



#### Measuring the Degradation of Commercial Cameras Under Fast Neutron Beam

Bilal Aslam<sup>\*1</sup>, Sangeet Saha<sup>\*1</sup>, Mubariz Zaffar<sup>1</sup>, Shoaib Ehsan<sup>1</sup>, Xiaojun Zhai<sup>1</sup>, Carlo Cazzaniga<sup>2</sup>, Cristopher Frost<sup>2</sup>, Rustam Stolkin<sup>3</sup>, and Klaus McDonald-Maier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Embedded and Intelligent Systems Laboratory, University of Essex, Colchester, Uk

<sup>2</sup>ISIS Facility, STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Uk

<sup>3</sup>Extreme Robotics Lab (ERL), University of Birmingham, UK



- The main bottleneck to the access of extreme environments (such as nuclear sites) comes in the form of the health risks associated with different forms (neutron, gamma) and levels (flux, dose rate) of radiations.
- Robotic system emerges as an ideal solution
- The role of vision sensors (RGB-D and stereo cameras) in this regard is pivotal to assist the robot navigation.
- The radiation rich environments jeopardize the reliability of the vision sensors by causing :

Transient Damage (Single Event Effect (SEE))
Permanent Damage (Displacement, Ionization)





- The radiation hardened camera sensors could be a solution however, the trade-off is their excessive cost.
- Low cost, ease of use, compact size, and compact data storage make COTS digital camera ideal for robots.
- In this perspective, one of the key research directions is to

# Analyse the effect of different types of radiations on COTS image sensors.





- Evaluate and compare the sensor degradation of two popular commercial CMOS-based cameras, namely Raspberry Pi camera and Trust USB camera, as a function of exposure time in the presence of neutron beam.
- Evaluate the evolution of the transient and permanent pixel damage in the cameras during the radiation cycle.
- Evaluate the recovery of the permanently damaged pixels during the annealing period.





- Radiation experiments were conducted with neutron beam at the ChipIr facility at ISIS, RAL, Didcot, Uk.
- Both the cameras were tested for about 12 hours that amounts to a neutron yield of 2.3 x 10<sup>11</sup> considering a 3.5 cm x 3.5 cm beam.
- Dark images were captured from the cameras during the experiment by covering their apertures with black tape.





#### **Experimental Setup**





Figure 1. Experimental Setup at ChipIr, RAL



EIS@Essex Lab



**Evaluation Methodology** 

#### Detecting Damaged Pixels

- > Without radiations pixels values are normally distributed and thus all the pixels values should remain under the threshold value of  $\mu$ +3 $\sigma$ .
- Under radiation effect, this pixel distribution spreads out and thus pixel with intensity levels greater than the threshold values are identified as damaged pixels.
- Pixel Damage Factor (PDF) is the ratio of the number of damaged pixels to the total number of pixels.
- Detecting Permanent and Single Event Effects (SEE)
  - An algorithm is proposed to categorize the pixel damage as permanent or SEE.





### **Evaluation Methodology**

#### Algorithm 1: SEE and Permanent Damage Detection:

- 1. Input: Radiated images with time stamps.
- 2. Output: Detection of Single Event Effect and Permanent Effects.
- 3. Begin
- 4. for each input radiated image do
- 5. Detect the damaged pixel in the image with time stamp *t*;
- 6. Store the pixel positions in a list  $L_t$
- 7. end for
- 8. Consider two consecutive lists  $L_t$  and  $L_{t+1}$ ; /\* where  $L_{t+1}$  denotes the list created from image with time stamp t+1 \*/
- 9. *if* a pixel appears in both the lists *then*
- 10. This damage in camera will be considered as a Permanent or displacement damage;
- 11. else
- 12. The damage is considered as transient (SEE)
- 13. end if
- 14. End







**Figure 2.** (a) PDF plot of camera sensors, and (b) Evolution of SEE and Permanent pixel damage during exposure cycle







Figure 3. Dark Image Histogram of : (a) Raspberry Pi Cam, and (b) Trust USB Cam







Figure 4. Permanent pixel damage during exposure cycle



EIS@Essex Lab





**Figure 5.** 3D surface distribution plot after 12 hours of neutron exposure of: (a) Raspberry Pi Cam, and (b) Trust USB Cam





## **Table 1.** Damaged pixels recovery during theannealing cycle

Annealing Time	Recovered Pixels (%)	
(Hours)	Raspberry	Trust USB
	Pi Cam	Cam
3	2.5	2
6	4	3.5
9	4.8	4
12	5.2	4.3





- Results show that the degradation rate of Raspberry Pi cam sensor is 40% lower than the Trust USB camera.
- The number of permanently damaged pixels increase linearly over the exposure time.
- No significant healing of the pixel damage is observed for either cameras.





- Perform similar experiments for the gamma radiations and compare the results.
- Measure image sensor parameters such as dark current, dark signal non-uniformity, read noise, peak response non-uniformity, and signal to noise ratio under the neutron beam.
- Come up with noise models to relate the sensor parameters degradation with the radiations (neutron fluencies/gamma doses).









eis.essex.ac.uk