

1 **EFFECTS OF JUMP EXERCISES WITH AND WITHOUT STRETCH-SHORTENING CYCLE ACTIONS ON**
2 **COMPONENTS OF PHYSICAL FITNESS IN PREPUBERTAL MALE SOCCER PLAYERS**

3
4 **Short title:** Effects of different jump exercises in youth athletes' physical fitness

5 **Submission type:** original article

6 Raja Bouguezzi¹, Helmi Chaabene^{2,3}, Yassine Negra¹, Jason Moran⁴, Senda Sammoud¹, Rodrigo
7 Ramirez-Campillo⁵, Urs Granacher², Younés Hachana¹

8
9 ¹*Research Unit (UR17JS01) "Sport Performance, Health & Society" Higher Institute of Sports and
10 Physical Education of Ksar Said, University de "La Manouba", Manouba, Tunisia.*

11 ²*Division of Training and Movement Sciences, Research Focus Cognition Sciences, University of
12 Potsdam, Am Neuen Palais 10, 14469 Potsdam, Germany.*

13 ³*High Institute of Sports and Physical Education, Kef, University of Jendouba, Tunisia*

14 ⁴*School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, UK*

15 ⁵*Universidad de Los Lagos (University of Los Lagos). Department of Physical Activity Sciences.
16 Research Nucleus in Health, Physical Activity and Sport. Laboratory of Measurement and
17 Assessment in Sport. Osorno, Chile*

18
19 **Corresponding author**

20 Helmi Chaabene PhD

21 Division of Training and Movement Sciences, Research Focus Cognition Sciences, University of
22 Potsdam, Am Neuen Palais 10, 14469 Potsdam, Germany.

23 Email: chaabanehelmi@hotmail.fr

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **ABSTRACT**

36 *Objective:* This study examined the effects of 8 weeks stretch-shortening-cycle-based (SSC-based)
37 versus non-SSC-based jump exercises on physical fitness in prepubertal male soccer players.

38 *Methods:* Twenty-six participants were randomly assigned to either a SSC-based using
39 countermovement-jump (CMJ_G; n=13) or a non-SSC-based jump group using squat-jump (SJ_G;
40 n=13). Pre- and post-training, tests were conducted to assess measures of muscle power
41 (countermovement-jump, reactive-strength-index), speed (5-m, 20-m), change-of-direction
42 (CoD), and sport-specific performance (maximal-kicking-distance). To establish the effect of the
43 interventions on the dependent variables, a 2 (group: CMJ_G and SJ_G) × 2 (time: pre, post) ANOVA
44 with repeated measures was determined for each parameter. *Results:* Findings demonstrated a
45 main effect of time for countermovement-jump, reactive-strength-index, and maximal-kicking-
46 distance (p<0.05, effect size [ES]=0.56-0.71). Group × time interactions were identified for (5-m,
47 20-m, and reactive-strength-index (p<0.05, ES=0.59-0.64) in favor of CMJ_G. Particularly, pre-post
48 performance improvements have been observed for 5-m (Δ1.6%; p=0.04; ES=0.54) and 20-m
49 (Δ5.3%; p<0.01; ES=1.00) in the CMJ_G. For SJ_G, 5-m (Δ-5.5%; p=0.01; ES=-1.12) and 20-m (Δ-3.7%;
50 p=0.01; ES=-0.82) pre-post performance declines were observed. Regarding reactive strength
51 index, pre-post improvement was noted for CMJ_G only (Δ-40.1%; p<0.01; ES=3.7). In addition, a
52 tendency toward a group × time interaction was found for CoD (p=0.06, ES=0.54) with a
53 performance decrement for SJ_G (Δ-6.0%; p<0.01; ES=-1.8) and no pre-post changes for CMJ_G
54 (Δ0.15%; p>0.05; ES=0.05). *Conclusion:* Overall, jump exercises which utilise the SSC seem to be
55 more effective in improving measures of speed and muscle power performance in young
56 athletes. However, jump exercises that do not involve the SSC appear to negatively affect CoD
57 performance in young athletes.

58

59 **KEY WORDS:** ground contact-time, reactive strength, athletic performance, youth, football

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 **INTRODUCTION**

69 High levels of physical fitness, such as change of direction (CoD) ability, sprinting and jumping are
70 needed to successfully meet the diverse demands of soccer. **Indeed, it has been shown that the**
71 **level of these physical qualities (i.e., CoD, sprinting, and jumping) largely influence young soccer**
72 **match performance [1, 2]. Accordingly, the early development of CoD, sprinting, and jumping**
73 **performances is needed to prepare young players for the increased training and competition**
74 **demands of modern soccer [3].** Plyometric training is a frequently applied, safe and effective
75 mean to improve high-intensity actions such as CoD, linear sprint and jump performances in
76 young soccer players [4].

77

78 Recently published studies have addressed different variables relating to plyometric training
79 volume [5] and frequency [6] in prepubertal male soccer players. In these studies, larger training
80 volumes (i.e., number of foot contacts) [5] and higher frequencies (i.e., number of sessions per-
81 week) [6] were not associated with additional increases in physical fitness. Despite the growing
82 number of studies related to jump training in young athletes, there is a void in the literature as
83 to the most effective *type* of jump training exercise, particularly in prepubertal athletes [4]. Of
84 note, jump training can either be reactive using the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) or non-reactive
85 without using the SSC [7]. SSC-based jump exercises are characterized by short ground contact
86 times (<250 ms) and high leg stiffness [8]. In contrast, non-SSC-based jump exercises (squat
87 jumps) are typically characterized by long ground contact-times (>250 ms) and larger knee flexion
88 angles [9].

89

90 Previously, it has been shown that performance-enhancing stretch-reflexes are elicited only
91 during the eccentric phase of a SSC-based jump exercise program if ground contact times are
92 below 250 ms [9]. A potentiating stretch reflex is not elicited if ground contact times are >250 ms

93 during the eccentric to concentric transition phase [8, 9]. To achieve short ground contact times,
94 high leg stiffness is needed during the eccentric to concentric transition phase (i.e., reactive
95 movement) [8]. Additionally, during SSC-based jump exercises, the muscles of the lower limb are
96 pre-innervated prior to ground contact [10]. This preactivation mechanism is needed to stiffen
97 the joints in preparation of touchdown and to enable a powerful push-off during the subsequent
98 concentric phase [11]. Moreover, during the eccentric phase, energy is stored for a short time
99 frame (<250 ms) in both the connective tissue and the tendons. When this eccentric phase is
100 rapidly followed by a contraction (i.e., concentric) of the same muscle-tendon complex within a
101 time period of 250 ms, the stored elastic energy can be used during the concentric phase inducing
102 higher force output [12]. A typical non-SSC jump exercise is the squat jump. During the
103 performance of squat jumps, athletes do not start the exercise with a prior countermovement
104 which is a prerequisite for the SSC. In fact, squat jumps are characterized by a high movement
105 speed of the leg extensors during the concentric phase of the vertical jump [7]. Therefore, based
106 on the described characteristics, SSC-based jump exercises are different from non-SSC-based
107 ones in terms of the underlying neuromuscular activation patterns [7].

108

109 In view of the different muscle activation mechanisms utilized during SSC-based and non-SSC-
110 based jump exercises [9, 8], it is timely to contrast these training regimes and their effects on
111 physical fitness and sport-specific performance in young athletes. Therefore, the objective of this
112 study was to compare the effects of an 8-week jump training program that applied SSC-based
113 exercises versus a program that did not use SSC-based exercises on components of physical
114 fitness (i.e., jumping, sprint-time, and CoD) in prepubertal male soccer players. With reference
115 to the relevant literature [9, 8, 7], we hypothesized that SSC-based jump exercises would
116 generate larger physical fitness improvements than non-SSC-based jump exercises in prepubertal
117 male soccer players.

118

119 **METHODS**

120 *Participants*

121 Twenty-six healthy young males from a regional soccer team were randomly assigned either to a
122 SSC-based using countermovement-jump exercises (CMJ_G; n=13) or a non-SSC-based jump group
123 using squat-jump exercises (SJ_G; n=13). The randomization sequence was conducted
124 electronically (<https://www.randomizer.org>). Sample size was determined a priori using
125 G*power software (Bonn FRG, Bonn University, Department of Psychology). Based on a similar
126 study conducted by Ramirez-Campillo et al. [13] on the effects of plyometric training on
127 countermovement-jump performance and assuming a type I error rate of 0.05 and 80% statistical
128 power, 13 participants per group would be sufficient to observe a medium-sized main effect. All
129 participants have a mean of 4.0 ± 1.5 years of continuous soccer training involving 3 to 5 training
130 sessions per week. Subject characteristics and anthropometric data are presented in Table 1.
131 Participants who missed more than 20% of the total number of training sessions and/or more
132 than two consecutive sessions were excluded from the study. The maturation status of the
133 participants was determined both before and after eight weeks of training, according to the
134 maturity offset method [14]. All procedures were approved by the local Institutional Review
135 Committee for the ethical use of human subjects in accordance with the latest version of the
136 Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed parental consent and participant assent were obtained
137 prior to the start of the study. All participants and their parents/legal guardians were informed
138 about the experimental protocol and its potential risks and benefits before the start of the study.

139 **--Table 1 near here--**

140

141 *Procedures*

142 The two jump training programs (i.e., SSC- and non-SSC-based) were conducted during the in-
143 season period of the regular soccer season. Two weeks before baseline testing, two sessions were
144 undertaken to familiarize participants with the utilized physical fitness tests and plyometric drills.
145 Before and after the intervention, tests were conducted for the assessment of proxies of muscle
146 power (i.e., countermovement-jump, reactive-strength-index), speed (20-m sprint test with split
147 sprint-time of 5-m and 20-m), CoD (Illinois CoD test), and sport-specific performance (maximal

148 kicking distance). All tests were scheduled at least 48 hours after participants' most recent
149 training session or competition, at the same time of day (7:30-9:30 a.m.), and under similar
150 environmental conditions (22-24°C, no wind).

151

152

153 *Measurements*

154 The warm-up procedure for all tests consisted of 5 minutes of sub-maximal running with CoD
155 exercises, 10 minutes of submaximal plyometrics (two jump exercises of 20 vertical [i.e.,
156 countermovement-jump] and 10 horizontal jumps), dynamic stretching exercises, and 5 minutes
157 of a sprint-specific warm-up. All tests were separated by a 5 to 10 minutes break in-between.
158 Each player participated in a familiarization trial and two test trials. Another rest period of 3
159 minutes was provided between trials. The best out of the two test trials was used for further
160 analyses.

161

162 *Countermovement jump test*

163 Participants started from an upright erect standing position. They then performed a maximal
164 vertical jump which was initiated by a fast downward flexion of the knees and hips, immediately
165 followed by a rapid leg extension. Arms were positioned akimbo. The countermovement-jump
166 techniques were visually inspected by the first author of this study. Jump height was recorded
167 using an Optojump photoelectric system (Microgate, SRL, Bolzano, Italy). Participants were
168 instructed to keep their legs fully extended during the flight phase.

169

170 *Reactive strength index*

171 Participants executed five repeated bilateral maximal vertical hops using an Optojump
172 photoelectric system (Microgate, SRL, Bolzano, Italy). Subjects were instructed to maximize jump
173 height and minimize ground contact time. The first jump was excluded with the four remaining
174 trials being averaged for the calculation of reactive strength index using the following formula:
175 reactive strength index = *jump height (mm) / ground contact time (ms)* [15].

176

177 *Speed*

178 Twenty-meter linear sprint performance was assessed at 5-m and 20-m intervals using a single-
179 beam electronic timing system (Microgate, SARL, Bolzano, Italy). Participants started in a
180 standing start position 0.3-m before the first infrared photoelectric gate, which was placed 0.75-
181 m above the ground to ensure it captured trunk movement and avoided false signals via limb
182 motion.

183

184 *Change of direction test*

185 The Illinois CoD test was conducted as previously outlined [16]. In brief, the Illinois CoD test
186 involves placing 4 markers to indicate an area that is 10 m long and 5 m wide. In the center of the
187 area, four markers were placed 3.3 m apart. The participant started in a prone position with the
188 chin touching the surface of the starting line. The athlete accelerated for 10 m, turned around
189 and returned to the starting line, swerving in and out of four markers, and completing two 10-m
190 sprints to finish the course. Participants were instructed not to cut over the markers but run
191 around them. The time needed to complete the test was used as a performance outcome and it
192 was assessed with an electronic timing system (Microgate, SARL, Bolzano, Italy).

193 *Maximal kicking distance test*

194 Participants were asked to kick a new size 5 soccer ball (Nike Seitiro, FIFA certified) on a soccer
195 field for maximal distance [6]. Two markers were placed on the ground side by side to locate the
196 kicking line. After an approach of two strides, participants executed a maximal kick with their
197 dominant leg. The maximal distance attained by the ball was measured using a metric tape. An
198 evaluator was placed near the area where the ball landed to accurately locate the point of contact
199 and measure the distance of the kick to the nearest 0.2 m. Wind velocity was $<20 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ during
200 all testing sessions (local Meteorological Service).

201 *Plyometric training*

202 The two experimental groups participated in an 8-week in-season program consisting of two
203 jump training sessions per week. These sessions were integrated into the regular training routine

204 of the soccer team and were performed immediately after the warm-up, replacing some
205 technical-tactical soccer drills. All sessions were performed on a grass field. The second jump
206 training session was completed 72 h after the first so as to provide a sufficient between-session
207 recovery period. Each soccer training session lasted between 80 and 90 minutes. The jump drills
208 were conducted in a non-fatigued state and lasted between 9 and 25 minutes. The protocol was
209 carried out in accordance with previously published recommendations for jump training
210 intensities and volume [17]. To minimize stress on the musculotendinous unit, training volume
211 and intensity were progressively increased (Table 2). Both jump training sessions consisted of a
212 volume of 2-4 sets with 8-12 repetitions per set. Training volume was manipulated by
213 progressively increasing the total number of ground contacts per session from 50 during the first
214 week, to 120 during the last week of the intervention [17]. Each jump training session included
215 horizontal (standing long jumps), vertical (countermovement-jumps), and unilateral jumps. To
216 gradually increase training intensity, unilateral jumps were mainly performed horizontally during
217 weeks 1 to 4, whereas during weeks 5 to 8, vertical unilateral jumps were introduced in addition
218 to horizontal jumps. Athletes in **the CMJ_G** were advised to perform consecutive jumps with short
219 ground contact-times and high leg stiffness (i.e., reactive jump in fast SSC). Athletes in **the SJ_G**
220 performed consecutive jumps in a slower more controlled manner. They jumped by flexing the
221 knees to a larger extent (i.e., non-reactive jump), pausing for around 3 seconds after each jump
222 landing. From here they maintained their knee flexion angle before rapidly extending the knees
223 for the next jump. Performance continued as such for the duration of the set. All jump exercises
224 were performed with arms swing. A trainer to participant ratio of 1:6 was achieved during all
225 training sessions with technical accuracy being highly prioritized during training. A 90-s rest was
226 provided between each set of exercises.

227

228

--Table 2 near here--

229

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

230 Between-group baseline differences in anthropometric characteristics, maturity offset, and
231 physical fitness were verified using the independent samples t-test. Data are presented as means
232 and standard deviations (SD). Normality of data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. To

233 establish the effect of the interventions on the dependent variables, a 2 (group: CMJ_G and SJ_G) ×
234 2 (time: pre, post) ANOVA with repeated measures was determined for each parameter. When
235 group × time interactions reached the level of significance (i.e., significant F value), group-specific
236 post-hoc tests (i.e., paired t-tests) were used. The alpha level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.
237 A trend for statistical significance was accepted at $p < 0.10$. To determine the magnitude of the
238 training effect, effect sizes (ES) were determined by converting partial eta-squared to Cohen's d
239 using the following equation: $ES = 2 \times \sqrt{\eta^2 / (1 - \eta^2)}$ [18]. According to Hopkins et al. [19]
240 ES values are classified as trivial (<0.2), small (0.2-0.6), moderate (0.6-1.2), large (1.2-2.0), very
241 large (2.0-4.0), and extremely large (>4.0). Test-retest reliability was assessed using the
242 intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). All data analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 (SPSS,
243 Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

244

245

246 RESULTS

247 All subjects received the treatment as allocated. The adherence rate was 100% in both groups.
248 No training or test-related injuries were reported. All physical fitness and sport-specific
249 performance measures at baseline and follow-up are displayed in Table 3. At baseline, no
250 between-group differences were observed with respect to anthropometric characteristics and
251 maturity offset ($p > 0.05$). The maturation level of all participants was 'prepubertal' (Table 1).
252 Similarly, no between-group differences were recorded at baseline for any measure of physical
253 fitness (Table 3).

254

255 The ICCs for test-retest trials were high for all measures of physical fitness and sport-specific
256 performance. Specifically, ICCs were 0.85 and 0.90 for 5-m and 20-m sprint, respectively, 0.92 for
257 CoD, 0.91 for countermovement-jump, 0.90 for reactive strength index, and 0.85 for maximal
258 kicking distance.

259 *Sprint-time*

260

261 Our analysis revealed no main effect of time for 5-m and 20-m ($F_{(1,52)} = 0.88$, $ES = 0.27$ [small],
262 $p = 0.35$) and ($F_{(1,52)} = 0.24$, $ES = 0.14$ [trivial], $p = 0.62$), respectively. However, group × time

263 interactions were observed for 5-m ($F_{(1,52)}= 4.27$, $ES=0.60$ [moderate], $p=0.04$) and 20-m ($F_{(1,52)}=$
264 5.00 , $ES= 0.64$ [moderate], $p=0.03$) (Table 3). Post-hoc analyses showed pre-post performance
265 improvements in the **CMJ_G** for 5-m ($\Delta 1.6\%$; $p=0.04$; $ES=0.54$) and 20-m ($\Delta 5.3\%$; $p<0.01$; $ES=1.00$).
266 For **SJ_G**, the post-hoc analyses demonstrated 5-m ($\Delta -5.5\%$; $p=0.01$; $ES=-1.12$) and 20-m ($\Delta -3.7\%$;
267 $p=0.01$; $ES=-0.82$) pre-post performance declines.

268

269 *Change of direction test*

270

271 For the CoD, results indicated a tendency toward a main effect of time ($F_{(1,52)}= 3.19$, $ES= 0.51$
272 [small], $p=0.08$). Similarly, a tendency toward a group \times time interaction ($F_{(1,52)}= 3.54$, $ES= 0.54$
273 [small], $p=0.06$) was observed for the same test (Table 3). Post-hoc analyses demonstrated CoD
274 performance decrements from pre- to post for **SJ_G** ($\Delta -6.0\%$; $p<0.01$; $ES=-1.8$). No pre-post
275 changes were found for **CMJ_G** ($\Delta 0.15\%$; $p>0.05$; $ES=0.05$).

276

277 *Jump performance*

278

279 For countermovement-jump, a main effect of time was observed ($F_{(1,52)}= 6.07$, $ES=0.71$
280 [moderate], $p=0.01$). However, the analysis revealed no group \times time interaction ($F_{(1,52)}= 0.00$,
281 $ES=0.00$ [trivial], $p=0.99$) (Table 3).

282

283 *Reactive strength index*

284

285 For reactive strength index performance, results showed a main effect of time ($F_{(1,52)}= 3.72$,
286 $ES=0.56$ [small], $p=0.05$) and a group \times time interaction ($F_{(1,52)}= 4.21$, $ES=0.59$ [small], $p=0.04$).
287 Post-hoc analyses indicated pre-post improvement in reactive strength index for **CMJ_G only** (Δ -
288 40.1% ; $p<0.01$; $ES=3.7$).

289

290 *Maximal kicking distance*

291 For the maximal kicking distance test, a main effect of time ($F_{(1,52)}=5.53$, $ES=0.68$ [moderate],
292 $p=0.02$) was found but no group \times time interaction ($F_{(1,52)}=0.02$, $ES=0.00$ [trivial], $p=0.88$) (Table
293 3).

294 **--Table 3 near here--**

295 **DISCUSSION**

296

297 The main outcome of this study showed that SSC-based jump exercises, characterized by short
298 ground contact-times, appear to be more effective than non-SSC-based ones in improving
299 measures of sprint-time (5-m and 20-m) and muscle power performance assessed by the reactive
300 strength index. Specifically, small-to-moderate sprint-time enhancements were noted in **the**
301 **CMJ_G** while moderate performance decreases were observed **in the SJ_G**. Additionally, non-SSC-
302 based jump exercises seem to negatively and largely affect CoD performance whilst SSC-based
303 ones have no effect. Regarding jump performance (countermovement jump), both training
304 interventions appear to be equally effective with moderate improvements. In terms of sport-
305 specific performance, the two training interventions appear to be beneficial in improving
306 maximal kicking distance performance in prepubertal male soccer players.

307

308 The results of this study indicated improvements in sprint time in **the CMJ_G only** (Table 3). More
309 specifically, SSC-based jump exercises induced 'small' and 'moderate' improvements in
310 acceleration (5-m) and sprint speed (20-m), respectively, after 8 weeks of training. This is in
311 agreement with previous findings conducted in similar cohorts [6, 5]. Chaabene and Negra. [5]
312 studied the effect of 8 weeks of high and low jump training volumes on measures of physical
313 fitness in prepubertal male soccer players, revealing improvements in speed (20-m) after both
314 training interventions ($ES=0.8$). Similarly, by comparing different jump training frequencies (one
315 vs. two sessions per week) during a training period of 8 weeks in prepubertal male soccer players,
316 Bouguezzi et al. [6] were able to demonstrate similarly meaningful improvements in acceleration
317 (5-m; $ES=0.5$). Due to high neural plasticity in biologically immature children, the mechanisms
318 that underpin the observed sprint-time improvement in the present study are most probably of

319 neuromuscular origin [20]. This includes increases in the number and/or coding rates of active
320 motor units as well as changes in the recruitment pattern of those motor units, particularly in
321 fast-twitch muscle fibers [20]. Despite this assertion, further direct mechanistic evidence is
322 required to support this stance.

323
324 Further to the above, the inclusion of horizontal jumping in the training program could have
325 resulted in the enhancement of sprint performance due to the relative importance of horizontal
326 force production and application in sprint actions [21, 22]. In contrast to the CMJ_G, moderate
327 sprint time performance decrements were observed for the SJ_G. Considering the importance of
328 the SSC during sprinting actions, this difference could be due to the lower contribution of the SSC
329 mechanisms during non-SSC-based jumps [9]. This seems plausible given that concentric
330 potentiation, muscle preactivation prior to landing, utilization of stored elastic energy, and the
331 stretch reflex have all been shown to only occur during SSC-based jumping [8]. Given the key role
332 of sprinting performance in soccer matches, the current findings should be taken into
333 consideration by practitioners for the optimal development of sprinting abilities in prepubertal
334 youth.

335
336 In terms of CoD performance, results showed a trivial pre-post change in the CMJ_G ($p>0.05$) and
337 a large decrement in the SJ_G ($p<0.05$) after training (Table 3). Asadi et al. [23] conducted a meta-
338 analysis dealing with the influence of maturation level on CoD performance gains after the jump
339 training and reported a more pronounced improvement in mid and post-PHV youth when
340 compared to pre-PHV youth. According to the same authors, the greater structural (e.g., muscle
341 size) and neuronal (e.g., motor unit recruitment, firing frequency, inter-muscular coordination)
342 plasticity could be responsible for the greater adaptive responses of older youths after jump
343 training. In the current study, CMJ_G did not seem to be a sufficient stimulus to generate CoD
344 performance improvements. This is in line with the findings of Sohnlein et al. [24] who reported
345 no CoD performance (5×10 m shuttle run) improvements after a 16-week jump training program
346 in 13 years male soccer players. Recently, Lupo et al. [25] investigated the effects of 12 weeks
347 running technique training vs. soccer-specific training on CoD performance in prepubertal male

348 soccer players. They reported that running technique training approach was more effective in
349 improving CoD performance than soccer-specific training. Aside from the influence of
350 neuromuscular factors and the training intervention *per se*, the lack of CoD improvement could,
351 also, be explained by technical elements (e.g., low center of gravity, forward lean, stride length,
352 rounded/sharp CoD) associated with CoD performance components [26, 27]. In fact, Condello et
353 al. [27] argued that, in young athletes, the technical execution of CoD could provide more insight
354 than simply recording performance time. Accordingly, the current study findings should be
355 interpreted cautiously as we did not control for technical factors during CoD performance. The
356 performance decrement in the SJ_G could partly be related to sprint performance alterations as
357 discussed previously. Indeed, it has been recently demonstrated that CoD performance is
358 associated ($r=0.53$ to 0.85) with sprint-time performance in prepubertal male athletes [16].

359
360 **Our findings showed moderate performance improvements in the countermovement-jump test**
361 **($p<0.05$) with no difference between the two training interventions ($p>0.05$) (Table 3).** This is in
362 line with previous studies addressing the effects of jump training in prepubertal youth [6, 16, 28].
363 In a meta-analysis study, Moran et al. [28] revealed that jump training is moderately effective
364 ($ES=0.9$) in stimulating increases in countermovement-jump in prepubertal youth. Jumping
365 performance improvement can generally be attributed to neurological factors such as enhanced
366 motor unit recruitment, greater inter-muscular coordination, enhanced neural drive to agonist
367 muscles and better utilization of the SSC [29]. Given that no direct physiological measures were
368 undertaken in this study, future investigations are needed to support these outcomes.

369
370 **In line with our hypothesis, the study results demonstrated a very large reactive strength index**
371 **performance gains after training in the CMJ_G ($p<0.05$), with no performance improvements in the**
372 **SJ_G ($p>0.05$) (Table 3).** It is noteworthy that the reactive strength index mirrors the ability of an
373 individual to produce maximal strength within a minimal timeframe [30]. Thus, with training
374 specificity in mind, reactive strength training, which incorporates extensive use of the SSC, should
375 be a suitable way of stimulating significant improvements in that physical quality. Bouguezzi et
376 al. [6] reported that 8 weeks of either one or two jump training sessions per week were similarly

377 effective in improving reactive strength index performance in prepubertal male soccer players.
378 Increased rate of force development, [31] higher leg stiffness [30] and greater motor unit
379 recruitment [32] seem to be the main factors generating reactive strength index performance
380 improvement following SSC-based jump training. In contrast to the CMJ_G, our findings indicated
381 no reactive strength index performance increases in the SJ_G. This observation can be mainly
382 attributed to the longer ground contact times between jumps and the resultant attenuation or
383 even absence of the SSC activity in the SJ_G. With reference to our findings, SSC-based jump
384 exercises should be recommended for prepubertal youth when it comes to improving reactive
385 strength index performance.

386

387 Regarding maximal kicking distance, we observed a moderate performance improvement after
388 both training interventions ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3). This is in agreement with previous findings in
389 prepubertal male soccer players which showed maximal kicking distance improvements after 8
390 weeks of either one or two jump training sessions per week [6]. The observed maximal kicking
391 distance enhancement may be attributed to the aforementioned neuromuscular adaptations
392 following jump training programs [17]. However, it is important to note that kicking distance is
393 influenced by various external factors, such as ball trajectory and rotation, in addition to the
394 technique used to perform the action (e.g., toe, dorsum or the inside part of the foot) [33]. These
395 factors could affect kicking distance performance to a greater degree than a player's muscle
396 strength and power levels.

397 The current study does have some limitations. First of all, we were unable to include an active
398 control group. Nevertheless, in a study attempting to compare two different training methods,
399 an active control group is not that required [5, 34]. Secondly, any overall training load differences
400 were not controlled for. We are, nonetheless, confident that this was similar between the two
401 groups since they both belong to the same club and all participants were exercising under the
402 supervision of the same coaches with the same training program. Finally, the duration of the
403 training intervention (i.e., 8 weeks) could constitute another limitation to this study. Accordingly,
404 future studies considering longer training periods (e.g., 12 weeks or more) are recommended to
405 confirm the present study's outcomes.

406

407 **CONCLUSIONS**

408 Twice-weekly SSC-based jump training, in place of some soccer-specific drills within a regular in-
409 season practice, appears to be more beneficial than non-SSC-based in improving physical fitness
410 in prepubertal male soccer players. Accordingly, coaches and strength and conditioning
411 practitioners should devote more time to SSC-based compared to non-SSC-based jump exercises
412 in the in-season training programs. Despite the apparent inferiority of non-SSC-based jump
413 training, to improve technical competency in prepubertal male soccer players, such a programme
414 can serve as a precursor to SSC-based training. Future studies are needed to support the present
415 outcomes and to address sex- and maturity-specific effects of SSC-based vs. non-SSC-based jump
416 training on components of physical fitness in young athletes.

417

418

419 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

420 Authors would like to express their gratitude to coaches, parents, and players for their adherence
421 to this study. There is no conflict of interest related to the content of this research.

422

423 **Conflict of interest statements**

424 The authors declare no conflict of interest

425 **REFERENCES**

- 426 1. Unnithan V, White J, Georgiou A, Iga J, Drust B. Talent identification in youth soccer. *Journal of sports*
427 *sciences*. 2012;30(15):1719-26. doi:10.1080/02640414.2012.731515.
- 428 2. Castagna C, D'Ottavio S, Abt G. Activity profile of young soccer players during actual match play. *Journal*
429 *of strength and conditioning research*. 2003;17(4):775-80.
- 430 3. Reilly T, Gilbourne D. Science and football: a review of applied research in the football codes. *Journal*
431 *of sports sciences*. 2003;21(9):693-705. doi:10.1080/0264041031000102105.
- 432 4. Ramirez-Campillo R, Alvarez C, Garcia-Hermoso A, Ramirez-Velez R, Gentil P, Asadi A et al.
433 *Methodological Characteristics and Future Directions for Plyometric Jump Training Research: A Scoping*
434 *Review*. *Sports medicine (Auckland, NZ)*. 2018;48(5):1059-81. doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0870-z.
- 435 5. Chaabene H, Negra Y. The Effect of Plyometric Training Volume on Athletic Performance in Prepubertal
436 *Male Soccer Players*. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*. 2017;12(9):1205-11.
437 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0372.
- 438 6. Bouguezzi R, Chaabene H, Negra Y, Ramirez-Campillo R, Jlalila Z, Mkaouer B et al. Effects of Different
439 *Plyometric Training Frequency on Measures of Athletic Performance in Prepubertal Male Soccer Players*.
440 *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2018. doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000002486.
- 441 7. Waller M, Gersick M, Holman D. Various jump training styles for improvement of vertical jump
442 *performance*. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. 2013;35(1):82-9.
- 443 8. Gollhofer A, Bruhn S. The biomechanics of jumping. *Handbook of Sports Medicine and Science:*
444 *Volleyball*. 2003:18-28.
- 445 9. Komi PV. Stretch-shortening cycle: a powerful model to study normal and fatigued muscle. *Journal of*
446 *biomechanics*. 2000;33(10):1197-206.
- 447 10. Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Hughes MG, Williams CA. Age-related differences in the neural regulation of
448 *stretch-shortening cycle activities in male youths during maximal and sub-maximal hopping*. *Journal of*
449 *electromyography and kinesiology : official journal of the International Society of Electrophysiological*
450 *Kinesiology*. 2012;22(1):37-43. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2011.09.008.
- 451 11. Taube W, Leukel C, Gollhofer A. How neurons make us jump: the neural control of stretch-shortening
452 *cycle movements*. *Exercise and sport sciences reviews*. 2012;40(2):106-15.
453 doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e31824138da.
- 454 12. Granacher U, Goebel R, Behm DG, Büsch D. Stretch-Shortening Cycle Exercises in Young Elite Handball
455 *Players: Empirical Findings for Performance Improvement, Injury Prevention, and Practical*
456 *Recommendations*. *Handball Sports Medicine*. Springer; 2018. p. 537-50.
- 457 13. Ramirez-Campillo R, Alvarez C, Garcia-Pinillos F, Garcia-Ramos A, Loturco I, Chaabene H et al. Effects
458 *of Combined Surfaces vs. Single-Surface Plyometric Training on Soccer Players' Physical Fitness*. *Journal of*
459 *strength and conditioning research*. 2019. doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000002929.
- 460 14. Moore SA, McKay HA, Macdonald H, Nettlefold L, Baxter-Jones AD, Cameron N et al. Enhancing a
461 *Somatic Maturity Prediction Model*. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2015;47(8):1755-64.
462 doi:10.1249/mss.0000000000000588.
- 463 15. Flanagan EP, Comyns TM. The use of contact time and the reactive strength index to optimize fast
464 *stretch-shortening cycle training*. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. 2008;30(5):32-8.
- 465 16. Negra Y, Chaabene H, Hammami M, Amara S, Sammoud S, Mkaouer B et al. Agility in Young Athletes:
466 *Is It a Different Ability From Speed and Power?* *Journal of strength and conditioning research*.
467 2017;31(3):727-35. doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000001543.
- 468 17. Bedoya AA, Miltenberger MR, Lopez RM. Plyometric Training Effects on Athletic Performance in Youth
469 *Soccer Athletes: A Systematic Review*. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2015;29(8):2351-60.
470 doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000000877.

- 471 18. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behaviors science.(2nd). New Jersey: Laurence Erlbaum
472 Associates, Publishers, Hillsdale. 1988.
- 473 19. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine
474 and exercise science. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2009;41(1):3-13.
475 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278.
- 476 20. Hakkinen K. Effect of explosive type strength training on electromyographic and force production
477 characteristics of les extensor muscles during concentric and various stretch-shortening cycle exercises.
478 *Scand J Sports Sci*. 1985;7:65-76.
- 479 21. Christou M, Smilios I, Sotiropoulos K, Volaklis K, Piliandis T, Tokmakidis SP. Effects of resistance
480 training on the physical capacities of adolescent soccer players. *Journal of strength and conditioning
481 research*. 2006;20(4):783-91. doi:10.1519/r-17254.1.
- 482 22. Morin JB, Gimenez P, Edouard P, Arnal P, Jimenez-Reyes P, Samozino P et al. Sprint Acceleration
483 Mechanics: The Major Role of Hamstrings in Horizontal Force Production. *Frontiers in physiology*.
484 2015;6:404. doi:10.3389/fphys.2015.00404.
- 485 23. Asadi A, Arazi H, Ramirez-Campillo R, Moran J, Izquierdo M. Influence of Maturation Stage on Agility
486 Performance Gains After Plyometric Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of strength
487 and conditioning research*. 2017;31(9):2609-17. doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000001994.
- 488 24. Sohnlein Q, Muller E, Stoggl TL. The effect of 16-week plyometric training on explosive actions in early
489 to mid-puberty elite soccer players. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2014;28(8):2105-14.
490 doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000000387.
- 491 25. Lupo C, Ungureanu AN, Varalda M, Brustio PR. Running technique is more effective than soccer-
492 specific training for improving the sprint and agility performances with ball possession of prepubescent
493 soccer players. 2019.
- 494 26. Sheppard JM, Young WB. Agility literature review: classifications, training and testing. *Journal of sports
495 sciences*. 2006;24(9):919-32. doi:10.1080/02640410500457109.
- 496 27. Condello G, Minganti C, Lupo C, Benvenuti C, Pacini D, Tessitore A. Evaluation of change-of-direction
497 movements in young rugby players. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*.
498 2013;8(1):52-6.
- 499 28. Moran JJ, Sandercock GR, Ramirez-Campillo R, Meylan CM, Collison JA, Parry DA. Age-Related
500 Variation in Male Youth Athletes' Countermovement Jump After Plyometric Training: A Meta-Analysis of
501 Controlled Trials. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2017;31(2):552-65.
502 doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000001444.
- 503 29. Markovic G, Mikulic P. Neuro-musculoskeletal and performance adaptations to lower-extremity
504 plyometric training. *Sports medicine (Auckland, NZ)*. 2010;40(10):859-95. doi:10.2165/11318370-
505 000000000-00000.
- 506 30. Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Hughes MG, Williams CA. The effects of 4-weeks of plyometric training on reactive
507 strength index and leg stiffness in male youths. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*.
508 2012;26(10):2812-9.
- 509 31. Matavulj D, Kukolj M, Ugarkovic D, Tihanyi J, Jaric S. Effects of plyometric training on jumping
510 performance in junior basketball players. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness*.
511 2001;41(2):159-64.
- 512 32. Ramsay JA, Blimkie CJ, Smith K, Garner S, MacDougall JD, Sale DG. Strength training effects in
513 prepubescent boys. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 1990;22(5):605-14.
- 514 33. Lees A, Asai T, Andersen TB, Nunome H, Sterzing T. The biomechanics of kicking in soccer: a review.
515 *Journal of sports sciences*. 2010;28(8):805-17. doi:10.1080/02640414.2010.481305.
- 516 34. Negra Y, Chaabene H, Sammoud S, Prieske O, Moran J, Ramirez-Campillo R et al. The increased
517 effectiveness of loaded versus unloaded plyometric-jump training in improving muscle power, speed,

518 change-of-direction, and kicking-distance performance in prepubertal male soccer players. *International*
519 *journal of sports physiology and performance*. 2019;1(aop):1-25.
520