The effects of repeated sprint training with vs. without change of direction on measures of physical fitness in youth male soccer players

Running title: Effects of repeated sprint training with or without change of direction on physical fitness in youth athletes

Yassine Negra¹, Senda Sammoud¹, Rodrigo Ramírez-Campillo²,³, Raja Bouguezzi³, Jason Moran⁴, Helmi Chaabene⁵,⁶

¹Research Unit (UR17JS01) «Sport Performance, Health & Society», Higher Institute of Sport and Physical Education of Ksar Saïd, University of “La Manouba”, Tunisia, 2037
²Department of Physical Activity Sciences. Universidad de Los Lagos. Santiago, Chile.
³Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences Laboratory. School of Physical Therapy. Faculty of Rehabilitation Sciences. Universidad Andres Bello. Santiago. Chile.
⁴School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, United Kingdom
⁵Department of Sports and Health Sciences, Faculty of Human Sciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam 14469, Germany
⁶High Institute of Sports and Physical Education, Kef, University of Jendouba, Tunisia, 8189

Corresponding author:

Yassine Negra Ph.D
Research Unit (UR17JS01) «Sport Performance, Health & Society», Higher Institute of Sport and Physical Education of Ksar Saïd, University of “La Manouba”, Tunisia, 2037
Email: yassinenegra@hotmail.fr
Abstract

BACKGROUND: Fitness characteristics such as linear sprinting, repeated sprint ability, and change of direction (CoD) are important for male youth soccer players to maximize training safety and physical performance. We aimed to compare the effects of a 9-week repeated sprint training (RST) program, with and without change of direction (CoD) movements, on repeated sprint ability (RSA total time \([RSA_{total}]\), RSA best time \([RSA_{best}]\)), CoD speed (505 CoD test), linear sprint speed (10-m and 20-m linear sprint), and aerobic endurance (AE; Yo-Yo intermittent endurance test level 1) in male youth soccer players. METHODS: During the in-season period, 20 soccer players were randomly assigned to a RST with CoD (RST\(_{CoD}\)) group (\(n = 10\), age = 15.4±0.4 years) or a repeated linear sprint training group (\(n = 10\), age = 15.6±0.3 years). Both training groups completed approximately two 15 minutes RST sessions per week, with the only difference between training programmes being the inclusion of one CoD movement during sprint repetitions for the RST\(_{CoD}\) group. RESULTS: The two-way ANOVA with repeated measures revealed a significant, moderate effect of time for \(RSA_{best}\) and \(RSA_{total}\) (effect size \([d]\) = 0.69 and 0.67, respectively). Likewise, significant, moderate-to-large effects of time were found for CoD (\(d=0.83\)), 10-m and 20-m sprint (\(d=0.68\) and 0.67, respectively), and AE (\(d=0.66\)). No significant group × time interactions were observed for any measure of physical fitness (\(d=0.00\) to 0.16). CONCLUSIONS: RST with and without CoD movements is equally effective in improving RSA total and best time, CoD speed, linear sprint speed, and AE in youth male soccer players.
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Introduction

The sport of soccer is characterized by high levels of running speed and its many derivatives (i.e., linear sprinting, change of direction [CoD] speed, repeated sprint ability [RSA]) \(^1\). Additionally, a high level of aerobic endurance (AE) is essential to preserve the quality of high-intensity actions across the duration of a match (e.g., CoD speed, RSA) \(^1\). During soccer matches, players can cover 10–13 km \(^1\), with both sprinting and high-intensity running accounting for up to 11% and 12% of this distance, respectively \(^2\). Further to this, Bangsbo et al. \(^3\) observed between 1,200 and 1,400 CoD rapid actions by players during a game whilst Bradley et al. \(^4\) reported that one of the primary performance determinants is the ability to repeat high-speed actions (e.g., RSA). Indeed, RSA outcomes (i.e., RSA total time, RSA best time) effectively discriminate between professional and amateur soccer players \(^5\). Therefore, physical fitness qualities such as CoD speed, RSA, and AE should be systematically developed to help players cope with the competitive demands of modern soccer \(^6\).

Repeated sprint training (RST) is a popular method of training \(^7\). It consists of several sprints interspersed with brief periods of recovery. Earlier studies showed that RST is effective for developing a variety of different fitness components including linear sprint speed \(^8,9\), CoD speed \(^8,9\), RSA \(^10\), and AE (i.e., Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 1 total distance) \(^9\). RST can be implemented using either sprints with CoDs or linear sprinting \(^11\). The incorporation of CoDs into a training programme can be an important physiological and mechanical stimulus for high performance \(^12,13\). Indeed, players accustomed to executing CoD and short shuttle runs can reduce energy demand (i.e., improved economy) during such specific actions \(^13\). Accordingly, the inclusion of these movements into an RST program can lead to greater development of physical fitness measures associated with neuromuscular factors such as jump, sprint, and repeated sprint performance \(^5\).

In contrast to the points discussed above, Taylor et al. \(^14\) examined the effects of two weeks of RST, both with and without CoDs, on measures of physical fitness in semi-professional male soccer players aged 24 years. These authors revealed no additional benefit of RST with CoDs on measures of physical fitness, a result replicated by Beato et al. \(^15\) who reported no additional benefit of eight weeks of RST with CoDs on measures of physical fitness (e.g., RSA, CoD speed) in an elite male youth population. Similarly, two weeks of RST with or without
CoDs were not effective in improving measures of physical fitness in amateur male soccer players aged 21 years. Of note, the above-detailed studies were characterized by some methodological shortcomings, such as the very short duration of training (i.e., 2 weeks), which could undermine the relevance of their findings. Accordingly, it is conceivable that longer interventions, with adequate training frequency, would induce a greater effect on RST with CoD.

Given the above-presented evidence, our study aimed to compare the effects of two weekly sessions of RST, with and without CoD, on RSA, linear sprint speed, CoD speed, and AE in youth male soccer players over a nine-week period. Our working hypothesis was that RST with CoD would induce larger improvements on measures of physical fitness compared with linear repeated sprint training (LRST) in male youth soccer players.

**METHODS**

**Experimental approach to the problem**

A parallel two-group repeated measures experimental design was adopted to compare the effectiveness of RST both with and without CoDs on measures of RSA, linear sprint speed, CoD speed, and AE in youth male soccer players. The two training programs were conducted during the in-season period of the year 2021 (February-March). No familiarization sessions were conducted as all players were well acquainted with the physical fitness tests from their routine physical preparation program. Athletes were assessed before and after nine weeks of training. On the first day of testing, anthropometric measurements (i.e., body-mass and height), 10- and 20-m sprint speed, as well as RSA tests were carried out. On the second day, and following 48h of rest, the CoD speed (505 CoD test) and AE (Yo-Yo Intermittent endurance test level 1 [Yo-Yo IET]) tests were conducted.

All tests were scheduled at least 48 hours after the last executed training session or soccer match and were conducted at the same time of day (7:30–9:30 AM) under the same environmental conditions (29–33° C, no wind).

**Participants**
Figure 1 displays a CONSORT diagram of the levels of reporting and participant flow.

**Figure 1 near here**

We conducted an *a priori* sample size calculation for the best time in a single trial during the repeated sprint test (RSA_best) in the RST with CoDs group (RST_{CoD}). We set α at 0.05 and the statistical power at 0.80. The estimated effect size of Cohen’s d = 0.65 is based on a similar study. Therefore, the required number of participants in each group was determined to be nine. To account for potential participant attrition, twenty youth players from a regional soccer team were randomly assigned to RST_{CoD} group (n = 10, age = 15.4 ± 0.4 years, body mass = 60.8 ± 3.8 kg, height = 1.7 ± 0.1 m, and maturity offset = 1.5±0.5 years from peak height velocity [YPHV]) or a repeated linear sprint training (RLST) group (n = 10, age = 15.6 ± 0.3 years, body mass = 60.0 ± 6.5 kg, height = 1.7±0.1 m, and maturity offset = 1.8±0.4 YPHV) (Table 1). The assigned groups were determined by a chance process (a random number generator on a computer) and could not be predicted. This procedure was established according to the “CONSORT” statement, which can be found at [http://www.consort-statement.org](http://www.consort-statement.org). In addition, the same investigator, who was blinded to group allocation, conducted all measurements. The participants had 7.0 ± 1.4 years of systematic soccer competition and training, involving five training sessions (80-90 min each) per week and a competitive game on weekends. Athletes who missed more than 20% of the total training sessions and/or more than two consecutive sessions were excluded from the study.

Besides chronological age, biological maturity was estimated using the maturity offset method. The maturity offset was calculated by predicting age at peak-height-velocity using the predictive equations established by Moore et al.

**Table 1 near here**

All players met the following inclusion and exclusion criteria: (i) continuous soccer training over the past three months with no serious (i.e., no more than one session missed due to) musculoskeletal injuries sustained, (ii) absence of potential medical problems that could compromise participation or performance in the study, (iii) any lower-extremity surgery in the two years before the study. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Committee for the ethical use of human subjects at ***blind to reviewers***. Written
informed parental consent and participant assent were obtained before the start of the study. All youth athletes and their parents/legal representatives were informed about the experimental protocol and its potential risks and benefits before the commencement of the research project. Participants were permitted to withdraw from the study at any time and without having to provide a reason for doing so.

**Soccer Training Program**

Over the 9-week intervention period, regular training included five 80-90 minutes sessions per week. Both intervention groups conducted three soccer-specific training sessions per week in addition to two RST sessions. Therefore, the overall exposure time to training was identical between the two experimental groups (details in table 2). Soccer training included fast footwork drills, technical skills and moves (easy/difficult), position games (small/big), and tactical games with various strategic objectives.

**Table 2 near here**

**Repeated sprint training program**

After a standardized warm-up, the soccer players completed two ~20-minute RST sessions (details in Table 3). The two weekly sessions were performed on an artificial grass soccer pitch with 48 hours of recovery given between sessions (i.e., Tuesday, and Thursday) \(^{10}\). Players were instructed to exert maximal effort across all repetitions and to cover the prescribed running distance as fast as possible. Both groups covered the same distance (i.e., 420-m) per session. Recovery periods of twenty seconds and four minutes were allowed between sprints and sets, respectively. After the RST session, the players completed the remainder of their regular soccer training. Therefore, no additional training load was applied.

**Table 3 near here**

**Linear sprint speed**
Twenty-meter linear sprint performance was assessed at 10-, and 20 m intervals using an electronic timing system (Microgate SRL, Bolzano, Italy). Participants started in a standing start 0.3 m behind the first infrared photoelectric gate, which was placed 0.75 m above the ground to ensure captured trunk movement and avoided false signals through limb motion. In total, three single-beam photoelectric gates were used. The between-trial recovery time was three minutes. The best performance out of two trials was used for further analysis. The intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) for test-retest reliability were 0.94, and 0.97 for 10-m and 20-m, respectively.

**505 change of direction speed**

The 505 CoD speed test was administered using the protocol as previously outlined by Sammoud et al. using an electronic timing system (Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Players assumed a standing position 10-m from the start line, ran as quickly as possible through the start/finish line, pivoted 180° at the 15-m line indicated by a cone marker, and returned as fast as possible through the start/finish line. To ensure proper execution of the test, a researcher was positioned at the turning line and if the participant changed direction before reaching the turning point, or turned off the incorrect foot, the trial was disregarded and reattempted after the recovery period. A between-trial rest period of three minutes was provided. The best performance out of two trials was used for further analysis. The ICC for test-retest trials was 0.93.

**Repeated sprint ability**

The RSA test was conducted using a photocell system (Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Immediately after a warm-up, participants completed a preliminary single shuttle-sprint test (20+20 m with 180° CoD). The first trial provided the criterion score for the actual shuttle-sprint test. Participants then rested for five minutes before starting this test. During the first sprint, participants had to achieve at least 97.5% of their criterion score, otherwise, they rested for five minutes and then restarted the test. We used such an approach to determine if participants adopted a coping strategy for performance. Of note, all participants attained their criterion score during the first sprint. All performed six 20-m shuttle-sprints with 180° turns, separated by 25-s of passive recovery. Three seconds
prior to the commencement of each sprint, players were asked to adopt the ready position until the next start signal. From the starting line, they sprinted for 20-m, touched the second line with one foot, and returned to the starting line as quickly as possible. Participants were instructed to complete all sprints as fast as possible. The RSA_{best} and total time (RSA_{total}) were determined.

**Aerobic endurance**
Aerobic endurance was assessed using the YoYo intermittent endurance test (level 1). The test was performed as described by Bangsbo et al.\(^3\). Briefly, it consisted of repeated 2 x 20 m shuttle runs with a 180° turn with these runs executed at a progressively increasing speed indicated by audio beeps. Between each running bout, the players had a 5-s of active rest taken in the form of a 2 x 2.5 m walk. Termination of the test occurred when a participant failed to reach the finish line for a second time. The total distance of completed shuttles was recorded as the test result. The test was conducted outdoors on artificial turf. The reliability of this test was examined elsewhere.\(^3\)

**Statistical Analyses**
Data were tested and confirmed for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Baseline between-group differences were computed with independent t-tests. To establish the effect of the interventions on the dependent variables, a two (group: RSTCoD and RLST) × two (time: pre, post) ANOVA with repeated measures was computed. When group × time interactions reached the level of significance (i.e., significant F value), group-specific repeated measure ANOVAs (time: pre, post) were used to determine within-group pre-to-post performance changes. Additionally, effect sizes were determined by converting partial eta-squared from the ANOVA output to Cohen’s d.\(^{24}\) Cohen’s d was classified as small (0.00 ≤ d ≤ 0.49), medium (0.50 ≤ d ≤ 0.79), and large (d ≥ 0.80). Test-retest reliability was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient. Data were presented as group means values and standard deviation. The level of significance was set a priori at p ≤ 0.05. Data analyses were conducted using SPSS 24.0 program for Windows (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**
All subjects (n=20) received the treatment conditions as allocated. The adherence rate to training was 95% for both groups. None of the subjects reported any training- or test-related
injuries. No significant between-group baseline differences were observed for any descriptive variable (Table 1) or physical fitness (Table 4) measures. The main effects of group, time, and group × time interactions are displayed in Table 3.

**Table 4 near here**

Repeated sprint ability

A main effect of time was noted for RSA\textsubscript{best} and RSA\textsubscript{total} \((d=0.69 \text{ [medium]}, \text{ and } 0.67 \text{ [medium]}, p=0.04, \text{ and } 0.05, \text{ respectively})\) with no significant group × time interactions (Table 4).

505-CoD speed test

A significant main effect of time was found for the 505 CoD speed test \((d=0.83 \text{ [large]}, p=0.01)\) with no significant group × time interaction.

Linear sprint speed

A significant main effect of time was noted for 10-m \((d=0.68 \text{ [medium]}\) and 20-m \((d=0.67 \text{ [medium]}\) sprint speed performance (both \(p=0.04\)). However, no significant group × time interactions were detected for either sprint speed intervals.

Aerobic endurance

Results indicated a significant main effect of time for AE \((d=0.66 \text{ [medium]}, p=0.05)\). However, the group × time interaction did not reach the assigned level of statistical significance.

Discussion

This study examined the effects of a 9-week RST program, with and without CoD movements, on measures of physical fitness in male youth soccer players. Contrary to our hypothesis, our main findings revealed that both training interventions induced similar improvements in RSA\textsubscript{best}, RSA\textsubscript{tot}, CoD speed, linear sprint speed, and AE in youth male soccer players.
RSA is a key fitness component in team sports as short maximal sprints, interspersed with brief recovery periods, are frequent actions during match play. Relatedly, RST has been recommended to concurrently improve fundamental soccer movements such as sprints and CoD actions that are repeatedly performed in a soccer match. Our findings confirm the positive effects of RST on RSA in soccer players, with medium-sized improvements in RSA_{best} (ES=0.69) and RSA_{tot} (ES=0.67) after RST_{CoD} and RLST, respectively. These findings agree with those recently reported by Beato et al. These authors revealed moderate improvements (ES= 0.65) in RSA_{best} after two weeks of RST_{CoD} in amateur soccer players aged 21±2.4 years. Similarly, Chtara et al. revealed significant large improvements in RSA best (1.7%) and RSA mean time (1.8%) performance after six weeks of an RST program in elite male youth soccer players. Also, Ferrari et al. revealed a significant improvement (2.1%) in RSA mean time following a 7-week program of RST in youth soccer players aged 17 years. Furthermore, in a meta-analytical study, Taylor et al. reported moderate (ES=0.62) enhancements in RSA following RST. The observed training-related improvements in RSA outcomes appear to be mainly caused by a series of metabolic adaptations, such as increases in muscular enzymatic activity, phosphocreatine and glycogen stores, and improved lactate buffering capacity. However, neuromuscular adaptations may also be related to RSA improvements, particularly RSA_{best}.

CoD speed is a key determinant of high performance in soccer. Our results showed large CoD speed improvements (ES=0.84), regardless of the training modality adopted, with similar findings also being reported by Beato et al. More specifically, these authors observed moderate (ES=0.62) improvements in CoD speed performance (505 CoD speed test) after eight weeks of RST_{CoD} in high-level soccer players. Similarly, Chtara et al. revealed a significant large improvement (3.8%) in 20-m Zig-Zag CoD performance after six weeks of an RST program in elite young male soccer players. CoD speed enhancements appear to be associated with improvements in kinetic factors (e.g., horizontal force and impulse), as well as improvements in CoD technique (e.g., kinematic factors such as step length and step frequency).

In terms of linear sprint speed, both groups in our study achieved medium-sized improvements across the measured sprint distances (ES=0.67 to 068). Taylor et al. also
observed large beneficial effects for 10-, and 20-m sprint performance after six RST sessions, with and without CoD, over two weeks in semi-professional male soccer players. Similarly, Rey et al.\textsuperscript{31} demonstrated significant improvements in 20-m sprint time performance after six weeks of both one (ES=0.531), and two (ES=0.321) sessions of RST per week in male youth soccer players. In the same context, Chtara et al.\textsuperscript{8} revealed a large improvement in 10- (4.20%), and 30-m (2.44%) sprint performance after six weeks of an RST program in elite young male soccer players. In contrast, Beato et al.\textsuperscript{15} did not find significant improvements in 10-m sprint speed after eight weeks of RST, with and without CoD (ES=-0.13, and-0.36, respectively), in elite-level soccer players (aged between 18–21). The discrepancies between our results and those reported by Beato et al.\textsuperscript{15} could be explained by the differential in total training load in the two studies (9 weeks vs. 2 weeks) or possibly the participants’ level of training experience and playing status (e.g., amateur vs. elite). In support of this point, a recent meta-analysis by Moran et al.\textsuperscript{32} revealed larger increases in sprint speed following eight weeks of sprint training with training conducted over a shorter period of time found to be substantially less effective. According to Lieberman et al.\textsuperscript{33}, improvements in sprint speed could be caused by changes in the patterns of muscular activity. In fact, well-trained athletes adopt muscle activation patterns that are energetically and biomechanically advantageous for a given task, the repetition of which can lead to improvements in movement efficiency via neural pathways and reduced co-contractions.\textsuperscript{18} In addition, sprint-training methods, such as RST, may also increase the activity of muscle groups through enhanced temporal sequencing and fast-twitch muscle fiber recruitment.\textsuperscript{33} Further, it is possible that sprint training causes beneficial increases in muscle metabolites (e.g., phosphocreatine and glycogen) and enzymatic activity.\textsuperscript{34} Furthermore, sprint speed performance enhancements could also be attributed to neuromuscular adaptations (e.g., increased muscle power) obtained by the repetition of CoD actions and accelerations, as well as the higher total sprint distance covered during the protocol.\textsuperscript{32}

A high level of AE enables soccer players to effectively cope with the physical demands of a soccer match.\textsuperscript{35} Our results revealed significant, medium (ES=0.66) improvements in AE after the RST\textsubscript{CoD} and RLST programs. These findings corroborate those reported by Taylor et al.\textsuperscript{14} who revealed moderate-to-large improvements in AE after six RST sessions, with and without CoD, over two weeks in semi-professional soccer players. Likewise, our results were
in line with those reported by Taylor et al.\textsuperscript{18} following RST in team sports players (ES=-0.61). Similarly, Marcello Laia et al.\textsuperscript{9} showed a significant improvement (11.4\%) in the distance covered during the Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test (Level 2) after a 9-week RLST program in young male soccer players. Beato et al.\textsuperscript{15} also reported a moderate positive effect (ES=0.65) on AE after 8 weeks of RST\textsubscript{CoD} in high-level soccer players with the same authors demonstrating a small positive effect (ES=0.44) of eight weeks of RSLT on AE. It is worth noting that the observed improvements in AE following both RST programs might have occurred independently of direct measures of AE such as maximal oxygen uptake or lactate threshold \textsuperscript{18,36}. More specifically, earlier studies\textsuperscript{17,37} reported that the physiology of RST is associated with limitations of phosphocreatine resynthesis, aerobic and anaerobic glycolysis, and metabolite accumulation (e.g., superoxide radicals, ammonia), which cause a decrement in performance (alterations of the homeostasis). Such acute physiological responses could explain the soccer-related aerobic benefits obtained after nine weeks of RST.

Overall, the similar effect observed following the two training interventions in our study could mainly be attributed to the lack of neuromuscular and metabolic differences induced by the two training programs. In this way, the programs may have been too similar in structure to induce differentiated adaptations in the participants. Whilst the RST\textsubscript{CoD} protocol required high braking and propulsive forces, which could increase metabolic and neuromuscular demands, the biomechanical aspects associated with the RLST, such as longer stride length, better use of the stretch-shortening cycle and higher running speed, may have placed similar demands on the neuromuscular system. This explanation is supported by the very strong relationship reported between the two forms of sprints\textsuperscript{38}.

**Limitations**

This study does have some limitations. First, we were unable to include an active control group. Second, the study lacks direct physiological and/or biomechanical measures that may help explain the underpinning mechanisms behind the observed improvements in functional performance. Finally, although players were instructed to exert maximal effort across all repetitions and to cover the running distance as fast as possible, we were unable to assess the metabolic cost, perceived effort, or equivalent markers of potential training intensity during the RST\textsubscript{CoD} and RLST programs.
Conclusions
RST, both with and without CoD, is equally effective in improving RSA, CoD speed, linear sprint speed, and AE in youth male soccer players. Soccer coaches and strength and conditioning specialists can use RST, both with and without CoD movements, to enhance measures of physical fitness in male youth soccer players.
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Figure 1. The diagram (The CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) includes detailed information on the interventions received.
Table 1: Anthropometric characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>RST&lt;sub&gt;CoD&lt;/sub&gt; group (n=10)</strong></th>
<th><strong>RLST group (n=10)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>15.4±0.4</td>
<td>15.6±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.7±0.1</td>
<td>1.7±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>60.8±3.9</td>
<td>60.0±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO (years)</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>1.8±0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as means and standard deviations; RST<sub>CoD</sub>= repeated sprint training with change of direction; RLST= repeated linear sprint training; MO: maturity offset, as years from peak height velocity.

Table 2: Training characteristics during the nine-week intervention period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>RST&lt;sub&gt;CoD&lt;/sub&gt; group (n=10)</strong></th>
<th><strong>RLST group (n=10)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of training sessions</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of matches</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of RST sessions</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RST<sub>CoD</sub>= repeated sprint training with change of direction; RLST= repeated linear sprint training.
Table 3: Characteristics of the two repeated sprint training programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>RST&lt;sub&gt;CoD&lt;/sub&gt; Group</th>
<th>RLST Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sets × reps × distance (m) (per session)</td>
<td>Sets × reps × distance (m) (per session)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)*</td>
<td>3 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>3 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>3 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>2 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>4 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>4 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>4 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>3 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 × 7 × (20 :10m+10m)</td>
<td>3 × 7 × 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RST<sub>CoD</sub> = repeated sprint training with change of direction; RLST = repeated linear sprint training
Table 4: Physical fitness outcomes from pre-training to post-training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RST&lt;sub&gt;CoD&lt;/sub&gt; group (n=10)</th>
<th>RLST group (n=10)</th>
<th>Group p value (d)</th>
<th>Time p value (d)</th>
<th>Group×Time p value (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 m sprint (s)</td>
<td>1.85±0.14</td>
<td>1.76±0.11</td>
<td>1.90±0.14</td>
<td>1.82±0.11</td>
<td>0.19 (0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m sprint (s)</td>
<td>3.28±0.25</td>
<td>3.14±0.22</td>
<td>3.37±0.27</td>
<td>3.20±0.16</td>
<td>0.33 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505 CoD test (s)</td>
<td>2.48±0.20</td>
<td>2.33±0.15</td>
<td>2.47±0.15</td>
<td>2.36±0.15</td>
<td>0.88 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA&lt;sub&gt;best&lt;/sub&gt; (s)</td>
<td>7.84±0.55</td>
<td>7.51±0.54</td>
<td>7.70±0.41</td>
<td>7.41±0.31</td>
<td>0.42 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA&lt;sub&gt;total&lt;/sub&gt; (s)</td>
<td>48.87±3.60</td>
<td>46.70±3.38</td>
<td>47.64±2.94</td>
<td>45.83±2.34</td>
<td>0.29 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yo-Yo intermittent</td>
<td>860.00±453.82</td>
<td>1076.00±404.62</td>
<td>1104.00±447.89</td>
<td>1404.00±313.52</td>
<td>0.03 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recovery test level 1</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as means and standard deviations. RSA: repeated sprint ability; CoD: change of direction; RSA<sub>total</sub>: RSA total time; RSA<sub>best</sub>: RSA best time; d = Cohen’s d; RST<sub>CoD</sub>: repeated sprint training with change of direction; RLST: repeated linear sprint training.