



Correction to: Orientation preserving and orientation reversing mappings: a new description

Peter M. Higgins¹ · Alexei Vernitski¹

Received: 6 September 2024 / Accepted: 30 September 2024 / Published online: 28 October 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Correction to: Semigroup Forum

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-022-10256-8>

This corrigendum refers to Theorem 3 of [1], which characterises the sets of mappings on a finite cycle that preserve orientation, \mathcal{OP}_n , or that reverse orientation, \mathcal{OR}_n , in terms of actions of mappings on oriented triples. The statement of the theorem mistakenly omitted a necessary condition on the rank (the number of elements in the image) of the mappings concerned. Since the theorem clearly holds for constant mappings, a correct formulation is as follows.

Theorem *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be of rank other than 2. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}_n$ (resp. $\alpha \in \mathcal{OR}_n$) if and only if for every triple $S = (i, j, k)$ taken from $[n] := \{0, 1 \dots, n - 1\}$, the orientation of $S\alpha$ is the same as (resp. is opposite to) that of S .*

The argument given in [1] proves, without alteration, this amended theorem.

The approach to prove the converse direction is via the contrapositive in that we take a mapping $\alpha \notin \mathcal{OP}_n$ and identify a cyclic triple (r, s, t) such that $(r\alpha, s\alpha, t\alpha)$ is not cyclic.

Since $\alpha \notin \mathcal{OP}_n$, there exist distinct integers i, j such that $i\alpha > (i + 1)\alpha$ and $j\alpha > (j + 1)\alpha$ (addition modulo n). If $i\alpha \neq j\alpha$ or if $(i + 1)\alpha \neq (j + 1)\alpha$, then the rank of α is at least 3, as shown in [1, Theorem 3]. However in the case where $i\alpha = j\alpha$ and $(i + 1)\alpha = (j + 1)\alpha$, the argument needs to postulate the existence of a third member in the range of α , a condition explicitly assumed in Case (3) of the proof of [1, Theorem 3].

Communicated by Victoria Gould.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-022-10256-8>.

✉ Peter M. Higgins
peteh@essex.ac.uk

Alexei Vernitski
asvern@essex.ac.uk

¹ School of Mathematics, Statistics, and Actuarial Science, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SQ, UK

In general, the exclusion of rank 2 mappings is necessary as, for example, the mapping $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_4$ with $0\alpha = 2\alpha = 1$ and $1\alpha = 3\alpha = 0$ is not orientation-preserving although α preserves orientation for each triple.

Acknowledgements Our thanks go to Vitor H. Fernandes who notified the authors of this error, giving a similar counter-example.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Reference

1. Higgins, P.M., Vernitski, A.: Orientation preserving and orientation reversing mappings: a new description. *Semigroup Forum* **104**(2), 509–514 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-022-10256-8>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.