | 5.9                 | 5.7                         | 9.2                         | 5.7                     | 8.2                   | 5.0                   | 8.8                   | 8.3                   | 7.7                       | 11.1                       | 6.6                    | 10.5                    |
|  | <18.5                    | 1.0                 | 0.7                  | 0.7                  | 0.7                 | 0.4                         | 0.7                         | 0.8                     | 1.5                   | 0.5                   | 0.3                   | 0.3                   | 0.0                       | 0.2                        | 0.4                    | 0.8                     |
| <b>Anti-inflammatory medications</b>     |                          |                     |                      |                      |                     |                             |                             |                         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                           |                            |                        |                         |
|  | No                       | 95.2                | 94.4                 | 92.1                 | 91.3                | 92.0                        | 90.2                        | 92.5                    | 87.2                  | 88.4                  | 88.7                  | 88.3                  | 89.6                      | 89.1                       | 90.6                   | 89.8                    |
|  | Yes                      | 4.8                 | 5.7                  | 7.9                  | 8.7                 | 8.0                         | 9.8                         | 7.5                     | 12.9                  | 11.6                  | 11.3                  | 11.7                  | 10.4                      | 10.9                       | 9.4                    | 10.2                    |

1. Zhou DH, Kusnecov AW, Shurin MR, Depaoli M, Rabin BS. Exposure to physical and psychological stressors elevates plasma interleukin-6 - relationship to the activation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Endocrinology*. 1993;133(6):2523-30. doi: 10.1210/en.133.6.2523. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1993MK15100017.
2. Maes M, Song C, Lin AH, De Jongh R, Van Gastel A, Kenis G, et al. The effects of psychological stress on humans: Increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and a Th1-like response in stress-induced anxiety. *Cytokine*. 1998;10(4):313-8. doi: 10.1006/cyto.1997.0290. PubMed PMID: WOS:000073763600012.
3. Maes M, Lin AH, Delmeire L, Van Gastel A, Kenis G, De Jongh R, et al. Elevated serum interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-6 receptor concentrations in posttraumatic stress disorder following accidental man-made traumatic events. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1999;45(7):833-9. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(98)00131-0. PubMed PMID: WOS:000079518900005.
4. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Preacher KJ, MacCallum RC, Atkinson C, Malarkey WB, Glaser R. Chronic stress and age-related increases in the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003;100(15):9090-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1531903100. PubMed PMID: WOS:000184371000089.
5. Buckley T, Morel-Kopp MC, Ward C, Bartrop R, McKinley S, Mihailidou AS, et al. Inflammatory and thrombotic changes in early bereavement: a prospective evaluation. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*. 2012;19(5):1145-52. doi: 10.1177/1741826711421686. PubMed PMID: WOS:000309527700025.
6. Gimeno D, Ferrie JE, Elovainio M, Pulkki-Raback L, Keltikangas-Jarvinen L, Eklund C, et al. When do social inequalities in C-reactive protein start? A life course perspective from conception to adulthood in the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2008;37(2):290-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dym244. PubMed PMID: WOS:000254713400014.
7. McDade TW, Lindau ST, Wroblewski K. Predictors of C-Reactive Protein in the National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project. *Journals of Gerontology Series B-Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*. 2011;66(1):129-36. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbq008. PubMed PMID: WOS:000286536900014.
8. Marmot MG, Shipley MJ, Hemingway H, Head J, Brunner EJ. Biological and behavioural explanations of social inequalities in coronary heart disease: the Whitehall II study. *Diabetologia*. 2008;51(11):1980-8. doi: 10.1007/s00125-008-1144-3. PubMed PMID: WOS:000259816500006.
9. Jones R, Hardy R, Sattar N, Deanfield JE, Hughes A, Kuh D, et al. Novel coronary heart disease risk factors at 60-64 years and life course socioeconomic position: The 1946 British birth cohort. *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;238(1):70-6. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.11.011. PubMed PMID: WOS:000346734600013.
10. Jahoda M. Work, employment, and unemployment - values, theories, and approaches in social-research. *American Psychologist*. 1981;36(2):184-91. doi: 10.1037//0003-066x.36.2.184. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1981LE97800008.
11. Yudkin JS, Kumari M, Humphries SE, Mohamed-Ali V. Inflammation, obesity, stress and coronary heart disease: is interleukin-6 the link? *Atherosclerosis*. 2000;148(2):209-14. doi: 10.1016/s0021-9150(99)00463-3. PubMed PMID: WOS:000085605700001.
12. Ridker PM. C-reactive protein - A simple test to help predict risk of heart attack and stroke. *Circulation*. 2003;108(12):E81-E5. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000093381.57779.67. PubMed PMID: WOS:000185467400021.
13. Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu H, Sham L, Reim EK, et al. A Meta-Analysis of Cytokines in Major Depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010;67(5):446-57. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.09.033. PubMed PMID: WOS:000275100300008.
14. Paul KI, Moser K. Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2009;74(3):264-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001. PubMed PMID: WOS:000265444100004.

15. Roelfs DJ, Shor E, Davidson KW, Schwartz JE. Losing Life and Livelihood: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Unemployment and All-Cause Mortality. *Social science & medicine* (1982). 2011;72(6):840-54. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.01.005. PubMed PMID: PMC3070776.
16. Montgomery S, Bartley M, Cook D, Wadsworth M. Health and social precursors of unemployment in young men in Great Britain. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 1996;50(4):415-22. doi: 10.1136/jech.50.4.415.
17. Krabbe KS, Pedersen M, Bruunsgaard H. Inflammatory mediators in the elderly. *Experimental Gerontology*. 2004;39(5):687-99. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2004.01.009. PubMed PMID: WOS:000221633400002.
18. Bower JE. Cancer-related fatigue-mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*. 2014;11(10):597-609. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.127. PubMed PMID: WOS:000342548400005.
19. Friedman JH, Brown RG, Comella C, Garber CE, Krupp LB, Lou JS, et al. Fatigue in Parkinson's disease: a review. *Movement Disorders*. 2007;22(3):297-308. doi: 10.1002/mds.21240. PubMed PMID: WOS:000245012700001.
20. Lasselin J, Laye S, Dexpert S, Aubert A, Gonzalez C, Gin H, et al. Fatigue symptoms relate to systemic inflammation in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. 2012;26(8):1211-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2012.03.003. PubMed PMID: WOS:000310186000003.
21. Arnsen Y, Shoenfeld Y, Amital H. Effects of tobacco smoke on immunity, inflammation and autoimmunity. *Journal of Autoimmunity*. 2010;34(3):J258-J65. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2009.12.003>.
22. Lyon CJ, Law RE, Hsueh WA. Minireview: Adiposity, inflammation, and atherogenesis. *Endocrinology*. 2003;144(6):2195-200. doi: 10.1210/en.2003-0285. PubMed PMID: WOS:000183146900005.
23. Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, Lindley MR, Mastana SS, Nimmo MA. The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2011;11(9):607-15. doi: 10.1038/nri3041. PubMed PMID: WOS:000294250400004.
24. Pai JK, Hankinson SE, Thadhani R, Rifai N, Pischon T, Rimm EB. Moderate alcohol consumption and lower levels of inflammatory markers in US men and women. *Atherosclerosis*. 2006;186(1):113-20. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2005.06.037. PubMed PMID: WOS:000237093400014.
25. Raum E, Gebhardt K, Buchner M, Schiltenswolf M, Brenner H. Long-term and short-term alcohol consumption and levels of C-reactive protein. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2007;121(2):224-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2006.08.104. PubMed PMID: WOS:000250558400021.
26. Puhl RM, Heuer CA. The Stigma of Obesity: A Review and Update. *Obesity*. 2009;17(5):941-64. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.636. PubMed PMID: WOS:000265709800023.
27. Marcus J. Does Job Loss Make You Smoke and Gain Weight? *Economica*. 2014;81(324):626-48. doi: 10.1111/ecca.12095. PubMed PMID: WOS:000341588800002.
28. Monsivais P, Martin A, Suhrcke M, Forouhi NG, Wareham NJ. Job-loss and weight gain in British adults: Evidence from two longitudinal studies. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2015;143:223-31. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.08.052. PubMed PMID: WOS:000364245600026.
29. Deb P, Gallo WT, Ayyagari P, Fletcher JM, Sindelar JL. The effect of job loss on overweight and drinking. *Journal of Health Economics*. 2011;30(2):317-27. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2010.12.009. PubMed PMID: WOS:000290885400008.
30. Hughes A, Kumari M. Unemployment, underweight, and obesity: Findings from Understanding Society (UKHLS). *Preventive Medicine*. 2017;97:19-25. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.12.045>.
31. Hintikka J, Lehto SM, Niskanen L, Huotari A, Herzig KH, Koivumaa-Honkanen H, et al. Unemployment and ill health: a connection through inflammation? *Bmc Public Health*. 2009;9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-410. PubMed PMID: WOS:000272235900002.



32. Janicki-Deverts D, Cohen S, Matthews KA, Cullen MR. History of Unemployment Predicts Future Elevations in C-Reactive Protein among Male Participants in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 2008;36(2):176-85. doi: 10.1007/s12160-008-9056-5. PubMed PMID: WOS:000260635100008.
33. Hughes A, McMunn A, Bartley M, Kumari M. Elevated inflammatory biomarkers during unemployment: modification by age and country in the UK. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2015;69(7):673-9. doi: 10.1136/jech-2014-204404. PubMed PMID: WOS:000356164200012.
34. Mindell J, Biddulph JP, Hirani V, Stamatakis E, Craig R, Nunn S, et al. Cohort Profile: The Health Survey for England. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012;41(6):1585-93. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr199. PubMed PMID: WOS:000313128000013.
35. Gray L, Batty GD, Craig P, Stewart C, Whyte B, Finlayson A, et al. Cohort Profile: The Scottish Health Surveys Cohort: linkage of study participants to routinely collected records for mortality, hospital discharge, cancer and offspring birth characteristics in three nationwide studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2010;39(2):345-50. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyp155. PubMed PMID: WOS:000276303800007.
36. Power C, Elliott J. Cohort profile: 1958 British Birth Cohort (National Child Development Study). *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2006;35(1):34-41. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyi183. PubMed PMID: WOS:000235281700011.
37. Knies G. *Understanding Society—UK Household Longitudinal Study: Wave 1–5, User Manual*. Colchester, UK: University of Essex, 2015.
38. Benzeval M, Davillas, A., Kumari, M., and Lynn, P. *Understanding Society: The UK Household Longitudinal Study Biomarker User Guide and Glossary*. Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, 2014.
39. Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, Anderson JL, Cannon RO, Criqui M, et al. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease application to clinical and public health practice - A statement for healthcare professionals from the centers for disease control and prevention and the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2003;107(3):499-511. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000052939.59093.45. PubMed PMID: WOS:000180786100041.
40. Ingle L, Carroll S, Stamatakis E, Hamer M. Benefit of adding lifestyle-related risk factors for prediction of cardiovascular death among cardiac patients. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2013;163(2):196-200. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2011.06.001. PubMed PMID: WOS:000314658300023.
41. Bradshaw P, Bromley C, Corbett J, Day J, Doig M, Dowling S, et al. *The Scottish Health Survey 2011 Volume 3: Technical report*. The Scottish Government, 2012.
42. Bajekal M, Boreham R, Brookes M, Falaschetti E, Hirani V, Laiho J, et al. *Health Survey for England: Cardiovascular Disease 1998, Volume 2 : Methodology & Documentation*. Department of Health, 1999.
43. Blake M, Deverill C, Prescott A, Primatesta P, Stamatakis E. *The Health Survey for England 2003, Volume 3: Methodology and documentation*. London: Joint Health Surveys Unit, National Centre for Social Research, 2004.
44. Bajekal M, Becher H, Boreham R, Brookes M, Calderwood L, Erens B, et al. *Health Survey for England: The Health of Minority Ethnic Groups 1999, Volume 2 : Methodology & Documentation*. London: Joint Health Surveys Unit, National Centre for Social Research, 2001.
45. Bromley C, Chaudhury M, Craig R, Deverill C, Erens B, Fuller E, et al. *The Scottish Health Survey 2003, Volume 4: Technical Report*. Edinburgh: The Scottish Government, 2005.
46. Corbett J, Given L, Gray L, Leyland A, MacGregor A, Marryat L, et al. *The Scottish Health Survey 2008, Volume 2: Technical Report*. Edinburgh: The Scottish Government, 2009.
47. Corbett J, Dobbie F, Doig M, D'Souza J, Given L, Gray L, et al. *The Scottish Health Survey 2009 Volume 2: Technical Report*. Edinburgh: The Scottish Government, 2010.
48. Aresu M, Chaudhury M, Diment E, Fuller E, Gordon-Dseagu V, Gunning N, et al. *Health Survey for England 2009, Volume 2: Methods and documentation*. Joint Health Surveys Unit, National Centre for Social Research, 2011.

49. Bromley C, Corbett J, Day J, Doig M, Gharib W, Given L, et al. The Scottish Health Survey 2010 Volume 2: Technical Report. Edinburgh: The Scottish Government, 2011.
50. Ali A, Becker E, Chaudhury M, Fuller E, Harris J, Heeks F, et al. Health Survey for England 2006 Volume 3: Methodology and documentation. London: The Information Centre, 2008.
51. Elliott J, Johnson, J., and Shephard, P. National Child Development Study: User Guide to the Biomedical Survey 2002-2004 Dataset. Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education, University of London, 2008.
52. Tabassum F, Kumari M, Rumley A, Power C, Strachan DP, Lowe G. Lifecourse Social Position and D-Dimer; Findings from the 1958 British Birth Cohort. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(5). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093277. PubMed PMID: WOS:000338213300003.
53. NatCen. National Child Development Study: 2002-3. Self-Completion Booklet 2. National Centre for Social Research; 2002.
54. ILO. Resolution concerning statistics of the economically active population, employment, unemployment and underemployment, adopted by the Thirteenth International Conference of Labour Statisticians. Geneva: International Labour Organization, 1982.
55. Moody A. Chapter 10: Adult anthropometric measures, overweight and obesity In: Craig R, Mindell J, editors. The Health Survey for England 2012 Vol 1: The Health and Social Care Information Centre; 2013.
56. Fuller E, Power C, Shepherd P, Strachan D. Technical report on the National Child Development Study biomedical survey 2002-2004. National Centre for Social Research, 2006.
57. McFall SL, Booker C, Burton J. Implementing the Biosocial Component of Understanding Society – Nurse Collection of Biomeasures Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, June 2012
58. Das-Munshi J, Clark C, Dewey ME, Leavey G, Stansfeld SA, Prince MJ. Does childhood adversity account for poorer mental and physical health in second-generation Irish people living in Britain? Birth cohort study from Britain (NCDS). *Bmj Open*. 2013;3(3). doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001335. PubMed PMID: WOS:000330560500002.
59. Kontopantelis E, Reeves D. A short guide and a forest plot command (ipdforest) for one-stage meta-analysis. *Stata Journal*. 2013;13(3):574-87. PubMed PMID: WOS:000325442200008.
60. ONS. Labour Force Survey Five-Quarter Longitudinal Dataset, April 2010 - June 2011. 2012.
61. ONS. Labour Force Survey Five-Quarter Longitudinal Dataset, January 2011 - March 2012. 2nd Edition. . 2015.
62. Norstrom F, Virtanen P, Hammarstrom A, Gustafsson PE, Janlert U. How does unemployment affect self-assessed health? A systematic review focusing on subgroup effects. *Bmc Public Health*. 2014;14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-1310. PubMed PMID: WOS:000346897200001.
63. Jackson PR, Warr PB. UNEMPLOYMENT AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ILL-HEALTH - THE MODERATING ROLE OF DURATION AND AGE. *Psychological Medicine*. 1984;14(3):605-14. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1984TK57400016.
64. Labour Force Survey: Summary of economic activity [Internet]. Welsh Government 2016 Available from: <https://statswales.gov.wales/Catalogue/Business-Economy-and-Labour-Market/People-and-Work/Unemployment/ILO-Unemployment/chart-ilounemploymentrates-by-ukcountry-quarter>.
65. Long K. Unemployment durations: evidence from the British Household Panel Survey. *Economic & Labour Market Review*. 2009;3(10):48-54.
66. Turner JB. Economic context and the health-effects of unemployment *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 1995;36(3):213-29. doi: 10.2307/2137339. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1995RZ10400001.
67. Moser KA, Goldblatt PO, Fox AJ, Jones DR. UNEMPLOYMENT AND MORTALITY - COMPARISON OF THE 1971 AND 1981 LONGITUDINAL-STUDY CENSUS SAMPLES. *British Medical Journal*. 1987;294(6564):86-90. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1987F651900009.

68. Beatty C, Fothergill S, Powell R. Twenty years on: has the economy of the UK coalfields recovered? *Environment and Planning A*. 2007;39(7):1654-75. doi: 10.1068/a38216. PubMed PMID: WOS:000248390500010.
69. Foden M, Fothergill S, Gore T. *The State of the Coalfields: Economic and social conditions in the former mining communities of England, Scotland and Wales*. Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research, Sheffield Hallam University, 2014.
70. Donoghue AM. Occupational health hazards in mining: an overview. *Occupational Medicine-Oxford*. 2004;54(5):283-9. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqh072. PubMed PMID: WOS:000223472700002.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT