



Research Repository

Impact of the initial COVID-19 response in the UK on speech and language therapy services: a nationwide survey of practice

Katie Chadd, Sophie Chalmers, Kate Harrall, Amelia Heelan, Amit Kulkarni, Sarah Lambert, Kathryn Moyse, Gemma Clunie

Accepted for publication in Journal of Health Organization and Management.

Research Repository link: https://repository.essex.ac.uk/37704/

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the <u>publisher's version</u> if you wish to cite this paper.

www.essex.ac.uk

- ¹ Impact of the initial COVID-19 response in the
- ² UK on speech and language therapy services:
- ³ a nationwide survey of practice.

4 Abstract

Purpose: Globally 'non-urgent' health care services were ceased in response to the 2020
outbreak of COVID-19, until 2021 where restrictions were lifted. In the UK, this included
speech and language therapy services. The implications of COVID-19 restrictions have
not been explored. This study aimed to examine the impact of the UK's COVID-19
response on speech and language therapy services.

Methodology: An online survey of practice of speech and language therapists (SLTs) in
the UK was undertaken. This explored SLTs' perceptions of the demand on their
services at a time where COVID-19 restrictions had been lifted, compared with before
the onset of the pandemic. Analysis was completed using descriptive statistics and
content analysis.

Findings: Respondents were mostly employed by the UK's National Health Service
(NHS) or the private sector. Many participants reported that demands on their service
had increased compared with before the onset of the pandemic. Needing to address
the backlog of cases arising from shutdowns was the main reason for this. Contributing
factors included staffing issues and redeployment. Service users were consequently
waiting longer for NHS therapy. Private therapy providers reported increased demand,
which they directly attributed to these NHS challenges.

- Originality: This presents the only focused account of the impact of COVID-19 on
 speech and language therapy services in the UK. It has identified that services continue
 to face significant challenges and indicates a two-tier system is emerging. Healthcare
- 4 system leaders must work with service managers and clinicians to create solutions and
- 5 prevent the system from being overwhelmed.

6 Key words

7 speech and language therapy, COVID-19, service provision, NHS, redeployment, two-tier

8 Article type:

9 research article

10 Introduction

11 In many countries worldwide, the onset of COVID-19 in late 2019 and early 2020 12 resulted in drastic efforts within healthcare services to manage unprecedented increases in hospital admissions of those acutely ill with the virus at the same time as 13 curtailing the transmission of COVID-19. In many nations, this resulted in the 14 suspension of or restricted access to 'non-essential' or non-urgent services following 15 16 recommendations from the World Health Organisation (WHO) (World Health 17 Organization, 2020), with governmental restrictions imposed, such as lockdowns and 18 social distancing measures.

In the UK, from March 2020, many National Health Service (NHS) functions were
suspended, and clinical staff were then redeployed or upskilled to fulfil urgent clinical
duties ranging from supporting patients in critical care, to serving as hospital porters
(NHS England, 2020). Speech and language therapists (SLTs) in the UK contributed to
this effort and thus services underwent significant change and, in many places,
reduction. Whilst the health of people infected with COVID-19 and those most

vulnerable to infection was prioritised, a negative impact on those requiring *other* health
and care services was inevitable (Topriceanu *et al.*, 2021).

Health and social care services were delivered minimally, alternatively and 3 4 intermittently in response to numerous 'waves' of the virus during this period (British 5 Medical Association, 2023), until when in July 2021, all restrictions on social contact were removed and every sector was re-opened in the UK. Yet by October 2021, the Omicron 6 7 COVID-19 variant began to spread, and whilst businesses were protected, in November, 8 face masks were mandatory for public transport and inside shops (Institute for 9 Government, 2022). Thus, in the UK, the time between July-October 2021 signified the 10 closest to 'normal' times that had been witnessed in a year and a half since the onset of COVID-19. 11

12 This article describes a UK-wide survey of practice of SLT services at this point, 13 approximately 18 months after the initial COVID-19 lockdown in March 2020, at a time 14 where restrictions had been lifted. The study explored the demands placed upon 15 services, the perceived reasons underlying the level of demand and the consequences 16 of such demand. The originality of this paper is enhanced by the authenticity of the 17 clinician voice. The aim of sharing these findings is to support organisational change 18 through signalling a call to action for health system leaders, service managers, clinicians, 19 commissioners, and policymakers to ensure services are fit for purpose and health

20 inequalities and inequities are mitigated.

21 Background

22 The knock-on effects of acute and urgent stages of the pandemic and the health care services' responses to it were vast. The acceleration of telehealth (referring to all types 23 of health service delivered remotely or virtually using information technology) triggered 24 25 by COVID-19, across the globe, was significant (Doraiswamy et al., 2020). In the UK, the NHS swiftly adopted telehealth – referred to as its 'digital first' approach- that had been 26 promised for some time in its long-term plan (NHS, 2019). . Where staff were available 27 28 and not redeployed, many services were indeed changed to telehealth (NHS Digital, 29 2021), enabling some continuity of access. However, implementation and provision of

1 quality services via telehealth is not without its own set of challenges including 2 implementation costs, technology acceptance, and access to equipment (Kalal et al., 3 2022). Allied health professionals share concerns that a mainstream telehealth 4 approach may exacerbate inequalities of access to services for some populations due to 5 digital poverty and/or digital literacy (Eddison et al., 2022) as well as simply being 6 inadequate for certain kinds of health services, for example the need for physical touch 7 during physiotherapy (Ayotunde Aderonmu, 2020). Similar concerns around access and 8 suitability of telehealth have been raised by SLTs in terms of patient safety in relation to 9 dysphagia and risk of choking though this issue is less reported in the literature 10 (Malandraki et al., 2021). Nonetheless, the uptake of telehealth in speech and language 11 therapy inevitably increased during the pandemic in the UK (Charlton et al., 2023; Jayes 12 et al., 2022; Patel et al., 2022; Puttasiddaiah et al., 2023; Southby et al., 2021) and globally (Furlong and Serry, 2023; Gallant et al., 2023; Shahouzaie and Gholamiyan Arefi, 2022). 13

14 Evidence from across the globe suggests that telehealth alone was not sufficient to 15 respond to all (non-COVID-19 related) health care needs during (and after) the initial 16 COVID-19 healthcare response. Studies have shown reductions and delays in patients 17 accessing services ranging from paediatric cardiology and respiratory diseases to 18 maternity health and HIV services (Choubey et al., 2021; Monroe et al., 2022; Sinha et al., 19 2022; Teo et al., 2022; Yamaguchi et al., 2022). Delays to diagnoses have also been 20 evidenced in a wide range of other physical and mental health conditions (Williams et 21 al., 2020) and neurodevelopmental disorders (Spain et al., 2022). Further, people with 22 existing disabilities have also been particularly vulnerable to disrupted care (Schwartz et 23 al., 2021).

The UK was not immune to these challenges, despite early warnings and clear forecasts on the scale of the impending problem (Macdonald *et al.*, 2020). For example, the number of people reporting to emergency services with suspected strokes in the lockdown period was observed to be considerably lower than expected (Padmanabhan *et al.*, 2021) and there have been reductions in urgent cancer referrals and first treatments (Watt *et al.*, 2022). Restricted access to occupational therapy in this period has also been illustrated (Ward, 2020) and there has been a noted decline in

Page 4 of 30

physiotherapy provision (Livingstone *et al.*, 2021). Indeed the non-availability of services
 resulting from the onset of COVID-19 is reported across health and medicine sectors,
 worldwide (Núñez *et al.*, 2021).

4 Earlier work carried out in the acute stages of the pandemic response by the Royal 5 College of Speech and Language Therapists (RCSLT), the professional body for SLTs in 6 the UK, similarly indicated that there was an overall reduction in the provision of speech 7 and language therapy during this time despite an increase in telehealth adoption 8 (Chadd *et al.*, 2021). Other changes in provision that were reported included an increase 9 in advice being provided to others compared with usual practice, which is an example of 10 a therapeutic activity typically performed within 'universal' speech and language therapy 11 models (where SLTs may not directly support an individual but provide expertise to 12 others who will provide supportive environments for communication or swallowing 13 (Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists, 2021a)

14 Evidence from across the globe illustrated a similar picture. For example, in Saudi

15 Arabia, almost three quarters of caregivers partaking in survey reported that services

16 for their children had been entirely suspended (Awaji et al., 2021) and in South Africa,

17 SLTs frequently reported stopping of outpatient services (Adams *et al.*, 2021).

An article published in the *Health Service Journal* – widely read by NHS healthcare leaders
in the UK - contained leaked information on NHS England waiting lists and reported
there was a "backlog of more than 74,300 young people for speech and language
therapy" (Townsend, 2022). As a recent report on the 'State of Care' in England from the
Care Quality Commission (the regulator of health and social care in England) plainly
states: "Our health and care system is in gridlock" highlighting a "tsunami of unmet
need" across sectors (Care Quality Commission, 2022).

25 At the same time, it is vital to acknowledge that patients were not the only group

26 affected by healthcare services' responses to COVID-19. Internationally, healthcare

27 workers and staff became a focus of enquiry, with many studies illustrating a negative

impact of the pandemic on 'front-line' clinicians' wellbeing (Cabarkapa *et al.*, 2020; De

Kock et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2021; Vizheh et al., 2020) which echo that from reports by 1 RCSLT regarding SLTs' wellbeing during the acute stages of the pandemic (Royal College 2 3 of Speech and Language Therapists, 2021b). Yet, in the UK, this was not a novel issue. A 4 year prior to the onset of COVID-19, a Commission on NHS Staff Mental Wellbeing had 5 set out a series of recommendations in response to already identified mental health 6 needs of NHS staff (Health Education England, 2019). Additionally, the NHS staffing 7 issues exacerbated by the pandemic also received public (see example from ITV News, 8 2022) and scholarly attention, with reports highlighting staffing 'crises' arising from 9 absence, resignations and recruitment difficulties (Abuown et al., 2021; lacobucci, 2022; 10 McCay, 2022) though it should be noted that again, these issues existed long before 11 COVID-19; the 2019 NHS Long Term Plan explicitly referred to SLTs being in short 12 supply (NHS, 2019). Thus, staff wellbeing and staffing issues have a legacy of being challenging in the NHS and speech and language therapy more specifically, with both 13 14 likely to be vulnerable to additional strain since the onset of COVID-19.

15 Evidence suggests that the negative consequences of the compromises made in the 16 initial response to COVID-19 are wide-reaching and potentially longstanding, thus, 17 further information and possibly action is required. UK policy has begun to offer some 18 potential solutions for addressing the crisis in both volume and severity of patient 19 needs and staffing: its 'levelling up' agenda provides promises of additional funding and 20 resources into the NHS (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2022), 21 and the 'Build Back Better' report provides a more detailed plan of how this might come 22 to be (Department of Health and Social Care, 2022; UK Government, n.d.). Whilst these 23 key policy papers provide ambitious promises and suggestions for change, there is a 24 significant need for greater evidence and research on what is happening on the ground 25 in services for organisational change efforts to be targeted in the right areas, and with 26 the desired outcomes. Therefore, the aim of the study is to provide an account of the 27 impacts of COVID-19 on speech and language therapy services for the purpose of 28 influencing organisational leaders to make informed and impactful changes that benefit 29 service users and staff.

1 Methods

- 2 The study as described here aligns with the reporting guidelines provided in the
- 3 'Consensus-Based Checklist for Reporting of Survey Studies (CROSS)' (Sharma et al.,
- 4 2021) and the 'Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results
- 5 of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)' (Eysenbach, 2004).

6 Ethical considerations

This study was a survey of practice run by the UK professional body for speech and 7 8 language therapists, which according to the outcome of the UK's Health Research 9 Authority decision-making tool is not considered research, and as such did not require 10 research ethics committee approval (Health Research Authority, 2022). The study 11 proposal and survey were reviewed and approved by the Head of Research at the 12 professional body organisation, and the principles of ethical research were adhered to 13 (Office for Human Research Protections, 2018). Participants were provided with 14 information about the aims of the evaluation and made aware of the use of data for the 15 purposes of research including academic publications. They were also given information 16 regarding their right to withdraw at any time, including their right to request the 17 removal of any given data upon request (as much as this would be possible given the 18 anonymised nature of data collection (ie. by deduction and estimation only). Implicit 19 consent was provided through completion of the survey. No identifiable information 20 was collected, except for respondents optionally providing their email address if they 21 wanted to be contacted about project updates. These were stored in a password 22 protected online account and were not included in the offline dataset used for analysis. 23 Stored email addresses were deleted upon completion of the project.

24 Survey development

The survey formed part of a larger questionnaire designed for RCSLT members which
explored the impact of COVID-19 on the profession and experiences of SLTs receiving
referrals for individuals with long COVID. The second part of the survey is reported

Page 7 of 30

- elsewhere (article in press). For coherence, we only report here on the part exploring
 the impact of COVID-19 on all speech and language therapy services.
- 3

An expert working group of SLT representing a wide range of practice areas and
employment was established to develop the survey. The working group developed and
tested a set of questions iteratively, based on current research evidence, clinical
experience and expertise. Survey content was also supported through consultations
with the RCSLT Covid Advisory Group and RCSLT staff. The questions were built into an
online survey (SurveyMonkey, 2021).

10

Questions were piloted by clinicians and other staff for content and face validity as well as usability, with item reduction taking place as required. The survey comprised nine closed questions. Five gathered information about respondents, with four questions addressing the study aims which could be answered by multiple-select of a list of possible responses <u>(See Appendix for survey questions)</u>. All these questions were also accompanied by a space to provide additional answers which were not considered in the given list.

18 Survey dissemination

19 The survey was disseminated to the RCSLT membership (*n*=17, 689) via numerous e-20 communications, inviting its 15,443 registered, practising SLTs to take part. Due to 21 limitations in the membership reporting system, it was not possible to ascertain the 22 number practising SLTs that had opted into e-communications and therefore the exact 23 number of target recipients is not known. The survey was also disseminated via social 24 media and professional networks. The sampling method used was voluntary response. 25 As we were not testing a set hypothesis or performing inferential statistics a power 26 calculation was not necessary. However, a previous evaluation conducted by the RCSLT 27 on the acute impact of the pandemic received 544 respondents, therefore it was hoped 28 this response rate could be maintained. The survey was open throughout the month of October 2021. 29

1 Data analysis

For quantitative survey data, descriptive statistics were produced using Microsoft Excel.
This involved calculating frequencies and proportions of respondents across various
demographic variables (such as region of the UK, clinical area of work) and number and
proportion of respondents indicating each answer given the survey.

The content analysis method (Krippendorff, 2018) was drawn on to analyse the
qualitative data obtained through the open-ended questions, however, with some
deviations. The research questions were already established prior to data collection,
therefore text responses analysed were in response to specific questions within the
survey. Data were interpreted in the context of the demand on speech and language
therapy services, and all were categorised by the lead researcher and a secondary
analyser.

14

Data were compiled in Excel and the read through by the researchers for 15 16 familiarisation. The lead researcher assigned phrase-level categories to each response 17 and recorded instructions for the second analysers - but withholding the specific 18 categories they generated. The instructions suggested that phrase-level categories be 19 developed deductively based on emergent key concepts in the data that were directly 20 relevant to the question posed, e.g., describing a 'reason' or a 'consequence'. A category 21 for 'irrelevance' was also suggested to capture responses that did not directly refer to 22 the targeted question/topic (for example, if respondents asked professional enquiries, 23 or took the opportunity to raise other research questions). Secondary analysers then 24 independently developed and assigned phrase-level categories to each response. The 25 lead researcher compared categories from both sets of analysis to determine agreement. Where different terminology was used to describe a similar concept, these 26 27 were harmonised. For example, where one analyser had categorised a response as 'long' 28 NHS waiting lists' and another categorised the same thing as 'too long to wait for 29 therapy in the NHS', these were considered as 'agreed' with a category of 'waiting times

1 in the NHS'. Discrepancies in categories were discussed and final categories agreed

2 upon.

3

Frequency of categories was not counted specifically, although the organisation of data
enabled the researchers to identify the more frequent and less frequent categories. The

- 6 categories that were assigned to more sets of data (ie more frequent) are reported as
- 7 key findings.

8 Results

9 Respondents

10 Six hundred and seventy-six SLTs responded to the survey. More than half the respondents were employed by the NHS (56.6%), though respondents did have a range 11 12 of employers, and worked across a breadth of clinical areas. Many respondents worked in multiple clinical areas, though some areas were not well-represented (for example, 13 14 critical care comprised just 1.3% of responses). Responses were received from SLTs 15 around the UK with proportional representation in the devolved nations as well as 16 England (Table 1). The volume of respondents represented approximately 4% of the 17 practising SLT membership at the time. 18

19 [TABLE | HERE]

- 20 Legend: Table I. Respondent information.
- 21 Survey completion

22 Six hundred and thirty three (93.6% of initial participants) answered the first question

- asking: "Thinking about your referrals, current caseloads, wait times and other factors,
- compared with before the pandemic, [what] has the overall demand on your service
- 25 'been]?". Response rates remained high in proportion to eligible respondents for each
- 26 question, depending on survey logic (ranging from 88.1%-97.5%) (Table 2). .
- 27

- 1 [TABLE II HERE]
- 2 Legend: Table II. Survey question response rates.

3 Evaluation of services

4 The findings from each survey question are presented in turn here, first with the

5 breakdown of the quantitative analyses from the multi-select item answers, followed

6 the findings from the qualitative data from the open-text boxes.

7 Overall demand on services

8 In response to the first question ('Thinking about your referrals, current caseloads, wait 9 times and other factors, compared with before the pandemic, has the overall demand 10 on your service...'), the largest group (49.0%) reported the demand placed on their 11 services had increased since the pandemic, with 28.6% indicating they perceived it to 12 have 'at least doubled' (given as a prompt in the multi-select answer options). Few 13 respondents indicated demands had reduced (5.9%) though some suggested it was 14 much the same (14.7%) (Figure 1).

16 [FIGURE 1 HERE]

17 **Legend:** Figure 1. Breakdown of respondents indicating each answer describing the

18 current level of demand on services in comparison to before the COVID-19 pandemic.

19

20 From the qualitative data, the most frequently cited categories describing respondents' 21 answers to this question were 'fluctuating service demand', and 'waiting times in the NHS'. 22 'Fluctuating service demand' often included descriptions of how demand had changed in 23 line with the different stages of the pandemic and related to different kinds of services. 24 For example, SLTs working in acute services (for example, in hospitals) reported that at 25 the initial stages of the pandemic the demand was significant but had reduced more 26 recently. For those in schools, on the other hand, the demand was low initially (many 27 respondents reported schools were shut and that their speech and language therapy 28 service could not resume) but it was these services that were experiencing significantly 29 high demand at this later point.

1

2 Respondents working in the independent sector often highlighted that *'waiting times in*

3 *the NHS*' were having an impact on the demand on their services, where they were

4 receiving greater numbers of referrals from service users wanting to be 'seen sooner'

5 than possible through the NHS.

6 Consequences of an increase in demand

The second question asked: "Thinking about your referrals, current caseloads, wait
times and other factors, compared with before the pandemic, has the overall demand
on your service...". The most frequently reported consequence was 'longer waiting
times' for patients to be seen by services (24.3% of all responses). Other consequences
were common but to a lesser degree, including 'less face-to-face therapy given/ more
remote-therapy given' (14.8%) and 'service redesign' (for example, entire redevelopment
of care pathways or substantially altering a service's offer) (10.2%) (Figure 2).

14

15 [FIGURE 2 HERE]

Legend: Figure 2. Breakdown of respondents indicating each answer describing the
 consequences following an increased demand on services following the initial onset of
 the COVID-19 pandemic.

19

The qualitative data highlighted the impact on staff that an increased in demand had.
Frequently, '*Staff wellbeing*' and '*Staffing related issues*' were highlighted. Respondents
described the increased pressure negatively affected their wellbeing and causing 'staff
burnout'. Several described that they had left their jobs because of these pressures, and
others remarked that many staff were doing a lot of unpaid overtime to attempt to
meet the demands.

26

'Service delivery model changes' were also commonly referred to, which – distinct from
complete redesign - described consequences such as an increase in the use of
'consultative' or 'universal' models, where speech and language therapy activities are
outside of individualised care. Other changes to provision included greater use of

Page 12 of 30

'delegation' to assistants or 'advice only' provision, where SLTs discharge service users
 without providing a programme of targeted therapy.

3 Factors contributing to an increase in demand

4 The final question was asked respondents: What do you understand to be contributing 5 factors to this increased demand?' The most identified factors contributing to increase in 6 demand were 'addressing the backlog' (24.0%) and 'an increase in individuals requiring 7 speech and language therapy due to deterioration/exacerbation of needs during 8 lockdown' (22.7%). Staff-related issues collectively comprised over 40% of responses 9 which included staff sickness related to acute COVID-19 infection (8.1%), long-term 10 sickness related to the pandemic or shielding(7.8%), an increase in vacancies due to 11 staff leaving(11.1%) and difficulty recruiting to vacancies(13.0%) (Figure 3). 12

13 [FIGURE 3 HERE]

Legend: Figure 3. Breakdown of respondents indicating each answer describing the
 factors contributing to an increased demand on services following the initial onset of
 the COVID-19 pandemic.

17

18 The open-text data highlighted again concerns specifically from the independent sector 19 who experienced an increase in demand due to 'private [sector] compensating for 20 public [sector]'. This included greater volumes of referrals from individuals seeking 21 intervention whilst waiting for NHS services, as well as people seeking face-to-face 22 therapy when the NHS had only offered remotely delivered therapy. Another frequent 23 code 'redeployment of SLTs in the NHS' described how reallocating the profession's 24 expertise to roles combating the virus in the acute response had a knock-on effect to 25 their speech and language therapy service capacity and capability at a later point.

26 Discussion

This study has shown that the demand placed upon speech language therapy servicesin the UK since the initial onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has radically changed. The

1 change has been variable throughout the course of the pandemic, but nonetheless, this 2 study highlights that at 18 months post initial response, overall, speech and language 3 therapy services were grappling with an unprecedented high level of demand and were 4 struggling to meet the needs of those who require their support. Whilst some of these 5 issues have been highlighted in policy and the press, this study provides on-the-ground 6 insight, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of where the specific issues lie. Our 7 findings have exposed not just input and output factors relating to waiting lists or the 8 type of service provision but pinpoints some specific forces that are unlikely to be 9 captured through typical service audits, such as staff wellbeing and exacerbation of 10 service-user needs during the lockdown periods. Furthermore, it is important to 11 contextualise these findings in the knowledge that the system was already struggling 12 prior to the pandemic, thus our evaluation suggests that not only have these challenges 13 been exacerbated, but a range of new issues have also made the situation much worse.

14 Therefore, there is a significant need for change. The evidence gathered through this survey of practice suggests areas for intervention to improve the situation. For example, 15 the evidence pertaining to the fluctuation in demand across the period of the pandemic 16 is useful for forward planning for instances where similar severe responses may be 17 18 executed, such as a new pandemic, climate disasters or warfare. In so doing, we can 19 anticipate the knock-on effects on different kinds of services and thus plan for this more 20 effectively. Further research monitoring the ongoing status of speech and language 21 therapy capacity and demand as the nation moves into the endemic stage of COVID-19 22 will be valuable.

23 One clearly identified contributing factor to an imbalance in demand and supply, was 24 the redeployment of SLTs in the acute response. Since the survey took place, the RCSLT 25 published a statement on redeployment explicitly confirming that it "does not support the redeployment of speech and language therapists away from services that are 26 27 already under extreme pressure as they attempt to restore services, reduce waiting lists and meet targets" (Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists, 2021c), and based 28 29 on this evidence, we would encourage health service leaders and managers to heed this 30 advice.

Other substantial solutions are also be required, particularly to address the staffing 1 2 crises, which according to The King's Fund (an independent UK charity) may be the "key 3 limiting factor" on NHS efficiency (The King's Fund, 2022). Recent work by the RCSLT has 4 further signalled a staffing predicament, as a survey revealed the SLT vacancy rate 5 across the UK was 23%, with most SLT respondents specifying that recruitment had 6 worsened since 2020 ((Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists, 2023). Interim 7 or 'stop-gap' measures may be warranted but should not be without sustainable longer-8 term strategies. Notably, our findings relating to reduced staff wellbeing and increased 9 resignations in the NHS suggest that there were reasons intrinsic to the NHS during the 10 COVID-19 response that worsened a pre-existing crises. These findings resonate with 11 evidence of the wider health and social care workforce (House of Commons Health and 12 Social Care Committee, 2021). Collectively, the evidence highlights that while 13 interventions that target workforce supply are valuable, there is an urgency to address 14 other retention factors which may include for example, greater valuing of staff, 15 autonomy, and targeted wage increases (Bimpong et al., 2020). Though the 16 occupational wellbeing of SLTs has been explored previously (Ewen et al., 2021), it is timely to revisit this, given our findings and those from others, to explore how 17 18 wellbeing may link to staffing issues.

19 Interestingly, our study did identify that some services experienced a reduction in or 20 maintenance of their level of demand, following the pandemic. This group comprised a 21 little over 20% of the sample, therefore, warrants exploration. Post-hoc data inspection 22 indicated that respondents indicating as such were working across a range of clinical 23 settings and age groups; some felt they were 'waiting' to address a backlog – thus 24 perhaps could still be defined by being in 'high demand'. Others reported a "levelling 25 off" in the period that the survey was undertaken, following a rapid decline in demand in the acute response, an overwhelming demand in the 6 months or so after, and a 26 27 period of greater stability in the present Other reports identified a similar pattern in the initial COVID-19 response (for example, see Health Foundation, 2020). Additionally, a 28 report from the Royal College of Occupational Therapists (RCOT) indicated that for some 29 30 occupational therapists (OTs), some of the services that had closed in acute response

had simply not restarted (Royal College of Occupational Therapists, 2020). Since OTs 1 2 and SLTs often work closely together, this may indeed be the case for some of our 3 respondents thus presumably the demand may be perceived to have 'decreased'. . 4 Other possible explanations involve a lack of referrals into the services made by others 5 (e.g. Health visitors or GPs), reduced awareness or understanding of speech and 6 language therapy arising from the termination of public health initiatives, barriers to 7 accessing care from the patient perspective – all of which raise concerns. Of course, 8 these services may also simply be well-managed, designed and appropriately 9 resourced. More research from specific types of speech and language therapy services 10 and the effect of COVID-19 may be helpful here, which could unpack nuanced factors 11 contributing to the varied levels of demand. Understanding the precise nature of the 12 services reporting these results would make for highly valuable further research, especially if it highlights solutions that could be implemented in others, or if it signals 13 14 other areas requiring improvement.

15 The evaluation has also highlighted a specific concern around inequity and inequality, where the independent SLT sector appears to be compensating for perceived 16 17 limitations of current NHS provision to a degree. Whilst this is, of course, beneficial for 18 those who can afford SLT services, it is not benign in the risk that this dependence 19 poses for the less advantaged, and the chances of perpetuating health inequality. This 20 resonates with findings from elsewhere in the UK health sector. The Institute for Public 21 Policy Research (IPPR) describe this observed 'trend' of supplementing 'low quality' NHS 22 services following the pandemic with private healthcare as the 'opt-out'. The IPRR warn 23 that, without action, they believe the NHS could turn into a two-tier system (akin to 24 dentistry in the UK, where anything beyond a basic level of care is at a cost to the 25 individual) which has a direct impact on the less privileged (Institute of Public Policy Research, 2022). This may be one aspect contributing to health inequalities created or 26 27 exacerbated by the pandemic, but research also indicates that the disruption to healthcare services alone disproportionately affected - and thus increased inequalities 28 for – the more vulnerable parts of society (Coronini-Cronberg et al., 2020; Maddock et 29 30 al., 2022). Though actioning health inequalities is of strategic importance to the NHS

(NHS, 2019), understanding the currently highly complex interplay between private and
 public sectors must be further explored and understood by those healthcare
 organisations to develop effective ways of mitigating inequalities, including within
 speech and language therapy.

5 A unique finding from this evaluation is that it indicates there may have been an increase in the application of universal speech and language therapy services. 'Universal 6 7 services' are defined variably, but overall describe ways of working to improve the lives 8 of those with speech, language, communication and swallowing needs that are 'outside 9 of individualised care' (Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists, 2021a)This 10 may be through SLTs training others to embed therapy approaches within other 11 contexts (for example, teachers to employ vocabulary enrichment in their lessons) or on 12 even wider scales such as public health initiatives (for example, working on creating a 13 communication inclusive society). Universal services are often considered as beneficial 14 in extending the reach of SLT expertise, which can be particularly valuable for 15 individuals and families who may experiences barriers to accessing services otherwise, 16 underserved by other models or who have unidentified needs. Universal services are 17 often contrasted with 'targeted' or 'specialist' services, which describe more 18 individualised therapy (Law et al., 2013). The evidence-base for universal approaches is 19 limited and not unequivocal, not least due to challenges in their definition and 20 implementation, and in measuring its effects (Ebbels et al., 2019; Gallagher et al., 2022) 21 and the volume of work is extremely small in comparison to that done exploring the 22 effectiveness of 'targeted' and 'specialist' approaches. However, there is some indication 23 that universal interventions are potentially effective (for example, McCartney et al., 24 2015).. However, a common theme in the literature on universal services is that scholars frequently recommend that it should *not be used as a replacement* for direct therapy in 25 stretched services, when a targeted or specialist approach is the most appropriate (Law 26 27 et al. 2013). More research is required that fully explores the benefits of universal 28 services, and how speech and language therapy services can be organised to ensure a balance across these delivery models, particularly in times of austerity and continued 29 30 recovery of services following the initial onset of COVID-19.

Thus, the finding that SLTs were increasingly applying these approaches to manage the 1 demand placed upon their services is potentially not optimal - yet, to a degree, 2 3 understandable as a short-term solution to dealing with current pressures with little 4 option of immediate alternatives. However, this change in approach raises questions in 5 terms of the long-term needs and outcomes for the individuals with a range of needs 6 that may be missing out on individualised therapy, and/or a lack of timely intervention. 7 Whilst greater funding and resource for SLT may be an obvious way to resolve this, 8 given the staffing challenges already described, it is unlikely that this would be sufficient 9 in isolation. Scholars and practitioners advocate for more substantial action for long-10 term improvements in healthcare: a systems approach (Komashie et al., 2021) that 11 includes integrated workforce planning (Anderson *et al.*, 2021) and that is sensitive to 12 local needs and challenges (O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2020). These are all highly relevant for the current context of speech and language therapy services which may benefit from these 13 higher-level interventions. SLTs themselves can consider demand and capacity in their 14 local area to design an evidence-based service – which may provide a different profile of 15 need post-pandemic. Health organisations may therefore also benefit from investing in 16 greater leadership development training, including for SLTs, which would support the 17 evaluation and implementation of new local service structures. Further research to 18 19 establish effective service delivery models, designs, and interventions at all levels in speech and language therapy would be valuable to assist in selecting the optimal 20 changes to implement to improve services. 21

22 Limitations

23 This study was an online survey of practice, therefore the results need to be interpreted 24 with a degree of caution. Online surveys have their own inherent biases (Andrade, 2020) which are likely to be perpetuated by the voluntary / opt-in nature of the survey. Whilst 25 the number of respondents to the survey was quite high, as a proportion of the 26 27 practising speech language therapy profession this was relatively low (roughly 4%). 28 However, some of these respondents were completing the survey on behalf of their 29 team or Trust therefore it is likely that the relative number of speech and language 30 therapy services represented is much higher. In addition to this, the respondents

1 represented a spread of regions across the UK, different types of services, clinical areas 2 supported and service providers, which increases the relevance, and thus confidence, in 3 the interpretation of the results. Understanding the consequences of the COVID-19 4 response on speech and language therapy services from the perspective of 5 stakeholders other than SLTs is valuable, and such information would have provided 6 greater context for interpreting our survey findings. There are some examples of this in 7 the literature, such Southby et al. who examined perspectives of parents/caregivers of 8 children born with cleft palate on speech and language therapy services following 9 COVID-19 (Southby *et al.*, 2021). Their findings resonate with ours, including that parents 10 reported a significant challenge to accessing therapy in the immediate lockdown period, 11 but that there was variation in access across the different waves of the virus. Bringing 12 together different stakeholder perspectives from the same service(s) would be a 13 valuable avenue of inquiry to fulfil this research gap and inform provide a richer and 14 nuanced understanding of the longer lasting impacts.

A further limitation of the work was that there was little to no opportunity in the survey 15 for respondents to report positive impacts of the pandemic response. Other research 16 has identified that SLTs employed changes to practice in the initial response to COVID-17 18 19 that were favourable and that they wish to maintain, for example an increase in use 19 of telehealth and greater contact with service user's families (Morgan et al., 2023). A 20 recent survey of practice of UK SLTs specifically explores the changes in practice around 21 telehealth since COVID-19, and signals a positive shift in SLTs' recognition and 22 acceptance of technology use in practice (Patel et al., 2022). Extending the scope of our 23 survey to capture positive effects way would have strengthened the study, and enriched 24 the contribution through potentially offering new solutions that could be applied in 25 clinical practice. Further inquiry into sustained innovations in health care management and practice would be valuable for services tackling persisting challenges arising from 26 the initial COVID-19 response. 27

Finally, the questions asked in the survey relied on a subjective judgement of current
services compared with pre-pandemic services, which may be vulnerable to biases
arising from recency effects or simply the respondent's current mood or status.

Nonetheless, because the responses were analysed according to frequency or
 commonality, this potential bias is somewhat mitigated as we are looking at the *overall* picture of the *overall* profession.

4 In conclusion, this survey of practice has contributed to the important body of literature 5 emerging about the impact, and potential long-lasting effect, of the COVID-19 response 6 on health services and is the first to specifically expose the experiences of SLTs in the 7 UK within this timeframe. It has highlighted some key considerations for the planning 8 of services especially in the ongoing recovery period from the pandemic which include 9 interventions for staff retention and creating a positive culture and a systems level 10 approach to improvement, with careful workforce planning and local service redesign 11 informed by the evidence-base and delivered by skilled leaders. The findings also draw 12 some important attention to the increase in people accessing the private speech and 13 language therapy sector, effectively operating as a two-tier health system, which has important implications for health inequity. It is hoped that the lessons learnt through 14 this study can be used to support decision-making by policy makers and those 15 16 responsible for the organisation of healthcare services.

17 References

18	Abuown, A., Taube, C. and Koizia, L.J. (2021), "Impact of COVID-19 second wave on
19	healthcare worker staffing levels", Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology,
20	Cambridge University Press, Vol. 42 No. 6, pp. 787–787, doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.353.
21	Adams, S.N., Seedat, J., Coutts, K. and Kater, KA. (2021), "We are in this together' voices
22	of speech-language pathologists working in South African healthcare contexts during
23	level 4 and level 5 lockdown of COVID-19", South African Journal of Communication
24	Disorders, AOSIS Publishing, Vol. 68 No. 1, pp. 1–12, doi: 10.4102/sajcd.v68i1.792.
25	Anderson, M., O'Neill, C., Macleod Clark, J., Street, A., Woods, M., Johnston-Webber, C.,
26	Charlesworth, A., et al. (2021), "Securing a sustainable and fit-for-purpose UK health

- 1 and care workforce", *The Lancet*, Vol. 397 No. 10288, pp. 1992–2011, doi:
- 2 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00231-2.
- 3 Andrade, C. (2020), "The Limitations of Online Surveys", Indian Journal of Psychological 4 Medicine, Vol. 42 No. 6, pp. 575–576, doi: 10.1177/0253717620957496. 5 Awaji, N.N.A., Almudaiheem, A.A. and Mortada, E.M. (2021), "Assessment of caregivers' 6 perspectives regarding speech-language services in Saudi Arabia during COVID-19", 7 PLOS ONE, Public Library of Science, Vol. 16 No. 6, p. e0253441, doi: 8 10.1371/journal.pone.0253441. 9 Ayotunde Aderonmu, J. (2020), "Emerging challenges in meeting physiotherapy needs 10 during COVID-19 through telerehabilitation", Bulletin of Faculty of Physical Therapy. 11 Bimpong, K.A.A., Khan, A., Slight, R., Tolley, C.L. and Slight, S.P. (2020), "Relationship 12 between labour force satisfaction, wages and retention within the UK National Health 13 Service: a systematic review of the literature", BMJ Open, Vol. 10 No. 7, p. e034919, 14 doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034919. British Medical Association. (2023), "COVID-19: Impact of the pandemic on healthcare 15 16 delivery", The British Medical Association Is the Trade Union and Professional Body 17 for Doctors in the UK., 2 October, available at: https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-18 support/covid-19/what-the-bma-is-doing/covid-19-impact-of-the-pandemic-on-19 healthcare-delivery (accessed 12 December 2023). Cabarkapa, S., Nadjidai, S.E., Murgier, J. and Ng, C.H. (2020), "The psychological impact of 20 21 COVID-19 and other viral epidemics on frontline healthcare workers and ways to address it: A rapid systematic review", Brain, Behavior, & Immunity - Health, Vol. 8, 22 23 p. 100144, doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100144. 24 Care Quality Commission. (2022), Gridlocked Care. 25 Chadd, K., Moyse, K. and Enderby, P. (2021), "Impact of COVID-19 on the Speech and 26 Language Therapy Profession and Their Patients", Frontiers in Neurology. Charlton, J., Gréaux, M., Kulkarni, A., Dornstauder, M. and Law, J. (2023), "UK paediatric 27
- 28 speech and language therapists' perceptions on the use of telehealth in current and
 - Page 21 of 30

1 future clinical practice: An application of the APEASE criteria", International Journal 2 of Language & Communication Disorders, Vol. n/a No. n/a, doi: 10.1111/1460-3 6984.12988. Choubey, M., Ramakrishnan, S., Sachdeva, S., Mani, K., Gangopadhyay, D., Sivakumar, K., 4 5 Kappanayil, M., et al. (2021), "Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on pediatric cardiac 6 services in India", Annals of Pediatric Cardiology, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 260-268, doi: 7 10.4103/apc.apc 133 21. 8 Coronini-Cronberg, S., Maile, E.J. and Majeed, A. (2020), "Health inequalities: the hidden 9 cost of COVID-19 in NHS hospital trusts?", Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 10 SAGE Publications, Vol. 113 No. 5, pp. 179–184, doi: 10.1177/0141076820925230. 11 De Kock, J.H., Latham, H.A., Leslie, S.J., Grindle, M., Munoz, S.-A., Ellis, L., Polson, R., et 12 al. (2021), "A rapid review of the impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of 13 healthcare workers: implications for supporting psychological well-being", BMC 14 Public Health, Vol. 21 No. 1, p. 104, doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-10070-3. Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities. (2022), "Levelling Up the United 15 16 Kingdom: Executive Summary". 17 Department of Health and Social Care. (2022), "Build Back Better: Our Plan for Health and 18 Social Care", GOV.UK, available at: 19 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/build-back-better-our-plan-for-health-20 and-social-care/build-back-better-our-plan-for-health-and-social-care (accessed 29 21 July 2022). 22 Doraiswamy, S., Abraham, A., Mamtani, R. and Cheema, S. (2020), "Use of Telehealth 23 During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Scoping Review", Journal of Medical Internet 24 Research, Vol. 22 No. 12, p. e24087, doi: 10.2196/24087. 25 Ebbels, S.H., McCartney, E., Slonims, V., Dockrell, J.E. and Norbury, C.F. (2019), 26 "Evidence-based pathways to intervention for children with language disorders", International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, Vol. 54 No. 1, pp. 3-27 28 19, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12387.

Page 22 of 30

1	Eddison, N., Leone, E., Healy, A., Royse, C. and Chockalingam, N. (2022), "The potential
2	impact of allied health professional telehealth consultations on health inequities and
3	the burden of treatment", International Journal for Equity in Health, Vol. 21 No. 1, p.
4	91, doi: 10.1186/s12939-022-01689-2.
5	Ewen, C., Jenkins, H., Jackson, C., Jutley-Neilson, J. and Galvin, J. (2021), "Well-being, job
6	satisfaction, stress and burnout in speech-language pathologists: A review",
7	International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 23 No.
8	2, pp. 180–190, doi: 10.1080/17549507.2020.1758210.
9	Eysenbach, G. (2004), "Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting
10	Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)", Journal of Medical Internet Research,
11	Vol. 6 No. 3, p. e34, doi: 10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34.
12	Furlong, L.M. and Serry, T.A. (2023), "An exploratory study of speech-language pathologists"
13	clinical practice in the literacy domain: Comparing onsite practices with telepractice
14	services during COVID-19", International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology,
15	Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 206–218, doi: 10.1080/17549507.2022.2030410.
16	Gallagher, A., Murphy, CA., Fitzgerald, J. and Law, J. (2022), "Addressing implementation
17	considerations when developing universal interventions for speech, language and
18	communication needs in the ordinary classroom: a protocol for a scoping review",
19	HRB Open Research, 31 January, doi: 10.12688/hrbopenres.13249.3.
20	Gallant, A., Watermeyer, J. and Sawasawa, C. (2023), "Experiences of South African
21	speech-language therapists providing telepractice during the COVID-19 pandemic: A
22	qualitative survey", International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders,
23	Vol. 58 No. 5, pp. 1468–1480, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12872.
24	Health Education England. (2019), "Mental Wellbeing Commission Report", available at:
25	https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/NHS%20%28HEE%29%20-
26	%20Mental%20Wellbeing%20Commission%20Report.pdf (accessed 3 November
27	2022).

 accelerated by COVID-19", available at: https://www.health.org.uk/publications/long reads/understanding-and-sustaining-the-health-care-service-shifts-accelerated-by- COVID-19 (accessed 10 November 2022). Health Research Authority. (2022), <i>Is My Study Research?</i> House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. (2021), "Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. Iacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 reads/understanding-and-sustaining-the-health-care-service-shifts-accelerated-by- COVID-19 (accessed 10 November 2022). Health Research Authority. (2022), <i>Is My Study Research</i>? House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. (2021), "Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. Iacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'', available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 4 COVID-19 (accessed 10 November 2022). 5 Health Research Authority. (2022), <i>Is My Study Research</i>? 6 House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. (2021), "Workforce burnout and 7 resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. 8 lacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London 9 hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. 10 Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and 11 restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: 12 https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- 13 lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). 14 Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. 15 ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce 10 crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- 11 understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). 13 Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication 19 disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic 20 on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> 21 <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 22 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. 23 Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 Health Research Authority. (2022), <i>Is My Study Research?</i> House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. (2021), "Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. Iacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. (2021), "Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. Iacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 resilience in the NHS and social care: Second Report of Session 2021–22", p. 66. lacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 lacobucci, G. (2022), "Covid-19: Military drafted in to tackle staffing crisis in London hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. 047, doi: 10.1136/bmj.047. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 hospitals", <i>BMJ</i>, p. o47, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o47. Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 Institute for Government. (2022), "Timeline of UK government coronavirus lockdowns and restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 restrictions", <i>Institute for Government</i>, 9 December, available at: https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/data-visualisation/timeline-coronavirus- lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'', available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 lockdowns (accessed 12 December 2023). Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs-understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 Institute of Public Policy Research. (2022), <i>The State of Health and Care 2022</i>. ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs-understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 ITV News. (2022), "NHS England short of tens of thousands of staff in 'greatest workforce crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 crisis in its history'", available at: https://www.itv.com/news/2022-07-24/nhs- understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 understaffing-poses-serious-risk-to-patient-safety (accessed 29 July 2022). Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 Jayes, M., Borrett, S. and Bose, A. (2022), "Mental capacity legislation and communication disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 disability: A cross-sectional survey exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 on the provision of specialist decision-making support by UK SLTs", <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Language & Communication Disorders</i>, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 172–181, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
 10.1111/1460-6984.12685. Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
Kalal, N., Vel, Ns., Mundel, S., Daiyya, S., Dhayal, S., Bishnoi, S., Asiwal, S., <i>et al.</i> (2022),
"Effectiveness and barriers of teleboolth convises during COVID 10 pendemic: A
24 Enectiveness and pamers of telefieatilit services during COVID-19 pandemic: A
 24 Enectiveness and barriers of teleflearth services during COVID-19 pandemic: A 25 narrative review", <i>Indian Journal of Medical Specialities</i>, Vol. 13 No. 1, p. 4, doi:
 24 Enectiveness and barriers of teleflearth services during COVID-19 pandemic: A 25 narrative review", <i>Indian Journal of Medical Specialities</i>, Vol. 13 No. 1, p. 4, doi: 26 10.4103/injms.injms_62_21.
 24 Inectiveness and barriers of telefieatil services during COVID-19 pandemic: A 25 narrative review", <i>Indian Journal of Medical Specialities</i>, Vol. 13 No. 1, p. 4, doi: 26 10.4103/injms.injms_62_21. 27 Komashie, A., Ward, J., Bashford, T., Dickerson, T., Kaya, G.K., Liu, Y., Kuhn, I., <i>et al.</i>
"Effectiveness and barriers of teleboolth convises during COVID 10 pendemics

Page **24** of **30**

1	systematic review and meta-analysis", BMJ Open, British Medical Journal Publishing
2	Group, Vol. 11 No. 1, p. e037667, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037667.
3	Krippendorff, K. (2018), Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology, SAGE
4	Publications.
5	Law, J., Reilly, S. and Snow, P.C. (2013), "Child speech, language and communication need
6	re-examined in a public health context: a new direction for the speech and language
7	therapy profession", International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders,
8	Vol. 48 No. 5, pp. 486–496, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12027.
9	Livingstone, F., Wagstaff, R., Rauf, F., Sullivan, A., Gardiner, L. and Colclough, R. (2021),
10	"P108 Annual physiotherapy reviews in a specialist respiratory clinic for
11	bronchiectasis: the impact of COVID-19 on an already strained workforce", Thorax,
12	BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, Vol. 76 No. Suppl 2, pp. A125–A126, doi: 10.1136/thorax-
13	2021-BTSabstracts.217.
14	Macdonald, N., Clements, C., Sobti, A., Rossiter, D., Unnithan, A. and Bosanquet, N. (2020),
15	"Tackling the elective case backlog generated by Covid-19: the scale of the problem
16	and solutions", J Public Health (Oxf), doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdaa155.
17	Maddock, J., Parsons, S., Gessa, G.D., Green, M.J., Thompson, E.J., Stevenson, A.J.,
18	Kwong, A.S., et al. (2022), "Inequalities in healthcare disruptions during the COVID-
19	19 pandemic: evidence from 12 UK population-based longitudinal studies", BMJ
20	Open, British Medical Journal Publishing Group, Vol. 12 No. 10, p. e064981, doi:
21	10.1136/bmjopen-2022-064981.
22	Malandraki, G.A., Arkenberg, R.H., Mitchell, S.S. and Malandraki, J.B. (2021), "Telehealth
23	for Dysphagia Across the Life Span: Using Contemporary Evidence and Expertise to
24	Guide Clinical Practice During and After COVID-19", American Journal of Speech-
25	Language Pathology, American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, Vol. 30 No.
26	2, pp. 532–550, doi: 10.1044/2020_AJSLP-20-00252.
27	McCartney, E., Boyle, J. and Ellis, S. (2015), "Developing a universal reading
28	comprehension intervention for mainstream primary schools within areas of social

Page **25** of **30**

1	deprivation for children with and without language-learning impairment: a feasibility
2	study", International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, Vol. 50 No. 1,
3	pp. 129–135, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12124.
4	McCay, L. (2022), "Omicron: Urgent action needed on NHS staffing crisis", BMJ, British
5	Medical Journal Publishing Group, Vol. 376, p. o18, doi: 10.1136/bmj.o18.
6	Monroe, A.K., Xiao, J., Greenberg, A.E., Levy, M.E., Temprosa, M., Resnik, J.B., Castel,
7	A.D., et al. (2022), "Risk of Severe COVID-19 Disease and the Pandemic's Impact on
8	Service Utilization Among a Longitudinal Cohort of Persons with HIV-Washington,
9	DC", AIDS and Behavior, doi: 10.1007/s10461-022-03662-0.
10	Morgan, S., Weir, K.A., Mulligan, K., Jacobs, S. and Hilari, K. (2023), "Impact of COVID-19
11	on clinical practice of UK-based speech and language therapists working with school-
12	aged children with neurodisability and oropharyngeal dysphagia: A survey", Child:
13	Care, Health and Development, Vol. n/a No. n/a, doi: 10.1111/cch.13159.
14	NHS. (2019), "The NHS Long Term Plan", NHS Long Term Plan, available at:
15	https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/publication/nhs-long-term-plan/ (accessed 3
16	November 2022).
17	NHS Digital. (2021), "Enabling remote and collaborative care", available at:
18	https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/nhs-digital-coronavirus-programme-
19	updates/programme-updates-20-july-2021/enabling-remote-and-collaborative-
20	care#document-content (accessed 9 June 2022).
21	NHS England. (2020), "Making the most of the skills in our teams", available at:
22	https://www.england.nhs.uk/ournhspeople/online-version/new-ways-of-working-and-
23	delivering-care/making-the-most-of-the-skills-in-our-teams/ (accessed 26 July 2022).
24	Núñez, A., Sreeganga, S.D. and Ramaprasad, A. (2021), "Access to Healthcare during
25	COVID-19", International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,
26	Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Vol. 18 No. 6, p. 2980, doi:
27	10.3390/ijerph18062980.
28	Office for Human Research Protections. (2018), Belmont Report, Text.

Page **26** of **30**

1	O'Sullivan, O.P., Chang, N.H., Baker, P. and Shah, A. (2020), "Quality improvement at East
2	London NHS Foundation Trust: the pathway to embedding lasting change",
3	International Journal of Health Governance, Emerald Publishing Limited, Vol. 26 No.
4	1, pp. 65–72, doi: 10.1108/IJHG-07-2020-0085.
5	Padmanabhan, N., Natarajan, I., Gunston, R., Raseta, M. and Roffe, C. (2021), "Impact of
6	COVID-19 on stroke admissions, treatments, and outcomes at a comprehensive
7	stroke centre in the United Kingdom", doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04775-
8	х.
9	Patel, R., Loraine, E. and Gréaux, M. (2022), "Impact of COVID-19 on digital practice in UK
10	paediatric speech and language therapy and implications for the future: A national
11	survey", International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, Vol. 57 No.
12	5, pp. 1112–1129, doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12750.
13	Puttasiddaiah, P., Morris, S., Teasdale, A., McCord, J. and Pope, L. (2023), "The impact of
14	COVID-19 on head and neck cancer patients: A review of speech valve
15	complications and patient experience during the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK",
16	International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, pp. 1–6, doi:
17	10.1080/17549507.2023.2238925.
18	Royal College of Occupational Therapists. (2020), The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic
19	on Occupational Therapy in the United Kingdom: Survey Report.
20	Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. (2021a), "Measuring outcomes outside
21	individualised care", RCSLT, available at: https://www.rcslt.org/members/delivering-
22	quality-services/outcome-measurement/outside-individualised-care/ (accessed 3
23	November 2022).
24	Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. (2021b), "Member wellbeing: Trends
25	throughout the pandemic".
26	Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. (2021c), Redeployment of Staff and
27	Impact on Outcomes for Service Users in Future COVID-19 Surges, RCSLT.

1	Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. (2023), "Vacancy rates reach 23% in
2	speech and language therapy", RCSLT, 5 April, available at:
3	https://www.rcslt.org/news/vacancy-rates-reach-23-in-speech-and-language-therapy/
4	(accessed 15 December 2023).
5	Schwartz, A.E., Munsell, E.G.S., Schmidt, E.K., Colón-Semenza, C., Carolan, K. and
6	Gassner, D.L. (2021), "Impact of COVID-19 on services for people with disabilities
7	and chronic health conditions", <i>Disability and Health Journal</i> , Vol. 14 No. 3, p.
8	101090, doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2021.101090.
9	Shahouzaie, N. and Gholamiyan Arefi, M. (2022), "Telehealth in speech and language
10	therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review", Disability and
11	Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 0 No. 0, pp. 1–8, doi:
12	10.1080/17483107.2022.2122605.
13	Sharma, A., Minh Duc, N.T., Luu Lam Thang, T., Nam, N.H., Ng, S.J., Abbas, K.S., Huy,
14	N.T., et al. (2021), "A Consensus-Based Checklist for Reporting of Survey Studies
15	(CROSS)", Journal of General Internal Medicine, Vol. 36 No. 10, pp. 3179–3187, doi:
16	10.1007/s11606-021-06737-1.
17	Singh, R.K., Bajpai, R. and Kaswan, P. (2021), "COVID-19 pandemic and psychological
18	wellbeing among health care workers and general population: A systematic-review
19	and meta-analysis of the current evidence from India", Clinical Epidemiology and
20	<i>Global Health</i> , Vol. 11, p. 100737, doi: 10.1016/j.cegh.2021.100737.
21	Sinha, B., Dudeja, N., Mazumder, S., Kumar, T., Adhikary, P., Roy, N., Rongsen Chandola,
22	T., et al. (2022), "Estimating the Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic Related Lockdown
23	on Utilization of Maternal and Perinatal Health Services in an Urban Neighborhood in
24	Delhi, India", Frontiers in Global Women's Health, Vol. 3.
25	Southby, L., Harding, S., Davies, A., Fell, M. and Wren, Y. (2021), "Speech-Language
26	Pathology Provision During the COVID-19 Pandemic for Children Born With Cleft
27	Palate in the United Kingdom—Parent/Caregiver Perspectives and Experiences",
28	Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups, American Speech-Language-
	Page 28 of 30

- Hearing Association, Vol. 6 No. 6, pp. 1809–1819, doi: 10.1044/2021_PERSP-21 00131.
- 3 Spain, D., Stewart, G.R., Mason, D., Robinson, J., Capp, S.J., Gillan, N., Ensum, I., et al. 4 (2022), "Autism Diagnostic Assessments With Children, Adolescents, and Adults 5 Prior to and During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Survey of 6 Professionals". Frontiers in Psychiatry, Vol. 13, p. 789449, doi: 7 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.789449. 8 Teo, Z.H.T., Huey, C.W.T., Low, J.K., Junnarkar, S.P. and Shelat, V.G. (2022), "The Impact 9 of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Services in 10 Singapore: Retrospective Quantitative Study", JMIR Perioperative Medicine, Vol. 5 11 No. 1, p. e29045, doi: 10.2196/29045. 12 The King's Fund. (2022), "Maintaining essential health services: operational guidance for the 13 COVID-19 context, interim guidance, 1 June 2020", available at: 14 https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoVessential health services-2020.2 (accessed 29 July 2022). 15 16 Topriceanu, C.-C., Wong, A., Moon, J.C., Hughes, A.D., Bann, D., Chaturvedi, N., Patalay, 17 P., et al. (2021), "Evaluating access to health and care services during lockdown by the COVID-19 survey in five UK national longitudinal studies", BMJ Open, Vol. 11 No. 18 19 3, p. e045813, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045813. 20 Townsend, E. (2022), "NHSE leak reveals 1m patients on hidden waiting list", Health Service 21 Journal, available at: https://www.hsj.co.uk/community-services/exclusive-nhse-leak-22 reveals-1m-patients-on-hidden-waiting-list/7032896.article (accessed 15 August 23 2022). 24 UK Government. (n.d.). "Build Back Better: Our Plan for Health and Social Care", GOV.UK, 25 available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/build-back-better-our-plan-26 for-health-and-social-care/build-back-better-our-plan-for-health-and-social-care 27 (accessed 29 July 2022).

1	Vizheh, M., Qorbani, M., Arzaghi, S.M., Muhidin, S., Javanmard, Z. and Esmaeili, M. (2020),
2	"The mental health of healthcare workers in the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic
3	review", Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 1967–1978,
4	doi: 10.1007/s40200-020-00643-9.
5	Ward, D.G. (2020), "The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on occupational therapy in the
6	United Kingdom", p. 39.
7	Watt, T., Sullivan, R. and Aggarwal, A. (2022), "Primary care and cancer: an analysis of the
8	impact and inequalities of the COVID-19 pandemic on patient pathways", BMJ Open,
9	British Medical Journal Publishing Group, Vol. 12 No. 3, p. e059374, doi:
10	10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059374.
11	Williams, R., Jenkins, D.A., Ashcroft, D.M., Brown, B., Campbell, S., Carr, M.J., Cheraghi-
12	Sohi, S., et al. (2020), "Diagnosis of physical and mental health conditions in primary
13	care during the COVID-19 pandemic: a retrospective cohort study", Lancet Public
14	<i>Health</i> , doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30201-2.
15	World Health Organization. (2020), "Maintaining essential health services: operational
16	guidance for the COVID-19 context, interim guidance, 1 June 2020", available at:
17	https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-
18	essential_health_services-2020.2 (accessed 29 July 2022).
19	Yamaguchi, S., Okada, A., Sunaga, S., Ikeda Kurakawa, K., Yamauchi, T., Nangaku, M. and
20	Kadowaki, T. (2022), "Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare service use for
21	non-COVID-19 patients in Japan: retrospective cohort study", BMJ Open, Vol. 12 No.
22	4, p. e060390, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060390.
23	
24	