Temperature-induced changes in Arabidopsis Rubisco activity and isoform expression
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Abstract

In many plant species, expression of the nuclear encoded Rubisco small subunit (SSu) varies with environmental changes, but the functional role of any changes in expression remains unclear. In this study, we investigated the impact of differential expression of Rubisco SSu isoforms on carbon assimilation in Arabidopsis. Using plants grown at contrasting temperatures (10 °C and 30 °C), we confirm the previously reported temperature response of the four RbcS genes and extend this to protein expression, finding that warm-grown plants produce Rubisco containing ~65% SSu-B and cold-grown plants produce Rubisco with ~65% SSu-A as a proportion of the total pool of subunits. We find that these changes in isoform concentration are associated with kinetic changes to Rubisco in vitro: warm-grown plants produce a Rubisco having greater CO2 affinity (i.e. higher $S_{C/O}$ and lower $K_C$) but lower $k_{catCO2}$ at warm measurement temperatures. Although warm-grown plants produce 38% less Rubisco than cold-grown plants on a leaf area basis, warm-grown plants can maintain similar rates of photosynthesis to cold-grown plants at ambient CO2 and 30 °C, indicating that the carboxylation capacity of warm-grown Rubisco is enhanced at warmer measurement temperatures, and is able to compensate for the lower Rubisco content in warm-grown plants. This association between SSu isoform expression and maintenance of Rubisco activity at high temperature suggests that SSu isoform expression could impact the temperature response of C3 photosynthesis.
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Introduction

Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) catalyses the competing reactions of photosynthetic carboxylation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and photorespiratory RuBP oxygenation. Since it is a dual-substrate enzyme, a defining kinetic feature is the enzyme’s CO2/O2 specificity factor ($S_{C/O}$), which is the ratio of the catalytic efficiencies of its carboxylation reaction ($k_{catCO2}/K_C$) and oxygenation reaction ($k_{catO2}/K_O$), where $k_{cat}$ is the enzyme’s turnover rate and $K_C$ and $K_O$ are the apparent Michaelis–Menten constant for each substrate. Rubisco is approximately 2000 times more...
specific for CO₂ than O₂, but because the latter is some 500 times more abundant in today’s atmosphere, RuBP oxygenation is a significant limitation to carbon gain, and plays an important role in the photosynthetic temperature response (Sage and Kubien, 2007; Moore et al., 2021).

As temperatures rise, the rate of both Rubisco carboxylation and oxygenation increase, as increased temperatures lower the activation barrier for the addition of both substrates (Sage, 2002; Galmés et al., 2015). However, the carboxylation:oxygenation ratio decreases as temperatures rise, both because the ratio of [CO₂]:[O₂] in solution and Rubisco Sₕ/O decline. Consequently, photorespiration increases with rising temperature, limiting potential growth and productivity (Walker et al., 2016), making photorespiration an important bioengineering target for crop improvement and resilience (South et al., 2019; Roell et al., 2021; Cavanagh et al., 2022). The increased photosynthetic pressure of hot, dry, arid environments has driven the evolution of carbon concentrating mechanisms, including C₄ and C₃ photosynthesis (Lundgren and Christin, 2016; Sage et al., 2018). Within C₃ plants, there is evidence that warm growth temperatures can also alter Rubisco Sₕ/O or thermotolerance, improving Rubisco performance and carbon assimilation at warm growth temperatures (Yamori et al., 2006; Cavanagh and Kubien, 2014). Yamori et al. (2006) found that Rubisco from cold-grown (15/10 °C) Spinacia oleracea (spinach) is 5% more specific for CO₂ than O₂ at 10 °C than Rubisco from cold-grown (15/10 °C) Spinacia oleracea (spinach) is 5% more specific for CO₂ than O₂ at 10 °C than Rubisco from cold-grown (15/10 °C) Spinacia oleracea (spinach) is 5% more specific for CO₂ than O₂ at 10 °C than Rubisco from cold-grown (15/10 °C) Spinacia oleracea (spinach) is 5% more specific for CO₂ than O₂ at 10 °C. However, the potential kinetic contribution of alternative SSu isoforms under variable environmental conditions has not been fully explored (Cavanagh and Kubien, 2014; Cavanagh, 2020).

In Arabidopsis, gene-specific expression within the RbcS family varies with both temperature and CO₂ conditions; growth at low temperatures or high CO₂ increases RbcS1A expression, while growth at high temperatures or ambient CO₂ increases RbcS3B expression (Cheng et al., 1998; Yoon et al., 2001), but changes in RbcS gene expression have not been correlated with changes in Rubisco performance. In this work, we aim to determine: (i) if the differences in RbcS expression correlate with Rubisco protein expression under different growth temperature regimes; (ii) whether this difference corresponds with changes in Rubisco kinetics in vitro; and finally (iii) whether these in vitro differences impact the photosynthetic phenotype in vivo.

Materials and methods

Plant growth and sampling

Arabidopsis (Col-0) seeds were stratified for 3 d at 4 °C on Promix (Plant Products, Brampton, Canada), transferred to a growth chamber (E-15 Conviron, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) and grown under photoperiod conditions of 10 h light/14 h dark, 20/18 °C, 300 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, under ambient (400–450 µmol mol⁻¹) and elevated (1000 µmol mol⁻¹) CO₂ levels. After 1 week, plants were transferred to either a warm (30/27 °C), a cold (10/8 °C), or a moderate (20/18 °C) growth temperature treatment. Temperature and CO₂ treatments were applied as a factorial design over two growth chambers,
swapping chambers account for any chamber effects (Supplementary Fig. S1). Leaf temperatures (measured with thermocouples) during the ‘day’ were 8.8, 19.2, and 27.4 °C at 10, 20, and 30 °C air temperatures, respectively. Plants were watered to excess every 2 d (at 30 °C), and every 4 d (10 °C and 20 °C) with a modified Hoagland’s nutrient solution. To normalize nitrogen application to a rate of 160 mmol N week−1, 30 °C plants with a solution containing 8 mM total N, and 20 °C- and 10 °C-grown plants were watered with a 16 mM total N solution. Leaf tissue for RNA extraction and biochemical analyses was sampled from the youngest fully expanded leaf of the fourth whorl of 5- to 6-week-old plants (growth stage 3.70–3.90). Leaf discs were obtained 6–7 h after simulated dawn, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until extraction.

Total plant RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was extracted from flash-frozen leaf material using the RNeasy Plant RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Concentration and relative purity were measured using a spectrophotometer (Nanovue, GE Healthcare Life Sciences), and RNA integrity was confirmed visually on an agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific). One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen). Gene expression was measured via quantitative PCR in 20 µl reaction volumes containing 10 µl KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix (2X) (KAPA Biosystems, Woburn, MA, USA), 200 nM of gene-specific forward and reverse primers, and 1 µl of cDNA. Real time quantification of amplicons was performed using a RotorGene 6000 thermal cycler (Corbett Life Sciences, Sydney, NSW, Australia), using the following standard thermal profile for all reactions: 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s, 58 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 2 s. Primers for \( RbcL \) were 5′-GTTGTGGTCCCAAAGCTGGT-3′ and 5′-CATCGGTCACACAGGTGTC-3′ (Supplementary Table S1). Primers flanked regions in the 3′ UTR of each \( RbsS \) gene, using a common reverse primer for both \( RbsS1A \) and \( RbsS1B \) genes. Product specificity was confirmed visually by band presence/absence following agarose electrophoresis, and via dissociation curves with single peaks obtained for each reaction product.

To extrapolate absolute expression of mRNA copy numbers from the RT-qPCR assay, gene-specific standard curves were prepared in triplicate from known copy numbers of plasmids containing the target sequence of each primer set. All plasmid dilutions used for the standard curves amplified consistently, indicating a linear amplification range of at least six orders of magnitude, from 10^2 to 10^8 copies µl^−1. Expression was normalized to a reference gene (\( AtRbcL \)) which is consistently expressed across changes in growth temperature in Arabidopsis (Czechowski et al., 2005). Controls containing no template cDNA (NTC) and total RNA (no-RT) were included in every run, and they were not amplified below PCR cycles nor did they cross the quantification cycle threshold (\( C_q \)) for samples included in the analysis.

Rubisco immunoblotting
To examine changes in SSu composition, a quantitative immunoblotting procedure modified from Yamori and von Caemmerer (2011) was used, using a dilution of chromatographically purified spinach Rubisco as a standard. Three micrograms of total Arabidopsis soluble protein and 0.25–2 µg of spinach Rubisco standard in Laemmli buffer (2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 60 mM Tris–Cl pH 6.8) were denatured at 100 °C for 3 min and separated with SDS-PAGE on a 22.4 cm 4–20% acrylamide gel. Proteins were visualized with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (R-250), or transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes pre-wetted in methanol and equilibrated in transfer buffer (2.5 mM Tris, 19.2 mM glycine, 20% methanol, pH 8.6) for 60 min at 100 V. Immediately after transfer, membranes were blocked with 3% non-fat milk (Carnation) in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature with agitation, or 4 °C overnight. The blot was probed with a polyclonal primary anti-SSu rabbit antibody (Agrisera AS07259, Vännäs, Sweden) diluted 1:5000 in 1% milk in TBST, and an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit secondary antibody was used (Sigma-Aldrich A3687, St Louis, MO, USA) to develop blots using an alkaline phosphatase Immun–Blot kit (Bio–Rad Laboratories, Mississauga ON, Canada). Protein levels on immunoblots were quantified via densitometry (Quantity One Software, Bio–Rad).

Rubisco extraction and quantification
Rubisco was prepared from frozen ground leaf tissue (1.1 cm^2 disks) in a Tenbroek glass-in-glass homogenizer containing 3 ml of ice-cold extraction buffer (100 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 2 mM Na–EDTA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10 mg ml^−1 polyvinyl polyproplyrdiolone, 2% (v/v) Tween–80, 2 mM NaH₄PO₄, 12 mM amino–n-capric acid, and 2 mM benzamidine) and 50 µl Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (P9599, Sigma). The chlorophyll content of the leaf homogenate was determined spectrophotometrically after extraction in 80% buffered acetone (Porra et al., 1989). This homogenate was centrifuged at 16 000 g at 4 °C for 60 s, and total soluble protein (Bradford, 1976) and \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \) measured from this freshly extracted supernatant. For Michaelis–Menten constants \( k_	ext{M} \) and \( V_	ext{max} \) measurements, the supernatant was desalted (Econo–Pac 10 DG desalting column, Bio–Rad) and further concentrated using a spin column as described in Boyd et al. (2019) (Amicon 50 K spin filters, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Fresh and concentrated aliquots were incubated with 20 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM NaHCO₃ at 30 °C for 20 min to fully carbamylate Rubisco. Rubisco catalytic sites in the carbamylated extract were determined using a [14C]carboxy-arabinitol bisphosphate binding assay, with a specific activity of 3.1 kBq mmol^−1 Rubisco, assuming eight binding sites per Rubisco (Kubien et al., 2011). Rubisco \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \), \( K_\text{M} \), and \( V_{\text{max}} \) were determined via radioisotope techniques Exactly as described in Boyd et al. (2019).

Gas exchange measurements
The CO₂ response of net CO₂ assimilation (e.g. \( A_{\text{N–C}} \) curves) was measured using an open gas exchange system (Li–6400, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). To minimize the impact of developmental stage on measurements, plants were sampled during vegetative growth (i.e. before flower formation; Flexas et al., 2007). Gas exchange was measured in five plants from each growth temperature at 10 °C and 30 °C. Measurements at 10 °C were obtained in a temperature-controlled growth chamber (Conviron PGC-20, Controlled Environments Ltd). The leaf cuvette was set at a reference CO₂ (\( C_\text{ref} \)) of 400 µmol mol^−1 and saturating light (500 or 750 µmol m^−2 s^−1 at 10 °C and 30 °C, respectively) to reach steady state and then \( C_\text{ref} \) was decreased in a stepwise fashion from 400, 200, 150, 100, 75, 50, 300, 400, 500, 750, 1200 (both), 1800 (30 °C only) µmol mol^−1 CO₂, and measurements made as soon as stability was achieved at each CO₂ level, typically within 1–3 min. Respiration (\( J \)) was measured following a dark-acclimation period of 10 min at 400 µmol mol^−1 CO₂.

Estimates of mesophyll conductance (\( g_m \)), \( V_{\text{cmax}} \) and \( J_{\text{max}} \) were obtained following the curve-fitting method of Etherier and Livingston (2004) using \( \text{in vivo} \) Rubisco parameter values and temperature responses of the Michaels–Menten constant for CO₂ (\( K_c \)) and oxygen (\( K_o \)) and the CO₂ compensation point in the absence of day respiration (\( I^* \)) calculated from the Rubisco specificity factor \( (S_{\text{C/O}}) \) from warm- and cold-grown Arabidopsis. The transition point between the Rubisco and RuBP regeneration-limiting portions of the curve was determined as the \( C_\text{ref} \) that minimized the difference between \( g_m \) estimates from both processes (Etherier et al., 2006).

At low CO₂ concentrations the photosynthetic rate of a C₃ plant is estimated by the capacity of Rubisco carboxylation, as the substrate
concentration is generally below the Michaelis–Menten constant for the enzyme. To assess Rubisco carboxylation in vivo, the initial slopes of $A_{CO_2}/C$ curves from warm- and cold-grown plants measured at 10 °C and 30 °C were compared to assess Rubisco carboxylation activity in vivo. An in vivo estimate for the Rubisco Michaelis–Menten constant for CO$_2$ in the presence of oxygen ($K_{C21%O_2}$) was then determined by regressing individual $A$–chloroplastic CO$_2$ concentration ($C_k$) curves against a Michaelis–Menten equation of the form:

$$ \frac{A}{[\text{Rubisco}]} = \frac{(C_k - \Gamma^*) k_{catCO_2}}{C + K_{C21%O_2}} $$

(1)

where $K_{C21%O_2}=K_C(1+O/K_D), \Gamma^*$ is the compensation point, and $[\text{Rubisco}]$ is the Rubisco site concentration.

Statistics and modeling

The temperature response of Rubisco parameters was determined by calculating in SigmaPlot (Systat Software) the activation energy from an Arrhenius relationship of the form:

$$ \ln \left( \frac{V}{T} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{V_0}{T_0} \right) - \frac{E_a}{R(T-T_0)} $$

(2)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), $E_a$ is the activation energy (kJ mol$^{-1}$) and $T$ is the assay temperature (°C). Differences in gene expression, protein expression and Rubisco content, and the activation energy and value at 25 °C for each Rubisco parameter were compared using ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey’s test, considering differences to be significant at $P<0.05$. A two-way ANOVA (with growth and measurement temperature as main effects) was used to compare in vivo photosynthetic parameters, and $K_{C21%O_2}$. All statistical analysis was performed in R (R Core Team, 2020).

The CO$_2$ response of Rubisco carboxylation ($V_c$) was modelled according to the equation:

$$ V_c = \left( V_{cmax} \times C \right) / \left( C + K_{C21%O_2} \right) $$

(3)

using $k_{catCO_2}$ as an estimate for $V_{cmax}$ and calculating $K_{C21%O_2}$ from the in vivo determined values of $C_k$ and $K_C$ reported in Table 2.

Results

Growth temperature alters Rubisco subunit gene and protein expression

Growth temperature alters the expression of both $rbcL$ and $RbcS$ transcripts (Fig. 1). Warm-grown plants produced 38% less Rubisco (measured on a leaf area basis) than plants grown at 10 °C (Table 1, $P<0.05$). This decrease in Rubisco content was coupled with a 56% reduction in $rbcL$ transcript expression (Fig. 1B, $P<0.05$), and was not associated with proteolytic degradation visualized as banding on an immunoblot (Fig. 2B). The mRNA levels of $RbcS1A$ and $RbcS3B$, and the ratio between them, varied with changes in growth temperature ($RbcS1A: P=0.028$; $RbcS3B: P=0.0001$ Fig. 1), but not CO$_2$ ($RbcS1A: P=0.5014$; $RbcS3B: P=0.732$; Supplementary Fig. S1). Transcript levels of the $RbcS1A$ gene declined as growth temperature increased (Fig. 1A), while those of $RbcS3B$ mRNA were higher at 30 °C versus 10 °C (Fig. 1A). As a result, at low growth temperatures $RbcS1A$ dominated $RbcS$ gene expression, but its mRNA levels declined by 46% when grown at 20 °C and 67% at 30 °C (Fig. 1A). By contrast, mRNA levels of $RbcS3B$ were 119% higher at 30 °C than at 10 °C (Fig. 1A). At 20 °C, levels of $RbcS3B$ and $RbcS1A$ did not differ from expression levels in cold-grown plants at 400 or 1000 µmol mol$^{-1}$ CO$_2$, but were 62% lower or 63% greater than expression levels of the respective genes at 30 °C (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1). Growth temperature had no effect on the expression of $RbcS1B$ and $RbcS2B$ (Supplementary Fig. S2), and the expression of these two genes was significantly lower than that of $RbcS1A$ and $RbcS3B$.

Two mature SSu proteins with different molecular mass (predicted to be 14.7 kDa and 14.8 kDa) were separate by SDS–PAGE (Fig. 2B). This separation was similar to previous reports of Arabidopsis SSu protein migration (Getzoff et al., 1998; Izumi et al., 2012). Following the nomenclature of Izumi et al. (2012) the larger protein is denoted as SSu-B (where the $RbcS3B$ gene product is the predominant component, and has the N-terminal sequence XKVWPP; Izumi et al., 2012) and the smaller protein as SSu-A (which has the N-terminal sequence XQVWPP; Izumi et al., 2012). In cold-grown plants, SSu-A represents 62% of total SSu protein, but only 22% of total SSu protein in warm-grown plants (Fig. 2A, $P<0.05$). By contrast, SSu-B represents 38% and 78% of total SSu protein from 10 °C- and 30 °C-grown plants, respectively (Fig. 2A, $P<0.05$).

Plants from contrasting growth temperatures have kinetically distinct Rubiscos

Rubisco from plants grown at 20 °C represent an approximately intermediate phenotype in all kinetic parameters assayed (Table 2). Measured at 25 °C, Rubisco’s turnover rate ($k_{catCO_2}$) was 3.31 s$^{-1}$ and 2.78 s$^{-1}$ from plants grown at 10 °C and 30 °C, respectively (Fig. 1A). The activation energy ($E_a$) of $k_{catCO_2}$ did not vary with growth temperature (Table 2), but the parameter was greater than warm-grown Rubisco at measurement temperatures above 25 °C (Fig. 3A).

At 25 °C, the CO$_2$/O$_2$ specificity ($S_{C/O}$) of cold-grown Rubisco was 75.1 M M$^{-1}$, while in warm-grown Rubisco it was 78.0 M M$^{-1}$ ($P<0.05$). The $S_{C/O}$ of cold-grown Rubisco declined more rapidly with temperature than warm-grown Rubisco, with an apparent $E_a$ of −23.7 kJ mol$^{-1}$ reported for Rubisco from 10 °C plants and −19.9 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for 30 °C plants (Table 2; $P<0.05$). At all measurement temperatures above 20 °C, $S_{C/O}$ was greater in warm- versus cold-grown Rubisco (Fig. 3B; Supplementary Fig. S3). At 25 °C, the Michaelis–Menten constant for CO$_2$ ($K_C$) was 11.6 µM and did not vary with growth temperature (Table 2). The activation energy ($E_a$) of cold-grown Rubisco $K_C$ was 54.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$, while warm-grown Rubisco $K_C$ had an $E_a$ of 40.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Table 2, $P<0.05$). As a result of this change
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in temperature response, at 35 °C cold-grown Rubisco *K*_C was 26% greater than warm-grown Rubisco (Fig. 3C). The Michaelis–Menten constant for O₂ (*K*_O) did not vary with growth temperature (Table 2; Fig. 3D) and all measurements fell within the 95% confidence intervals of 20 °C-grown Rubisco (Fig. 3D).

**Effects of growth temperature on leaf characteristics**

There was no significant difference between the amount of chlorophyll or the ratio of chlorophyll *a/b* between plants grown at different temperatures (Table 1). The ratio of Rubisco/chlorophyll appeared 24% larger in cold-grown plants...
than warm-grown plants ($P=0.07$; Table 1), but this difference was due to the increased production of Rubisco in cold-grown plants, and not a decrease in chlorophyll content. Warm-grown plants also produced less soluble protein than cold-grown plants (2.5 versus 4.9 mg cm$^{-2}$), and had 56% lower specific leaf area (Table 1).

Growth temperature impacts on plant gas-exchange: rates, parameters, and limitations

Despite the reduction in Rubisco content in warm-grown plants compared with cold-grown plants (Table 1), estimates of $V_{\text{max}}$ varied with measurement temperature ($P<0.001$), but not growth temperature ($P=0.22$). Estimates of the maximal rate of electron transport ($J_{\text{max}}$) varied with both growth ($P=0.0004$) and measurement temperature ($P=0.0005$) such that warm-grown plants had a lower $J_{\text{max}}$ at both growth temperatures (Table 3).

Estimated from the initial slope of the $A_N$–$C_c$ curve in vivo, the Rubisco Michaelis–Menten constant under 21% oxygen ($K_{C_{\text{21\%O}_2}}$) increased with measurement temperature (Table 3), but did not differ with growth temperature when measured at 10 °C. By contrast, cold-grown Arabidopsis plants had a 37% higher $K_{C_{\text{21\%O}_2}}$ than warm-grown plants when measured at 30 °C ($P<0.05$; Table 3). Consistent with these observations, the initial slope of the photosynthetic CO$_2$ response curve was lower in warm- than cold-grown plants measured at 10 °C, but not at 30 °C where warm-grown plants could maintain the same initial slope as cold-grown plants (Fig. 4B).

Warm-grown plants had lower photosynthetic rates than cold-grown plants at both measurement temperatures, but the effect was less pronounced at 30 °C. When measured at ambient CO$_2$ (corresponding to $C_a=400$ µmol mol$^{-1}$ CO$_2$, or $C_c=170$–250 µmol mol$^{-1}$ CO$_2$), net CO$_2$ assimilation ($A_N$) in cold-grown plants was 62% greater than in warm-grown plants at 10 °C, but did not differ from the warm-grown rate of net assimilation at 30 °C (Fig. 4; Table 1). At 30 °C, warm-grown plants maintained higher rates of assimilation on a Rubisco basis, while at 10 °C assimilation rates per Rubisco did not differ between warm- and cold-grown plants (Fig. 4C, D).

**Discussion**

In this study, we investigated the impact of differential expression of Rubisco small subunit isoforms on carbon assimilation in Arabidopsis. Although previous reports have demonstrated that gene specific expression of the $RbcS$ family varies with
Variable RbcS expression impacts Rubisco performance in Arabidopsis

growth condition, impacts on Rubisco catalytic performance or carbon assimilation remain unclear. Here, we demonstrate that in Arabidopsis Rubisco small subunit gene expression and protein production change with growth temperature such that warm-grown plants produce Rubisco containing ~65% SSu-B and cold-grown plants produce Rubisco with ~65% SSu-A as a proportion of the total pool of subunits (Figs 1, 2). These structural changes are accompanied by modifications to Rubisco's performance in vitro; warm-grown plants produce a Rubisco having greater CO$_2$ affinity (i.e. higher $S_{C/O}$ and lower $K_C$) but lower $k_{cat}$CO$_2$ at measurement temperatures above 25 °C (Table 2; Fig. 3). Changes in the kinetic phenotype of Rubisco are also evident in vivo, with warm-grown Rubisco having a lower $K_{C21%O2}$ than cold-grown Rubisco at 30 °C (Table 3). At 30 °C, warm-grown plants maintain assimilation rates similar to cold-grown plants at ambient CO$_2$ concentrations, despite the latter having 60% more Rubisco on a leaf area basis, indicating that the carboxylation capacity of warm-grown Rubisco is enhanced at warmer measurement temperatures, and is able to compensate for the lower Rubisco content in warm-grown plants (Fig. 4; Table 3). These findings provide insight into Rubisco's performance in a variable climate, which will have increasing importance in a rapidly warming world.

Rubisco SSu isoform expression varies with growth temperature in Arabidopsis

Many photosynthetic proteins exist in multigene families, in which the expression of certain genes is up- or down-regulated in response to changes in the growth environment (Simpson...
Rubisco temperature responses across lineages have now been widely characterized, revealing natural variation. Despite general trends for increasing \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \) and decreasing \( S_{\text{C/O}} \) with increasing temperature, variation in Rubisco temperature responses exist even among closely related species (Galmés et al., 2015, 2016, 2019; Perdomo et al., 2015; Orr et al., 2016; Sharwood et al., 2016). Early data suggested that environmental factors, such as temperature and availability of CO2 and O2, may have selected for ‘better’ versions of plant Rubiscos whose performance was well adapted to local climate. For example, C3 species from cool habitats have been found to have an enhanced \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \) with lower activation energy than warm-native C3 species, while Mediterranean species native to hot and dry conditions have an increased \( S_{\text{C/O}} \) (Sage, 2002; Galmés et al., 2005). However, in a meta-analysis of 138 species, incorporating recent large-scale screening of Rubisco temperature responses, these comparisons were found to be non-significant, suggesting limited adaptive changes in Rubisco (Galmés et al., 2019). Further, Orr et al. (2016) found that Rubisco from warm temperature environments had increased oxygenation rates and affinity for O2, resulting in a negative correlation between \( S_{\text{C/O}} \) and warm temperature environments. Although these results may be impacted by a sampling bias for crop species, or variation in temperature ranges and assay method, potential plasticity in Rubisco temperature response could also have an impact on large-scale screening results. Large multispecies screens, such as that by Orr et al. (2016) and Hermida-Carrera et al. (2016) represent a common garden experiment, whereby the impacts of growth temperature and climate of origin on Rubisco performance cannot be fully separated.

Here we found that cold-grown Arabidopsis produces Rubisco with a higher \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \) than warm-grown plants at all measurement temperatures (Fig. 3A; Table 2). The activation energy of \( k_{\text{catCO2}} \) did not vary with growth temperature, which is consistent with the lack of ecological adaptation noted above. Warm- and cool-grown plants have a similar \( S_{\text{C/O}} \) at 25 °C, but differences in the apparent activation energy result in warm-grown Rubisco being more specific than cold-grown Rubisco at measurement temperatures above 25 °C (Fig. 3B). This is similar to the acclimation response previously observed in spinach Rubisco (Yamori et al., 2006). The observed changes in Arabidopsis \( S_{\text{C/O}} \) are likely related to changes in \( K_c \) between cold- and warm-grown Rubisco; the increased activation energy of this parameter in cold-grown plants results in a \( K_c \) that is 26% greater at 35 °C than that of the warm-grown Rubisco (Table 2; Fig. 3C). Similarly, at low measurement temperatures (<10 °C), cold-hardened Secale cereale (winter rye) Rubisco \( K_c \) is 50% lower than non-hardened (25 °C grown) Rubisco.
measured above 25 °C. $K_c$ of the cold-hardened enzyme is double that of non-cold-hardened Rubisco (Huner and Macdowall, 1979). It is not clear why low growth temperatures do not confer a biochemical advantage to Rubisco at low measurement temperatures in Arabidopsis, though it may be that increased Rubisco production (Table 1) compensates for any selection pressure for improvement (Fig. 4A, C).

Relationship between SSu isoform abundance and Rubisco performance

Differential expression within multigene families can allow for flexible responses to diverse environmental signals. In barley, the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) gene family results in six different ADH isoforms (Hanson and Brown, 1984); the concentration of each isoform responds differently to oxygen levels (Hanson et al., 1984). In maize variable LHCII isoforms accumulate to different levels depending on growth temperature and light conditions; some isoforms increase non-photochemical quenching, suggesting a specific role for variable LHCII complexes (Caffarri et al., 2005). In some species, different isoforms of Rubisco activase are produced at elevated growth temperature, with different rates of ATPase or Rubisco activation, and can impact Rubisco activity and photosynthesis under these conditions (Crafts-Brandner et al., 1997; Law and Crafts-Brandner, 2001; Degen et al., 2020, 2021; Kim et al.,...
Here, we found that warm-grown Arabidopsis produces more of the SSu-B isoform, and less of SSu-1A, than do cold-grown plants, and the corresponding Rubiscos are more specific for CO₂ versus O₂ at elevated measurement temperatures. This suggests that differential small subunit expression could contribute to plasticity in enzyme function and activity, and may confer on a plant the ability to fine tune Rubisco through the expression of variable SSu isoforms.

We found differences in $k_{\text{cat CO2}}$ between plants from contrasting growth temperatures at 25 °C, but differences in $K_C$ and $S_{CO/O}$ were only apparent at measurement temperatures above 35 °C, highlighting the need to measure Rubisco kinetics under more than one measurement temperature. Previous work has also demonstrated that mutations in Arabidopsis Rubisco SSu isoforms do not impact photosynthesis or holoenzyme kinetic properties under the present atmospheric CO₂ concentrations at measurement temperatures of 25 °C (Izumi et al., 2012; Atkinson et al., 2017). Lin et al. (2020) investigated the impact of homogeneous SSu composition in recombinant tobacco Rubisco and found no differences in Rubisco kinetics at 25 °C attributed to subunit composition beyond the increased $k_{\text{cat CO2}}$ and lower $K_C$ conferred by the distinct trichome SSu (Laterre et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2020). This supports earlier attempts to mix and match tobacco Rubisco subunits via interspecific hybridizations, which also found no impact of SSu composition on Rubisco activity (Li et al., 1983). However, the kinetics of potato Rubisco expressed in tobacco were significantly affected by the identity of the SSu (Martin-Avila et al., 2020), and a recent survey of recombinant Solanaceae ancestral Rubisco suggests that the SSu influences the kinetic phenotype of the enzyme, in particular at warmer temperatures (Lin et al., 2022). The minor differences in the Arabidopsis SSu polypeptides are found in regions known to influenceRubisco kinetics in other organisms (Valegard et al., 2018) and may play a role in holoenzyme stability or CO₂ affinity (van Lun et al., 2014; Poudel et al., 2020), which would have pronounced impact at elevated temperatures.

**Plant acclimation to growth temperature: Rubisco responses in vivo**

We demonstrated that growth temperature alters Arabidopsis Rubisco kinetic performance in vitro and growth-temperature induced changes in Rubisco biochemistry are also evident in vivo, with warm-grown Rubisco having a lower apparent $K_{\text{CIPCO2}}$ than cold-grown Rubisco at 30 °C (Table 3). Additionally, there is no difference in the initial slope of the photosynthetic CO₂ response between warm- and cold-grown plants at 30 °C, indicating that the enhanced carboxylation capacity of warm-grown Rubisco compensates for the lower Rubisco content in warm-grown plants (Fig. 4A, B; Table 3). As a result, warm-grown plants maintain rates of CO₂ assimilation (A) similar to cold-grown plants at 30 °C and ambient (or lower) CO₂ concentrations, despite their reduced Rubisco content (Fig. 4C, D). This ability could provide a photosynthetic advantage during times of stomatal closure, such as drought conditions, which are frequently associated with growth at elevated temperatures in natural and agricultural systems.

![Modelled responses of Rubisco carboxylation accounting for differences in growth temperature changes to kinetics. The impact of changes in Rubisco $K_C$ (Table 2) are modelled for cold-grown (dotted line) and warm-grown (dashed line) Rubisco at 10 °C (A) and 30 °C (B).](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/advance-article/doi/10.1093/jxb/erac379/6702665)
In spinach, similar changes in Rubisco performance offer a theoretical carbon assimilation advantage to a warm-grown plant (Cavanagh and Kubien, 2014). However, when changes in spinach Rubisco $S_{C/O}$ are driven by differences in $K_C$, as they appear to be in Arabidopsis (Table 2; Fig. 3), a carbon gain advantage for a warm-grown plant is only found at temperatures greater than approximately 32–33 °C (i.e. at temperatures above the growth temperature). When the observed differences in $K_C$ are used to model the rate of Rubisco carboxylation on an equal enzyme concentration basis (i.e. $V_C$), the initial slopes of the CO$_2$ response are similar at 30 °C, but not 10 °C (Fig. 5), similar to the results of our in vivo CO$_2$ response curve. However, at ambient and higher CO$_2$ concentrations, the lower photosynthetic rate in warm-grown plants is a likely result of strict limitations imposed by decreased Rubisco content; warm-grown plants produce 38% less Rubisco than cold-grown plants (Table 1). Warm-grown plants do maintain rates of CO$_2$ assimilation similar to cold-grown plants at 30 °C and at ambient and sub-ambient CO$_2$ concentration (Fig. 4B), which is likely a reflection of their lower $K_{C_{21%O2}}$ and higher $S_{C/O}$ at this temperature.

Effects of Rubisco phenotypic plasticity on photosynthetic performance and modelling

Plasticity in Rubisco performance may have important implications for modelling photosynthesis, as the CO$_2$ assimilation rate of most species is modelled using in vivo Rubisco kinetic parameters obtained from tobacco or Arabidopsis, and the effect of growth environment on Rubisco performance or content is not considered (Bernacchi et al., 2001; Walker et al., 2013). Further, in vivo Rubisco temperature responses in Arabidopsis and tobacco have been obtained using an antisense knockdown of a single RbcS gene, which may bias the temperature response. Despite the lack of species-specific differences in a single Rubisco biochemical parameter between Arabidopsis and tobacco grown at the same temperature, estimates of $V_{cmax}$ obtained from a CO$_2$ response curve vary depending on the choice of temperature response parameters used, highlighting the importance of small variation in Rubisco parameters for modelling photosynthesis (Walker et al., 2013). The effect of growth temperature-induced changes, or modulations via the SSu, particularly on $S_{C/O}$, $K_C$, and their activation energies (Table 2), could result in species-specific differences between parameters that impact photosynthetic models.
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