Review Article

Feeding the world: impacts of elevated $[CO₂]$ on nutrient **content of greenhouse grown fruit crops and options for future yield gains**

 $\rm{Nicholas~H.~Doddrell^{1,2},~Tracy~Lawson^{3},$ Christine A. Raines 3 , Carol Wagstaff 2 and Andrew J. Simkin 1,4,*

1NIAB, New Road, East Malling, Kent, ME19 6BJ UK

2Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, Berkshire RG6 6DZ, UK 3School of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 4SQ, UK

4School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom CT2 7NJ, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail: a.simkin@kent.ac.uk

Abstract

Several long-term studies have provided strong support demonstrating that growing crops under elevated [CO₂] can increase photosynthesis and result in an increase in yield, flavour and nutritional content (including but not limited to Vitamins C, E and pro-vitamin A). In the case of tomato, increases in yield by as much as 80% are observed when plants are cultivated at 1000 ppm [CO₂], which is consistent with current commercial greenhouse production methods in the tomato fruit industry. These results provide a clear demonstration of the potential for elevating $[CO_2]$ for improving yield and quality in greenhouse crops. The major focus of this review is to bring together 50 years of observations evaluating the impact of elevated [CO2] on fruit yield and fruit nutritional quality. In the final section, we consider the need to engineer improvements to photosynthesis and nitrogen assimilation to allow plants to take greater advantage of elevated $CO₂$ growth conditions.

Introduction

Elevated [CO₂] (e[CO₂]) has been shown to significantly improved light saturated photosynthetic carbon assimilation rates (*Asat*) by increasing the efficiency of Rubisco $CO₂$ assimilation (carboxylation) over the alternate RuBP oxygenation ($O₂$ assimilation), which results in enhanced growth and yield [\[1](#page-12-0), [2\]](#page-12-1) ([Figure 1\)](#page-1-0).

The majority of research evaluating the impact of *e*[CO₂] on fruit crop production has been carried out in controlled environment conditions (chambers), polytunnels and commercial greenhouses where crops are grown in $e[CO_2]$, and focus almost exclusively on soft fruit such as strawberry, tomato and cucumber. Early work in the 1980's suggested that $e[CO_2]$ increased the average yield of all plants tested by approximately 30%, with optional $[CO₂]$ concentration for growth and yield in the range of 700 to 900 ppm with concentration in excess of 1000 ppm having a negative impact on plant growth and yield [[3](#page-12-2)[–6](#page-12-3)]. In the case of vegetable cops, much of the work has been carried out in controlled environments, in which elevated [CO₂] (800– 900 ppm) increased lettuce, carrot, and parsley yield by 18%, 19%, and 17%, respectively in greenhouse grown crops. However, the yields of leek, chinese cabbage and celery were not significantly affected by increases in growth $[CO₂]$ concentration $[7]$. A metaanalysis of 107 selected articles showed that $e[CO_2]$ results in an increase in vegetable number (yield) by on average 32% and vegetable mass by 11% [\[8\]](#page-12-5). Furthermore, a meta-analysis of 57

articles consisting of 1015 observations found that *e*[CO₂] has both positive and negative impacts on vegetable quality. For example, whilst concentrations of fructose (+14.2%), glucose (+13.2%), total soluble sugar (+17.5%), total antioxidant capacity (+59.0%), total phenols $(+8.9%)$, total flavonoids $(45.5%)$, vitamin C $(+9.5%)$, and calcium (+8.2%) increased in the edible part of vegetables, protein (−9.5%,) nitrate (−18.0%), magnesium (−9.2%), iron (−16.0%), and zinc (−9.4%) decreased [\[9\]](#page-12-6). Moreover, a meta-analysis of legumes found a reduction in zinc and iron (and in non-legumes a reduction in protein) when plants were grown under *e*[CO₂] (see Myers et al [[10\]](#page-12-7)). In 2018, Zhu et al [\[11](#page-12-8)] confirmed these results, and moreover demonstrated that rice grown under *e*[CO₂] showed consistent declines in the quantities of vitamins B1, B2, B5, and B9 and, an increase in vitamin E. Finally, studies have shown that grains (wheat, rice, and barley), legumes, and maize-have a 4– 10% reduction in iron concentrations of when grown under *e*[CO2] (∼550 ppm) [[12\]](#page-12-9). These results shown that *e*[CO₂] can positively and negatively impact on legumes, grain and vegetables on a cropby-crop basis and simultaneously alter quality attributes in the same harvestable material.

The aim of this review is to provide an overview of the current available data of the impact of elevated $[CO₂]$ on fruiting crops production in commercial growing systems. This paper examines these studies and the long-term implications of $e[CO_2]$ on the yield and quality of fruit required to feed a growing population.

Received: 18 December 2022; **Accepted:** 13 February 2023; **Published:** 21 February 2023; **Corrected and Typeset:** 1 April 2023 © The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nanjing Agricultural University. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of elevated [CO₂] on carbon assimilation. Created with BioRender.com

In the last section, we discuss the potential for designing crops for these new growing environments and allowing them to take full advantage of the introduced $CO₂$, potentially increasing crop yield, reducing costs for commercial producers, and improving quality of the final product providing high nutritional value to consumers.

Impact of elevated $[CO₂]$ on yield and **quality of GREENHOSUE grown crops** Impact of elevated $[CO₂]$ on solanaceous crops

Commercially, tomato crops are grown in greenhouses with *e*[CO2], in some cases as high as 2000 ppm. The effects of *e*[CO2] of fruit yield and quality has been extensively studied [\(Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). Under *e*[CO₂], tomato fruit yield increases ranged from 7% – 125% with $[CO_2]$ ranged from 450 ppm – 1200 ppm compared with plants grown under *a*[CO₂]. An increase in the quantity of non-reducing sugars (glucose and fructose) has been reported [\[13](#page-12-10)[–17\]](#page-12-11) and fully ripe tomatoes grown in an $e[CO₂]$ were found to be preferable for consumption in sensory panels [[13](#page-12-10)]. As liking sweetness has been shown to be a universal trait [\[18](#page-12-12)], it is possible that this increase in sugar is responsible for preference of the carbon enriched tomato fruits. An increase in vitamin C was also found between most studies [\[13,](#page-12-10) [15](#page-12-13), [16,](#page-12-14) [19](#page-12-15)], potentially improving the health benefit gains from consumption of carbon-enriched grown tomatoes ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). Vitamin C is an important dietary requirement and at high concentrations it has been used as a treatment for cancer, arteriosclerosis, and cardiovascular diseases [\[20](#page-13-0)[–22\]](#page-13-1). These results suggest that increasing environmental $[CO₂]$ could contribute to an increase in Vitamin C improving their nutritional value for the consumer. However, growth at $e[CO_2]$ does not have the same impact on all species, as another studies in barley reported a significant decrease in Vitamin C content [\[23\]](#page-13-2) highlighting the species–species response differences to *e*[CO₂] and suggesting that high carbon growth environments may not always provide the best outcome for the consumer even though

increases in yield maybe the producers primary concern (see Fenech et al. [[24\]](#page-13-3) and references therein).

Similarly, tomato fruit concentration of lycopene and *β*carotene (pro-vitamin A) were found to increase in response to *e*[CO2] by as much as 30% and 70% respectively [\[13\]](#page-12-10). Rangaswamy et al. [[25](#page-13-4)] reported an increase in carotenoid (+20%) and lycopene (+31%) in the fruits of tomato plants grown at 550 ppm [CO₂], however carotenoid content decreased (− 12%) when the concentration was increased to 700 ppm*,* suggesting that the level of CO₂ enrichment impacts fruit quality and careful consideration is needed to ensure an appropriate balance between levels of *e*[CO₂] and final yield. Lycopene is an important phytonutrient, is sold commercially as a dietary supplement, and has been reported to possess anti-cancer properties and can improve cardiovascular health [\[26,](#page-13-5) [27\]](#page-13-6).

β-carotene is the precursor for Vitamin A, also known as retinol. Vitamin A is an essential micronutrient playing important roles in growth and development, vision [\[28](#page-13-7)] and the immune system [[29](#page-13-8)]. More than a third of all pre-school children and a significant number of pregnant women around the world are affected by Vitamin A deficiency, increasing the risk of night blindness and miscarriage [\[30,](#page-13-9) [31](#page-13-10)]. Importantly, most people suffering from a deficiency in Vitamin A show no clinical symptoms resulting in a phenomenon termed "Hidden Hunger" [[32](#page-13-11)]. Production of crops with increased Vitamin A is therefore an important target for improving the diet and health of these at-risk groups; enhanced uptake of carbon may be a useful approach to achieve this. Increases in the Vitamin A precursor *β*-carotene has been observed in tomato fruit grown under *e*[CO₂] of 800-900 ppm, in addition to a 28% increase in vitamin C at ripe stage and an ∼8% increase in total soluble solids [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). Zhang et al. [[13](#page-12-10)], suggested that under these growth conditions, improved vitamin A and C and increased carotenoid content may be attainable.

Carotenoids are also the precursors of several flavour and aroma compounds. *β*-carotene is cleaved by carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases CCD1 and CCD4 [[26](#page-13-5), [33](#page-13-12)–[35\]](#page-13-13), to form the

Figure 2. Effects of elevated [CO2] on yield and quality of fruiting crops. Created with BioRender.com

aromatic apocarotenoid *β*-ionone, which is important to tomato fruit flavour. Furthermore, lycopene, shown to increase under *e*[CO₂] is cleaved by CCD1 to form several important flavour and aroma compounds including 6,10-dimethyl-3,5,9-undecatrien-2-one (pseudoionone [[34\]](#page-13-14);, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (MHO [\[36\]](#page-13-15); and geranial [\[37\]](#page-13-16). MHO has been shown to be an important contributor to tomato fruit flavour [[38](#page-13-17), [39](#page-13-18)] and has also been shown to accumulate in tomato fruit with higher lycopene levels [[40](#page-13-19)]. It is therefore apparent that growth in $e[CO_2]$ can increase a range of key flavour and nutraceutical precursor compounds present in tomato fruit; this phenomenon deserves further study, the optimal levels of $[CO₂]$ are currently not clear and more work is needed to better understand the relationship between CO₂ assim-ilation carotenoid content, flavour and overall quality [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0).

Similar results have also been found in pepper crops, with yield increase of 12.9% – 370.2% reported when grown at $e[CO_2]$ of between 450 ppm – 1000 ppm [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0) with most other studies reporting yield increases in the range of 12.9% – 47.4% in the absence of other parameters [\[41–](#page-13-20)[47](#page-13-21)]. However, it should be noted that growth at ∼800 ppm *e*[CO2] was found to reduce sweet pepper total amino acid content by up to 29%, including reductions in the sweet tasting amino acids alanine and glycine, which could be detrimental to the perceived fruit flavour [[42\]](#page-13-22). Yield was also found to vary with different irrigation programmes [\[41,](#page-13-20) [48](#page-13-23)],

nitrogen sources [[48\]](#page-13-23), substrate salinity [[42](#page-13-22), [44](#page-13-24)] and pruning regimens [\[46](#page-13-25)]. Given that previous work in tomato has shown an increase in potential phytonutrients in fruit grown at 550 ppm and a decrease in those grown at 700 ppm, further research is needed to better identify the specific quantity of $CO₂$ fertilisation necessary for maximally improved yield in solanaceous crops, especially when considering that $CO₂$ uplift is often accompanied by additional treatments, such as increased nutrient and nitrogen fertilisation ([Figure 2\)](#page-2-0).

In chili pepper, yield increases of 43.8% – 142% were reported for $e[CO_2]$ (in the range of 500 ppm – 1140 ppm). These yield increases were in part attributed to an increase in the size of fruits [[49](#page-13-26)]. However, in controlled environments a 4◦C increase in temperature decreased yield, even at *e*[CO₂] (750 ppm) [\[50,](#page-13-27) [51](#page-13-28)], indicating that carbon enrichment is not sufficient to rescue yield where glasshouse facilities or growth tunnels experience periods of elevated temperature in an extreme climate change scenario. Carbon-enriched growth was found to increase the capsaicinoid content of fruits, resulting in an increase in Scoville Heat Units (SHU) [[49,](#page-13-26) [52\]](#page-13-29). This approach therefore has potential for producing hotter varieties of chili, a growing and competitive market. However, at the same time Vitamin C concentration decrease by up to 15.84% [\[53\]](#page-13-30), reducing potential health benefits gained from growing chilli plants under *e*[CO₂]. [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0).

These reports suggest that the effects of growing crops in *e*[CO 2] can have both a positive inf luence on yield and nutritional quality, however, growth at $\left[\mathrm{CO}_2\right]$ levels above what is optimum can negatively impact some quality traits.

Impact of elevated [CO 2] on rosaceous crops

Rosaceous crop research in this area has focused primarily on cultivated strawberry with a small number of studies on raspberry and Nashi pear ([Table 3](#page-7-0)). This is likely due to the relatively smaller size and rapid growth of strawberry compared to other commercially important rosaceous fruit species, such as tree fruits, like apple and cherry, and woody stemmed shrub fruits, like raspberry and blackberry. This makes strawberry a convenient plant to study as a rosaceous model. Furthermore, greater production of strawberry fruits would not only increase profits for growers but also decrease costs for consumers, increasing the availability of healthier options. Better access to such products through economic growth is strongly correlated to reduced micronutrient malnutrition or "hidden hunger" [\[54](#page-13-32)].

In cultivated strawberry, fresh fruit yield increases ranged from 1.0% – 62.0% in plants grown under atmospheric *e*[CO 2] of 450 ppm – 3000 ppm, while dry fruit yield increased by up to 120% ([Figure 2;](#page-2-0) [Table 3\)](#page-7-0). This has been directly linked to a 73% increase in assimilation rate of CO ² in strawberry leaves at optimal *e*[CO 2] of 600 ppm [[55](#page-13-33) –[60](#page-14-0)]. Further investigation at a genetic level (through RNA seq analysis) revealed that 150 genes were upregulated in strawberry plants grown in an enriched-carbon atmosphere, with 14 of these being photosynthetic genes [[60](#page-14-0)], suggesting that plants respond to these atmospheric increases by increasing their ability to assimilate the excess carbon.

Additional annual yield increases could be achieved by a twoweek reduction in time to fruiting for plants grown in an enrichedcarbon atmosphere [\[58,](#page-14-1) [61](#page-14-2)] increasing the field season and the period of productive (fruit) growth. Several fruit quality traits are also improved by growth at *e*[CO 2]; increases in reducing sugars, and therefore sweetness index, were reported [\[62](#page-14-3) , [63](#page-14-4)] alongside reductions in organic acids [\[62](#page-14-3)]. These increases in sugaracid ratio is highly favourable for a more pleasant perception of strawberry flavour by the consumer [[18\]](#page-12-12) and an increase in key volatile organic compounds, including furaneol, linalool and major esters, was also reported, further enhancing the "strawberry" aroma [[62](#page-14-3)]. Growth in a carbon-enriched atmosphere therefore strongly enhances strawberry f lavour and increases vitamin C (an important nutritional compound) by up to 13.3% alongside other antioxidant compounds [[64](#page-14-5), [65\]](#page-14-6). Growth in carbonenriched atmospheres therefore simultaneously improves yield, flavour and health benefits of strawberry fruits, creating enormous potential for strategies involving enhanced photosynthesis of strawberry plants, including genetic manipulation. The greatest reported increase in fresh fruit yield where obtained when $[\mathsf{CO}_{2}]$ was kept between 600 ppm – 1000 ppm [\[58](#page-14-1)], linking greater carbon assimilation to increased fresh fruit yield in strawberry and demonstrating an optimal degree of $CO₂$ fertilisation for strawberries ([Table 3](#page-7-0)).

Impact of elevated [CO 2] on cucurbitaceous crops

Cucumber is the most studied fruit crop of the cucurbitaceae in relation to growth in carbon-enriched atmospheres ([Figure 2](#page-2-0) ; [Table 4](#page-8-0)). Improved carbon assimilation rates of up to 99% and 112% have been reported for cucumber and melon respectively when grown in *e*[CO 2] [[66](#page-14-7) , [67](#page-14-8)], demonstrating that growth in *e*[CO 2] improves photosynthesis of cucurbitaceous crops.

In cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), fruit yield increases for plants grown in enriched-carbon atmospheres $([CO₂] = 450$ ppm – 3000 ppm) ranged between 16.2% and 41% in the absence of other parameters that could alter fruit yield. In high nitrogen supplemented fertilisation, fruit yield was as high as 106% when grown under *e*[CO 2] of 800 ppm [[68](#page-14-9)], indicating the potential of increased nitrogen fertilisation alongside [CO 2] enrichment to unlock the greatest yield increases in cucumber. Interestingly, when grown under *e*[CO 2] of 1200 ppm with the addition of high nitrogen fertilisation treatment, studies found a yield increase between 71% – 73% [[66](#page-14-7) , [68](#page-14-9)], which was lower than the 106% for plants grown at e[CO₂] of 800 ppm. Concentrations of [CO₂] above optimal reduced stomatal density, stomatal conductance (*gs*), the maximum carboxylation rate (*Vc*max) and the maximum photosynthetic electron transport rate (*J*max) [\[69](#page-14-10)]. This suggests that an optimal concentration of atmospheric [CO2] exists for maximum yield returns and deserves further investigation. There is large variation between studies on how cucumber fruit quality is impacted by carbon-enriched growth. Fructose and glucose were reported to increase by 6% and 12% in one study [[68](#page-14-9)] and by 75% and 73% respectively in another [[70](#page-14-11)]. The inorganic nutrient content of fruits was also reported to decrease in fruits grown in *e*[CO 2], however only phosphorus showed a significant reduction in multiple cycles [[71](#page-14-12)]. These data do suggest that *e*[CO2] may enhance fruit f lavour and fruit yield at the expense of nutritional value.

Impact of elevated [CO 2] on yield and quality of fruiting trees

Sweet clonal cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) plants were grown for 19 months in climate-controlled greenhouses at ambient (1994– 358 ppm; 1995–360 ppm) or *e*[CO 2] (700 ppm). Elevated [CO 2] treatment increased photosynthesis and dry matter production, leaf (55%) and stem (61%), after two months at 700 ppm, however, this initial stimulation is not sustained. Photosynthetic rates were less after 10 months of growth than after 2 months of growth, and only small increases in dry mass are still evident after 10-months, suggesting that sweet cherry acclimates to *e*[CO 2] due to longterm exposure [\[72\]](#page-14-13). Due to the young nature of plants studied compared with fully grown mature trees (deciduous tree 15–32 m in height and with a trunk up to 1.5 m in circumference [[73](#page-14-14) , [74](#page-14-15)], no information is available to determine the impacts of *e*[CO 2] on fruit yield or quality. In Nashi pear, a CO 2-enriched atmosphere of 700 ppm increased fruit weight, diameter and length along with a 22.5% increase in Brix, (a key measure of sweetness for marketable fruit [[75](#page-14-16)]). However, this also resulted in a reduction in fruit firmness demonstrating that improvements in yield can be nullified by negative impacts on fruit quality ([Table 3\)](#page-7-0).

While these studies are limited in, they do indicate the potential of CO 2-enriched growth for improving photosynthesis, increasing yield and quality of tree crops. However, they also suggest that some crops, especially perennial crops, may become acclimated to higher [CO 2] and any gains may be lost over time.

Does increasing carbon assimilation increase environmental tolerances?

The work presented above also suggest that increasing CO $_2$ uptake could have other benefits. It is notable that growth of fruit crops in carbon enriched atmospheres has a similar effect of protecting against environmental stresses, such as drought and elevated temperature, that may become increasingly common due to climate change as plants genetically engineered to increase carbon assimilation. For example, in melon (*Cucumis melo*), growing plants

in *e*[CO₂] has been shown to mitigate yield losses from increased salinity [[67\]](#page-14-8), and in sweet pepper, $e[CO_2]$ of 800 ppm was sufficient to rescue any significant yield loss of total and marketable fruits from salinity stress (20 mmol L−¹ NaCl) [\[42\]](#page-13-22). It could be hypothesised that increasing $CO₂$ assimilation increases sugar and chlorophyll content triggering salt tolerance. However, it should be noted that these results are not universally translatable. Gray et al. [\[76\]](#page-14-17) demonstrated in soybean that *e*[CO₂] was insufficient to protect yields from drought conditions triggered by higher temperatures demonstrating that benefits in some crops may not be translatable across all crops of agronomical importance. Furthermore, in tomato plant Zhou et al. [\[77\]](#page-14-18) showed that plants grown in *e*[CO₂] were more sensitive to combined drought and heat stress; *e*[CO2] drives *gs* and transpiration reducing net photosynthesis and therefore productivity, which is concerning given that greenhouses tend to have elevated temperatures compared to the external environment due to the nature of their construction, glass and metal, and therefore *e*[CO₂] in an enclosed system may negatively impact on yields if water supplies are limiting. This demonstrates that irrigation within greenhouse environments is an essential element and adjusting water regimes to maintain productivity and optimise water-use efficiency.

It is also important to note that it is the increase in atmospheric $[CO₂]$ that causes the increase in air temperature (along with associated stresses) by absorbing energy and preventing it from being radiated out into space (see [[78](#page-14-19), [79](#page-14-20)]); as such one might view that the cause cannot mitigate its own effects, however, in some crops where both $[CO_2]$ and temperature increase simultaneously, yields were maintained compared with data where temperature is increased in the absence of $e[CO_2]$ leading to yield loss and these results cannot be ignored, but a better understanding of the impact of cause and effect climate change on crop yields needs to be researched, otherwise, the logic consequences would be further increase amounts of $[CO₂]$ in the atmosphere to increase crop tolerance against the effects of ever-increasing temperatures.

Interestingly, some parallels do exist between photosynthetically genetically modified crops and increased tolerance to salinity. In Arabidopsis, over-expression of Sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase (SBPase), which enhances $CO₂$ assimilation rates by increasing the regeneration of the Rubisco substrate RuBP [\[80](#page-14-21)], enhances salt tolerance through increases in sucrose, starch and chlorophyll content were reported [[81\]](#page-14-22). This suggests that increasing photosynthetic rates, either through increasing the availability of $[CO₂]$ for photosynthesis or increasing the plants' ability to assimilate $[CO₂]$ under ambient conditions could have a similar protective effect. It would be interesting to explore if increased carbon assimilation rates, through atmospheric manipulation or genetic modification, can have a positive impact on crop resistance to high salt environments and other abiotic stresses in large field trials or commercial greenhouses. There is currently evidence that over-expressing the Calvin-Benson cycle (CBC) enzyme SBPase can increase tolerance to chilling stress in tomato [\[82](#page-14-23)] and the expression of the cyanobacterial CBC bifunctional fructose-1,6-bisphosphatases/Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase enzyme in soybean prevent yield loss under high temperature [[83](#page-14-24)]. Köhler et al. [\[83\]](#page-14-24) concluded that the manipulation of $CO₂$ uptake could mitigate against the effects of global increases in temperature under $e[CO_2]$. This may be deemed especially important given the expected impact of global climate change. This suggests that increasing carbon assimilation through manipulation of photosynthesis [\[84,](#page-14-25) [85](#page-14-26)] can have similar outputs to improved photosynthesis through growth in an enriched carbon atmosphere and further demonstrates the

viability of this approach for improvement of yield and quality in fruiting crops. This must be studied considering the recent work showing that improved carbon assimilation also results in improved nutrient uptake and an increase in NUE [[86](#page-14-27)].

Future opportunities

As [CO2] surpasses 550 ppm, *Asat* will be limited by the rate of RuBP regeneration rather than Rubisco activity suggesting there is scope to improve plant photosynthesis to increase yield in greenhouse environments where $CO₂$ is routinely increased to 1000 ppm or more for short periods of time. These short time-periods are furthermore unpredictable and chaotic given that greenhouses must be vented, due to external environmental conditions, to maintain, as close as possible, optimal growing conditions i.e temperature and humidity inside the growth facility. Furthermore, the $[CO₂]$ dosing capacity must be economically beneficial, especially given the chaotic nature of $CO₂$ loss to the environment during periods of venting. As dosing increases, costs go up accordingly determined by the cost of $CO₂$. Moreover, at some point, there is a price limit where the supplemental cost of $CO₂$ increases to a point where costs cannot be recovered by the selling price of the product. In the last year, $CO₂$ costs have increased for £100 per tonne to as much as £3000 per tonne [\[87](#page-14-28), [88\]](#page-14-29). Therefore, future options that maximize the ability of the crop to take full benefit of the e[CO₂], or maintaining higher yields when $CO₂$ costs are unmanageable become more important.

Araus et al [[89\]](#page-14-30), noted that canopy photosynthesis holds a crucial place in a context of yield gains through photosynthetic improvement, which requires additional factors including the availability and uptake of nutrients, such as nitrogen, irrigation, the transport of photoassimilates and sink-source balance. As such, in addition to improving photosynthetic rates via $CO₂$ supplementation, the improvement of other plant processes such as N uptake, non-foliar photosynthesis, stomatal function, and rubisco(activase) thermotolerance so that crops are better adapted for growth in $[CO_2]$ enriched environments such as greenhouses are discussed below ([Figure 3\)](#page-10-0). These works will also need to account for changes to the landscape of greenhouse crop cultivation, such as a move to vertical farming, changes in growth medium from soil to substrates such as coir (derived from coconut husks) or rockwool [[90\]](#page-14-31). It is estimated that more than 50% of strawberry production occurs in substrate rather than soil [[91](#page-14-32)]. Coir is often used as it has been shown to retains water more efficiently than soil, so strawberry plants require less frequent watering improving water use efficiency. Coir also has a high level of aeration, which is ideal for strawberries' whose root systems require a lot of oxygen. More recent developments in hydroponics [\[92](#page-14-33)] and aeroponics [[93](#page-14-34)], will impact on irrigation, fertiliser regimes and N uptake.

Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE)

With regards to fruit quality, this is a complex trait that may not be simply attributed to enhanced carbon assimilation. More research is needed to link increased assimilate, with assimilate distribution and transport, NUE to better understand the sink-source relationship in any given crop, which can vary significantly across varieties and crop types. NUE is determined by yield per unit of available N in the growth medium (i.e often coir in greenhouse grown crops). Plants with higher NUE may allocate N toward both the photosynthetic complexes (i.e N is major component of chlorophyll; total N allocated to Rubisco $18.2 \pm 6.2\%$ [[94\]](#page-14-35);) and/or toward the development of additional sinks. The second definition of NUE

Figure 3. Effects of elevated [CO₂] on yield of fruiting crops and a representation of the potential for the manipulation of plant material for further yield increases. Created with BioRender.com

could be described as the efficiency with which N is applied to soils, (through artificial means in greenhouse crops), is taken up by plants and converted to usable products (i.e. biomass, grain yield). This can be manipulated through breeding to identify new varieties with high NUE uptake from selected growing mediums or through engineering nitrogen symbiosis [\(Figure 3](#page-10-0)). Recently, scientists reported the engineering nitrogen-fixation into nonlegume cereal crops by enabling them to interact with soil bacteria to convert N from the air into ammonia fertiliser [\[95\]](#page-15-0). These works could firstly reduce the reliance on commercial synthetic fertilisers and secondly provide alternate sources of N that along with improvements to carbon assimilation, foliar or non-foliar, co-contribute to improving photosynthesis and yields in crops ([Figure 3](#page-10-0)).

A recent review has identified a number of targets in the literature to improve N uptake, assimilation and remobilisation through genetic manipulation (see [[96](#page-15-1)] for review). One of these, the over-expression of the nitrate transporter (NRT2.3) was shown to increase nitrate concentrations in tomato increasing biomass and fruit weight [\[97](#page-15-2)]. More recently, the transcription factor DREB1C has been identified as a regulator of NUE by controlling the expression of several important growth-related genes including the rubisco small subunit 3 (RBCS3), nitrate transporters (NRT1.1B, NRT2.4), nitrate reductase (NR2) and the flowering regulator (FTL3). Once over-expressed (OE), OsDREB1C increased the abundance of photosynthetic pigments, plants were shown to have about one-third more chloroplasts, 38% more rubisco and improved photosynthesis and N uptake. The OE of OsDREB1C resulted in a *>* 40% increase in grain yield in elite rice varieties and an ∼20% increase in wheat yields, while in Arabidopsis, a significant increase in biomass [\[98](#page-15-3)]. Many of these identified genes have potential for improving NUE in fruiting crops grown in *e*[CO₂]. A recent report of a large grain rice cultivar, Akita 63, having a high yield due to an enlarged sink capacity without and photosynthesis improvement. However, this work

demonstrated that source capacity was strongly limiting the yield potential under high N fertilization. These authors suggested that enhancing photosynthesis is an important step to further increase yield of current high-yielding cultivars [\[99](#page-15-4)]. This work can be extrapolated that engineering NUE and photosynthesis in plants grown at *e*[CO₂] could provide a step-change in yields in greenhouse cultivated crops.

Genetic variation in photosynthetic traits in crops and wild relatives

Methods of improving these traits including breeding, by exploiting the potential of crop wild relatives as a source of new traits, and/or the genetic manipulation/genome editing of specific traits. There is already evidence that substantial genetic variation exists within wild relatives of fruiting crops [[100–](#page-15-5)[102](#page-15-6)], which are now studied as a source of crop improvement in various breeding programs [\[103](#page-15-7)]. Further evidence that even in elite material, significant variation is observed in photosynthetic traits. For example, *Vc*max, *Jmax* and *Asat*, indicators of photosynthetic potential, have been shown to vary by as much as 30% in the flag leaves of recent breeding lines of spring and winter wheats [[104–](#page-15-8)[106](#page-15-9)]. Similarly, several quantitative trait loci for photosynthetic efficiency have been identified in elite rice material, including the identification of important transcription factors [\[107](#page-15-10), [108](#page-15-11)]. This work in wheat and rice is promising, demonstrating the potential for breeding new varieties better adapted to changing growth conditions, however it is unclear if such strategies will work in horticultural crops. In the case of tomato, there is considerable variation within the wild and elite varieties to suggest that such breeding strategies could be used to enhanced yield and quality [[109,](#page-15-12) [110](#page-15-13)]. See Sharwood et al [\[111](#page-15-14)] for review ([Figure 3\)](#page-10-0).

In transgenic rice, overproducing Rubisco, increases the biomass production and yield under high N fertilization in paddy fields suggesting that the development of new rice varieties with both high photosynthesis and large sink capacity is essential [[99](#page-15-4)].

Furthermore, genes encoding thermostable variants of Rubisco activase (thermos-Rca) have been identified in wild rice relatives*.* When over-expressed in domesticated rice, thermos-Rca was sufficient to enhance carbohydrate accumulation and improve yields after periodic exposure to elevated temperatures (+45◦C) throughout the vegetative phase [\[112](#page-15-15), [113](#page-15-16)]. Thermostable Rca have been identified in Thermophilic cyanobacteria, bacteria that thrive in high-temperature environments, making them a potential source of novel genes for engineering crops for growth at higher temperatures [\[114](#page-15-17)]. Improving the thermal tolerance of rubisco activase, either through breeding with wild populations or genetic engineering, could aid greenhouse grown crops better tolerate the elevated temperatures that often occur during the growing season [\(Figure 3](#page-10-0)).

Genetic engineering of photosynthetic traits in crops

Increasing the expression of enzymes and/or proteins involved in the regeneration of RuBP, $CO₂$ transport or chloroplast electron transport have previously been shown to enhance photosynthetic efficiency and increases in yield [\[84,](#page-14-25) [85](#page-14-26), [115](#page-15-18)[–117](#page-15-19)]. However, once again, it cannot be ignored that much of this work has focused on non-fruiting crops, such as Arabidopsis, tobacco, wheat and rice, (see Simkin et al. [[84](#page-14-25)] for review), grown in controlled conditions, performed in pots, in soil or in the field with controlled irrigation, which is not typical of global agriculture. Furthermore, work carried out in tomato, over-expression of sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase, involved in RuBP regeneration, did not report on fruit yield [\[82\]](#page-14-23). These data indicating that more work is required to understand how these manipulations would impact fruiting crops grown in tightly controlled environments.

One potential target for genetic manipulation is the starch synthesis enzyme adenosine diphosphate glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase); increasing AGPase activity has potential to increase starch accumulation for growth. Increased accumulation of starch has been shown to have little negative feedback on photosynthesis [\[118](#page-15-20)] and increased AGPase activity in the chloroplast would increase the strength of the transient starch pool, which acts as a sink in the chloroplast. Reduced sink capacity does induce negative feedback on photosynthesis and can limit photosynthesis even in favourable conditions (e.g. elevated $[CO₂]$) [\[119](#page-15-21)], suggesting that increasing the sink may allow for greater $CO₂$ assimilation in supplemented $[CO₂]$ growth environments.

Although genetic manipulation has the potential to further increase yields in crops grown in enriched $[CO₂]$ environments, allowing them to take better advantage of supplemental $CO₂$. increasing net photosynthetic rates and associated yields [\(Figure 3](#page-10-0)), it should also be noted that some reports have suggested that increases in yield in genetically enhanced photosynthetic crops are likely not uniquely down to increases in carbon assimilation but a combination of factors; for example improvements in carbon uptake allow for an increase in N assimilation [\[120](#page-15-22)]. Furthermore, it has also been reported that such increase in yield from enhanced photosynthetic efficiency critically rely on the availability and uptake of water and nutrients (for review see [[121,](#page-15-23) [122\]](#page-15-24)), therefore, genetic engineering as an approach alone may be limiting if other aspects of crop cultivation, such as irrigation, planting regimes, fertilisation (i.e NUE) and growth media (i.e soil, coir, rockwool), are not taken into account and co-optimised.

Non-foliar photosynthesis

Leaves are not the only location within the plant where photosynthesis occurs, with evidence of photosynthesis in petioles

and stems [\[123](#page-15-25), [124](#page-15-26)], and fruit [\[124](#page-15-26)] that may provide significant and alternative sources of photo-assimilates essential for optimal yield. Assimilation of atmospheric $CO₂$ is dependent on the number and behaviour of stomata, and the stems of many plants have stomata distributed along the epidermis [\[125](#page-15-27), [126](#page-15-28)] and an evaluation of the photosynthetic activity in stems of various plants accounted for up to 4% of the total photosynthetic activity [[127\]](#page-15-29). Furthermore, Hu et al. demonstrated the importance of stem photosynthesis to yield in cotton; maintaining the stem in darkness reduced seed weight by 16% [[128\]](#page-15-30) showing the stem provides photoassimilates for plant development and growth.

As previously noted, many fruiting crops produce green fruit containing all the necessary proteins and enzymes to carry out photosynthesis [[127,](#page-15-29) [129,](#page-15-31) [130\]](#page-15-32) that may provide significant and alternative sources of photoassimilates essential for optimal yield and quality [[124\]](#page-15-26). Tomato fruit photosynthesis contributes to net sugar accumulation and growth and previous work concluded that tomato fruit photosynthesis contributes between 10% and 15% of the total fixed carbon of the fruit [[127,](#page-15-29) [131](#page-15-33)] [\[132](#page-15-34)],. It should be noted that, unlike many crops, cucumber fruit remain green through to maturity, have stomata (suggesting they perform gas exchange to drive photosynthesis), and have a similar surface area to an expanded leaf [\[130](#page-15-32)]. It has previously been reported that cucumber fruit had high photosynthetic and respiratory rates [[133\]](#page-15-35) and contribute approximately 9.4% of their own carbon requirements [[130\]](#page-15-32). It should be noted that in fruit with stomata, such as cucumber, there are two potential major sources of $CO₂$. Firstly, Rubisco assimilates atmospheric $[CO₂]$ through the stomatal pores, leading to the production of sugars via the CBC and secondly, $CO₂$ released by mitochondrial respiration is re-fixed (recycling photosynthesis) [[125,](#page-15-27) [134\]](#page-16-18). Whilst this confirms that photosynthesis occurs in fruits, the extent and importance is not clear. In e[CO₂], it seems plausible that cucumber fruit photosynthesis may contribute directly to fruit size (and therefore yield by weight) and quality through their ability to directly access carbon in an enriched atmosphere via their stomata (for a review fruit photosynthesis, see [[124,](#page-15-26) [135\]](#page-16-19). Therefore, increasing carbon capture by non-foliar tissues has the potential to significantly impact yield and combined with an increase N uptake (i.e. slow release fertilizers [\[136](#page-16-20)]) to balance the increased carbon uptake, and optimised irrigation regimes has the potential to maximise such yield gains.

Conclusions

These data show that the yield of fruiting crops benefit from growth in supplemented atmospheres, although, some data suggests that increase in yield can come at the expense of quality traits. It is therefore essential to determine the optimal $[CO₂]$ concentrations on a crop-by-crop basis, to maximise productivity. An evaluation of fruit quality under these conditions has also been shown to be highly variable between treatments and difference are observed between cultivars with the same treatment suggesting that much more research is required to identify the specific mechanisms behind changes in fruit quality. In the case of soft fruit production in greenhouse environments, it will be important to determine if the quality of fruit harvested early in the season differs from that of fruit harvested later in the season when plants have spent a more significant period of time exposed to *e*[CO₂] growth conditions. Cherry for instance, when grown under prolonged periods of e[CO₂], acclimates to prolonged exposure and initial significant gains in yield observed after two months are less detectable after ten months and are not significantly different to control plants grown at *a*[CO₂] [[72](#page-14-13)].

This may in one respect account for differences in nutritional quality observed in fruit grown in similar conditions in different studies (i.e. fruit harvested at different times in the study) where additional fertilizer treatments aren't provided.

Increases in yield associated with *e*[CO₂] controlled environments may be about more than additional carbon. Controlled environments also allow the regulation of transpiration (e.g. by controlling vapour pressure deficit) and therefore water uptake and the inclusion of additional fertilisation (specifically N). Breeding new varieties adapted to these growth conditions may also be more amenable given the hostility towards genetically modified crops. A recent review noted that new phenomics, genomics, and bioinformatics tools make it possible to harness the untapped potential of crop genetic resources (including wild relatives) to create combinations of traits to enhance yield in high $[CO₂]$ controlled environments [\[137](#page-16-21)].

Breeding alone may not be sufficient to adapt all varieties, or all crops, to high [CO₂] growing environments traditionally used in greenhouses. However, over the last several decades, agricultural research has adopted technologies such as genetic engineering and "genome editing" to improve traits in key crops that could be useful in these circumstances [[85](#page-14-26), [138](#page-16-22)[–140](#page-16-23)]. These include advances in the tools available to carry out this work, including vectors for multiple gene insertion [[141](#page-16-24)[–145](#page-16-25)] and tissue specific promoters [[146–](#page-16-26)[150](#page-16-27)]. If the promise of these biotechnology programs is to be realized, it will be necessary to address the public perception of genetic modification and genome editing technologies to gain greater acceptance.

Genetic manipulation, may need to go beyond the direct manipulation of carbon assimilation in leaves [\[84](#page-14-25), [180](#page-17-7)], but focus on the manipulating and control of stomatal function [[151,](#page-16-28) [152](#page-16-29)], the manipulation of pigments complexes in ripening fruit [\[153](#page-16-30)], enhancement of light capture by the leaves through the manipulation of chlorophyll distribution and form [\[154](#page-16-31)] and importantly look a methodologies for increasing N uptake via transgenic [\[96](#page-15-1)] or traditional means (improved fertilization regimes).

It should also be noted that the introduction of new growing, hydroponics, aquaponics and aeroponics may require further study, to breed and adapt or engineer plants root architecture for these new growth media. In conclusion, greenhouse cultivation offers the opportunity to manipulate growing atmosphere, lights and VPD for improved yields and we can now look at the opportunities to breed and engineer plants specifically optimised for these conditions.

Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Collaborative Training Partnerships (CTP) for Fruit Crop Research in partnership with NIAB EMR and Reading University. N.H.D was supported by "Realising increased photosynthetic efficiency to increase strawberry yields" (BBSRC, BB/S507192/1) awarded to A.J.S. A.J.S is supported by the Growing Kent and Medway Program, UK; Ref 107139.

Author Contributions

N.H.D and A.J.S drafted and wrote the manuscript with input from T.L, C.A.R and C.W who also edited the final version.

Conf lict of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- [1.](#page-0-0) Ainsworth EA, Rogers A. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising $[CO_2]$: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2007;**30**:258–70.
- [2.](#page-0-1) Kimball BA. Crop responses to elevated $CO₂$ and interactions with H2O, N, and temperature. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2016;**31**: 36–43.
- [3.](#page-0-2) Kimball BA, Mitchell ST. $CO₂$ enrichment of tomatoes in unventilated greenhouses in an arid climate. *Acta Hortic*. 1978;**87**: 131–8.
- 4. Mortensen LM. Review: CO2 enrichment in greenhouses. Crop responses. *Sci Hortic*. 1987;**33**:1–25.
- 5. Idso SB. Three phases of plant response to atmospheric $CO₂$ enrichment. *Plant Physiol*. 1988;**87**:5–7.
- [6.](#page-0-3) Prior SA, Rogers HH, Runion GB *et al.* Effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment on cotton root growth. *Agric For Meteorol*. 1994;**70**: 69–86.
- [7.](#page-0-4) Mortensen LM. Effects of elevated $CO₂$ concentrations on growth and yield of eight vegetable species in a cool climate. *Sci Hortic*. 1994;**58**:177–85.
- [8.](#page-0-5) Dong J, Gruda N, Li X *et al.* Sustainable vegetable production under changing climate: the impact of elevated $CO₂$ on yield of vegetables and the interactions with environments-a review. *J Clean Prod*. 2020;**253**:119920.
- [9.](#page-0-6) Dong J, Gruda N, Lam SK *et al. Effects of elevated CO*₂ on nutritional quality of vegetables: a review. *Front Plant Sci*. 2018;**9**:924.
- [10.](#page-0-7) Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I et al. Increasing CO₂ threatens human nutrition. *Nature*. 2014;**510**:139–42.
- [11.](#page-0-8) Zhu C, Kobayashi K, Loladze I *et al.* Carbon dioxide (CO₂) levels this century will alter the protein, micronutrients, and vitamin content of rice grains with potential health consequences for the poorest rice-dependent countries. *Sci Adv*. 2018;**4**:1–8.
- [12.](#page-0-9) Smith MR, Golden CD, Myers SS. Potential rise in iron deficiency due to future anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. *Geohealth*. 2017;**1**:248–57.
- [13.](#page-1-1) Zhang Z, Liu L, Zhang M *et al.* Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment on health-promoting compounds and organoleptic properties of tomato fruits grown in greenhouse. *Food Chem*. 2014;**153**:157–63.
- [14.](#page-3-1) Sánchez-González MJ, Sánchez-Guerrero MC, Medrano E *et al.* Carbon dioxide enrichment: a technique to mitigate the negative effects of salinity on the productivity of high value tomatoes. *Span J Agric Res*. 2016;**14**:e0903.
- [15.](#page-1-2) Li F, Wang J, Chen Y *et al.* Combined effects of enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation and doubled CO₂ concentration on growth, fruit quality and yield of tomato in winter plastic greenhouse. *Frontiers of Biology in China*. 2007;**2**:414–8.
- [16.](#page-1-3) Shahidul Islam M, Matsui T, Yoshida Y. Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment on physico-chemical and enzymatic changes in tomato fruits at various stages of maturity. *Sci Hortic*. 1996;**65**:137–49.
- [17.](#page-1-4) Khan I, Azam A, Mahmood A. The impact of enhanced atmospheric carbon dioxide on yield, proximate composition, elemental concentration, fatty acid and vitamin C contents of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Environ Monit Assess*. 2013;**185**: 205–14.
- [18.](#page-1-5) Drewnowski A, Mennella JA, Johnson SL *et al.* Sweetness and food preference. *J Nutr*. 2012;**142**:S1142–8.
- [19.](#page-1-6) Mamatha H, Rao NK, Laxman RH *et al.* Impact of elevated CO2 on growth, physiology, yield, and quality of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum mill) cv. Arka Ashish. *Photosynthetica*. 2014;**52**: 519–28.
- [20.](#page-1-7) Du J, Cullen JJ, Buettner GR. Ascorbic acid: chemistry, biology and the treatment of cancer. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer*. 2012;**1826**:443–57.
- 21. Leong SY, Oey I. Effects of processing on anthocyanins, carotenoids and vitamin C in summer fruits and vegetables. *Food Chem*. 2012;**133**:1577–87.
- [22.](#page-1-8) Da Silva Dias JC. Nutritional and health benefits of carrots and their seed extracts. *Food Nutr Sci*. 2014;**05**:2147–56.
- [23.](#page-1-9) Robinson JM, Sicher RC. Antioxidant levels decrease in primary leaves of barley during growth at ambient and elevated carbon dioxide levels. *Int J Plant Sci*. 2004;**165**:965–72.
- [24.](#page-1-10) Fenech M, Amaya I, Valpuesta V *et al.* Vitamin C content in fruits: biosynthesis and regulation. *Frontiers in Plant Science (Review)*. 2019;**9**:1–21.
- [25.](#page-1-11) Rangaswamy TC, Sridhara S, Ramesh N *et al.* Assessing the impact of higher levels of $CO₂$ and temperature and their interactions on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Plan Theory*. 2021;**10**:256. [https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/10/2/256.](https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/10/2/256)
- [26.](#page-1-12) Simkin AJ. Carotenoids and apocarotenoids in planta: their role in plant development, contribution to the flavour and aroma of fruits and flowers, and their nutraceutical benefits. *Plan Theory*. 2021;**10**:2321.
- [27.](#page-1-13) Story EN, Kopec RE, Schwartz SJ *et al.* An update on the health effects of tomato lycopene. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol*. 2010;**1**: 189–210.
- [28.](#page-1-14) Rando RR. The chemistry of vitamin a and vision. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 1990;**29**:461–80.
- [29.](#page-1-15) West CE, Rombout JH, van der Zijpp AJ *et al.* Vitamin a and immune function. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 1991;**50**:251–62. [https://www.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1749794) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1749794.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1749794)
- [30.](#page-1-16) WHO. In: WHO Global Database on Vitamin A Deficiency, ed. *Global Prevalence of Vitamin a Deficiency in Populations at Risk 1995– 2005*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2005.
- [31.](#page-1-17) WHO. *World Health Organisation : Micronutrient Deficiencies*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2019.
- [32.](#page-1-18) Hodge J. Hidden hunger: Approaches to tackling micronutrient deficiencies in Nourishing millions: Stories of change in nutrition. In: Gillespie S, Hodge J, Yosef S, Pandya-Lorch R, eds. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI): Washington, D.C., 2016,35–46 Ch. 4.
- [33.](#page-1-19) Simkin AJ, Underwood BA, Auldridge M *et al.* Circadian regulation of the PhCCD1 carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase controls emission of beta-ionone, a fragrance volatile of petunia flowers. *Plant Physiol*. 2004;**136**:3504–14.
- [34.](#page-2-1) Simkin AJ, Schwartz SH, Auldridge M *et al.* The tomato carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 genes contribute to the formation of the flavor volatiles b-ionone, pseudoionone, and geranylacetone. *Plant J*. 2004;**40**:882–92.
- [35.](#page-1-20) Zhang X, Pei J, Zhao L *et al.* Overexpression and characterization of CCD4 from Osmanthus fragrans and *β*-ionone biosynthesis from *β*-carotene in vitro. *J Mol Catal B Enzym*. 2016;**134**: 105–14.
- [36.](#page-2-2) Vogel JT, Tan B-C, McCarty DR *et al.* The carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 enzyme has broad substrate specificity, cleaving multiple carotenoids at two different bond positions. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;**283**:11364–73.
- [37.](#page-2-3) Ilg A, Beyer P, Al-Babili S. Characterization of the rice carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 reveals a novel route for geranial biosynthesis. *FEBS J*. 2009;**276**:736–47.
- [38.](#page-2-4) Baldwin EA, Scott JW, Shewmaker CK *et al.* Flavor trivia and tomato aroma: biochemistry and possible mechanisms for control of important aroma components. *Hort Science*. 2000;**35**: 1013–22.
- [39.](#page-2-5) Buttery RG, Teranishi R, Ling LC *et al.* Quantitative and sensory studies on tomato paste volatiles. *J Agric Food Chem*. 1990;**38**: 336–40.
- [40.](#page-2-6) Simkin AJ, Gaffé J, Alcaraz JP *et al.* Fibrillin inf luence on plastid ultrastructure and pigment content in tomato fruit. *Phytochemistry*. 2007;**68**:1545–56.
- [41.](#page-2-7) Rezende FC, Frizzone JA, Oliveira RFD et al. CO₂ and irrigation in relation to yield and water use of the bell pepper crop. *Sci Agric*. 2003;**60**:7–12.
- [42.](#page-2-8) Piñero MC, Pérez-Jiménez M, López-Marín J *et al.* Fruit quality of sweet pepper as affected by foliar Ca applications to mitigate the supply of saline water under a climate change scenario. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2018;**98**:1071–8.
- [43.](#page-5-1) Porras ME, Lorenzo P, Medrano E *et al.* Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO2 concentration in a sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) crop under Mediterranean greenhouse conditions: inf luence of the nitrogen source and salinity. *Funct Plant Biol*. 2017;**44**:573–86.
- [44.](#page-2-9) Porras ME, Lorenzo P, Medrano E *et al.* Sweet pepper grown under salinity stress as affected by $CO₂$ enrichment and nitrogen source. *Acta Hortic*. 2017;**1170**:805–12.
- [45.](#page-3-2) Nederhoff EM. Effects of $CO₂$ concentration on photosynthesis, transpiration and production of greenhouse fruit vegetable crops. Phd Thesis, Wageningen University and Research, 1994.
- [46.](#page-2-10) Alonso FJ, Lorenzo P, Medrano E *et al.* Greenhouse sweet pepper productive response to carbon dioxide enrichment and crop pruning. *Acta Hortic*. 2012;**927**:345–51.
- [47.](#page-2-11) Aloni B, Karni L. Effects of CO₂ enrichment on yield, carbohydrate accumulation and changes in the activity of antioxidative enzymes in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J Hortic Sci Biotechnol*. 2002;**77**:534–40.
- [48.](#page-2-12) Penuelas J, Biel C, Estiarte M. Growth, biomass allocation and phenology responses of pepper to elevated $CO₂$ concentrations and different water and nitrogen supply. *Photosynthetica*. 1995;**31**:91–9.
- [49.](#page-2-13) Garruña-Hernández R, Monforte-González M, Canto-Aguilar A *et al.* Enrichment of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere increases the capsaicinoids content in habanero peppers (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.). *J Sci Food Agric*. 2013;**93**:1385–8.
- [50.](#page-2-14) Das S, Das R, Kalita P *et al.* Growth responses of hot chilli (*Capsicum chinense* jacq.) to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*. 2020;**8**:434–40.
- [51.](#page-2-15) Das S, Das R, Kalita P *et al.* Developmental processes in hot chilli (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.) as affected by elevated carbondioxide and temperature. *Plant Physiology Reports*. 2020;**25**:386–94.
- [52.](#page-2-16) Das S, Das R, Hemendra C *et al.* Interactive effect of elevated carbondioxide and high temperature on quality of hot chilli (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.). *Int J Trop Agric*. 2016;**34**:1977–81.
- [53.](#page-2-17) Azam A, Hameed A, Khan I. Impact of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide on yield, vitamin c, proximate, fatty acid and amino acid composition of capsicum (*capsicum Annuum*). *Environmental Pollution and Protection*. 2017;**2**:153–67.
- [54.](#page-4-0) Gödecke T, Stein AJ, Qaim M. The global burden of chronic and hidden hunger: trends and determinants. *Global Food Security*. 2018;**17**:21–9.
- [55.](#page-4-1) Sung FJM, Chen JJ. Gas exchange rate and yield response of strawberry to carbon dioxide enrichment. *Sci Hortic*. 1991;**48**: 241–51.
- [56.](#page-7-1) Balasooriya HN, Dassanayake KB, Seneweera S *et al.* Interaction of elevated carbon dioxide and temperature on strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) growth and fruit yield. *International Journal of Biological, Life and Agricultural Sciences*. 2018;**11**:1–9.
- 57. Bunce JA. Seasonal patterns of photosynthetic response and acclimation to elevated carbon dioxide in field-grown strawberry. *Photosynth Res*. 2001;**68**:237–45.
- [58.](#page-4-2) Bushway LJ, Pritts MP. Enhancing early spring microclimate to increase carbon resources and productivity in june-bearing strawberry. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci*. 2002;**127**:415–22.
- 59. Keutgen N, Chen K, Lenz F. Responses of strawberry leaf photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence and macronutrient contents to elevated CO₂. *J Plant Physiol.* 1997;150: 395–400.
- [60.](#page-4-3) Li X, Zhao J, Shang M *et al.* Physiological and molecular basis of promoting leaf growth in strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) by CO2 enrichment. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*. 2020;**34**:905–17.
- [61.](#page-4-4) Enoch HZ, Rylski I, Spigelman M. CO₂ enrichment of strawberry and cucumber plants grown in unheated greenhouses in Israel. *Sci Hortic*. 1976;**5**:33–41.
- [62.](#page-4-5) Wang S, Bunce J. Elevated carbon dioxide affects fruit flavor in field-grown strawberries (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch). *J Sci Food Agric*. 2004;**84**:1464–8.
- [63.](#page-4-6) Sun P, Mantri N, Lou H *et al. Effects of elevated* CO₂ and temperature on yield and fruit quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) at two levels of nitrogen application. *PLoS One*. 2012;**7**:1–12.
- [64.](#page-4-7) Wang SY, Bunce JA, Maas JL. Elevated carbon dioxide increases contents of antioxidant compounds in field-grown strawberries. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2003;**51**:4315–20.
- [65.](#page-4-8) Balasooriya HN, Dassanayake KB, Seneweera S *et al.* Impact of elevated carbon dioxide and temperature on strawberry polyphenols. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2019;**99**:4659–69.
- [66.](#page-4-9) Dong J, Li X, Chu W *et al.* High nitrate supply promotes nitrate assimilation and alleviates photosynthetic acclimation of cucumber plants under elevated CO2. *Sci Hortic*. 2017;**218**: 275–83.
- [67.](#page-4-10) Mavrogianopoulos GN, Spanakis J, Tsikalas P. Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment and salinity on photosynthesis and yield in melon. *Sci Hortic*. 1999;**79**:51–63.
- [68.](#page-6-0) Dong J, Xu Q, Gruda N *et al.* Elevated and super-elevated CO2 differ in their interactive effects with nitrogen availability on fruit yield and quality of cucumber. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2018;**98**: 4509–16.
- [69.](#page-6-1) Xu M. The optimal atmospheric CO2 concentration for the growth of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum). *J Plant Physiol*. 2015;**184**:89–97.
- [70.](#page-6-2) Dong J-L, Li X, Nazim G *et al.* Interactive effects of elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen availability on fruit quality of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.). *J Integr Agric*. 2018;**17**: 2438–46.
- [71.](#page-6-3) Segura ML, Parra JF, Lorenzo P et al. The effects of CO₂ enrichment on cucumber growth under greenhouse conditions. *Acta Hortic*. 2001;**559**:217–22.
- [72.](#page-6-4) Atkinson CJ, Taylor JM, Wilkins D et al. Effects of elevated CO₂ on chloroplast components, gas exchange and growth of oak and cherry. *Tree Physiol*. 1997;**17**:319–25.
- [73.](#page-6-5) Vignati E, Lipska M, Dunwell JM *et al.* Fruit development in sweet cherry. *Plan Theory*. 2022;**11**:1531.
- [74.](#page-6-6) Vignati E, Lipska M, Dunwell JM *et al.* Options for the generation of seedless cherry, the ultimate snacking product. *Planta*. 2022;**256**:90.
- [75.](#page-6-7) Han J-H, Cho JG, Son IC *et al.* Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and temperature on photosynthesis and fruit characteristics of 'Niitaka' pear *(Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). *Hortic Environ Biotechnol*. 2012;**53**:357–61.
- [76.](#page-9-0) Gray SB, Dermody O, Klein SP *et al.* Intensifying drought eliminates the expected benefits of elevated carbon dioxide for soybean. *Nature Plants*. 2016;**2**:16132.
- [77.](#page-9-1) Zhou R, Yu X, Wen J et al. Interactive effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and combined heat and drought stress on tomato photosynthesis. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2020;**20**:260.
- [78.](#page-9-2) Stips A, Macias D, Coughlan C *et al.* On the causal structure between CO2 and global temperature. *Sci Rep*. 2016;**6**:21691.
- [79.](#page-9-3) Koutsoyiannis D, Kundzewicz ZW. Atmospheric temperature and CO2: hen-or-egg causality? *Sci*. 2020;**2**:83. [https://www.](https://www.mdpi.com/2413-4155/2/4/83) [mdpi.com/2413-4155/2/4/83.](https://www.mdpi.com/2413-4155/2/4/83)
- [80.](#page-9-4) Simkin AJ, Lopez-Calcagno PE, Davey PA *et al.* Simultaneous stimulation of sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphatase, fructose 1,6-bisphophate aldolase and the photorespiratory glycine $decarboxylase H-protein increases CO₂ assimilation, vegeta$ tive biomass and seed yield in Arabidopsis. *Plant Biotechnol J*. 2017;**15**:805–16.
- [81.](#page-9-5) Chen Y, Jiang Y, Chen Y *et al.* Uncovering candidate genes responsive to salt stress in *Salix matsudana* (Koidz) by transcriptomic analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;**15**:1–23.
- [82.](#page-9-6) Ding F, Wang M, Zhang S et al. Changes in SBPase activity influence photosynthetic capacity, growth, and tolerance to chilling stress in transgenic tomato plants. *Sci Rep*. 2016;**6**:32741.
- [83.](#page-9-7) Kohler IH *et al.* Expression of cyanobacterial FBP/SBPase in soybean prevents yield depression under future climate conditions. *J Exp Bot*. 2017;**68**:erw435–726.
- [84.](#page-9-8) Simkin AJ, Lopez-Calcagno PE, Raines CA. Feeding the world: improving photosynthetic efficiency for sustainable crop production. *Journal of Experimantal Botany*. 2019;**70**:1119–40.
- [85.](#page-9-9) Simkin AJ. Genetic engineering for global food security: photosynthesis and biofortification. *Plan Theory*. 2019;**8**:586. [https://](https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/8/12/586) [www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/8/12/586.](https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/8/12/586)
- [86.](#page-9-10) Sekhar KM, Kota VR, Reddy TP *et al.* Amelioration of plant responses to drought under elevated $CO₂$ by rejuvenating photosynthesis and nitrogen use efficiency: implications for future climate-resilient crops. *Photosynth Res*. 2021;**150**:21–40.
- [87.](#page-9-11) ECIU. Gas prices adding £1.7 billion to cost of beer and bangers. In: Smeeton G, ed. *Informed Debate on Energy and Climate Change*. Energy and Climate Intelligence Unit. London, UK: Energy and Climate Intelligence Unit (ECIU), 2022.
- [88.](#page-9-12) ECIU. Farming, Fertilisers and Fossil Fuels. In: *How the Gas Crisis Is Squeezing Britain's Farmers*. Energy and Climate Intelligence Unit. London, UK: Energy and Climate Intelligence Unit (ECIU), 2022,1–22.
- [89.](#page-9-13) Araus JL, Sanchez-Bragado R, Vicente R. Improving crop yield and resilience through optimization of photosynthesis: panacea or pipe dream? *J Exp Bot*. 2021;**72**:3936–55.
- [90.](#page-9-14) Xiong J, Tian Y, Wang J *et al.* Comparison of coconut coir, rockwool, and peat cultivations for tomato production: nutrient balance, plant growth and fruit quality. *Front Plant Sci*. 2017;**8**:1327.
- [91.](#page-9-15) Robinson, Boyer L, Feng W, Gulbis N *et al.* The use of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to improve strawberry production in coir substrate. *Front Plant Sci*. 2016;**7**:1–9.
- [92.](#page-9-16) Nguyen NT, McInturf SA, Mendoza-Cózatl DG. Hydroponics: a versatile system to study nutrient allocation and plant responses to nutrient availability and exposure to toxic elements. *J Vis Exp*. 2016;**54317**:55–66.
- [93.](#page-9-17) Eldridge BM, Manzoni LR, Graham CA *et al.* Getting to the roots of aeroponic indoor farming. *New Phytol*. 2020;**228**:1183–92.
- [94.](#page-9-18) Luo X, Keenan TF, Chen JM *et al.* Global variation in the fraction of leaf nitrogen allocated to photosynthesis. *Nat Commun*. 2021;**12**:4866.
- [95.](#page-10-1) Haskett TL, Paramasivan P, Mendes MD *et al.* Engineered plant control of associative nitrogen fixation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2022;**119**:1–9.
- [96.](#page-10-2) Lebedev VG, Popova AA, Shestibratov KA. Genetic engineering and genome editing for improving nitrogen use efficiency in plants. *Cell*. 2021;**10**:3303.
- [97.](#page-10-3) Fu Y, Yi H, Bao J *et al.* LeNRT2.3 functions in nitrate acquisition and long-distance transport in tomato. *FEBS Lett*. 2015;**589**: 1072–9.
- [98.](#page-10-4) Wei S, Li X, Lu Z *et al.* A transcriptional regulator that boosts grain yields and shortens the growth duration of rice. *Science*. 2022;**377**:eabi8455.
- [99.](#page-10-5) Makino A, Suzuki Y, Ishiyama K. Enhancing photosynthesis and yield in rice with improved N use efficiency. *Plant Sci*. 2022;**325**: 1–9.
- [100.](#page-10-6) Af litos S, Schijlen E, de Jong H *et al.* Exploring genetic variation in the tomato (*Solanum section Lycopersicon*) clade by wholegenome sequencing. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*. 2014;**80**:136–48.
- 101. Sahu KK, Chattopadhyay D. Genome-wide sequence variations between wild and cultivated tomato species revisited by whole genome sequence mapping. *BMC Genomics*. 2017;**18**:430.
- [102.](#page-10-7) Blanca J, Montero-Pau J, Sauvage C *et al.* Genomic variation in tomato, from wild ancestors to contemporary breeding accessions. *BMC Genomics*. 2015;**16**:257.
- [103.](#page-10-8) Cockerton HM, Karlström A, Johnson AW *et al.* Genomic informed breeding strategies for strawberry yield and fruit quality traits. *Front Plant Sci*. 2021;**12**:1–16.
- [104.](#page-10-9) Driever SM, Lawson T, Andralojc PJ *et al.* Natural variation in photosynthetic capacity, growth, and yield in 64 field-grown wheat genotypes. *J Exp Bot*. 2014;**65**:4959–73. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24963002) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24963002.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24963002)
- 105. Silva-Pérez V, de Faveri J, Molero G *et al.* Genetic variation for photosynthetic capacity and efficiency in spring wheat. *J Exp Bot*. 2020;**71**:2299–311.
- [106.](#page-10-10) Sales CRG, Molero G, Evans JR *et al.* Phenotypic variation in photosynthetic traits in wheat grown under field versus glasshouse conditions. *J Exp Bot*. 2022;**73**:3221–37.
- [107.](#page-10-11) Adachi S, Yamamoto T, Nakae T *et al.* Genetic architecture of leaf photosynthesis in rice revealed by different types of reciprocal mapping populations. *J Exp Bot*. 2019;**70**:5131–44.
- [108.](#page-10-12) Adachi S, Yoshikawa K, Yamanouchi U *et al.* Fine mapping of carbon assimilation rate 8, a quantitative trait locus for flag leaf nitrogen content, stomatal conductance and photosynthesis in rice. *Frontiers in Plant Science (Original Research)*. 2017;**8**:60.
- [109.](#page-10-13) de Oliveira Silva FM, Lichtenstein G, Alseekh S *et al.* The genetic architecture of photosynthesis and plant growth-related traits in tomato. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2018;**41**:327–41.
- [110.](#page-10-14) Lana-Costa J, de Oliveira Silva FM, Batista-Silva W *et al.* High photosynthetic rates in a *Solanum pennellii* chromosome 2 qtl is explained by biochemical and photochemical changes. *Front Plant Sci*. 2020;**11**:1–15.
- [111.](#page-10-15) Sharwood RE, Quick WP, Sargent D *et al.* Mining for allelic gold: finding genetic variation in photosynthetic traits in crops and wild relatives. *J Exp Bot*. 2022;**73**:3085–108.
- [112.](#page-11-0) Scafaro AP, Atwell BJ, Muylaert S *et al.* A thermotolerant variant of rubisco activase from a wild relative improves growth and seed yield in rice under heat stress. *Front Plant Sci*. 2018;**9**:1–11.
- [113.](#page-11-1) Scafaro AP, Gallé A, van Rie J *et al.* Heat tolerance in a wild Oryza species is attributed to maintenance of rubisco activation by a thermally stable rubisco activase ortholog. *New Phytol*. 2016;**211**:899–911.
- [114.](#page-11-2) Ogbaga CC, Stepien P, Athar H-U-R *et al.* Engineering rubisco activase from thermophilic cyanobacteria into hightemperature sensitive plants. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*. 2018;**38**: 559–72.
- [115.](#page-11-3) López-Calcagno PE, Brown KL, Simkin AJ *et al.* Stimulating photosynthetic processes increases productivity and water-use efficiency in the field. *Nature Plants*. 2020;**6**:1054–63.
- 116. Driever SM *et al.* Increased SBPase activity improves photosynthesis and grain yield in wheat grown in greenhouse conditions. *Philos Trans R Soc B*. 2017;**372**:1730.
- [117.](#page-11-4) Raines CA, Cavanagh AP, Simkin AJ. In: Ruban A, Murchie E, Foyer C, eds.*Chapter 9. Improving Carbon Fixation in Photosynthesis in Action 1*. London, UK: Elsevier, Academic Press, 2022.
- [118.](#page-11-5) Petreikov M, Yeselson L, Shen S *et al.* Carbohydrate balance and accumulation during development of near-isogenic tomato lines differing in the AGPase-L1 allele. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci*. 2009;**134**:134–40.
- [119.](#page-11-6) Ainsworth EA, Bush DR. Carbohydrate export from the leaf: a highly regulated process and target to enhance photosynthesis and productivity. *Plant Physiol*. 2011;**155**:64–9.
- [120.](#page-11-7) Yoon D-K, Ishiyama K, Suganami M *et al.* Transgenic rice overproducing rubisco exhibits increased yields with improved nitrogen-use efficiency in an experimental paddy field. *Nature Food*. 2020;**1**:134–9.
- [121.](#page-11-8) Sinclair TR, Rufty TW, Lewis RS. Increasing photosynthesis: unlikely solution for world food problem. *Trends Plant Sci*. 2019;**24**:1032–9.
- [122.](#page-11-9) Wu A, Hammer GL, Doherty A *et al.* Quantifying impacts of enhancing photosynthesis on crop yield. *Nature Plants*. 2019;**5**: 380–8.
- [123.](#page-11-10) Hibberd JM, Quick WP. Characteristics of C4 photosynthesis in stems and petioles of C3 f lowering plants. *Nature*. 2002;**415**: 451–4.
- [124.](#page-11-11) Simkin AJ, Faralli M, Ramamoorthy S *et al.* Photosynthesis in non-foliar tissues: implications for yield. *Plant J*. 2020;**101**: 1001–15.
- [125.](#page-11-12) Aschan G, Pfanz H. Non-foliar photosynthesis – a strategy of additional carbon acquisition. *Flora*. 2003;**198**:81–97.
- [126.](#page-11-13) Ávila E, Herrera A, Tezara W. Contribution of stem CO2 fixation to whole-plant carbon balance in nonsucculent species. *Photosynt*. 2014;**52**:3–15.
- [127.](#page-11-14) Hetherington SE, Smillie RM, Davies WJ. Photosynthetic activities of vegetative and fruiting tissues of tomato. *J Exp Bot*. 1998;**49**:1173–81.
- [128.](#page-11-15) Hu Y-Y, Zhang YL, Luo HH *et al.* Important photosynthetic contribution from the non-foliar green organs in cotton at the late growth stage. *Planta*. 2012;**235**:325–36. [http://www.jstor.](http://www.jstor.org/stable/43564330) [org/stable/43564330.](http://www.jstor.org/stable/43564330)
- [129.](#page-11-16) Carrara S, Pardossi A, Soldatini GF *et al.* Photosynthetic activity of ripening tomato fruit. *Photosynt*. 2001;**39**:75–8.
- [130.](#page-11-17) Sui X, Shan N, Hu L *et al.* The complex character of photosynthesis in cucumber fruit. *J Exp Bot*. 2017;**68**:1625–37.
- [131.](#page-11-18) Obiadalla-Ali H, Fernie AR, Lytovchenko A *et al.* Inhibition of chloroplastic fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase in tomato fruits leads to decreased fruit size, but only small changes in carbohydrate metabolism. *Planta*. 2004;**219**: 533–40.
- [132.](#page-11-19) Tanaka A, Fujita K, Kikuchi K. Nutrio-physiological studies on the tomato plant. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 1974;**20**: 57–68.
- [133.](#page-11-20) Todd GW, Bean RC, Propst B. Photosynthesis & respiration in developing fruits II. Comparative rates at various stages of development. *Plant Physiol*. 1961;**36**:69–73.
- [134.](#page-11-21) Millar AH, Whelan J, Soole KL *et al.* Organization and regulation of mitochondrial respiration in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol*. 2011;**62**:79–104.
- [135.](#page-11-22) Blanke MM. Fruit Photosynthesis. In: Ruban A, Garab G, (ed.), *Photosynthesis: Mechanisms and Effects*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer, 1998.
- [136.](#page-11-23) Li T, Zhang W, Yin J *et al.* Enhanced-efficiency fertilizers are not a panacea for resolving the nitrogen problem. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2018;**24**:e511–21.
- [137.](#page-12-17) Reynolds M, Atkin OK, Bennett M *et al.* Addressing research bottlenecks to crop productivity. *Trends Plant Sci*. 2021;**26**: 607–30.
- [138.](#page-12-18) Wilson F, Harrison K, Armitage AD *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of phytoene desaturase in diploid and octoploid strawberry. *Plant Methods*. 2019;**15**:45.
- 139. Aglawe SB, Barbadikar KM, Mangrauthia SK *et al.* New breeding technique "genome editing" for crop improvement: applications, potentials and challenges. *Biotech*. 2018;**8**:336.
- [140.](#page-12-19) Georges F, Ray H. Genome editing of crops: a renewed opportunity for food security. *GM Crops and Food*. 2017;**8**:1–12.
- [141.](#page-12-20) Exposito-Rodriguez M, Laissue P, López-Calcagno P *et al.* Development of pGEMINI, a plant gateway destination vector allowing the simultaneous integration of two cDNA via a single LRclonase reaction. *Plants (Basel)*. 2017;**6**:55.
- 142. Engler C, Gruetzner R, Kandzia R et al. Golden gate shuffling: a one-pot DNA shuffling method based on type IIs restriction enzymes. *PLoS One*. 2009;**4**:1–9.
- 143. Engler C, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with high throughput capability. *PLoS One*. 2008;**3**:1–7.
- 144. Engler C, Youles M, Gruetzner R *et al.* A golden gate modular cloning toolbox for plants. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2014;**3**:839–43.
- [145.](#page-12-21) Marillonnet S,Werner S. In: Castilho A, ed. *Assembly of Multigene Constructs Using Golden Gate Cloning in Glyco-Engineering: Methods and Protocols*. Springer: New York, 2015,269–84.
- [146.](#page-12-22) Kuntz M, Chen, Simkin *et al.* Upregulation of two ripeningrelated genes from a non-climacteric plant (pepper) in a transgenic climacteric plant (tomato). *Plant J*. 1998;**13**:351–61.
- 147. Simkin AJ, Qian T, Caillet V *et al.* Oleosin gene family of *Coffea canephora:* quantitative expression analysis of five oleosin genes in developing and germinating coffee grain. *J Plant Physiol*. 2006;**163**:691–708.
- 148. Mukherjee S, Stasolla C, Brule-Babel A *et al.* Isolation and characterization of rubisco small subunit gene promoter from common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Signaling and Behavior*. 2015;**10**:1–6.
- 149. Alotaibi SS, Sparks CA, Parry MAJ *et al.* Identification of leaf promoters for use in transgenic wheat. *Plan Theory*. 2018; **7**:27.
- [150.](#page-12-23) Alotaibi SS, Alyassi H, Alshehawi A *et al.* Functional analysis of SBPase gene promoter in transgenic wheat under different growth conditions. *Biotechnology*. 2019;**1**:15–23.
- [151.](#page-12-24) Faralli M, Matthews J, Lawson T. Exploiting natural variation and genetic manipulation of stomatal conductance for crop improvement. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2019;**49**:1–7.
- [152.](#page-12-25) Lawson T, Blatt MR. Stomatal size, speed, and responsiveness impact on photosynthesis and water use efficiency. *Plant Physiol*. 2014;**164**:1556–70.
- [153.](#page-12-26) Kapoor L, Simkin AJ, Doss GP *et al.* Fruit ripening: dynamics and integrated analysis of carotenoids and anthocyanins. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2022;**22**:27.
- [154.](#page-12-27) Simkin AJ, Kapoor L, Doss CGP *et al.* The role of photosynthesis related pigments in light harvesting, photoprotection and

enhancement of photosynthetic yield in planta. *Photosynth Res*. 2022;**152**:23–42.

- [155.](#page-3-3) Pazzagli PT, Weiner J, Liu F. Effects of $CO₂$ elevation and irrigation regimes on leaf gas exchange, plant water relations, and water use efficiency of two tomato cultivars. *Agric Water Manag*. 2016;**169**:26–33.
- [156.](#page-3-4) Reinert RA, Eason G, Barton J. Growth and fruiting of tomato as inf luenced by elevated carbon dioxide and ozone. *New Phytol*. 1997;**137**:411–20.
- [157.](#page-3-5) Helyes L, Lugasi A, Péli E et al. Effect of elevated CO₂ on lycopene content of tomato (*Lycopersicon lycopersicum* L. Karsten) fruits. *Acta Aliment*. 2011;**40**:80–6.
- [158.](#page-3-6) Hartz TK, Baameur A, Holt DB. Carbon dioxide enrichment of high-value crops under tunnel culture. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci*. 1991;**116**:970–3.
- [159.](#page-3-7) Liu J, Peng X, Abdelhakim LOA *et al.* Carbon dioxide elevation combined with sufficient irrigation and nitrogen fertilization improves fruit quality of tomato grown in glasshouse. *Arch Agron Soil Sci*. 2021;**67**:1134–49.
- [160.](#page-3-8) Wei Z, Du T, Li X et al. Interactive effects of elevated CO₂ and N fertilization on yield and quality of tomato grown under reduced irrigation regimes. *Front Plant Sci*. 2018;**9**:1–10.
- [161.](#page-4-11) Hicklenton PR, Jolliffe PA. Effects of greenhouse $CO₂$ enrichment on the yield and photosynthetic physiology of tomato plants. *Can J Plant Sci*. 1978;**58**:801–17.
- [162.](#page-4-12) Fierro A, Gosselin A, Tremblay N. Supplemental carbon dioxide and light improved tomato and pepper seedling growth and yield. *Hort Science*. 1994;**29**:152–4.
- [163.](#page-4-13) Yelle S, Beeson RC, Trudel MJ *et al.* Duration of CO₂ enrichment influences growth, yield, and gas exchange of two tomato species. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci*. 1990;**115**:52–7.
- [164.](#page-4-14) Nilsen S, Hovland K, Dons C et al. Effect of CO₂ enrichment on photosynthesis, growth and yield of tomato. *Sci Hortic*. 1983;**20**: 1–14.
- [165.](#page-4-15) Ozcelik N, Akilli M. Effects of $CO₂$ enrichment on vegetative growth, yield and quality of greenhouse - grown tomatoes in soil and soilless cultures. *Acta Hortic*. 1999;**491**:155–60.
- [166.](#page-4-16) Tripp KE, Peet MM, Pharr DM et al. CO₂-enhanced yield and foliar deformation among tomato genotypes in elevated $CO₂$ environments. *Plant Physiol*. 1991;**96**:713–9.
- [167.](#page-4-17) Li JH, Sagi M, Gale J *et al.* Response of tomato plants to saline water as affected by carbon dioxide supplementation. I. Growth, yield and fruit quality. *J Hortic Sci Biotechnol*. 1999;**74**: 232–7.
- [168.](#page-5-2) Porras ME, Medrano E, Lorenzo P *et al.* Sweet pepper acclimation to variable CO2 supply in a Mediterranean greenhouse. *Acta Hortic*. 2017;**1170**:797–804.
- [169.](#page-5-3) Milhet Y, Costes C. Effects of $CO₂$ nutrition on growth and yield of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.), egg-plant (*Solanum Melongena* L.) and sweet-pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Acta Hortic*. 1975;**51**: 201–12.
- [170.](#page-5-4) Garruña-Hernández R et al. Changes in flowering and fruiting of habanero pepper in response to higher temperature and CO2. *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*. 2012; **10**:802–8.
- [171.](#page-5-5) Li X, Kang S, Li F *et al.* Light supplement and carbon dioxide enrichment affect yield and quality of off-season pepper. *Agron J*. 2017;**109**:2107–18.
- [172.](#page-6-8) Imazu T, Yabuki K, Oda Y. Studies on the carbon dioxide environment for plant growth. II. Effect of carbon dioxide concentration on the growth, flowering and fruit setting of eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). *Engei Gakkai zasshi*. 1967;**36**: 275–80.
- [173.](#page-7-2) Deng X, Woodward FI. The growth and yield responses of fragaria ananassa to elevated CO₂ and N supply. Ann Bot. 1998;81: 67–71.
- [174.](#page-7-3) Mochizuki MJ, Daugovish O, Ahumada MH *et al.* Carbon dioxide enrichment may increase yield of field-grown red raspberry under high tunnels. *Hort Technology*. 2010;**20**:213–9.
- [175.](#page-8-1) Sánchez-Guerrero MC, Lorenzo P, Medrano E *et al.* Effects of ECbased irrigation scheduling and CO₂ enrichment on water use efficiency of a greenhouse cucumber crop. *Agric Water Manag*. 2009;**96**:429–36.
- [176.](#page-8-2) Sánchez-Guerrero MC, Lorenzo P, Medrano E *et al.* Effect of variable CO₂ enrichment on greenhouse production in mild winter climates. *Agric For Meteorol*. 2005;**132**:244–52.
- [177.](#page-8-3) Kläring HP, Hauschild C, Heißner A *et al.* Model-based control of $CO₂$ concentration in greenhouses at ambient levels increases cucumber yield. *Agric For Meteorol*. 2007;**143**: 208–16.
- [178.](#page-8-4) Luomala EM, Kaukoranta T. Altered plant structure and greater yield of cucumber grown at elevated $CO₂$ in a semi-closed greenhouse. *Acta Hortic*. 2008;**801**:1339–46.
- [179.](#page-8-5) Peet MM. Acclimation to high CO(2) in Monoecious cucumbers : I. vegetative and reproductive growth. *Plant Physiol*. 1986;**80**: 59–62.
- [180.](#page-12-28) Raines CA. Improving plant productivity byre-tuning the regeneration of RuBP in the Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle. *New Phytol*. 2022;**236**:350–56.