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#### Abstract

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a degenerative disease of the retina often leading to legal blindness. It is commonly the result of mutations in rhodopsin; a GPCR which initiates the visual signalling cascade. Characterisation of the large volume of such mutations is necessary due to their varied phenotypic outcomes. However, characterisation is often impeded by difficulties and time required to generate sufficient amounts of mutant rhodopsin. Here the PiggyBac transposon system, a rapid and facile method was used to overcome these limitations thus enabling the construction of several HEK293S inducible stable cell lines in under a month. Here, two groups of rhodopsin RP mutants were investigated. Group one comprised enigmatic mutants E122G, R252P, S298D, K311E. E122G had a $\lambda_{\max }$ shifted to 481 nm and Meta II half-life twice WTs. S298D exhibited abnormal photobleaching and a Meta II half-life 4 x shorter than WT. R252P and K311E remained enigmatic with photobleaching, Meta II decay and transducin activation unchanged. Group two comprised intradiscal domain mutants: P23A (N-terminus), G101V, V104F and G106W (all EL1). Each of the EL1 mutants were shown to have faster Meta II decay rates (5.96, 10.06, 9.97 mins respectively) than WT (17.68 mins). They also exhibited decreased transducin activation (35\%, 64\% and 18\% relative to WT) and abnormal photobleaching was shown for G101V and V104F. Temperaturesensitivity was demonstrated for P23A, G101V and G106W with time-dependent restricted expression at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which improved at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Overall, these results have showed the utility of the PiggyBac system for the expression and characterisation of rhodopsin mutants, particularly in high level expression for structural studies. Milligram amounts of E122G, E122Q and Y206F were purified for the collection of NMR data. This system will be valuable for future studies of rhodopsin RP mutants for which structural and functional information is needed for future clinical intervention in RP.
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## 1. Literature Review

### 1.1 G Protein Coupled Receptors

### 1.1.1 G Protein Coupled Receptor types

G Protein Coupled Receptors (GPCRs) are responsible for many processes both in health and disease, and are key targets in drug discovery (Costanzi et al., 2009; Basith et al., 2018). Stimulated through extracellular chemical or physical changes, GPCRs, which are found on the plasma membrane, recognise and begin the signalling response (Costanzi et al., 2009).

Forming a large superfamily GPCRs have been categorised into six classes: rhodopsin like, secretin family, metabotropic glutamate receptors, fungal mating pheromone receptors, cAMP receptors, frizzled (FZD) and smoothened (SMO) receptors (Basith et al., 2018).

Rhodopsin, the name sake of class A GPCRs, can be described as the prototypical GPCR (Costanzi et al., 2009; Basith et al., 2018). From its discovery in the late $19^{\text {th }}$ Century to the elucidation of its structure forming a basis for the study of other GPCRs, it is a key receptor for understanding the structure/ function relationship in GPCRs (Costanzi et al., 2009).

### 1.1.2 Opsins

There are seven categories into which opsins can be divided. Rhodopsin (OPN2) is the rod cell photoreceptor, responsible for dim-light vision. Cone opsins are those which are responsible for colour vision: OPN1SW or blue cone photopigment, OPN1MW or green cone photopigment and OPN1LW or red cone photopigment. A further three opsins which are not involved in image producing responses are OPN3 (encephalopsin), OPN4 (melanopsin) and OPN5 (neuropsin) (Provencio et al., 1998; Blackshaw and Snyder, 1999; Tarttelin et al., 2003).

Table 1.1 Opsins

| Opsin | Name | Chromosome |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| OPN1SW | blue cone photopigment | 7 |
| OPN1MW | green cone photopigment | X |
| OPN1LW | red cone photopigment | X |
| OPN2 | rhodopsin | 3 |
| OPN3 | encephalopsin | 1 |
| OPN4 | melanopsin | 10 |
| OPN5 | neuropsin | 6 |

### 1.2 Anatomy of the retina

### 1.2.1 The retina

The retina, found in the posterior section of the eye, is made up of multiple layers of cells (Hoon et al., 2014; Dias et al., 2018). Beginning from where light strikes the retina, the layers are: the ganglion cell layer, the inner plexiform layer and the inner nuclear layer (Hoon et al., 2014). Following this are: the outer plexiform layer and the outer nuclear layer, the photoreceptor layer and the retinal pigment epithelium (Hoon et al., 2014; Dias et al., 2018). The photoreceptor layer is made up of the inner and outer segments of the rod and cone photoreceptor cells (Dias et al., 2018).

### 1.2.2 Rod cells

Rod and cone cells are responsible for light reception in the retina; with cones being wavelength specific for colour vision in the central retina and rods in the peripheral retina for vision in dim light conditions (Hoon et al., 2014; Dias et al., 2018). Rod cells can be divided into the inner segment and the outer segment as (Figure 1.1); with the inner segment containing the nucleus and other organelles (Park, 2014). The outer segment is comprised of discs, the membranes of which contain proteins for phototransduction, such as rhodopsin and transducin (Park, 2014; Dias et al., 2018). Rhodopsin accounts for the large majority of the protein found in the outer segment of the rod cells and is the protein responsible for initiating the light transduction pathway (Ferrari et al., 2011).


Figure 1.1 The structure of a rod cell and the retina. A: Rod cell showing the inner and outer segments, discs, connecting cilium, nucleus and synapse. B: Layers of the retina. Choriod, Retinal Pigment Epithelium, Photoreceptors, Outer plexiform layer, inner nuclear layer, inner plexiform layer and ganglion cell layer. Created with BioRender.com.

### 1.3 Rhodopsin Protein

### 1.3.1 Structure of rhodopsin

The rhodopsin protein (shown as a rainbow ribbon in Figure 1.2) is a highly conserved GPCR of 384 amino acids (Ferrari et al., 2011). It has seven transmembrane helices, N terminal cap, and a C terminal region which contains an eighth helix. Intracellular and extracellular loops link the helices. Rhodopsin is found within the rods outer segment, in the disc and plasma membranes (Ferrari et al., 2011).

11-cis-retinal is the rhodopsin ligand and is a derivative of vitamin A (Daruwalla et al., 2018). It binds within the transmembrane segment of rhodopsin (Ferrari et al., 2011). 11-cis-retinal is covalently bound to the rhodopsin protein by a Schiff base bond (Figure 1.2, black sticks) (Meng and Bourne, 2001; Ferrari et al., 2011). It is attached to lysine 296, forming what is known as the rhodopsin pigment (Khorana, 1993).

Studies of the rhodopsin crystal structure have shown the importance of the extracellular region (with high organisation and conservation of a disulphide bridge) and 11-cis-retinal which has a key role in the maintenance of the transmembrane helix arrangement (Palczewski et al., 2000). However, mutations found throughout the rhodopsin polypeptide sequence can be detrimental rod cells and eventually to the entire retina due to its fundamental function as the receptor at which vision is initiated (Nathans, 1992).

Research here will use bovine rhodopsin to study mutations which occur in retinitis pigmentosa. While there is high sequence similarity between bovine and human rhodopsin, subtle differences might need to be considered when using bovine rhodopsin as a model for human retinitis pigmentosa mutants (Kazmin et al., 2015).


Figure 1.2 The structure of the rhodopsin pigment. The rhodopsin protein is shown as a ribbon and the ligand 11-cis-retinal is shown as black sticks. Helices are coloured as followed: TM1 - dark blue, TM2 - cyan, TM3 - teal, TM4 - green, TM5 - yellow, TM6 - orange, TM7 - dark orange and helix 8 - red. Produced using PyMOL (PDB1U19).

### 1.3.2 Light transduction

Rod and cone photoreceptor cells are found in the outer retina of the mammalian eye where the eyes lens focusses light, and these cells are where photons are captured (Ferrari et al., 2011; Athanasiou et al., 2018). In vision, the function of the highly conserved visual pigment rhodopsin is to receive photons, and trigger the signalling cascade allowing the brain to form the image (Ferrari et al., 2011). The formation of the visual pigment rhodopsin requires rod opsin to bind with its ligand 11-cis-retinal, through the formation of a Schiff base bond at lysine 296 (Wald, 1968; Opefi et al., 2013). Rhodopsin has a peak absorbance ( $\lambda$ max) at 500 nm (Wald, 1968). The intradiscal loop 2 acts as a cover to the retinal binding pocket of rhodopsin, through the ionic link formed between Arg 177 and Asp 190 which are necessary for the dark state stability and folding of opsin (Janz et al., 2003).

The rhodopsin ligand undergoes isomerisation from 11-cis-retinal to all-trans-retinal upon absorption of a photon, causing a sequence of structural changes resulting in rhodopsin transmembrane helices three and six parting (Meng and Bourne, 2001; Ferrari et al., 2011). The isomerisation of 11-cis-retinal was shown through the study of rhodopsin's crystal structure in both its inactive and photoactive forms and HPLC showed the inactive rhodopsin to contain only 11-cis-retinal and the active to contain its isomers (Salom et al., 2006). This isomerisation event causes rhodopsin to transition through a series of metastable intermediates eventually arriving at metarhodopsin II form. It is the Meta II intermediate that interacts with transducin (the G protein associated with rhodopsin) thus activating it and initiating a second messenger pathway (Figure 1.3) (Ferrari et al., 2011; Park, 2014). Rhodopsin kinase phosphorylates the metarhodopsin II, leading to arrestin binding. This step leads to its inactivation and the all-trans-retinal is released from the binding pocket following its
hydrolysis (Park, 2014). The metarhodopsin II transitions back to rhodopsin and can rebind 11-cis-retinal to begin the cycle again (Park, 2014).

The transition of rhodopsin through its intermediates occurs upon this isomerisation of 11-cis-retinal (Figure 1.3) (Wald, 1968; Shichida and Morizumi, 2006)(Wald, 1968; Shichida and Morizumi, 2006). From its excited state, rhodopsin transitions through the forms of photo-, batho-, BL-, and Lumi- rhodopsin, before transitioning into the metarhodopsin I (Meta I) conformation (Figure 1.3) (Wald, 1968; Shichida and Morizumi, 2006). Upon reaching the Meta I conformation, it interacts with the G-protein transducin (Shichida and Morizumi, 2006).

An equilibrium shift towards Metarhodopsin II (Meta II) occurs when transducin interacts with Meta I (Hofmann, 1999; Shichida and Morizumi, 2006). Metarhodopsin II (Meta II) is the active conformation of rhodopsin which binds transducin leading to the exchange of GDP for GTP (Figure 1.3) (Shichida and Morizumi, 2006).

This leads to a calcium signalling pathway (Mendes et al., 2005). Calcium ions are imperative to the process of dim light vision, providing signalling following the reception of a single photon (Polans et al., 1996). A network of hydrogen bonds surround the retinal Schiff base which act to control the hydrolysis and subsequent release of all-trans-retinal from Meta II (Janz and Farrens, 2004).

Arrestins recognise the phosphorylated rhodopsin and bind, subsequently decreasing the binding of transducin (Gray-Keller et al., 1997; Krupnick et al., 1997; Vishnivetskiy et al., 2007).

Dissociation of the all-trans-retinal from the Metarhodopsin III (Meta III) conformation circles the process back to rod opsin, which can then re-associate with the 11-cisretinal ligand to begin the process again (Shichida and Morizumi, 2006).


Figure 1.3 The activation pathway of rhodopsin. A: shows the structure of a rod cell, with discs found in the outer segment. B: shows the activation pathway of rhodopsin. i: rhodopsin is located in the disc membranes. ii: Light is absorbed by rhodopsin causing isomerisation of 11-cis-retinal to all-trans-retinal. iii: This results in rhodopsin interacting with transducin (a G-protein). iv: This interaction results in the exchange of GDP for GTP in transducin. v: Activated transducin can then interact with phosphodiesterase (PDE). vi: PDE hydrolyses cGMP to GMP. vii: In the dark cGMP keeps the calcium channels to open resulting in calcium ions entering the cell. viii: However, the reduction of cGMP results in cGMP gated channels to close, preventing calcium ions from entering the cell. The change in membrane potential results in termination of glutamate release at the rod cell synapse, an event that inhibits ON bipolar cells and excites OFF bipolar cells, thus initiating a nerve impulse. Created with BioRender.com.

### 1.3.3 The role of transducin in signal transduction by rhodopsin

Transducin is the $G$ protein associated with rhodopsin. Hundreds of transducin molecules were found to be activated by a single rhodopsin, but more recently using intact rods from mouse models it was found that the amount was in the 12-14 range (Yue et al., 2019). Several key sites for transducin activation have been found in rhodopsin. including the cytoplasmic loops 2 and 3 and helix 8 in cytoplasmic loop 4 (Hargrave et al., 1993; Natochin et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2019). Hargrave et al. (1993) found that these sites were important in the binding of transducin to rhodopsin via the 5 kDa carboxyl terminal region of transducin. Rhodopsin with mutations in the cytoplasmic loops 2 and 3 were unable to activate transducin (Natochin et al., 2003). Rhodopsin-transducin complexes studied using cryo-EM showed interactions between transmembrane helices three, five and six, cytoplasmic loop 2 and helix 8 (cytoplasmic loop 4) and several regions of transducin in light activated rhodopsin (Gao et al., 2019)(Gao et al., 2019). The activation of transducin by the Meta II form of rhodopsin can be exploited to study its interactions. This can be examined though the intrinsic fluorescence change brought about by the exchange of GDP for GTP (Phillips and Cerione, 1988).

### 1.4 Retinitis Pigmentosa

### 1.4.1 Symptoms and disease progression

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) defines a group of retinal diseases characterised by the progressive loss of rod and then cone photoreceptor cells. It is caused by various mutations affecting genes expressed in rod cells (Hartong et al., 2006; Campochiaro and Mir, 2018). RP therefore differs phenotypically from rod-cone dystrophies where rod and cone loss is simultaneous (Campochiaro and Mir, 2018). Characteristic of RP is the deposit of retinal pigment mainly in the peripheral retina, as well as the early symptoms of night blindness and then loss of peripheral vision (Hamel, 2006; Hartong et al., 2006). This is due to the abundance of rod cells in the peripheral retina (Nathans, 1992). Symptoms progress leading to tunnel vision and eventually loss of central vision which is caused by the subsequent loss of cone cells (Hartong et al., 2006). Cone cells are thought to be lost due to oxidative damage once the majority of rod cells have died which leads to increased concentrations of oxygen in the outer retina (Campochiaro and Mir, 2018). The mechanism of cell death has been described to be a results of unfolded protein response (UPR) and heat shock response (HSR) (1.5.3) (Parfitt et al., 2014).

The onset of RP symptoms is highly variable; however, typically the symptoms begin in adolescence (night blindness), progressing throughout early and into late adulthood, with loss of central vision generally occurring by age 60 (Hartong et al., 2006).

### 1.4.2 Diagnosis and clinical findings

Diagnosis of RP is based upon a number of clinical assessments. Amplitudes on an electroretinogram (ERG) are greatly reduced in patients with RP (Hamel, 2006). ERGs
measure a-waves which show photoreceptor function and b-waves which show bipolar cell function, low intensity flashes are used which can only be detected by b-waves however this ensures the measurement due to the rod cells alone responding and stimulating the bipolar cells (Cakir et al., 2011). Other clinical assessments for RP include: assessing patients visual fields, colour vision, dark adaptation and contrast sensitivity (Hartong et al., 2006). It has been shown that contrast sensitivity is an important parameter when observing photoreceptor deterioration in a rhodopsin deficient mouse model of RP (Xiao et al., 2019). For routine molecular testing to become a possibility a greater understanding of the relationship between genetic and clinical data needs to be achieved (Ferrari et al., 2011).

The clarity of vision at 20 feet is described as visual acuity with the norm being 20/20. At very low visual acuity levels visual acuity is described by an individual's ability to count fingers, perceive hand motion or perceive light which would be the case for patients with advanced RP (Schulze-Bonsel et al., 2006).

### 1.4.3 Inheritance of genetic mutations associated with RP

1 in 3000 to 1 in 7000 people have RP (Nathans, 1992; Ferrari et al., 2011). There have been a variety of genes linked to RP; for example: $P R P H 2 / R D S$, PRPF31, RP1 and RHO (Ferrari et al., 2011). The inheritance of the mutant genes can be autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant or X-linked and can be common to multiple inherited retinal diseases such as macular degeneration and night blindness (Ferrari et al., 2011; Dias et al., 2018). There are also syndromes associated with RP; Usher syndrome which also involves hearing loss, and Bardet-Biedl syndrome which has many effects (Ferrari et al., 2011). The great numbers of mutations which can cause RP result in varied disease phenotypes making the study of changes in the
histopathology of the retina important (Milam et al., 1998). As part of this research, the characterisation of mutations in the rhodopsin gene ( RHO ) (the most common cause of autosomal dominant RP) will be performed (Athanasiou et al., 2018).

### 1.4.4 Genetic causes of retinitis pigmentosa

Many mutations have been observed in rhodopsin which can cause autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive inheritance patterns (Ferrari et al., 2011). Missense and nonsense RHO mutations inherited in an autosomal dominant manner have been recognised in excess of 150 times. It is variation in the rhodopsin amino acid sequence that leads to toxic effects caused by the mutant rhodopsin to the rod cells (Hartong et al., 2006; Athanasiou et al., 2018). These toxic effects on the rod photoreceptors are a suggested cause of cell death, and a dependent relationship between the rod and cones for viability as a reason for the subsequent death of cone photoreceptors (Hartong et al., 2006). For RP to be better understood, the mechanisms by which the gradual deterioration of the rod cells is caused through the mutations needs to be identified (Milam et al., 1998).

A total of 22 genes are implicated as causes of RP (Ferrari et al., 2011)(Ferrari et al., 2011). These genes are involved in a wide variety of processes. Some examples of the processes the genes may be involved in include: phototransduction ( $\mathrm{RHO}, \mathrm{PDE}$ ), splicing (PRPF3, 8, 31), cell structure (ROM1), transcription (CRX), and tissue development and maintenance (RP1) (Phelan and Bok, 2000; Ferrari et al., 2011; Dias et al., 2018).

### 1.4.5 Involvement of RHO in RP

RP inheritance arising from mutation of the RHO gene may be either autosomal dominant (ad) or autosomal recessive (Athanasiou et al., 2018)(Athanasiou et al., 2018). Autosomal dominant disease-causing mutations in the rhodopsin gene were identified through the study of RP patients from the US and Canada (Dryja et al., 1990). The first discovered, most common and extensively studied autosomal dominant RHO mutation results in the P 23 H rhodopsin mutant. It is the most prevalent mutation in the North American population of RP cases (Mao et al., 2012). Its high prevalence can be attributed to the founder effect, caused by reduced genetic variability when a small population is cut off from the original population resulting in much higher frequency of the genetics of the founding population. Many other mutations in the N -terminus of rhodopsin have been studied, such as T4K, P23A/L and T17M (Athanasiou et al., 2018) Other mutations have been identified throughout the transmembrane helices, such as L40R, E113K and H211P (Athanasiou et al., 2018). Recently, novel mutations in RHO have been identified at amino acid P12NA resulting in a frameshift and stop codon at residue 47 and Q28X, both thought to result in rhodopsin proteins that are not full length (Wang et al., 2019).

### 1.4.6 Potential strategies for the treatment of RP

With no treatments currently available, ways to lengthen the time in which the disease progresses are the only methods to help RP patients, such as through nutritional changes (Hartong et al., 2006). Previously, for RP patients with a class 2 rhodopsin mutation, increasing vitamin A consumption was thought to be possibly advantageous (Li et al., 1998). However, re-evaluation of the data has shown that vitamin A doesn't have a protective affect and is therefore no longer recommended (Comander et al.,
2023). Prolonging photoreceptor survival may be possible through red tinted glasses which filter light, as was seen in autosomal dominant mouse models; however, greater research into the effect of such filters is required (Orlans et al., 2019).

For patients, along with increasing understanding of the relationship between RP genotypes and the related phenotype, it is also important for research to determine the relationship between rod and cone cells, as cone mediated vision is relied upon by patients as the disease progresses (Milam et al., 1998). There is possibility in prolonging cone functioning by increasing WT rhodopsin and decreasing mutant rhodopsin in autosomal dominant RP, however more research is needed for this to be a treatment option (Lewin et al., 2014).

Stem cell and organoid based therapies are possibilities based on recent advances. For example, recent advances in retinal organoid growth from human pluripotent stem cells show great potential for modelling different retinal diseases and for developing therapies where cells lost through these diseases can be replaced - such as rod cells in retinitis pigmentosa (Zerti et al., 2019). Stem cell based therapy for diseases like RP could be important for personalised medicine to become a reality (Hoshino et al., 2017).

Owing to the different effects on rhodopsin which mutations can have, different therapeutic drugs may be required to treat RP patients. Ascertaining compounds aimed at restoring proteostasis also could have possible advantages in helping rhodopsin RP patients (Parfitt and Cheetham, 2016).

Multiple non-retinoid small molecules have been recently identified as potential therapeutics approaches for the treatment of RP. This has been achieved by high throughput screening (HTS) where a non-retinoid small molecule with low toxicity was
identified to act as a pharmacological chaperone with high efficacy in some misfolding mutants (Chen et al., 2018). YC-001 demonstrated improvements to rhodopsin proteostasis and F5257-0462 showed ability to preserve function and delay death of the photoreceptor cells (Chen et al., 2018; Vats et al., 2022). Therapeutic potential was also seen for small molecule non-retinoids containing chromenone (Ortega et al., 2022).

### 1.5 Classifications of rhodopsin mutants

### 1.5.1 Mutant classifications

Rhodopsin mutants were first classified on the basis of those which reached the rod surface and those which didn't (misfolded). This system was then expanded further (first to six and then to seven categories), depending on various properties of the mutant rhodopsin (Kaushal and Khorana, 1994; Mendes et al., 2005; Athanasiou et al., 2018). The wide range of amino acid substitutions which occur in the rhodopsin gene mean a variety of effects on the protein have been identified (Athanasiou et al., 2018). The impact onto the rhodopsin protein which the RHO mutation causes allow for their classification (Figure 1.4):

1. the rhodopsin folds correctly, but the mutation has an effect post-golgi trafficking and outer segment is not targeted,
2. the rhodopsin misfolds, resulting in the protein being unstable and retained in the endoplasmic reticulum,
3. endocytosis and vesicular trafficking are interrupted,
4. post-translational modifications are distorted, and the rhodopsin is less stable,
5. activation of the G-protein transducin is amplified,
6. the rhodopsin exhibits constitutive activation,
7. the rhodopsin exhibits defects in dimerization
(Kaushal and Khorana, 1994; Mendes et al., 2005; Athanasiou et al., 2013, 2018).

The classes of rhodopsin mutants are not completely defining; with some mutants having characteristics associated to different classes resulting in the death of rod cells (Figure 1.4) (Athanasiou et al., 2018). The subsequent death of cone cells has been
linked to inflammatory processes, such as the regulation by P2RX7 of pyroptosis (Viringipurampeer et al., 2016).

To understand the mechanisms of RP in more detail, further study of RP causing genes to identify how photoreceptor death and visual symptoms are caused is important and the increased understanding of biochemical pathways involved in RP have potential to bring about ways to treat the disease (Hamel, 2006; Hartong et al., 2006). For rhodopsin RP, classifying mutations based on their effect on the protein will allow for not only better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the disease but also help in the design of treatments for the disease.


Figure 1.4 Secondary structure of rhodopsin, adapted from Athanasiou et al. (2018). Rhodopsin consists of seven transmembrane helices, and an eighth helix is found on the cytoplasmic side (towards the C-terminus). Mutations have been identified throughout the protein, and the aim in the current study characterisation of these mutants, most of which are unclassified. These are: E122, R252, S298, K311, G101 and V104 (unclassified), and G106 (class 2).

### 1.5.2 Class One - Defects in outer segment targeting

Post-production in the ER the protein travels to the Golgi after which it is trafficked to the rod outer segment where rhodopsin locates in the discs for use (Dias et al., 2018). Mutations of Class One lead to this not being the case; due to impaired targeting to the rod outer segment (Dias et al., 2018). Pathological effects as a result of mutant rhodopsin mislocatisation in a study of Xenopus laevis, were found to be related to the activation of the protein, however still result in the deterioration of the retina (Tam et al., 2006). The study of an autosomal dominant RP mutant which results in the rhodopsin being mislocalised to the rod inner segment in Xenopus laevis, showed that the lysosomal pathway is triggered (Ropelewski and Imanishi, 2019). Research identifying Class One mutants has shown the importance of the C-terminus for outer segment targeting, with residues L328, T342, Q344, V345, A346 and P347 all identified as positions where mutations can result in impaired outer segment targeting (Athanasiou et al., 2018; Dias et al., 2018).

### 1.5.3 Class Two - Mutations which result in the misfolding of rhodopsin

Class Two rhodopsin mutations were one of the first categories established and mutants of this category have been well documented. They result in misfolding of the rhodopsin apo protein 'rod opsin' and are most frequent outcome of rhodopsin mutations (Krebs et al., 2010; Comitato et al., 2019). Class Two mutations have been identified at residues throughout the protein with residues in the N -terminus (e.g. P23), extracellular loops (e.g. G106) and throughout the TM helices (e.g. L125) affected (Athanasiou et al., 2018; Dias et al., 2018). From experiments using recombinant cells
to express such mutants it was found they were misfolded and retained in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Kaushal and Khorana, 1994).

As a result of the retention of the misfolded protein in the ER, ER stress occurs which can lead to the initiation of UPR pathways such as IRE1 (Lin et al., 2007). The activation of the IRE1 pathway was shown to decrease the levels of misfolded protein and in turn promote the survival of photoreceptor cells (Lin et al., 2007). The UPR has also been shown to elicit altered cell signalling resulting in activation of apoptotic pathways (Sizova et al., 2014). ER stress can also cause cells to exhibit autophagy and proteasome overload, both of which have been shown to contribute to cell death (Lobanova et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2018).

A reduction in photoreceptor deterioration was seen using arimoclomol as a treatment in a mouse model (Parfitt et al., 2014). Here the UPR and HSR pathways were upregulated (Parfitt et al., 2014). A further observation was a reduction in the aggregation of the rhodopsin protein (Parfitt et al., 2014). It has also been shown that misfolded protein may form aggresome like entities; however there may be dissimilarities in the aggregates between mutants due to differences in completely or partially misfolded rhodopsin mutants (Saliba et al., 2002; Gragg and Park, 2018).

Krebs et al. (2010) found that misfolding mutant rhodopsin displays features of inactive rhodopsin following activation by a photon or break down into possibly cytotoxic products. The resultant death of the photoreceptor cells from a misfolding mutation has been linked to the activation of calpain, by preventing this activation pathway and the subsequent activation of caspase-7 and apoptosis inducing factor, for P23H the breakdown of the retina has been shown to be reduced (Comitato et al., 2019).

However, by just increasing the movement of Class Two mutant rhodopsins to the rod outer segment remains detrimental, as shown in a study using metformin to increase P23H movement to the outer segment which led to cell death and instability of the outer segment, with the P 23 H rhodopsin remaining unstable also (Athanasiou et al., 2017a).

Rescue of misfolded rhodopsin mutants using pharmacological chaperones, either 9-cis-retinal or 11-cis-retinal, during biosynthesis has been shown to restore pigment at levels of $50-100 \%$ of that of wild type rhodopsin (Opefi et al., 2013). Previously, 9-cisretinal, 11-cis-retinal and a variant of 11-cis-retinal (containing a 7 membered ring) were shown to behave as pharmacological chaperones (Noorwez et al., 2003, 2004). Due to the high quantity of misfolded protein mutations, where pharmacological rescue has been shown to be effective, there is potential for this strategy to be used in treating RP (Krebs et al., 2010).

### 1.5.4 Class Three - Interruption of endocytosis and vesicular trafficking

Rhodopsin mutations at R135 (to G, L, P and W) have been reported to be class three mutations (lannaccone et al., 2006; Athanasiou et al., 2018; Dias et al., 2018). In a study of a family with the R135W mutation, they reported night blindness at 1-2 years, reduced periphery field after 30 years and blindness between 45 and 50 years (Wu et al., 2019). The mutations R135L, R135G and R135W were categorised clinically as Class A (severe, early onset), reporting night blindness in early life (Cideciyan et al., 1998; Iannaccone et al., 2006).

### 1.5.5 Class Four - Atypical post-translational modifications and instability

Class four mutants are those which result in atypical post-translational modifications (PTMs) and instability of the mutant (Athanasiou et al., 2018). There are multiple types of PTMs which rhodopsin undergoes and these are critical for the structure and function of the protein (Murray et al., 2009). The PTMs which rhodopsin undergoes are: Schiff base formation at K296, C110 to C187 disulfide bond, palmitoylation of C322 and C323, M1 acetylation, phosphorylation of C-terminus residues, cytoplasmic lysine ubiquitination and N-glycosylation at N2 and N15 (Murray et al., 2009). Mutations which have been identified as Class Four include T4K and T17M which have been shown to impair glycosylation (Tam and Moritz, 2009; Athanasiou et al., 2018).

### 1.5.6 Class Five - Amplification of transducin activation

Mutants which result in the increased activation of transducin, without constitutional activation properties are categorised as class five mutants (Athanasiou et al., 2018). The mutants M44T and V137M were identified to have increased transducin activation rates, while not showing signs of dark activity and were therefore categorised as Class Five mutants (Andrés et al., 2003; Athanasiou et al., 2018).

### 1.5.7 Class Six - Constitutive activation of rhodopsin

The constitutive activation of rhodopsin has been observed for mutation of residues near the the ligand binding pocket. This class of rhodopsin mutant can activate transducin with and without the 11-cis-retinal ligand but it has been difficult to identify the origin of the form responsible for the disease (Park, 2014). The death of photoreceptor cells may also be caused by the build-up of abnormal activated
rhodopsin exhibiting distorted activation pathways such as found in the case of G51V and G89D (Bosch-Presegué et al., 2011). G90D, T94I, A292E, A295V

### 1.5.8 Class Seven - Defects in dimerisation

The inability of a rhodopsin mutant to dimerise leads to its categorisation as class seven (Athanasiou et al., 2018). The mutations F45L, V209M and F220C have been identified as Class Seven (Athanasiou et al., 2018).

### 1.5.9 Effects on other rhodopsin functions

Some mutants have been found to have no effect on the light transduction pathway, however do not exhibit the same scramblase activity as in WT rhodopsin, possibly due to the improper functioning as a scramblase causing improper functioning of ABC 4 , implicated in preventing the build-up of toxic retinoids in rod cells (Ernst and Menon, 2015; Ploier et al., 2016).

### 1.5.10 Rhodopsin RP mutants under investigation

As described by (Athanasiou et al., 2018), five of the autosomal dominant RP rhodopsin mutants in this study are as yet unclassified, with no biochemical or cellular faults identified (V104F, E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E). G106W was previously classified as class two and G101V still to be classified but likely to be class 2,3 or 4 based studies by other groups (Sung et al., 1991b; Athanasiou et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2019). Owing to their different locations through the rhodopsin protein, we hypothesise that these mutants will have varying effects on the functioning of rhodopsin and therefore are likely to fall into different classifications. Importantly,

E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E mutations appear to not be misfolding, meaning their study may elucidate information about the functional properties of the WT residues in rhodopsin.

In a study of Mexican adRP patients, E122G was identified as a novel mutation, where c. 365 A>G was identified in exon 2 of rhodopsin, resulting in a GAA to GGA (Glu to Gly) change (Matias-Florentino et al., 2009). This mutation was identified to have broad variability in clinical presentation but an overall mild retinal phenotype (MatiasFlorentino et al., 2009).

V104F is also unclassified (Athanasiou et al., 2018). G101V was determined to be pathogenic with low expression levels in HEK293 cells, with class 2,3 or 4 being likely as its mechanism of causing RP (Wan et al., 2019). G106W rhodopsin has been previously found to be a class two mutant (Sung et al., 1991b; Athanasiou et al., 2018). The WT residue of these RP positions for each mutant is shown (Figure 1.5).


Figure 1.5 Location of the rhodopsin RP positions for the mutations examined in this work. Produced using PyMOL - PDB 1U19. G101, V104 and G106 are all located in extracellular loop one on the intradiscal side of rhodopsin. E122 (transmembrane helix 3) and S298 (transmembrane helix 7) are in close proximity to the 11-cis-retinal binding pocket (blue sticks). R252 is found in the sixth transmembrane helix, towards the cytoplasmic side). K311 is found in helix eight, which is located on the cytoplasmic side, towards the C-terminus).

### 1.6 Recombinant protein expression

High levels of protein expression at both lab and industry scale are greatly important for both therapeutics and research (Reeves et al., 1996; Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). Developments in protein expression methods have been critical for progress in this field (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). The expression hosts for proteins are numerous, with different advantages and disadvantages. The choice of the most suitable host is crucial for successful protein production (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019)(Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019).

### 1.6.1 Recombinant protein expression hosts

E. coli is suitable option for protein expression due to it being well characterised both at the genetic and physiological level making it an ideal host for the high-level production of many proteins (Baeshen et al., 2015; Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). However, there are disadvantages to its use including the absence post-translational modifications such as disulfide bridge formation and glycosylation (Gupta and Shukla, 2016; Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). In the case of GPCRs such as rhodopsin, the absence of these post-translational modifications makes $E$. coli unsuitable for functional studies (Wiseman et al., 2020).
P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae are regularly used yeasts for protein expression (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). They are fast and cheap to grow with well understood genetics (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). However, the cell stress and effects on the yeast physiology are disadvantageous to their use (Yu et al., 2017). Opsin expression using yeast has been shown to produce rhodopsin which is functionally similar to that produced using mammalian cells but displays differences in its glycosylation (Mollaaghababa et al., 1996).

Transgenic animals and plants offer many benefits. However the ethical drawbacks of transgenic animals and contamination by either zoonotic pathogens or pesticide, herbicide or toxic plant metabolites (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019).

Expression using insect cells is also possible using the baculovirus expression system to express the gene of interest (Owczarek et al., 2019; Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). The successful application of this for producing GPCRs for crystallisation studies has been shown (White et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2013).

Widely used hosts for expression of recombinant proteins are mammalian cells (Owczarek et al., 2019). Large and complex proteins are able to be expressed using mammalian cells, however the construction of cell lines capable of this is a time consuming process (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). Mammalian cells are also able to perform post-translational modifications, albeit with a different glycosylation pattern, and there is also risk of contamination with viruses of animal origin (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019). Various human cell lines, such as HEK293, are being studied for their gene expression, which allow for post-translational modifications to be similar to those naturally occurring in humans (Tripathi and Shrivastava, 2019).

### 1.6.2 Transposons and their use in protein expression

A transposon refers to a section of DNA which can move from one site to another, giving rise to its alternate name, the 'jumping gene' (Sandoval-Villegas et al., 2021). The insertion of the transposable element is highly efficient and can be exploited to stably insert DNA for experimental purposes (Sandoval-Villegas et al., 2021). Transposons identified include 'Sleeping Beauty', 'Tol2’ and the focus here, 'PiggyBac' (Sandoval-Villegas et al., 2021).

The PiggyBac (PB) transposon was identified in the cabbage looper moth, Trichoplusia Ni, and has since been shown as a reliable method for the movement of genetic information in various organisms, including in mammalian and human cells (Ding et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2016; Sandoval-Villegas et al., 2021). The evolution of the PiggyBac transposon has provided it with the ability to efficiently integrate large cargoes of DNA into a host genome making it a valuable asset experimentally for stable DNA introduction (Sandoval-Villegas et al., 2021). As an experimental tool, it is versatile and cost effective, with applications in CAR-T therapy (Kaštánková et al., 2021).

### 1.7 PiggyBac transposon for rhodopsin expression

### 1.7.1 PiggyBac transposon

The use of the PB transposon has been previously shown as an effective method to produce rhodopsin in a stable HEK293S cell line (Caro et al., 2015). With the intention to achieve high levels of rhodopsin mutant expression, tetracycline inducible PB systems will be used in this study. Through the inclusion of TetO sequences as part of a CMV promoter upstream of the rhodopsin gene, the addition of tetracycline and sodium butyrate has been shown previously to result in high levels of rhodopsin expression in stable cell lines expressing the TetR repressor (Reeves et al., 2002a). Gene expression can be influenced by the location of its integration, however the use of insulators have been found to shelter against such influences (Sarkar et al., 2006).

Here, the PB transposon cargo will contain rhodopsin under the control of the CMV [TetO] promoter. The neomycin resistance gene is under the control of a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{d}}$ promoter. This selection/expression cassette is flanked by insulator and ITR sequences (Figure 1.6). Upon transfection together with a plasmid for transposase
production, this cargo will be inserted into the genome of the cells, to construct the G418 resistant stable cell line pools.


Figure 1.6 pB 007 (piggyBac) plasmid and cargo. Length of sequences not to scale. A: The pB007 plasmid is approximately 9000 bp in size when containing the cargo used in this work. B: The transposon cargo from the pB007 plasmid is positioned between two ITR sections (light grey), and insulators (dark grey). A CMV [TetO] (yellow) controls the rhodopsin genes expression (black) and is followed by a polyA tail (blue). The H2Ld promoter (yellow) is located in front of the neomycin resistance gene (Neo - black), again followed by a polyA tail (blue).

### 1.7.2 The construction of tetracycline inducible stable cell lines using the piggyBac delivery system

The production of a tetracycline controlled transactivator by the fusion of the virion protein 16 activating domain to the tetracycline repressor showed promise for promoter activating systems in the regulation of gene expression (Gossen and Bujard, 1992). Yao et al. (1998) were first to show that a tetracycline repressor, that directly bound the CMV promoter containing TetO sequences, was able to tightly regulate gene expression. They showed increased regulation of inducible genes compared to other systems in use.

There is a great need to produce high levels of rhodopsin for studies of structure and function (Reeves et al., 2002a). The creation of a stable cell line using a synthetic bovine opsin gene lead to the production of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of rhodopsin (Reeves et al., 1996)(Reeves, Thurmond and Khorana, 1996). Up to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of WT rhodopsin was then produced by the addition of tetracycline and sodium butyrate to a inducible stable cell line through inclusion of a TetO sequences using the system developed by Yao et al. (1998) and Reeves et al. (2002a). This method also counteracts the effects of toxicity of expressed mutants allowing production of rhodopsin at tens of milligrams per litre (Reeves et al., 2002a). Furthermore, by utilising a cell line lacking N acetylglucoaminyltransferase (GnTI) activity, problems to structure and function studies caused by heterogeneity of N -glycans can be overcome (Reeves et al., 2002b).

Sodium butyrate included in the induction media aids the production of rhodopsin when used alongside tetracycline (Reeves et al., 2002a). Sodium butyrate is a short chain fatty acid histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor. Such inhibitors can be
categorised as hydroxamates, cyclic peptides, benzamides and short chain fatty acids (Shukla and Tekwani, 2020)(Shukla and Tekwani, 2020). Histone deacetylases are the enzymes resulting in the deacetylation of histones, and therefore silencing of genes (Shukla and Tekwani, 2020). Therefore, the sodium butyrate induced over acetylation of histones leads to increased gene expression as the DNA is unwound from the histone and therefore more accessible for transcription factors aiding the increased expression of the gene (Reeves et al., 2002a; Shukla and Tekwani, 2020).

### 1.7.3 Mechanisms of insulator function

Introduced transgenes can integrate into a transcriptionally repressed region eventually leading to gene silencing and reduced expression levels of the recombinant protein over generations of cell division (Pérez-González and Caro, 2019). Insulators overcome this by acting as a barrier, shielding the transgene from such silencing (Pérez-González and Caro, 2019).

Transgene expression is increased through the utilisation of insulator sequences, and in addition, some insulators may be able to decrease the variability in expression between lines/ individuals (Pérez-González and Caro, 2019).

### 1.8 Solid State Magic Angle Spinning NMR

Solid State Magic Angle Spinning (SS MAS) NMR provides an adaptive method for the analysis of structure, dynamics and intermolecular interactions (Kraus et al., 2021). This method is able to examine structure and dynamics both at specific locations and across the entirety of GPCRs through the use of the 'magic angle' $\left(54.7^{\circ}\right)$ to analyse chemical shifts and dipolar interactions (Chandler et al., 2021). Samples used can be in a variety of states; such as in detergents or liposomes and can be examined under
low temperature conditions allowing for conditions to be determined by needs of the GPCR in question (Chandler et al., 2021).

In the case of rhodopsin, the use of low temperature can be used to capture its active state and through this method the movement of extracellular loops, transmembrane regions and retinal have all been discovered during rhodopsin activation (Ahuja et al., 2009a; Kimata et al., 2015; Kimata et al., 2016a; Kimata et al., 2016b). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ are commonly used probes for studies of GPCR's (Chandler et al., 2021).

### 1.9 Aims

The overall aim is to apply the use of the piggyBac transposon to produce rhodopsin and its mutants at suitably high levels for biochemical, spectral and structural analysis.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 General materials

### 2.1.1 Materials

Table 2.1 List of materials used and suppliers.

| 9-cis-retinal | Sigma |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9mer elution peptide | Seq: <br> TETSQVAPA |
| Acetic acid | Melford |
| Agar | Sigma |
| Agarose | Melford |
| Ammonium <br> persulfate | Sigma <br> Belford <br> Biolaboratories <br> Ltd |
| BTP | Sigma-Aldrich |
| CaCl2 | Anatrace |
| CnBr activated <br> sepahrose | Lonza |
| DDM | Lonza |
| DMEM | Sigma |
| DMEM/F12 | Mermo Scientific |
| DMSO | Aldrich |
| DNA ladder | Thermo Scientific |
| EDTA | ThermoScientific |
| Ethanolamine | Melford |
| FBS | Fisher |
| GeneJET Plasmid <br> Mini-prep kit | Sigma |
| Geneticin | Melford |
| Glycerol | Lonza |
| KCI | Sigma |
| KH2PO4 | Fisher |
| L-Glutamine | Machery-Nagel |
| Na2HPO4 | NaCl |


| kit for gel extraction <br> and PCR clean up |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Penicillin <br> Streptomycin <br> (PenStrep) | Lonza |
| PMSF | Fluka analytical |
| Restriction enzymes | ThermoScientific |
| Skim powdered milk | Marvel |
| Sodium azide | Sigma |
| TC grade DMSO | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Triton X-100 | Sigma |
| Trypsin | Lonza |
| Tryptone | Melford |
| Turbo buffer | ThermoScientific |
| Turbofect | ThermoScientific |
| Yeast extract | Melford |
| Sodium Dodecyl <br> Sulfate | Melford |

### 2.1.2 Buffers and media

Table 2.2 Buffers and media composition. Organised by method.

| Buffer/ Media | Components |
| :--- | :--- |
| Molecular biology |  |
| $50 X$ TAE | 48.4 g Tris base, $0.5 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{pH} 8)$ EDTA, 11.4 ml glacial |
| Agarose gel | acetic acid in 200 ml distilled water |
|  | $0.8 \%$ agarose, 1 X TAE buffer |
| $6 x$ loading dye | 10 mM Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.6), 0.03 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ bromophenol blue, |
|  | $0.03 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{xylene}$ cyanol FF, $60 \%$ glycerol, 60 mM |
|  | EDTA in RO H2O |

## E. coli culture

2xYT broth
2xYT agar/ ampicillin

## Cell culture

complete DMEM F12
complete DMEM
Tetracycline induction
media
Sodium butyrate induction media
Tetracycline/sodium
butyrate induction media
10X PBS
16 g tryptone, 10 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl in 1 L distilled water
2xYT broth, $1.5 \%$ agar, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin

DMEM F12, 10\% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM L-
Glutamine, 1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin
DMEM, 10\% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin
complete DMEM, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline
complete DMEM, 5 mM sodium butyrate
complete DMEM, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline, 5 mM sodium butyrate
$\mathrm{NaCl} 64.05 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{KCl} 1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH} 2 \mathrm{PO} 4,9.09 \mathrm{~g}$
Na 2 HPO 4 made up to 800 ml in MilliQ H2O

## SDS-PAGE/Western blot

4x Resolving/running buffer
$4 x$ Stacking buffer

Running/resolving gel
(12.5\%, 0.75 mm )

Stacking gel

Tank buffer
$4 x$ loading buffer
1 x transfer buffer
0.025 M Tris, 0.192 M Glycine, $0.1 \%$ SDS

3 g Tris ( 0.5 M ), $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{RO} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, adjust pH to 6.8 with HCl , made up to 50 ml with $\mathrm{RO} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, filter sterilize
$3.36 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{30} \mathrm{\%}$ acrylamide/bisacrylamide, 2 ml 4 x running buffer,
$80 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \%$ SDS, 2.48 ml MilliQ water, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10\% ammonium persulfate, $4 \mu$ ITEMED
$0.7 \mathrm{ml} 30 \%$ acrylamide/bisacrylamide, 1.13 ml stacking gel buffer,
$0.06 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%}$ SDS, 2.7 ml MilliQ water, $0.06 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%}$ ammonium persulfate, 0.004 ml TEMED
0.025M Tris, 0.192 M Glycine, $0.1 \%$ SDS
0.25M Tris HCI, 8\% SDS, 40\% Glycerol, 0.4M DTT, 0.04\% Bromophenol Blue

25mM Tris, 192mM Glycine, 20\% Methanol, 0.1\% SDS
Wash buffer
1D4 primary antibody buffer

Secondary antibody buffer

Blocking buffer

ECL buffer

## Biochemistry

Low Salt Wash (LSW)
buffer
Low Salt Elution (LSE)
buffer
High Salt Wash (HSW) buffer
High Salt Elution (HSE)
buffer
1D4-sepharose slurry

Transducin assay buffer

Solubilisation buffer
Meta II assay buffer
Low Salt Wash (LSW)
buffer LMNG
Low Salt Elution (LSE)
buffer LMNG
High Salt Wash (HSW)
buffer LMNG
High Salt Elution (HSE) buffer LMNG
Solubilisation buffer LMNG

1 X PBS, $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 or Triton X100 Detergent, $0.5 \%$ Dry skimmed milk powder
$0.5 \%$ Gelatin, $0.25 \%$ BSA, $0.2 \%$ Sodium Azide, 100 mM
Potassium, Phosphate Buffer pH 7.4, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ 1D4 Antibody

1x PBS, $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100, 0.5\% Milk Powder (Marvel), Secondary Antibody $(1: 10,000)$
10\% Dry skimmed milk powder, 0.1\% Sodium Azide ( $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ), $0.1 \%$ Tween-20, $1 \times$ PBS to volume

10 ml 100 mM tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{l} 250 \mathrm{mM}$ luminol, $22 \mu \mathrm{l} 90$ mM Courmaric acid, $3 \mu \mathrm{l} 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

10 mM BTP (pH 7.2), 0.1\% DDM
LSW buffer, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$ 9mer elution peptide
1xPBS, $0.1 \%$ DDM
HSW buffer, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$ mer elution peptide
$50 \%$ 1XPBS, $50 \%$ 1D4-sepharose, $0.1 \%$ sodium azide 100 mM purified transducin, 5 nM rhodopsin/mutant rhodopsin,
10 mM BTP (pH 7.2), $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DTT, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \%$, DDM, 2 mM MgCl2 in MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
1xPBS, $1 \%$ DDM, 0.1 mM PMSF
$4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ rhodopsin/mutant rhodopsin, 10 mM BTP ( pH 6.0 ),
$0.1 \%$ DDM in MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
10 mM BTP (pH 7.2), 0.02\% LMNG
LSW buffer (LMNG), $100 \mu \mathrm{M} 9 \mathrm{mer}$ elution peptide
1xPBS, $0.02 \%$ LMNG
HSW buffer (LMNG), $100 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$ mer elution peptide 1xPBS, $0.36 \%$ LMNG, 0.1 mM PMSF

Table 2.3 Buffers used for purification of transducin from bovine retinas.

| Name | Buffer | Additions just before use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buffer A | $1 \times$ potassium phosphate buffer | $1 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{PMSF}$ |
|  | $10 x$ stock: $700 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KPi}(\mathrm{pH} 6.8)$ | $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |
|  | 30 mM MgCl 2 |  |


| Buffer B/C/D/E/F/G | Sucrose at concentrations of: | $1 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml}$ PMSF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $30 \%, 15 \%, 0.64 \mathrm{M}, 0.78 \mathrm{M}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ | $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |
|  | and 1.2 M |  |
|  | All in $1 \times$ KPi buffer (pH 6.8) |  |
| Buffer H | $1 \times$ hypotonic buffer | $1 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{PMSF}$ |

10x stock: 50 mM Tris ( pH 7.5 ) $605 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol
mM MgCl 2

| Buffer I | GTP buffer | $1 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml}$ PMSF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 \times$ hypotonic buffer, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GTP}$ | $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |
| Buffer J | Column wash buffer | $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |
|  | $1 \times$ hypotonic buffer, 100 mM NaCl |  |
| Buffer K | Column elution buffer | $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |
|  | $1 \times$ hypotonic buffer, 300 mM NaCl |  |
|  | Transducin storage buffer | $10 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol |

$50 \%$ glycerol, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20$
mM Tris ( pH 7.5 ), 6 mM MgCl 2

### 2.2 General methods

### 2.2.1 Sanger sequencing of DNA

Plasmid DNA produced by E. coli transformation or mutagenesis was sequenced to confirm the sequence integrity before moving onto the next step throughout. Sanger sequencing was performed by Eurofins Genomics and separate primer and DNA samples were prepared and sent as according to their guidelines for their TubeSeq service. Plasmid DNA concentration was determined by nanodrop and diluted in sterile MilliQ water to a concentration of $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. The necessary primers were prepared by dilution in sterile MilliQ to $10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. Sequencing results were analysed using Chromas and the Needle Pairwise alignment tool where the sequencing data was aligned to the known sequence of rhodopsin DNA to ensure the correct sequence was present, and that any required mutations following mutagenesis were correct (Madeira et al., 2019).

### 2.2.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose was added to 1 x TAE buffer to produce a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel. DNA samples were loaded as appropriate and run at 100 V . Where GelRed was used as the nucleic acid stain the BIORAD UV transilluminator was used for visualisation. Details of the buffers used for agarose gel electrophoresis can be found in Table 2.2 Molecular Biology.

### 2.2.3 General procedure for restriction enzyme digest

The restriction enzyme (RE) digestion mix contained $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of RE (fast digest), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10X FD Green buffer, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of GelRed ( $1: 10000$ dilution of stock) and was made up to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in sterile MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Where two REs were used $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each was
added to the mix. The RE digestion mix was then pulse centrifuged before incubation for 20 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were then kept on ice to be separated on an agarose gel (2.2.2).

### 2.2.4 Escherichia coli transformation

Table 2.4 DNA types and volumes used in the transformation of E. coli.

| Preceding <br> experimental step | Volume added <br> $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Dpnl digestion of 3 mutagenesis product Ligation of DNA 2.5 (pACMV TetO or pB007 NCO)

Either pB007 NCO-rho WT (Section 2.3) or pMT4-rho (Section 2.4.2)
pACMV TetO-rho (Section 2.4.3) pB007NCO-rho WT (Section 2.4.4)

For transformation, XL1 blue competent cells were used and kept on ice throughout unless otherwise stated. The relevant DNA (see Table 2.4) was added to $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $E$. coli competent cells in a sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. A negative control had no DNA added to the $E$. coli and a positive control had $0.5 \mu$ of the original plasmid (without mutations) added (see Table 2.4). The transformation mixtures were incubated on ice for 30 minutes, followed by a 45 second heat shock at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tubes were then put back onto ice for 5 mins before $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 2 XYT broth (see 2.1.1) was added and the mixtures placed on a shaker for 30 mins at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 250 \mathrm{rpm}$. The tubes were then centrifuged for 2 mins at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ and $450 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant was removed. The pellets were resuspended in the remaining $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and spread onto 2XYT Agar/Ampicillin (see 2.1.1) plates under followed by incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. Single colonies were picked and inoculated into 3 ml of 2 XYT broth/Ampicillin (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) (see 2.1.1) and incubated on a shaker at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 250 rpm for 16 hours.

After 16 hours the culture was split $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of culture added to $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of sterile glycerol in a cryovial, stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{ml}$ for small scale plasmid purification (2.2.5),

Alternatively 1.5 ml of culture could be centrifuged at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 minutes, the supernatant removed and the cell pellet frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.5 Small scale purification of plasmid DNA from E. coli transformants

1.5 ml of the culture after the 16 hour incubation (2.2.4) was centrifuged at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 minutes. The supernatant was removed, and the cell pellet was purified using the ThermoScientific GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit as according to the instructions (Revision 10). The pellets were resuspended in $250 \mu$ l of resuspension buffer, and 250 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ lysis solution was added and mixed four times by inversion. $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of neutralisation solution was immediately added and mixed again by inverting four times. Cell debris and chromosomal DNA were then pelleted by centrifugation at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ and the supernatant transferred to the GeneJET spin column, centrifuged for 1 minute and the flow through discarded. $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ wash solution was added to the spin column followed by 1 minute of centrifugation and discarding of the flow through. The wash step was repeated, and after discarding the flow through a further 1 minute of centrifugation was performed, removing any remaining wash solution. The spin column was transferred into a sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. For plasmid DNA elution, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of MilliQ water heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was applied to the GeneJET column (differing from the kit instructions) and left to incubate at room temperature for 2 minutes. GeneJET spin column in the sterile microcentrifuge tube was centrifuged for 2 minutes at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, the spin column discarded and the flow through kept on ice and the concentration determined by nanodrop. The purified plasmid was then kept on ice for use the same day or stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3 Generation of mutant rhodopsin in pB007NCO

### 2.3.1 QuikChange Mutagenesis

A description of the methods used for Quikchange mutagenesis, and the relevant mutants produced is described in Chapter 3, section 3.2.1.

### 2.4 Generation of mutant rhodopsin in pB007NCO by molecular cloning

### 2.4.1 Molecular cloning steps

pMT4 containing the synthetic bovine opsin gene and an ampicillin resistance gene was used as the starting point for molecular cloning. pMT4 Opsin-stabilised mutants (where N2C D282C mutations had been already incorporated, which cause stabilisation of rhodopsin through cysteine bridge formation) were digested using Kpnl and Notl as was pACMV TetO Opsin, again with the N2C D282C mutations already incorporated. Both were run on methylene blue agarose gels (see 2.3.2) and the pACMV vector was treated with alkaline phosphatase before ligation to form the mutants in the pACMV TetO O-stabilised background. PCR was used to replicate the transposable element. Nhel digestion of this allowed for it to be ligated into pB007 NCO (Nhel digested and alkaline phosphatase treated). pB007 NCO-mutants ligation mixtures were then used for $E$. coli transformation.

### 2.4.2 Mutagenesis of pMT4-rho

Mutagenesis was carried out as described in 2.3.1 using pMT4-rho instead of pB007 NCO. The plasmids were expressed using transformation of $E$. coli 2.2.4. The
plasmids were then purified as described in 2.2.5 and the purified product sequenced and then used for the following cloning steps.

### 2.4.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis for the separation of DNA fragments

Full details of the buffers used for agarose gel electrophoresis can be found in Table 2.2 Molecular Biology. Plasmid DNA was RE digested using Kpnl and Notl, following the same protocol as in 2.2.3 but without including GelRed in the digestion mix. The digested samples were then mixed with $6 x$ loading buffer. Methylene blue was made to a $0.01 \%$ solution in 0.5 X TAE buffer. The agarose gel was stained using the methylene blue solution for 40 minutes on the Bibby Stuart Scientific Gyro-Rocker. The agarose gel was then destained using 0.5 X TAE buffer for 30 minutes. The correct sized band was identified using a white light box and excised from the gel using a scalpel and the DNA purified as according to the 'Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up, Mini kit for gel extraction and PCR clean up' as according to the instructions, except from the elution step. The steps were as follows. The excised band was solubilised using $200 \mu$ l of buffer NTI per 100 mg of gel by heating to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 10 minutes. The solubilised sample was then applied to the column in a collection tube and centrifuged for 30 seconds at $11,000 \times g$. The membrane was then washed through the addition of $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer NT 3 and centrifugation at $11,000 \times g$ for 30 seconds, the membrane wash was performed twice. The membrane was then dried by centrifugation at $11,000 \times g$ for 1 minute. The DNA was then eluted with $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile MilliQ water heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, differing from the kit instructions.

### 2.4.4 Alkaline Phosphatase treatment of DNA

Following purification, vector DNA was treated with alkaline phosphatase. $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Thermo Scientific FastAP Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase was added before
incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, and then heating to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes to denature the enzyme.

### 2.4.5 Ligation

$0.5 \mu$ of the vector was added to $25-30 \mathrm{ng}$ of the mutant rhodopsin DNA, along with 2 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of ligation buffer (ligation buffer stock was freshly prepared with $20 \mu \mathrm{l} 20 \mathrm{X}$ ligation buffer and an added $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 5 X ATP), $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of T4 DNA ligase and made up to $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with sterile MilliQ water. The reactions were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes and used for E. coli transformation as according to section 2.5.

### 2.4.6 Transfer of opsin gene expression cassette into piggybac vector

The opsin gene expression cassette in pACMV TetO opsin contains the rhodopsin gene under the control of the TetO promoter and a neomycin resistance gene under the control of the weak $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{L}}$ promoter. Following plasmid generation in E. coli, PCR using NEO CMV primers (see appendix) of the pACMV TetO O-stabilised mutants was performed and the presence and size of the plasmid DNA confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA was then digested using Nhel, (20 $\mu$ l of the DNA was added to $4 \mu$ l of Fast Digest buffer, $24 \mu \mathrm{l}$ MilliQ water and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Fast Digest Nhel. This was incubated for 15 mins at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The digestion product was then separated on an agarose gel and stained with methylene blue as in section 2.4.5, before ligation into Nhel digested, alkaline phosphatase treated pB007 NCO. Sequencing (see 2.2.1) was used to determine if the correct sequence in the rhodopsin gene and the required mutations were present.

### 2.5 Isolation of plasmids from E. coli

### 2.5.1 Small scale production and confirmation of presence of mutant codon

The mutagenic product from 2.3.1 or cloning product from 2.4.5 was then used to transform XL1 blue competent $E$. coli as described in section 2.2.4 and then a smallscale production as described in section 2.2.5. Sequencing was used to confirm the presence of the mutant codon that was incorporated during Quikchange mutagenesis as described in section 2.2.1.

### 2.5.2 Medium scale preparation of plasmid DNA

Streak plates were made from frozen glycerol stocks of E. coli carrying mutant pMT4 plasmids. mutant. These were incubated (GallenHamp Economy incubator with fan) overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single colonies were picked from the plate and inoculated into 3 ml 2XYT broth/ampicillin ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a shaker (IKA KS 400 I control) at 250 rpm for 6 hours. $100 \mu$ l of these cultures were added to 100 ml of 2XYT broth/ampicillin ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 200 rpm .

### 2.5.3 Purification of plasmid DNA from medium scale E. coli cultures

50 ml of the culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 mins and the supernatant removed completely. The DNA was then purified from the E. coli pellet as according to the Machery-Nagel Plasmid DNA purification user manual, NucleoSnap Plasmid Midi February 2016/Rev.01, NucleoSnap Plasmid Midi Protocol. Bacterial cells were harvested and centrifuged at $6000 \times g$ for 10 minutes and the supernatant completely removed. The bacterial cells were then resuspended in 5 ml SN1 buffer, lysed with 5 ml SN2 buffer (gently inverted 5 times followed by incubation for 2 mins, room temperature) and then neutralised using 5 ml SN3 buffer (gently inverted until blue
colour disappeared). The lysate was then clarified by centrifuging at $3000 \times g$ for 2 minutes using the NucleoSpin® Plasmid Filter Column. DNA was then precipitated using SN4 buffer added to the flow through from the filter column (SN4 buffer used was half the volume of the flow through). The NucleoSnap $®$ Plasmid Column was then attached to a vacuum manifold and the precipitated DNA added. -0.3 bar vacuum was applied until all solution had passed through the filter. The membrane was then washed using 2 ml SN5 buffer followed by 4 ml SN6 buffer ( -0.3 bar vacuum applied). The mini spin column was then added to a collection tube and centrifuged for 1 min at $10000 \times g$. The spin column was then placed into a clean elution tube, here differing from the protocol for the final elution step through the use of $500 \mu$ of sterile MilliQ water heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute followed by centrifugation for 1 min at $10000 \times \mathrm{g}$.

### 2.6 General culture and transfections method for the HEK293S cell line

### 2.6.1 General media, reagents and equipment for cell culture

Complete DMEM or DMEM F12 was made by supplementing the media with $10 \%$ Heat Inactivated Foetal Bovine Serum, 2 mM L-Glutamine and 1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin. Media was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All cell culture work was performed under Class 2 Microbiological Safety cabinets (Labgard Class II Biological Safety Cabinet). Cells were kept in incubators for growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

### 2.6.2 Thawing of HEK293S cell line from liquid nitrogen stocks

After removal from liquid nitrogen cell stocks were thawed immediately in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ waterbath. Then under aseptic conditions in a Labgard Class II Biological Safety Cabinet, 9 ml of complete DMEM F12 was added slowly and dropwise. The cells were then centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes before aspiration of the supernatant (avoiding the cell pellet). The cell pellet was then carefully resuspended in 10 ml of complete DMEM F12 and spread evenly onto a 10 cm cell culture plate. The cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}$. Cells were monitored using (microscope) and fed with fresh media and passaged as required - typically every 3-4 days. 1\% blasticidin was added the day after thawing for non-transfected cells. For HEK293S GnTI- TetR3 rhodopsin cell lines $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ G418 was used and for HEK293S TetR9 rhodopsin cell lines $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ was used.

### 2.6.3 Passaging of HEK293S cell line

Confluent cells were split under aseptic conditions in a Labgard Class II Biological Safety Cabinet. Cell monolayers on cell culture dishes were rinsed in 1x PBS before treating with 1 ml 1 x trypsin. Cells were incubated for up to 2 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to detach the cells. Trypsin was then neutralized by the addition of 9 ml of complete DMEM F12 Cells were split (usually at a ratio of 1:4) onto sterile cell culture dishes. For 10 cm dishes, the total volume was made up to 10 ml using complete DMEM F12. For 15 cm dishes the total volume was 25 ml and for 6 well plates the total volume per well was 2 ml .

In preparation for transfection, expansion was performed to give the required number of dishes/wells for the number of transfections to be performed. In these cases they would be split the day before transfection into complete DMEM and at a ratio to give the required confluence for transfection the following day.

### 2.6.4 Transfection of HEK293S cell line using the Calcium BES method

HEK293S cells were grown to a confluence of 40-50\%. $22.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the mutant plasmid and $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of pMT4 pBase were mixed and the solution made up to $450 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in sterile MilliQ water. $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ followed by $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $2 x$ BES was added slowly dropwise over 1 min under constant vortex mixing. The transfection cocktail was added carefully to the HEK293S cell monolayer. Dishes were then incubated for $16-24$ hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

The following day cells were then rinsed in serum free DMEM before adding 10 ml of complete DMEM F12 followed by further incubation for 48 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

At this stage the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing Geneticin (250 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{l})$. Incubation was for a minimum of 10 days, replacing spent medium with fresh every 3-4 days, until 90-95\% confluency was reached. Cells were then fed and passaged as required using complete DMEM F12 and geneticin (250 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{l})$. Freeze downs were made as described in 2.6.6.

The cells were cultured in complete DMEM containing geneticin (250 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ for 24 hours before induction of gene expression (see 2.7).

### 2.6.5 Transfection of HEK293S cell line using the Turbofect method

In order to further reduce the time required to produce stable cell line pools the Turbofect method was utilised. It requires fewer cells initially and less DNA removing the need for medium-scale DNA preparation. It also utilised pB transposase.

Confluent non-transfected cells were split (section 2.6.3) and diluted at a 1:3 ratio of cells in DMEM in a sterile 50 ml tube. 2 ml of cell suspension was added into each well of 6 well cell culture dishes with the aim of having approximately $80 \%$ confluence the following day for transfection after overnight incubation in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator.

A transfection mastermix was prepared containing $200 \mu$ l unsupplemented DMEM and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{pB}$ transposase per transfection. The mastermix was then aliquoted into $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ portions and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the required DNA (the pB007 NCO $\pm$ mutants made by cloning and small scale expressed) was added. $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Turbofect transfection reagent was then added before brief vortexing and then incubation at room temperature for 20 minutes.

The transfection mixture for the mutants and the WT rhodopsin were then added dropwise each to one well of cells ( $80 \%$ confluence) and mixed by gentle swirling. The transfected cells were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 24 hours.

The following day transfected cells were washed in 2 ml of unsupplemented DMEM and then split using $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of trypsin using the same method as described in section 2.6.3 onto two 10 cm cell culture dishes. 96 hours after transfection selection using $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ geneticin was started. Cells were then observed for selection effects, fed and split as necessary. Following the selection, the stably transfected cell line pools could then made into freezedowns and stored in liquid nitrogen and split for induction and protein production experiments as required.

### 2.6.6 Making cell line stocks by freezedown

Cell line stocks were prepared from 10 cm cell culture dishes of $60-90 \%$ confluence (2-4 freezedowns, depending on confluence). Cells were washed, trypsinised and neutralised as in 2.6.3. Following neutralisation the suspended cells were transferred into a 15 ml tube and centrifuged for 5 mins at 1000 rpm . The supernatant was then aspirated. Cells were then resuspended in freezing media ( $90 \%$ complete DMEM F12, 10\% DMSO Hybri-Max, sterile filtered/cell culture grade), 1 ml per freezedown. 1 ml aliquots were then placed into cryovials before freezing at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then transfer to liquid nitrogen.

### 2.7 Examination of WT rhodopsin and rhodopsin RP mutant expression levels

### 2.7.1 Variation of induction time and medium

Experiments were set up to examine expression levels of WT rhodopsin under different conditions.

Confluent cells on 10 cm tissue culture dishes were treated with either:

```
\(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) tetracycline in complete DMEM. 5 mM sodium butyrate in complete DMEM.
\(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate in complete DMEM. complete DMEM alone.
```

The stable cell line for WT rhodopsin was induced (in triplicate) with each medium with dishes incubated in each induction medium for 24,48 and 72 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ before harvesting in 1x PBS (see 2.7.4).

### 2.7.2 Expression of rhodopsin RP mutants by variation of induction medium

Confluent cells on 10 cm tissue culture dishes for each mutant stable cell line underwent gene expression induction as described in 2.7.1 under conditions i, iii and iv. They were incubated for 60-65 hours and harvested in 1x PBS (see 2.7.4).

### 2.7.3 Comparison of expression of opsin and mutants at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Confluent cells on 10 cm tissue culture dishes for the mutants P23A, G101V and G106W alongside a WT control underwent gene expression induction using $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate in complete DMEM (condition iii in 2.7.1). Cells were harvested as described in 2.7.4 after incubation at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $12,24,36$ or 48 hours.

### 2.7.4 Harvesting of induced cells

Cells were harvested using a 10 ml pipette to detach to cells in their induction media from the cell culture dish before centrifuging for 2 minutes at 2000 rpm . The spent induction medium was aspirated and the cells resuspended in $10 \mathrm{ml} 1 \times \mathrm{PBS}$, before
repeating the centrifugation and aspiration of the supernatant. Cells were then resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 1x PBS per 10 cm dish or $1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 1x PBS per 15 cm dish.

### 2.8 Analysis of rhodopsin expression levels by UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy

2.8.1 Formation of rhodopsin pigment by treatment of harvested cells with either

## 9-cis-retinal or 11-cis-retinal

$5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 9-cis-retinal or 11-cis-retinal was added to each cell suspension and mixed for 4-5 hours (11-cis-retinal) or overnight (9-cis-retinal) on a nutator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This, and all subsequent steps were carried out in the dark room under dim red light fitted with Kodak filters.

### 2.8.2 UV-vis Spectroscopy to determine amount of pigment formation

For solubilisation, retinal treated samples in $1 \times$ PBS were made to final concentrations of $1 \%$ DDM and 0.1 mM PMSF by addition to each cell suspension under dark conditions and mixed by nutation for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solubilised cell samples were then centrifuged using the Sovrall © Discovery ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 90SE by Hitachi for 20 mins, 15000 rpm, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 UV/vis spectrophotometer was used for generation of spectral data. A baseline was established using $1 \times$ PBS containing 1\% DDM in sample and reference cuvettes. The supernatant of the solubilised cell samples was loaded into the sample cuvette and a spectrum was obtained between 650 to 250 nm . The sample was then photobleached for 60 seconds using the KL 1500 compact SCHOTT
light source fitted with a >495 nm long-pass filter and the spectra again obtained between 650-250 nm.

The dark and illuminated spectra for each sample could then be used to generate a difference spectrum. This was calculated by the dark spectrum values minus the corresponding illuminated spectrum values.

### 2.9 Expression of rhodopsin RP mutants and purification for use in their functional analysis

### 2.9.1 Cell culture and induction for expression rhodopsin RP mutants for functional analysis

Cells were grown, induced and harvested as described in 2.6/2.7 using 15 cm cell culture plates. Harvested cells were treated with 11-cis-retinal as described in 2.8.1, differing only in mixing overnight (16 hours). 11-cis-retinal treatment and all subsequent steps were carried out in the dark room under dim red light.

### 2.9.2 Rho 1D4-sepharose bead preparation for use in purification of rhodopsin

Rho 1D4-sepharose beads were prepared using 5 mg of 1D4 antibody per 1 ml of sepharose slurry required. First, Cynagen Bromide ( CnBr ) sepharose was activated by washing with 1 mM HCl using a sintered glass filter and mixing with a glass rod. The volume of HCl required is 200 ml per 1 g of dry beads to be activated.

The activated beads were then added to a plastic bottle containing the 1D4 antibody and nutated at room temperature for 2 hours before overnight nutation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The bead/antibody mixture was divided into 50 ml tubes and centrifuged at $1000 \times g$ for 2 minutes. The supernatant was removed and checked for remaining antibody. 1 M
ethanolamine ( pH 8.0 ) was then added to the beads at an equivalent volume to the removed supernatant and incubated at room temperature for 2 to 3 hours. The beads were then washed using the sintered glass filter and using a glass rod for mixing alternating between the buffers i) $0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.3)$ and ii) 0.1 M $\mathrm{NaOAc}, 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{pH} 4.0) .100 \mathrm{ml}$ of buffer was used for each wash and the washes repeated 4 times for each buffer. The beads were then stored in a $50 \%$ mixture with $1 \times$ PBS with $0.1 \% \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.9.3 Rhodopsin and rhodopsin RP mutant purification

Details of buffers used for purification are described in Table 2.2 Biochemistry. Rhodopsin purification was performed using immunoaffinity chromatography utilising Rho-1D4 antibody Sepharose as previously described (Reeves et al., 1999). The method for preparation of the 1D4-sepharose is described in 2.9.2. 11-cis-retinal treated induced cell samples were solubilised (final concentrations of 1\% DDM and 0.1 mM PMSF) by mixing for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were then centrifuged using the Sovrall $®^{\circledR}$ Discovery ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 90SE by Hitachi for 30 mins, $10000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The overall pigment content of the sample was assessed using a $10 \%$ sample of the supernatant diluted in solubilisation buffer (1xPBS, 1\% DDM and 0.1 mM PMSF) which was then analysed by UV-vis spectroscopy difference as described in 2.8.2. This gave an estimated amount of rhodopsin (or mutant rhodopsin) in the total sample, to which the total yield following purification could be compared.
$50 \%$ 1xPBS, Rho 1D4 Sepharose slurry ( $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of rhodopsin yield expected, where the binding capacity of the beads was found to be approximately $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to the remaining supernatant which was removed from the cell pellet without disruption. This was mixed end over end at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. 2 ml purification
columns were prepared by filling with RO water and the addition of a frit which had been pre-soaked in RO water to prevent air bubbles. The frit was pushed to the base of the column, and the water flowed until it was approximately 1 mm above the frit.

The binding mix was then placed into the column, and the buffer was allowed to flow, collected as a flow through sample, the beads remained above the frit with the rhodopsin bound. The column was then washed with 20 ml high salt wash buffer followed by 10 ml low salt wash buffer (see Table 2.2, Biochemistry). A sample of the flow through and each wash buffer was saved. The column was then equilibrated with low salt elution buffer, which was flowed immediately, prior to the elution step.

The elution step consisted of 3 low salt elution's followed by 3 high salt elution's. 550 $\mu$ l low salt elution buffer was used for three consecutive elution's to obtain correctly folded protein followed by $550 \mu \mathrm{l}$ high salt elution (three times) to obtain incorrectly folded protein (Opefi et al., 2013). Each time, the elution buffer was added carefully to the column to not disturb the beads, and then the column kept at room temperature in the dark before the elution buffer was flowed and collected in a microcentrifuge tube. The next aliquot of the elution buffer was added and the 1 hour incubation at room temperature followed by collection of the elution fraction was completed, and these steps repeated until all 6 elution fractions were complete (3 low salt, 3 high salt). Where necessary, the addition of any elution buffer step could be performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the incubation time increased to overnight before elution. All eluates were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark.

To assess the rhodopsin/ mutant yield in the eluates, the eluates were first centrifuged for 30 mins, $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using a dark secure rotor. The UV-vis dark spectrum was collected for each eluate using the same settings and protocol as described in
2.8.2, but no photobleaching step was performed and the spectrophotometer was baselined using low salt wash or high salt wash as relevant for the samples to be examined. Data was normalised at 650 nm for an absorbance of 0 .

Samples were stored in the dark at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to use for function analysis either in Fisherbrand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Black Microcentrifuge Tubes ( 1.5 ml ) or in clear microcentrifuge tubes wrapped in 3 layers of aluminium foil to prevent exposure to light.

### 2.9.4 Stability of purified rhodopsin pigments

The stability of purified protein stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was assessed by repeating the UV-vis dark spectrum for each, which was compared to the initial dark spectra readings for each pigment to ensure there was no loss of pigment during storage time prior to use for functional analysis.

### 2.9.5 Rhodopsin and opsin purification in LMNG

Protein was purified in LMNG following the same procedure as described for purification in DDM described in 2.9.3, with the differing solubilisation, wash and elution buffers as described in Table 2.2 (Biochemistry). Further details of the use of this method are described in Chapter 5.

### 2.10 Spectral and functional analysis of rhodopsin RP mutants

### 2.10.1 Formation of rhodopsin pigment from purified opsins

A UV-vis spectrum was recorded for purified N2C/D282C opsin in DDM and WT opsin and RP mutants in LMNG. $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 11-cis-retinal was added and a spectrum from 250 to 650 nm (slit width $=2 \mathrm{~nm}$, scan speed $480 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ ) was then recorded at 90 second intervals for a minimum of 30 minutes. The sample was kept at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout using a circulating waterbath connected to the cuvette holder.

### 2.10.2 Assessment of rhodopsin RP mutant stability at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Purified rhodopsin in low salt elution buffer was kept at either $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a circulating waterbath connected to the cuvette holder for 2 minutes in a quartz cuvette. UV-vis spectra were obtained from 250-650 nm every 2 minutes over the course of 30 minutes, followed by every 20 minutes for up to 24 hours. Data was normalised at 650 nm . The thermal decay was assessed through recording the decrease absorbance at $\lambda$ max and the increase of absorbance at 380 nm and curve fitting the resulting data.

### 2.10.3 Capture of the rhodopsin RP mutant-Schiff base in the dark state

A UV-vis dark spectrum was recorded for low salt wash buffer containing $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of purified rhodopsin. $6 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added to both the sample and the reference cuvette, and a UV-vis dark state spectrum was recorded after 2 minutes. Data was normalised at 650 nm .

### 2.10.4 Photobleaching of rhodopsin RP mutants

Low salt elution fractions with absorbance at $\lambda \max >0.02$ in low salt wash buffer were used. The UV-vis dark spectrum was then obtained as described in 2.10.3. The
sample was then manually photobleached for 30 seconds using the KL 1500 compact SCHOTT light source equipped with a >495 nm long pass filter, following which a UVvis spectrum was obtained ( 250 to 650 nm ). The photobleaching and spectrum collecting process was then repeated a further 3 times (total photobleaching time 120 seconds).

After the fourth photobleach spectrum was collected, $3 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added to both the sample and the reference cuvette, and a final spectrum was collected after 2 minutes ( 250 to 650 nm ). Data was normalised at $650 \mathrm{~nm}=0$ absorbance.

### 2.10.5 Examination of the stability of the Meta II (active) state of rhodopsin RP mutants

Upon absorption of a photon, 11-cis-retinal isomerises and leads to conformational changes in the rhodopsin protein to form its active state known as Meta II (Meng and Bourne, 2001; Ferrari et al., 2011). This leads to an increase in fluorescence caused by the retinal no longer quenching tryptophan fluorescence which is analogous to the decay of Meta II (Farrens and Khorana, 1995). Rhodopsin protein (or mutant rhodopsin) was diluted in Meta II buffer (details in Table 2.2 Biochemistry). The sample was then loaded into the Perkin Elmer Luminescence Spectrometer LS50B and kept at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a circulating waterbath connected to the cuvette holder (temperatures before and after were recorded using the Omega HH800A thermocouple). The spectrophotometer 'timedrive' function was used with the following parameters: excitation wavelength 280 nm ( 5 nm slit width) emission wavelength 330 nm (10 nm slit width), measurements taken at 30 second intervals ( 2 second measurement time) for a minimum of 2 hours. The lamp was set to off to avoid interference with the measurement. The measurement was begun and after 5 minutes the sample was
illuminated using the KL 1500 compact SCHOTT light source equipped with a >495 nm long pass filter for 30 seconds and the measurements continued for the remaining time. Data was normalised to start at an absorbance of 0 immediately after photobleaching. Meta II buffer was changed to contain $0.02 \%$ LMNG where the protein was purified in LMNG.

### 2.10.6 Purification of transducin from bovine retinas

Transducin was prepared from bovine retinas, using a modified version of the methods described previously (Opefi, 2011). Frozen bovine retinas stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were thawed overnight on ice at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before purification of transducin. Full details of the buffers used in this method can be found in section 2.1.2, Table 2.3.

Retinas were divided into centrifuge tubes before thorough mixing with $30 \%$ sucrose buffer. Centrifugation was carried out at 6000 rpm for 6 minutes, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the JA25.50 rotor in the Beckman Coulter Avanti JXN-26 centrifuge. The supernantant kept on ice. The pellet was again thoroughly mixed with $30 \%$ sucrose buffer and centrifuged. The supernatant was then combined with that from the first centrifugation step and mixed at a 1:1 volume of supernatant to 70 mM potassium phosphate buffer. The crude ROS (rod outer segments) were pelleted using the above centrifuge and rotor, this time at $20,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for $30 \mathrm{mins}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant removed carefully. The crude ROS pellets were then resuspended in 20 ml of $15 \%$ sucrose buffer followed by manual homogenisation using a pestle and homogeniser. The homogenised mixture was divided into 10 ml fractions in centrifugation tubes and underlayed using a needle with 10 ml 0.64 M sucrose buffer. These were then centrifuged again as per the previous step and the supernatant discarded.

The crude ROS were then resuspended to a total volume of 7 ml per tube to be used in the next step (e.g. 21 ml total volume for 3 tubes to use in the next step) in 0.64 M sucrose buffer and manually homogenised. Beckman-Coulter Ultraclear centrifuge tubes were prepared with 9 ml 0.78 M sucrose buffer, underlaid with 9 ml 1.0 M sucrose buffer and that underlaid with 9 ml 1.2 M sucrose buffer. The crude ROS in 0.64 M sucrose buffer was then overlaid carefully at the top of the tube. The tubes were carefully loaded into the JS 24.38 swing bucket rotor and centrifuged using the Beckman Coulter Avanti JXN-30 centrifuge at 103, $860 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 60 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with no deceleration (for this centrifuge, it was set to ' 11 ' 'coast' setting for deceleration).

Following centrifugation ROS membranes should have separated and gathered at the $0.78 \mathrm{M} / 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ sucrose buffer interface. The interface was collected by piercing the side of the tube using a needle attached to a syringe to collect the ROS membranes. The ROS membranes were then mixed to a total of 50 ml in 70 mM potassium phosphate buffer and kept on ice at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

The ROS membranes were split into Beckman Coulter centrifuge tubes (approximately 25 ml per tube) and centrifuged using the Beckman Coulter Avanti JXN-30 centrifuge using the JA. 30.50 fixed angle rotor at $100,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in 30 ml 1x hypotonic buffer followed by manual homogenisation and passing through a needle. The centrifugation and pellet washing steps were repeated a further 2 times.

The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in 30 ml GTP buffer followed by manual homogenisation and passing through a needle. The pellet resuspended in buffer was centrifuged using the Beckman Coulter Avanti JXN-30 centrifuge using the JA. 30.50 fixed angle rotor at $100,000 \times g$ for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
resulting in the extraction of the G protein transducin. The supernatant was kept on ice whilst the extraction step was repeated a further two times.

The supernatants from the extraction step were combined and ran through a column (following its equilibration with 15 ml hypotonic buffer) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a flow rate of approximately $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

The next day, a white band was visible in the column which is the bound transducin. Any remaining buffer was flowed, following which 1 ml of wash buffer was added and the flow through collected. This was repeated so a total of 10 ml of wash buffer had been passed through the column and collected separately. 1 ml of elution buffer was then added and the flow through collected, again repeated to a total of 10 ml and collected separately. The elution fractions containing transducin as determined by their protein concentration were combined and dialysed overnight using 8000 MWCO BioDesign Dialysis tubing in 1 L of dialysis buffer with stirring at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The purified G protein was then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for use in the transducin activation assay.

### 2.10.7 Transducin activation assay

Transducin was purified from bovine retina outer segments as described in $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 . 6}$ for use in the transducin activation assay. Purified transducin ( 100 mM ) was added to the transducin assay buffer (see Table 2.2 Biochemistry) in a cuvette containing a stirrer, on ice. Under dark conditions by red lights, 5 nM rhodopsin (or mutant rhodopsin) was added, and the sample inverted 5 times before being photobleached for 30 seconds using the KL 1500 compact SCHOTT light source equipped with a $>495 \mathrm{~nm}$ long pass filter. The sample was then loaded into the Shimazdu fluorometer with electronic stirring and circulating waterbath set to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A timecourse started, set to take readings every 2 seconds for 2000 seconds, with wavelength set to 295 nm, emission wavelength to

340 nm . After approximately 500 seconds, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GTPyS was added and the timecourse continued to take readings for approximately another 1500 seconds, measuring the relative fluorescence increase caused by intrinsic fluorescence when GDP is exchanged for GTP (Phillips and Cerione, 1988).

Transducin assay buffer was changed to contain $0.02 \%$ LMNG instead of DDM where the protein was purified in LMNG, all other buffer components remained the same and the assay was performed in the same manner.

### 2.10.12 SDS PAGE

A running gel was prepared (see Table 2.2) and left to polymerise for 30 minutes and then stacking gel was added and left to polymerise for 15 minutes. Alternatively, using the pre-cast gels GenScript SurePAGE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Bis-Tris, $10 \times 810 \% 15$ well gels, were run using 1 sachet of GenScript Tris-MOPS-SDS Running Buffer Powder prepared in 1L RO water (as instructed by the packaging) for $1 \times$ MOPS tank buffer. Rhodopsin samples were prepared in $4 x$ SDS PAGE loading buffer. The gel was loaded into the tank along with SDS PAGE tank buffer or MOPS tank buffer as appropriate, following which the samples were loaded and then run at 80 V for 10 mins and the 200 V for 60 - 90 mins.

### 2.10.12 Coomassie blue staining

The gel was added to a container holding Coomassie stain, for 1 hour at room temperature with rocking. The gel was then rinsed with and stored overnight in RO water before photographic imaging on a light box after 16 hours.

### 2.10.12 Western blot

Details for the buffers used for Western blot can be found in Table 2.2. Equipment for transfer was equilibrated in chilled transfer buffer. The gel and nitrocellulose membrane were then loaded into the transfer cassette. The cassette was prepared with sponges on each side, 2 layers of blotting paper, a nitrocellulose membrane, and the gel. The tank was then filled with transfer buffer and electrophoresis was run at 100 V for 60 mins. The nitrocellulose membrane was removed from the cassette and placed in a container for blocking with 50 ml blocking buffer at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

The nitrocellulose membrane was washed 3 times in $1 \times$ PBS and then incubated for 5 hours at room temperature in 1D4-primary antibody buffer. 50 ml Western blot wash buffer was added for 5 mins with gentle rocking, 5 times. The nitrocellulose membrane was incubated in 10 ml ECL buffer, 1 min room temperature. For all steps, details of the buffers used can be found in Table 2.2. After incubation in ECL buffer the membrane was wrapped in cling film and loaded into the imager. Fusion software was used for imaging, using the chemiluminescence protocol on auto. Manual marker exposure was then carried out, with 1 second exposure time. The images were then merged in the Fusion software using the processing tab.

### 2.11 Computational methods

### 2.11.1 PyMOL

PyMOL version 0.99 was used to generate all PyMOL images, and the mutagenesis wizard was used to introduce the studied mutations into the rhodopsin structure (PDB 1U19).

### 2.11.2 SigmaPlot

Curve fitting and statistics were performed using SigmaPlot as appropriate for the data collected, the type of curve fitted or statistical test performed is stated where this has been used.

## 3. Development of an inducible piggyBac expression

## system for rhodopsin and mutant production

### 3.1 Introduction

### 3.1.1 Inducible stable cell lines

Expression of rhodopsin and other GPCR's for biophysical structure and function experiments demands many milligrams of protein (Reeves et al., 2002a). Previously it was shown that through exploiting a synthetic bovine opsin gene, stable mammalian cell lines could be produced expressing opsin at a minimum of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Reeves et al., 1996). This approach has been used extensively for preparation of samples for magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR investigations (Eilers et al., 1999; Klein-Seetharaman et al., 1999).

Toxicity of the certain expressed proteins required the development of an inducible system for rhodopsin expression, with the opsin gene being under control of a CMV promoter containing TetO sequences (Reeves et al., 2002a). This system allowed for further improvements in the expression levels of WT rhodopsin (up to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) by the addition of tetracycline and sodium butyrate to the growth media of high cell density cultures (Reeves et al., 2002a).

A further issue when obtaining rhodopsin for studies such as crystallisation, is the heterogeneity of the complex glycans (Reeves et al., 2002b). To overcome this, a HEK293S cell line deficient for N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase (GNTI) activity was developed, this restriction on the formation of N -glycan led to homogeneous expression of the N -glycan Man5 $\mathrm{GlcAc}_{2}$ (Reeves et al., 2002b).

When studying a wide variety of rhodopsin mutants, there is a need to produce large amounts of each sample; however, the process of developing inducible, stable cell lines for this purpose is time consuming and laborious. Here, the aim was to produce inducible, stable cell lines, without the usual requirement to isolate individual colonies expressing the retinitis pigmentosa (RP) mutants of interest.

### 3.1.2 PiggyBac transposon vector

The use of the 'piggyBac' transposon vector for the expression of rhodopsin in HEK293S GNTI-- cell lines was investigated in an attempt to reduce the time taken to produce inducible, stable cell lines expressing high levels of rhodopsin.

The piggyBac transposon vector is thought to target integration sites in the host chromosomal DNA that favour high level expression (Yusa, 2015). Also, the design of a transposon containing the use of 'insulators' to shield the transgene were found to be effective (Sarkar et al., 2006). Furthermore, the transposon is capable of multiple insertions and of multiple distinct transgenes thus effectively increasing the copy number of the transgene (Kahlig et al., 2010). Copy number of the transgene can vary significantly, ranging from several to several hundred (Adelman et al., 2004).

### 3.1.4 Aims

Here, the aim was to make a piggyBac transposon vectors containing RHO or RHO RP mutants for construction of stable cell lines for inducible expression of opsin at high levels.

### 3.2 Methods - Construction of mutant rhodopsin in the plasmid pB007NCO

### 3.2.1 Construction of mutant rhodopsin in the plasmid pB007NCO by

## Quikchange mutagenesis

QuikChange reactions were utilised to incorporate the rhodopsin RP mutants into a plasmid where a site-directed mutagenesis primer is used to cause DNA mutations in a gene to incorporate a mutant codon for a specific amino acid. The DNA template was diluted to a concentration of $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. The primers were diluted in MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a concentration of $75 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, and frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ post dilution. Forward and reverse primers for the E122G, R252P and S298D bovine rhodopsin mutations were used as appropriate (see Appendix 1).

The reaction was set up in PCR tubes as follows. $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10X PFU Turbo buffer (Agilent), $6 \%$ DMSO, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, $0.66 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{p}$ p007NCO-rho, 1.25 units of PFU Turbo Hot-Start DNA polymerase, and $2.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of both forward and reverse mutagenic primers made up to a total volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Then using the Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermal Cycler the mutagenesis was performed with the conditions set as described in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 PCR conditions for Quikchange mutagenesis of pB007NCO-rho

| Step | Temperature | Time (minutes) | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Initial denaturation | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $2: 00$ | 1 |
| 2 Denaturation | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0: 50$ | Steps $2-4$ were repeated to |
| 3 Anneal | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $1: 00$ | have each cycled 15 times |
| 4 Extension | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $13: 00$ | in total. |
| 5 Hold | $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\infty$ | 1 |

This method was also employed for the production of the K311E rhodopsin mutant, which didn't work. The method worked for the mutants E122G, R252P and S298D, however its unreliability meant that a cloning method was used going forward to produce further rhodopsin mutants.
$25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the mutagenesis product plus $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Dpnl was mixed and incubated for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each mutagenesis product, or $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Dpnl digested product was added to the following: $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of gel red dye, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 6 X loading dye and $9 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 X loading buffer. These were run on an agarose gel as according to Section 2.2.2.

### 3.2.2 Construction of mutant rhodopsin in the plasmid pB007NCO by molecular cloning

As an alternative to Quikchange mutagenesis which was not found to be a reliable method for construction of rhodopsin mutants, a molecular cloning method was used. Throughout the following process $E$. coli was used for small scale expression of the plasmids at each stage. Quikchange mutagenesis was first performed on pMT4-rho to incorporate mutations as required (G101V, V104F, G106W and K311E). Each of the mutant sequences were then cut from the plasmid backbone by Kpnl/Notl digestion and a methylene blue agarose gel (see Chapter 2) was used to separate the fragments. The fragment containing the rhodopsin/mutant rhodopsin gene was excised from the gel and the DNA purified (see Chapter 2). The purified fragments were then ligated into pACMV TetO which had also been digested with $\mathrm{Kpnl} /$ Notl to form pACMV TetO-rhodopsin(mutant). Following expression in E. coli PCR using NEOCMV primers (sequence shown in Appendix 2) were used for PCR of the WT rhodopsin pACMV TetO plasmid as well as for each mutant. The PCR fragment
contains the rhodopsin gene under the control of the CMV[TetO] promoter and followed by the neomycin resistance gene which is under the weak control of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{d}}$ promoter (Reeves et al., 2002a). The PCR fragment was then digested using the Nhel restriction enzyme and again using a methylene blue agarose gel separated from the waste fragments before excision and purification from the gel. The plasmid pB007 was also digested with Nhel, and the fragments separated on and purified from a methylene blue agarose gel. In this case the backbone fragment of pB 007 was excised and purified. The digested PCR fragment was ligated into the pB007 backbone. The pB007-NeoCMV[TetO]Opsin (NCO) (土mutants) was then expressed on a small scale by $E$. coli and then expressed on a medium scale for those used in the Calcium/BES transfection method (see Chapter 2). Figure 3.1 shows the steps of the cloning protocol.


Figure 3.1 Cloning scheme for the production of rhodopsin mutant genes in the pB007 plasmid. A mutagenic primer pair are used to perform QuikChange mutagenesis on the pMT4-rho plasmid. The mutant pMT4-rho plasmid was then digested with Kpnl and Notl, and the pACMV-TetO-rho plasmid was also digested with these restriction enzymes. These were then ligated to form pACMV-TetO-rho (mutants). PCR was then performed with the NeoCMV primers, following which a Nhel restriction enzyme digestion was performed on the PCR fragment and the pB007NCO plasmid. Ligation of the fragment and plasmid formed the pB007-NCO-rho (mutant). The piggyBac transposon cargo will insert into the genome of the cells into which it is transfected. At each end of the piggyBac transposon cargo are inverted terminal repeat sections (ITR), insulator sequences. The rhodopsin mutant is under control of the CMV [TetO] promoter and followed by a polyA tail, and also contains the neomycin resistance gene which is under the control of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{d}$ promoter and followed by a polyA tail. Created with BioRender.com

### 3.2.2 Transfection to produce stable cell lines and induction of rhodopsin expression

Materials and methods for the culture, transfection and induction of the stable cell lines is described in Chapter 2.6-2.7. For the E122G, R252P and S298D the Quikchange/ calcium/BES-pBase method was used for transfection (Chapter 2.6.4). For all other mutants the cloning/ turbofect method was used (Chapter 2.6.5).

### 3.2.3 Determination of WT rhodopsin expression levels by UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy

Method of UV-vis spectroscopy for determination of rhodopsin expression is described in Chapter 2.8. Expression levels experiments for the rhodopsin mutants can be found in Chapter 4 (EL1 mutants) and Chapter 5 (E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E).

### 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Construction of mutant rhodopsin - pB007NCO

The RP mutants E122G, R252P and S298D seen in rhodopsin were constructed due to their unknown phenotype which did not appear to be obvious misfolding. Construction of the E122G, R252P and S298D rhodopsin mutants was achieved through Quikchange mutagenesis of the pB007 NCO plasmid. Following mutagenesis and Dpnl digestion, an agarose gel was run (Figure 3.2A) which determined that in the case of E122G, R252P and S298D that mutagenic product had been formed. These were used for the transformation of E. coli, and a small-scale production was used to produce the plasmids for purification. Following Nhel digestion and agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 3.2B) the E122G, R252P and S298D mutant plasmids were found to be of the correct overall size. Sequencing of the rhodopsin gene within the plasmid showed the presence of the required mutations.


Figure 3.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis of A) mutagenesis products and B) Mini-prep products. A) Mutagenesis products, pre and post Dpnl digestion. Lane 1 Thermoscientific GeneRuler 1kb DNA ladder. 2/3 E122G, 4/5 R252P, 6/7 S298D, 8/9 K311E, 10/11 no primer, 12/13 no polymerase, 14/15 pMT4 control. B) Mini-prep products after Nhel digestion. Lane 1 and 12 Thermoscientific GeneRuler 1kb DNA ladder. 2-4 E122G, 5-6 R252P, 7-8 S298D, 9 K311E, 10 WT, 11, undigested WT.

### 3.3.2 Construction of rhodopsin RP mutants using molecular cloning method

 For the production of K311E, and N2C D282C WT rhodopsin/mutant genes, a molecular cloning method was employed (Figure 3.3). The mutations were produced by quikchange mutagenesis of the pMT4-rho or pMT4-rho(N2C/D282C) plasmids as appropriate and replicated $\mathrm{in} /$ mini-prepped from E. coli. Digestion of the plasmids using Kpnl and Notl allowed for cloning into the pACMV TetO background, which again was replicated in and mini-prepped from E. coli. PCR of the pACMV TetO Opsin (mutants) produced a section containing the transposon cargo. Finally digestion using Nhel removed the transposon cargo which could then be cloned into pB007NCO. Sequencing was used to confirm the presence of the correct mutations throughout and results of this can be found in Appendix 3.```
pACMV TetO
N2C/D282C Opsin
pMT4 N2C/D282C/RP mutant Opsin
```

Digestion with Kpnl and Notl


PCR of pACMV TetO
N2C/D282C/RP mutant


Ligation into Nhel digested pB007NCO

RP mutants in pB007NCO N2C/D282C background
Figure 3.3 Cloning steps for the construction of the rhodopsin RP mutants. Methylene blue stained agarose gels for the construction of pB007 NCO. pACMV TetO Opsin and pMT4 RP mutant opsin (both N2/D282C) were digested with Kpnl and Notl. The pACMV TetO vector fragment and RP mutant rhodopsin fragments were purified from the gel and ligated. Then PCR of the transposon element was performed. Nhel
digestion of this and ligation of the approximately 4000 bp fragment into the pB007 vector produced the RP mutants in the N2C/D282C background in the piggyBac vector.

### 3.3.2 Medium scale production of pB007NCO plasmids containing rhodopsin mutants

Confirmed mutants made through both mutagenesis and cloning methods were used for medium scale plasmid DNA production. After medium scale plasmid production was complete, confirmation of the transposon inserts presence, rhodopsin genes presence and relevant mutation presence was achieved using Nhel digests, Kpnl/Notl digests and sequencing respectively (Figure 3.4). By using Nhel to digest the midiprep products, the presence of the transposon insert in each mutant (bands at approximately 4000 bp ) was shown. The bands at approximately 5000 bp is the rest of the pB007 NCO vector and bands at approximately 9000 bp are linearised vector. Digestion with Kpnl and Notl showed a band at approximately 1000 bp for all mutants (rhodopsin gene), with variation in the vector size bands.

Sequencing of the midi-prep products showed the presence of the relevant mutations in the pB007 NCO background (Figure 3.5). The opsin gene with each of the RP mutants both with and without the N2C and D282C mutations was seen. These were then used for the transfection of the HEK293S Ric15 TetR9 GnTl- rhodopsin cell line.


Figure 3.4 Construction of mutant rhodopsin genes in pB007NCO. Kpnl/Notl (A) and Nhel digestion (B) of the midi prep plasmids. Midi-prep restriction enzyme digests and sequencing. A shows a digest with Nhel and B shows a digest using Kpnl and Notl. In both $A$ and $B$, lanes 1 and 12 shows the ThermoScientific GeneRuler. A and B lane 2 and $C$ show E122. Lane 3 and $G$ show E122G stabilised. Lane 4 and $D$ show R252P. Lane 5 and H show R252P stabilised. Lane 6 and E show S298D. Lane 7 and I show S298D stabilised. Lane 8 and F show K311E. Lane 9 and J show K311E stabilised. WT and WT stabilised are shown in lanes 10 and 11 respectively.


Figure 3.5 Sequence mutation confirmation. Sequencing shows the presence of the mutations for each of the retinitis pigmentosa (RP) mutants and the N2C D282C mutations where relevant. Yellow boxes highlight the mutated codon. The WT codons at each position are: aac (N2), gac (D282), gaa (E122), cgt (R252), tct (S298) and aag (K311).

### 3.3.3 Expression of WT rhodopsin

The HEK2923S RTG pB Opsin WT cell line was grown on 10 cm cell culture dishes in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10\% FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine and 1\% PenStrep. Cells were passaged by trypsinisation to expand to the necessary number of 10 cm cell culture dishes. The cells were grown to confluence ( $1 \mathrm{e}^{7}$ cells), fed with DMEM (supplemented as above) and then the following day were induced with tetracycline (2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), sodium butyrate ( 5 mM ) or both in DMEM (supplemented as above) and harvested in 1x PBS after 24, 48 and 72 hours. Following 5 hours treatment with 11-cis-retinal, UV-vis spectroscopy in dark and photobleached states was performed and Beer-Lambert Law used to calculate the yield of rhodopsin pigment based upon the absorbance difference (Figure 3.6). Non-induced cells and cells induced with sodium butyrate alone produced very small amounts of rhodopsin pigment at all time points. When induced with tetracycline alone, after 24 hours an average of $8.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of rhodopsin pigment was produced. This increased to $21.9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $23.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ after 48 and 72 hours respectively. The greatest mass of rhodopsin pigment produced at each time point, was in the cells induced with both tetracycline and sodium butyrate. $70.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 76.9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $80.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ were produced at 24,48 and 72 hours respectively.


Figure 3.6 Induction condition variations for the expression of the opsin gene in stable cell lines. Cells were grown on 10 cm cell culture dishes to confluence before induction in DMEM with either $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline, 5 mM sodium butyrate or both. The average mass of rhodopsin produced by triplicate cell culture dishes following treatment with 11-cis-retinal as determined by UV-vis spectroscopy was calculated. Error bars show one standard deviation between the three replicates.

### 3.3.4 Cell line stability

The stability of the cell line HEK293S Ric15 TetR9 GnTl- rhodopsin was determined though continuous culture, with inductions taking place on every second passage of the cells. The cells (mixed pools following transfection) were either kept in complete DMEM media containing the selection antibiotic G418 at $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ or complete DMEM with no selection antibiotic.

In Figure 3.7, the yield of rhodopsin pigment over the course of 16 passages (2 per week for 8 weeks) is shown. For the cells in the selection antibiotic, the yield of pigment remained fairly stable throughout the passages, with an average yield across all weeks of $57.7 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. The cells kept in culture without the selection antibiotic showed higher yields in the first two passages however this then dropped down to similar levels to that of the cell line with selection, giving an overall average yield of $63.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.


Figure 3.7 Yield of rhodopsin pigment obtained across 16 passages (twice weekly for 4 weeks). HEK293S Ric15 TetR9 GnTI rhodopsin cells were kept in continuous culture over the course of 4 weeks, with twice weekly passaging. The cells were either kept in complete DMEM media or complete DMEM with the selection antibiotic G418 at $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ whilst in growth and expansion stages each week. The cells were split onto $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ cell culture dishes for induction of protein production every passage, with a further 10 cm dish kept for growth and the next expansion. For induction, the media was replaced to complete DMEM with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate for 65 hours. Harvested cell suspensions were treated with 9-cis-retinal overnight, the rhodopsin solubilised and then UV-vis difference spectra between 250 and 650 nm (pre and post photobleaching) were obtained. The difference in absorbance at 500 nm was then used to determine the yield through Beer-Lambert law assuming $\varepsilon 42700 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and molecular weight of 43200 Da. Average yields across the passages are shown with error bars representing the standard deviation. The average yield across all dishes throughout all 4 weeks was $57.7 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $63.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ for the selected and unselected cells respectively.

### 3.3.5 Expression of WT rhodopsin, RP mutants and stabilised rhodopsin/ RP mutants

After production of inducible, stable cell lines for WT opsin, the opsin RP mutants, stabilised WT opsin and stabilised opsin RP mutants induction of 10 cm confluent dishes was performed to assess expression levels. These plates were induced using sodium butyrate and tetracycline, or tetracycline, in complete DMEM. Non-induced samples were produced from cells grown in complete DMEM only, alongside $\mathrm{GnTl}^{-}$ cells isolated from a single colony stable cell line expressing WT rhodopsin through pACMV TetO WT Opsin.

After treatment of the harvested cells with 11-cis-retinal for 5 hours, the cells were solubilised as before and UV-vis absorbance spectra were obtained for each. The peak difference between dark and photobleached spectra was used in Beer-Lambert Law to calculate the amount of rhodopsin pigment produced (Figure 3.7).

In all cases, induction with tetracycline and sodium butyrate expressed the highest yields in comparison to their tetracycline and non-induced counterparts. Tetracycline induced cells expressed a moderate amount in comparison to the tetracycline and sodium butyrate induced counterparts. Little to no expression was yielded by noninduced samples. The level of expression varied greatly between mutants, with V104F and K311E expressing at WT like levels. G101V and G106W expressed at very low levels or had no detectable pigment. All other mutants expressed at levels between approximately $12.5 \%$ and $60 \%$ of WT rhodopsin.


Figure 3.8 Expression of WT rhodopsin, rhodopsin RP mutants and their stabilised counterparts. Stable cell line pools were used for expression of rhodopsin and its mutants using tetracycline $\pm$ sodium butyrate in the induction media. UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy was used to determine the rhodopsin pigment yield. The mean yield for each mutant is shown (blue bars = tetracycline and sodium butyrate induced, orange bars = tetracycline induced and grey bars = non-induced control). Error bars represent 1 standard deviation.

### 3.4 Discussion

The use of QuikChange mutagenesis on the pB007 NCO plasmid was attempted but found to be inefficient, likely due to the plasmids large size (Yang et al., 2022). Progression to a cloning based method was therefore attempted which yielded better results and was therefore favoured going forwards. This is particularly important for cases where multiple mutations are being introduced, for example mutations needed in the stabilised rhodopsin background. Other methods for introducing multiple mutations in larger plasmids include a ligation of fragment ends after PCR method and use of primers with non-overlapping sequences (Liu and Naismith, 2008; Zeng et al., 2018). The cloning method provided a sequential method for the production of mutant plasmids for transfection.

It was previously found that the pACMV TetO Opsin WT could be expressed in HEK293S cells when induced with tetracycline and sodium butyrate at $75 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in 10 cm dishes after 72 h , with $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $55 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ after 24 and 48 hours respectively (Reeves et al., 2002a). Here, with the piggyBac expression system a similar yield of pigment was seen after 72 hours, approximately $80 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. However, higher amounts were also seen at 24 h and 48 h , with approximately $70 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $77 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ produced. This has shown that the use this method to produce inducible stable cell line pools is as effective if not more than the previous method used to express rhodopsin. Use of the tetracycline inducible system helps to counter toxic effects caused by rhodopsin mutants (Reeves et al., 2002a). The piggyBac system itself has a number of advantages. The presence of the insulator sequences protect the cargo from gene silencing effects if inserted into transcriptionally inactive regions (Pérez-González and Caro, 2019). It also provides a high copy number of the transposon cargo being inserted, however variation in copy number has been noted previously between cell lines (Adelman et al., 2004). The
method used here to produce a stably transfected cell line pool is much faster (approximately 3 weeks) compared to previous methods requiring colony isolation and growth as well as removing the need for multiple transient transfections to produce high levels of rhodopsin transiently (Reeves et al., 1996).

Cell line stability when in continuous culture was also probed here which was important due to the pooled cell nature of the cell lines produced. Results of this experiment were not fully conclusive particularly in the case of the cells grown without selection where the expression level dropped and then increased again following the final 2 passages however this could be explained by the differences in the piggyBac cargo copy number which was previously shown to range from several to several thousand and instability of the inserted genes (Adelman et al., 2004). For the cells grown in the medium with G418 selection, less variation in the expression was seen across the weeks however variation was still present.

The N2C D282C mutant forms a disulfide bond thought to aid the folding of opsin and stabilise the folded structure (Opefi, 2011; Opefi et al., 2013). Use of this stabilisation feature here yielded varied results, with increased expression of some RP mutants (e.g. G101V and G106W) but decreased expression of others (R252P, S298D). The use of these mutations was previously shown to restore folding in some cases, therefore suggesting that some of the mutants expressed here may be misfolded, however this isn't always the case and detrimental outcomes are also possible (Opefi et al., 2013).

Overall, here it has been shown that the use of the piggyBac method for stable cell line pool construction for rhodopsin expression is fast, effective and produces cells with the ability for high level rhodopsin production. This will be of great use for the
study of rhodopsin RP mutations, of which many have been discovered but not characterised. Its application throughout this work to generate rhodopsin RP cell lines for the mutants characterised throughout Chapters 4 to 6 will further define its utility in these kind of studies as well as for the study of other GPCRs.

## 4. Retinitis pigmentosa mutants located in the intradiscal domain of rhodopsin

### 4.1 Introduction

### 4.1.1 The intradiscal domain of rhodopsin

Located on the intradiscal side of the rhodopsin are the N -terminus and extracellular loop (EL) one to three (see Chapter One, Figure 1.4). EL1 joins TM helices 2 and 3, EL2 joins TM helices 4 and 5, and EL3 joins TM helices 6 and 7. In this work rhodopsin RP mutations located in the EL1 region are studied. Starting from G101 at the end of TM helix 2 and ending with G106 found at the start of TM helix 3. Residues G101, V104 and G106 are shown in Figure 4.1 (A, C, E) and the RP mutants studied in Figure 4.1 B: G101V, D: V104F and F: G106W. The motivation for this work on EL1 is based on previous work showing the N -terminus of rhodopsin folds into a compact structure and docks onto the ELs (Opefi et al., 2013).

### 4.1.2 Disruption of rhodopsin pigment formation

The rhodopsin pigment is formed when its ligand, 11-cis-retinal forms a Schiff base bond to lysine 296 located in the opsin binding pocket. The 11-cis-retinal ligand is now primed to isomerise following the absorption of a photon, leading to conformational changes in rhodopsin to begin the signalling process. As such formation of correctly folded rhodopsin is imperative for its function as a photoreceptor (Athanasiou et al., 2018).

Rhodopsin pigment formation can be disrupted by mutations in rhodopsin which can lead to retinitis pigmentosa. The pigment formation capabilities of rhodopsin RP mutants can be impeded, and the misfolded opsin remains trapped in the ER prior to its degradation by the Endoplasmic Reticulum Associated Degradation (ERAD)
system (Parfitt and Cheetham, 2016). Mutants of EL1 have been shown previously to fail to form the pigment as well as lead to abnormal glycosylation (Doi et al., 1990).

G101V was identified as low surface expressing and likely pathogenic (Wan et al., 2019). V104F was previously an unclassified mutant, but at the same residue position the V104I variant was found to be asymptomatic when expressed in yeast (Athanasiou et al., 2018; Scott et al., 2019). Residue G106 is the most extensively studied previously in this group. It is highly conserved with $95.5 \%$ conservation in the rhodopsin subfamily (Smith, 2010). G106W was identified in a patient group in 1991 and subsequent studies have led to its classification as a Class 2 mutant (Sung et al., 1991a; Sung et al., 1991b; Scott et al., 2019).

### 4.1.3 Improving protein expression levels by lowering the temperature of the host cells

Improvements to expression methods to increase protein yield is of great interest for the study of proteins biochemically and structurally. However, the effects on mutant proteins can be varied due to the properties of the mutation. For example, in a study of mutations in HERG, a human potassium channel mutation involved in congenital long QT syndrome, lowering expression temperature when using stably transfected HEK293 cells to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than the standard $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ enabled protein trafficking and function to be improved (Zhou et al., 1999). In another study of transiently transfected HEK293 cells, lowering the temperature to $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 24$ hours after transfection were found to increase expression of GFP/AMPA receptor 1.5 times (Lin et al., 2015). A study looking at rhodopsin expression at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ comparatively to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that plasma membrane expression is increased for some mutants found in TM2 (Mckee et al., 2021).


Figure 4.1 Comparison of rhodopsin residue 101, 104 and 106 in WT (G, V, G respectively) and as the EL1 mutants studied (V, F, W respectively). PyMOL mutagenesis wizard was used to show the mutations studied in the bovine rhodopsin structure (PDB 1U19).

### 4.1.4 Aims

Here the aim was to improve the expression of the 'difficult' to express mutants G101C, V104F and G106W and to attempt to determine the biochemical and spectral properties of said rhodopsin RP mutants, to evaluate to their active state stability and G-protein activation capabilities.

### 4.2 Materials and Methods

### 4.2.1 Production of stable cell lines

The cloning/ Turbofect method (see Chapter 2/Chapter 3) was utilised for generation of plasmids for stable cell line transfection. Sequences were checked using the Eurofins TubeSeq service. HEK293S stable cell line pools for G101V, V104F and G106W, along with their stabilised (N2C/D282C) counterparts were established.

### 4.2.2 Standard expression of the rhodopsin mutants

Cells were induced for to test their expression and harvested as described in Chapter 2. UV-vis difference spectroscopy was used to determine protein expression as described in Chapter 2.

### 4.2.3 Lowering the growth temperature from 37 to $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an attempt to improve mutant rhodopsin expression levels

Cells were grown on dishes in duplicate and induced using tetracycline and sodium butyrate as in 4.2.2; however, 1 hour after induction cells were either transferred to a $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator or left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as appropriate. Cells were then harvested at 12 -hour intervals from 12 to 48 hours using the same method as in 4.2.2. Immediately after harvest the cell suspensions were treated with 9-cis retinal overnight. UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy was performed on non-illuminated samples followed by 1minute photobleaching. The spectrum was recorded again and the difference in absorbance at the $\lambda_{\max }$ was used to calculate the amount of rhodopsin pigment expressed. $\varepsilon=42700$ at the $\lambda$ max and a molecular weight of 42300 Da were used to determine rhodopsin amounts.

### 4.2.4 Lowering the growth temperature from 37 to $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an attempt to improve P23A rhodopsin expression levels

Cells were grown to confluence on 10 cm cell culture dishes in complete DMEM/F12. At near confluence the media was replaced to be complete DMEM. 24 hours after media exchange, induction was started $(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate added to the dishes). Cells were then incubated for the required time at either 30, 33 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were then harvested in 1 x PBS at 12-, 24- and 48 -hour timepoints. Images were recorded using the EVOS at 28- and 48-hour timepoints to illustrate observational differences in cell density, lifting and morphology. The cell suspensions were then treated with 9 -cis-retinal overnight and solubilised in DDM. A UV-vis dark spectrum was first recorded before photobleaching for 60 seconds and a recording of an illuminated spectrum. These were used to produce a difference spectrum and the difference at the $\lambda$ max was used in Beer-Lambert Law to calculate the yield of rhodopsin pigment.

### 4.2.5 Rhodopsin immunoaffinity purification

Stable cell lines were induced to enable rhodopsin production and harvested as described in Chapter 2. UV-vis spectroscopy was used to determine protein yields as described in Chapter 2. Rhodopsin and its mutants were purified, UV-vis spectral profiles were collected, and an SDS/ PAGE Western Blot experiment was conducted as described in Chapter 2.

### 4.2.6 Functional assessment of rhodopsin and its mutants

Meta II stability and transducin activation assays of the G101V, V104F and G106W mutants were performed as described in Chapter 2. Photobleaching profiles were obtained for G101V and V104F

### 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Production of pB007 NCO mutants

Following the cloning protocol described in Chapter 2, the G101V, V104F and G106W and their stabilised versions (N2C D282C) were produced. Restriction enzyme digestion, agarose gel (Figure 4.2) and sequencing (Figure 4.3) were used to confirm the correct construction of these mutants in the pB007 NCO plasmid. Sequencing results showed the DNA mutations G101V, V104F and G106W mutations were present (Figure $4.3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D, mutations highlighted by yellow boxes). The WT sequence is shown in Figure 4.3 A.


Figure 4.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis of medium-scale prepared pB007 NCO ( $\pm$ mutants) digested using Nhel. Lanes marked M contain the ThermoScientific GeneRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }} 1 \mathrm{~kb}$ DNA ladder. 1: WT rhodopsin, 2: N2C D28C, 3: P23A, 4: P23A N2C D282C, 5: G101V, 6: G101V N2C D282C, 7: V104F, 8: V104F N2C D282C, 9: G106W, 10: G106W N2C D282C, 11: K311E. Gel has been cropped to remove unnecessary lanes for clarity purposes.
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Figure 4.3 Sequencing of rhodopsin EL1 mutants. Following the medium scale preparation of each mutant plasmid, DNA sequencing was performed using the RhoSeqF1 primer. The numbers show the amino acid residue number, and the corresponding amino acid is shown below as a one letter code. Below this sequencing results are presented, with the DNA bases and sequencing trace shown for WT bovine rhodopsin and each mutant from those encoding residue 101 to residue 106. A) shows the WT bovine rhodopsin sequence. B) shows the mutated sequence for G101V where GGG becomes GTC. C) shows the mutated sequence for V104F where GTC becomes TTC. D) shows the mutated sequence for G106W where GGG becomes TGG.

### 4.3.2 Expression of the rhodopsin mutants G101V, V104F, G106W and their N2C D282C background counterparts in HEK293S cells

Transfection using transposon expression cassette plasmids performed to produce a stable HEK293S Ric15 TetR9 GnTI cell line pools for each rhodopsin mutant. By utilising the cell lines inducible properties, each of the mutant proteins was produced on 10 cm cell culture dishes and harvested after 65 hours. These were then treated with 9-cis-retinal and solubilised for UV-vis spectroscopy to determine the yield of rhodopsin pigment produced (Figure 4.4).

V104F and V104F/N2C/D282C were produced at comparable levels when induced with tetracycline and tetracycline/sodium butyrate, and at about half the yield of WT and N2C/D282C cell lines. However, very low levels of pigment were produced by the G101V and G106W cell lines, with less produced by the tetracycline/sodium butyrate induction for each. N2C D282C stabilised versions of both G101V and G106W had improved production when induced with tetracycline/sodium butyrate.
$\square$ Tetracycline and sodium butyrate $\quad$ Tetracycline $\quad$ Non-induced


Figure 4.4 Expression of mutants in the EL1 region of rhodopsin using tetracycline and sodium butyrate for 65 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mutants in the EL1 region and their stabilised counterparts (N2C D282C) were produced using stable cell lines generated using the piggyback expression system. Expression of V104F and V104F N2C D282C was similar to that of WT and N2C D282C rhodopsin. G101V and G106W were both poorly expressed, and the stabilising mutations (N2C D282C) partially increased their expression.

### 4.3.3 Improvement of expression of rhodopsin mutants by expression at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

 Rhodopsin WT, P23A, G101V and G106W cell lines were expressed on confluent 10 cm dishes using tetracycline and sodium butyrate at 12-hour intervals up to 48 hours before harvesting in 1x PBS. 9-cis-retinal was then added to form the pigment before solubilisation of the samples. UV-vis difference spectra were calculated after collection of dark and light-irradiated UV-vis absorbance spectra as described previously. The Beer-Lambert law was then used to calculate the pigment produced. The same samples used for spectroscopy were then separated on an SDS-PAGE gel and a Western blot was performed using the rho-1D4 antibody.Expression levels of WT rhodopsin increased throughout the timepoints, both at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The difference between expression at each temperature relative to the timepoint was minimal from 12 to 36 hours, and only a small increase was seen at 48 hours when cells were induced at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This was observed both by UV-vis difference spectra and Western blot (Figure 4.5).

When expressed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mutant P23A showed a low level of expression which peaked at 12 hours $(17.5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ and decreased thereon. At $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the expression levels improved. The maximum expression level was reached at 36 hours with a calculated yield of $57.9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

Expression of G 101 V at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ peaked at 24 hours with a calculated yield of $69.8 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. At $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an improved calculated yield of $100.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ was achieved at 24 hours and levels remained high at 48 hours with $99.7 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

At $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ expression of G 106 W reached a maximum at 12 hours of $7.7 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ was seen followed by a decrease to no detectable pigment. When expressed at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ an improvement was observed, peaking at 24 hours with a calculated yield of $30.7 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

The calculated expression levels of WT rhodopsin and the mutants at each timepoints was echoed when the same samples were analysed by Western blot (Figure 4.5).


Figure 4.5 Expression of the rhodopsin mutants P23A, G101V and G106W at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ versus $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when induced with tetracycline and sodium butyrate. HEK293S RTG cells with either WT, P23A, G101V or G106W rho gene on 10 cm cell culture dishes were prepared, and complete DMEM containing $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate was added for the relevant induction length (either 12, 24,36 or 48 hours) and then incubated at either $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (blue bars) or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (orange bars). The cells were then harvested in $1 \times$ PBS before overnight treatment with $5 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$-cis-retinal. UV-vis absorbance difference spectra were used to determine rhodopsin yields, followed by
separation by SDS-PAGE and a Western blot using the Rho-1D4 antibody. The marker (M) contains the ThermoScientific PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained 10-180kDa Protein Ladder.

### 4.3.4 Variation to temperature changes expression levels in P23A

Following induction of rhodopsin expression of P23A and WT at the temperatures 30, 33 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at 12 -, 24- and 48 -hour timepoints cells were observed and harvested for expression level determination by UV-vis difference spectroscopy. WT rhodopsin expression increased across all timepoints (Figure 4.6). P23A also increased, expressing best at $33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 24 hours and $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ induction yielding the highest expression after 48 hours. $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ expression was highest after 12 hours.

Additionally, cells were imaged at 28- and 48-hour timepoints. Observationally, WT cells appeared normal after 12 hours, with some detaching from the dish at each temperature after 24 hours. At 48 hours WT at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ remained having a few floating cells, with more lifted cells observed at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the most detached cells observed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For P23A, at 12 hours a few cells had begun to appear rounded. After 24 hours this increased, with more rounded cells appearing and more lifting of cells as temperature increased. This remained the similar for the images taken at 28 hours. Finally, after 48 hours, the cells appeared rounded with the levels of lifting increasing with increased expression temperature.


Figure 4.6 Expression of P23A and WT rhodopsin at 12-, 24- and 48-hour timepoints after expression was induced at 30,33 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were induced using tetracycline and sodium butyrate and then incubated for either 12,24 or 48 hours at 30,33 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were harvested and treated with 9 -cis-retinal to form rhodopsin pigment. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded for each first in the dark and then following 60 seconds of illumination. The difference in absorption at the $\lambda_{\max }$ was then used in Beer-Lambert Law to calculate the rhodopsin pigment produced.


Figure 4.7 P23A and WT rhodopsin were expressed at 30,33 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At 28 hours and 48 hours the cells were imaged using the EVOS microscope. Images show that with increased temperature and increased time, both cell lines became more rounded, and more lifting of the cells was present, with P23A showing more rounding and lifting earlier than its WT equivalent.

### 4.3.5 Purification of mutant rhodopsin from HEK293S stable cell lines

Stable cell lines processed by tetracycline and sodium butyrate induction at the appropriate timepoint, and temperature based on (4.3.2 and 4.3.3) using 15 cm dishes confluent with each cell line was harvested in 1 ml of 1 x PBS. Harvested cell suspensions were then treated with 11-cis-retinal and solubilised before purification using 6 elutions. The yield of rhodopsin in each elution was then assessed by UV-vis spectroscopy, and then a Western blot was performed (Figure 4.8).

The WT rhodopsin, P23A, G101V, V104F and G106W mutant rhodopsin spectra (Figure 4.8) showed varying amounts of rhodopsin pigment. WT rhodopsin was expressed at the highest level $(104.1 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, with G101V and V104F producing slightly less (75.5 and $66.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ respectively). G106W produced the least (7.3 ug). For all the mutants, the highest amount of rhodopsin was purified in the first low salt elution (LSE1, blue lines spectral traces in Figure 4.8). The purified samples, along with a sample of the flow through following binding, high salt and low salt washes were then examined by SDS-PAGE after which a Western blot was performed using the rho-1D4 antibody (Figure 4.8).


Figure 4.8 UV-vis absorbance spectra of elutions (A) and Western blot (B) for WT rhodopsin and the mutants P23A, G101V, V104F, G106W. Confluent 15 cm cell culture dishes were induced using $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate in complete DMEM for differing lengths of time, and at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on the optimal time/temperature for expression of that mutant. After induction, the cells were harvested in 1x PBS before treatment with $5 \mu \mathrm{M} 11$-cis-retinal overnight. The treated cell suspensions were then purified using 1D4-immunoaffinity purification following which the elution samples (LSE1-3 and HSE1-3), alongside flow through (FT) and high and low salt wash samples (HSW/LSW) were used for SDS-PAGE and Western blot by rho-1D4 antibody. The marker (M) is ThermoScientific PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained 10180kDa Protein Ladder. Non-induced (N), tetracycline only (T) and tetracycline and sodium butyrate (TB) unpurified samples were used as controls.

### 4.3.6 Photobleaching and acidification

Upon activation by light, WT rhodopsin is converted from its inactive form which has a $\lambda_{\max }$ of 500 nm (dark blue line, Figure 4.9) to its active (Meta II) state which has a $\lambda_{\max }$ of 380 nm (orange, grey, yellow and light blue lines, measured after repeated 30 second photobleaches). The covalent Schiff base that forms between Lys296 of rhodopsin and 11-cis-retinal can be captured by acidification of the protein causing its denaturation and is observed as a 430 nm peak (green line).

G101V and V104F rhodopsin mutants had slightly different photobleaching patterns in comparison to WT rhodopsin, this difference is highlighted by the black arrow on the G101V and V104F panels (Figure 4.9). V104F did not fully convert after 30 seconds photobleaching with a peak at both 500 nm and 380 nm present (orange line), from 60 seconds onwards photobleaching appeared complete. G101V was slower in converting to the 380 nm species, taking 90 seconds of photobleaching before it appeared complete. Upon acidification, a peak at 430 nm was seen for G101V and a peak at 423 nm was seen for V104F.


Figure 4.9 Photobleaching and acidification profiles of WT, G101V and V104F rhodopsin. A spectrum was first recorded for the dark state between 650 and 250 nm (dark blue line spectral trace for each purified pigment). Then four 30 second photobleaches were performed with spectra recorded for each (orange, grey, yellow and light blue line spectral traces). Finally $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added and a final spectrum was recorded (green line spectral trace). Black arrows show the abnormal spectra.

### 4.3.7 Meta II stability of light activated mutants

Upon activation by light, rhodopsin coverts to its active state known as Meta II. Purified rhodopsin was activated by photobleaching at $t=0$ for 30 seconds and then the stability of the Meta II conformation was determined by fluorescence spectroscopy. WT rhodopsin Meta II intermediate has a half-life of 17.67 minutes. The Meta II halflife of G101V was 5.96 minutes, V104F was 10.06 minutes and G106W was 9.97 minutes. Figure 4.10 shows representative fluorescence spectra for each of the EL1 mutants and WT rhodopsin, and their calculated half-lives and standard deviation are presented in Table 4.1.


Figure 4.10 Meta II decay of the EL1 mutants. Measurement of Meta II decay was achieved by recording the inherent increase in tryptophan fluorescence that occurs as retinal is released from the rhodopsin binding pocket after illumination. Samples were illuminated at time $=0$ for 30 seconds (red arrow), and fluorescence measured for 2 hours. All data best fitted to single, 2 parameter curves using SigmaPlot. $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ is shown for each mutant.

### 4.3.8 Transducin activation by light activated mutants

Photobleached rhodopsin activates transducin which is the first key biochemical event in signal transduction. The ability of the purified rhodopsin mutants to activate transducin was assessed by measuring the increase in tryptophan fluorescence that occurs when GTPyS displaces GDP in transducin.

The ability of the EL1 mutants to activate transducin varied from $18.3 \%$ to $63.6 \%$ compared to WT rhodopsin. The relative activation rate of G101V in comparison to WT was $35.1 \%$. V104F was able to activate transducin relative to WT at a rate of $63.6 \%$. G106W had a relative activation rate of $18.3 \%$. For V104F the curve when activated followed a similar shape to the WT with a rapidly increasing linear line followed by a plateau, however for both G101V and G106W a slowly increasing linear line was observed for the first 60 seconds and the trend remained linear after. In Figure 4.11 representative curves for G101V, V104F and G106W rhodopsin mutants and WT rhodopsin are presented and the calculated relative initial rate activity and standard deviation for each is shown in Table 4.1.


Figure 4.11 Transducin activation of EL1 mutants G101V, V104F and G106W. The change in fluorescence following the addition of GTPץS (arrow) to an illuminated sample containing a rhodopsin mutant and transducin was measured. The first 60 seconds following GTPyS addition was used to calculate the initial rate kinetics of transducin activation.

Table 4.1 Purification, Meta II decay and transducin activation characteristics of the EL1 mutants G101V, V104F and G106W

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\max } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yield ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) | Ratio <br> $\mathrm{A}_{280} / \mathrm{A}_{\text {max }}$ | $n$ | Meta II stability Half-life (mins) |  Transducin activation <br> Relative <br> S.D. $n$ <br> rate $(\%)$ S.D. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 500 | 104.08 | 1.80 | 12 | 17.67 | 1.77 | 11 | 100 | 0.000693 |
| G101V | 499 | 75.46 | 2.08 | 2 | 5.96 | 2.96 | 6 | 35.1 | 0.000216 |
| V104F | 499 | 66.25 | 2.08 | 5 | 10.06 | 1.78 | 8 | 63.6 | 0.000585 |
| G106W | 494 | 7.27 | 5.40 | 2 | 9.97 | 0.78 | 2 | 18.3 | 0.000226 |

### 4.4 Discussion

### 4.4.1 Improving the expression of difficult to express mutants.

While V104F expressed reasonably well following induction of the piggyBac generated cell line, very little G101V and almost no G106W rhodopsin was expressed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. G106W and G101V have been previously found to express at very low levels and performing 9-cis retinal rescue whilst the proteins were being expressed was required for expression to be improved (Neaves, 2020).

In an attempt to improve expression of these mutants different conditions were explored. Changes were made to both the temperature used for expression and the time allowed for expression. These experiments were motivated by finding that the most common CFTR mutant (d508) could fold at lower (permissive) temperatures (Sharma et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2008). The outcome of these studies was that G101V and G106W rhodopsin could be prepared in sufficient quantities for purification and biochemistry experiments. The increase in mutant rhodopsin expression using HEK293 cells is in agreement with previous studies of the GFP/AMPA receptor and HERG by both transient and stable transfection methods (Zhou et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2015). Interestingly while expression was improved for both at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the timepoint at which maximum expression was observed was different. For G101V expression level peaked at 36 hours while for G106W expression levels peaked after 24 hours. G101V was previously identified as a low surface expressing mutation and therefore further work to look at differences in surface expression between the two temperatures is necessary (Zhou et al., 1999; Wan et al., 2019). Likewise, G106W has also previously been found to not be effectively transported to the cell surface membrane and
therefore further work to establish whether the lowered temperature improves this is also needed (Sung et al., 1991b).

### 4.4.2 Biochemical characteristics of EL1 mutant rhodopsins

G101V rhodopsin exhibited a range of different biochemical properties when compared to the WT rhodopsin protein. G101V rhodopsin had a short Meta II half-life ( 5.96 minutes compared to 17.68 minutes for WT) and was only able to activate transducin at $35 \%$ the relative rate compared to WT rhodopsin. It also exhibited abnormal photobleaching properties requiring 90 seconds to fully convert to the 380 nm species (WT readily converts after 30 seconds).

V104F rhodopsin also exhibited some differences in its biochemical properties in comparison to WT rhodopsin, with a nearly $2 x$ shorter Meta II half-life, a relative transducin activation rate of $64 \%$ and an abnormal photobleaching pattern (60 seconds to convert to 380 nm species) with a slight shift to its acidification profile.

G106W rhodopsin exhibits very low expression levels compared to WT rhodopsin and the other mutants in the EL1 region, despite lowered temperature and shorter induction length improving this. Despite this, usable amounts of protein for biochemistry were able to be harvested when using the optimum conditions established in the expression at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ experiments. The slightly shifted $\lambda_{\max }(494 \mathrm{~nm})$, faster Meta II half-life (9.97 minutes) and 18\% transducin activation ability compared to WT rhodopsin showed that the G106W mutation also affects the biochemical properties of the protein.

In the rhodopsin subfamily G106 is highly conserved (Smith, 2010). The G106W mutation has been previously established to be a class 2 rhodopsin RP mutation with misfolding and instability characteristics (Sung et al., 1991b; Athanasiou et al., 2018;

Scott et al., 2019). Here we have established that the protein is unstable as illustrated by its rapid decrease in abundance when expressed after 12 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 24 hours at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Its short Meta II half-life is also often an indicator of general instability of pigments which is in agreement with the previous findings.

The shortened Meta II half-life seen in each of the mutants is often indicative of protein instability, which echoes previous work where G101V and G106W have been labelled as likely class 2 mutants, suggesting a necessary role of the EL1 loop in the stability of rhodopsin (Sung et al., 1991b; Scott et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019) as well as light activation The variation in the degree of detriment to the transducin activation capability of $64 \%$ for V104F down to $18 \%$ for G106W despite their similar Meta II halflives suggest that it is not the shortening of the Meta II half-lives which explains this. EL1 links helix 2 to helix 3, and these mutations may affect the folding of rhodopsin to varying degrees. The movements in helix 3 which normally occur upon activation and eventually to lead to formation of the rhodopsin:transducin complex may be affected by mutations in this region leading to variation to the transducin activation capabilities (Patel et al., 2005; Gleim et al., 2009).

These results highlight the nature of rhodopsin mutations in the EL1 region to not solely be due to misfolding and instability but to have functional effects in addition. To understand their mechanisms further work using human rhodopsin and in vivo models would be necessary. Parallels can be drawn with the observations described here and those for CFTR misfolding mutants in that, for both, the rescue of misfolding can be achieved by either reducing temperature of expression or by including correctors or pharmacological chaperones during expression. While lowering the temperature of expression is not applicable for therapeutic intervention, it could indicate which mutations might be amenable to pharmacological chaperone therapy. Overall, the
results have shown that EL1 has not only a role in the folding stability of rhodopsin but can affect the signalling properties as well.

In summary, experiments exploring the role of time and temperature on the expression of rhodopsin RP mutants have identified conditions that in certain cases enable the conditional formation of pigments. This outcome is important for two reasons. First, it might now be possible to obtain sufficient quantities of these mutants for use in biophysical experiments to probe their effects on structure, function, and unfolding. Second, examination of the way these mutants interact with molecular chaperones and co-chaperones under these different conditions could provide further insight into their folding and misfolding/unfolding, and degradation pathways.

# 5. Characterisation of rhodopsin RP mutants: previously unclassified 

### 5.1 Introduction

### 5.1.1 Classification of rhodopsin retinitis pigmentosa mutations

Classification of rhodopsin retinitis pigmentosa (RP) mutations aims to group the mutations by their biochemical and biophysical properties. Most RP mutations are class 2 which are defined by misfolding of the protein. Other mutation classes have effects on rhodopsin function and cellular processes which in turn can indicate functional properties of the residue in the WT protein (see Chapter 1.5). The mutants E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E were identified as unclassified rhodopsin mutations and have been linked to RP (Athanasiou et al., 2018). Preliminary investigations in the Reeves' laboratory demonstrated they did not misfold thus ruling out class two classification. These enigmatic mutations were chosen for further study because of their potential to have defects other than folding, potentially providing insight into rhodopsin function. In order to assess the effects of each of these mutations on rhodopsin, their pigment formation and biochemical functioning will be examined.

### 5.1.2 Function of rhodopsin

An array of spectroscopic and functional studies are available to probe rhodopsin structure and function. Rhodopsin pigment is formed when the opsin protein forms a Schiff base bond with the 11-cis-retinal ligand, and by assessing the pigment formation
extent kinetics the folding of these mutants can be examined. The Schiff base bond can be captured by acidification. When the rhodopsin receives a photon 11-cis-retinal isomerises into all-trans-retinal and photobleaching occurs, which can be observed through the reduction in the 500 nm species and the increase of the 380 nm species. Isomerisation of the ligand results in conformational changes in rhodopsin to the metarhodopsin (Meta) II state, from which the all-trans-retinal is released, the rate of which determines the half-life of the Meta II active state in turn determining the potential to activate transducin. Activated rhodopsin interacts with the G-protein transducin which in vivo would then initiate the biochemical stage of the signalling process. The steps of rhodopsin activation and signally are shown in Chapter 1, Figure 1.3.

### 5.1.3 Opsin and pigment formation

While methods to study the Meta II stability and transducin activation of rhodopsin have been well established, studies using the apoprotein rod opsin present a greater challenge. The rod opsin apoprotein when bound to the ligand 11-cis-retinal forms the pigment rhodopsin. While many biochemistry studies require rhodopsin in its native state, the stable opsin purified in detergent itself is often essential for studies of pigment formation and regeneration steps which are key for the recycling of rhodopsin in the eye. However, opsin is very unstable when solubilised in detergent compared to its rhodopsin counterpart.

Previously, it was shown that rod opsin and its mutants could be purified in a stable form using lipid/detergent mixed micelles (Reeves et al., 1999). Later it was discovered that a disulfide bond formed by engineering in cysteines residues at positions N2 and D282 could also stabilize the apo protein rod opsin. (Xie et al., 2003). This method has
since been used to aid the study of mutant rhodopsins/opsins as well (Opefi et al., 2013). The introduction of these mutations has been shown to not greatly impact activation properties of rhodopsin as demonstrated by studies of Meta II stability and transducin activation (Xie et al., 2003; Opefi et al., 2013). However, it means that study of pigment formation cannot be achieved using WT opsin apoprotein due to its need for these stabilising mutations to be purified in DDM. This mutation also changes the overall thermostability of opsin thus interfering with studies of opsin mutant stability, unless the 2C-282C bond is first reduced (Xie et al., 2003).

### 5.1.4 Detergent solubilisation of membrane proteins

Membrane proteins such as rhodopsin need to be extracted and purified from the cell membrane by using a detergent before they can be examined. After which the detergent stabilises the extracted protein (Singh and Sigworth, 2015). Recent advances using SMALPs represents an alternative strategy, but this procedure is not yet well established (Grime et al., 2021). The formation of detergent-protein complexes is possible due to detergent ability to separate membrane proteins from their membranes within a micelle when the detergent concentration is above its critical micelle concentration (CMC) (Le Maire et al., 2000; Breyton et al., 2019). Previously, Dodecyl Maltoside (DDM, also known as lauryl maltoside) has been used for the isolation of rhodopsin from cell membranes. This detergent allows full light activation of rhodopsin enabling spectroscopic analysis and signal transduction experimentation. However, DDM solubilisation for many proteins (opsin included) especially in the absence of the cognate ligand, results in their instability preventing purification and study of some GPCRs (Lee et al., 2020).

Lauryl Maltose Neopentyl Glycol (LMNG) is an amphiphilic detergent which can stabilise membrane proteins previously found to be difficult to study biochemically in conventional detergents such as DDM or OG. This has made it of great interest in the field (Breyton et al., 2019). LMNG has potential advantages for purifying GPCRs over DDM due to its ability to retain receptor stability (Lee et al., 2020). In LMNG two hydrophilic maltoside groups are joined via a quaternary central carbon to an equal length pair of hydrophobic $n$-dodecyl chains, which form much larger micelles than DDM (Chaptal et al., 2017; Breyton et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020). The LMNG micelle encapsulates the receptor tightly, covering the hydrophobic TM portion of receptors with LMNG tail groups (Lee et al., 2020).

The nature of the LMNG micellular environment potentially results in differences in the receptor properties compared to the receptor in DDM. The linkage of the tail chains results in more hydrogen bonds between both head groups on the LMNG molecule and to the receptor itself, reducing movement in comparison to DDM but likely to be responsible for to the increased stability and decreased activity of receptors $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~A}$ and WT- $\beta_{2}$ AR (Lee et al., 2020). LMNG has been shown to increase thermal stability whilst also increasing activity in some membrane proteins (bacteriorhodopsin, E. coli outer membrane ferrichome iron transporter, S. pneumoniae Nox protein and Bacillus multidrug resistance ATP) when compared to DDM (Breyton et al., 2019). In the study of cone opsin, LMNG has also been found to increase stability which holds promise for structural and other studies (Owen et al., 2018). Chemical structures for both DDM and LMNG are shown in Figure 5.1.

## Dodecyl- $\beta$-D-maltoside (DDM)



Lauryl-maltose-neopentyl-glycol (LMNG)


Figure 5.1 Chemical structure of DDM and LMNG

### 5.1.5 Aims

Here the aim was to assess functional properties of the rhodopsin and opsin mutant E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E alongside examining the use of LMNG for opsin purification and analysis.

### 5.2 Methods

### 5.2.1 Construction of stable HEK293S cell lines

The E122G, R252P and S298D cell lines were produced as described in Chapter 3 using Quikchange mutagenesis with pB007 NCO as the template. K311E was produced by the molecular cloning method described in Chapter 3, cloning the mutated rhodopsin from pMT4 into pACMV TetO and then into pB007. Mutagenic primers and sequencing are listed in Appendix 1 and 2.

### 5.2.2 Rhodopsin mutant production in HEK293S GnTI- cells

This procedure is described in described in Chapter 2, section 2.6.

### 5.2.3 Purification of rhodopsin mutants in DDM

Rho-1D4-sepharose immunoaffinity purification was used for purification as described in Chapter 2.

### 5.2.4 Examination of rhodopsin mutant biochemical and spectral properties

Dark state acidification, photobleaching, Meta II stability and transducin activation for each of the mutants were performed and analysed. The methods used in this section are described in Chapter 2.

### 5.2.5 Solubilisation and purification purification of rhodopsin in detergent DDM or LMNG: a comparative study

A description of the methods for purification or rhodopsin can be found in Chapter 2, and buffer composition for LMNG based purification is shown in Table 5.1. Opsin and
rhodopsin with and without N2C D282C mutations was purified in DDM/LMNG (see Table 5.2). The biochemical properties of the opsin purified were then assessed.

LMNG concentrations were based on previous work for solubilisation and elution concentrations (green cone pigment) (Owen et al., 2018). For transducin and Meta II stability assays CMC concentration was taken into account to give the equivalent to that used in DDM based assays.

Table 5.1 LMNG buffer compositions for purification and biochemistry.

| Buffer | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| LMNG solubilisation | $0.36 \%$ LMNG, $2 \mu$ PMSF made up to 1 ml total (including sample) with 1xPBS |
| LMNG low salt wash | 10 mM BTP pH 7.2, $0.02 \%$ LMNG made up to required volume in MilliQ H2O |
| LMNG low salt elution | $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{BTP} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{7.2}, \mathrm{0.02} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{LMNG} ,100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 9mer elution peptide |
| LMNG high salt wash | 10 mM BTP pH 7.2, $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.02 \%$ LMNG made up to required volume in MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| LMNG high salt elution | 10 mM BTP pH 7.2, $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.02 \% \mathrm{LMNG}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 9mer elution peptide |

Table 5.2 Rhodopsin and opsins purified in DDM and LMNG for use in comparative studies.

|  | DDM | LMNG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WT rhodopsin | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| N2C D282C rhodopsin | $\checkmark$ | - |
| WT opsin | - | $\checkmark$ |
| N2C D282C opsin | $\checkmark$ | - |
| E122G opsin | - | $\checkmark$ |
| R252P opsin | - | $\checkmark$ |
| S298D opsin | - | $\checkmark$ |
| K311E opsin | - | $\checkmark$ |

### 5.2.6 Biochemical property comparison of rhodopsin in DDM and LMNG

A description of the methods for biochemistry can be found in Chapter 2, along with buffer composition for DDM based samples. For LMNG based samples, see Table 5.1.

### 5.2.7 Purification of opsin in LMNG

A description of the methods for purification can be found in Chapter 2. As described in 6.2.1, opsin and mutant opsins were purified in LMNG. The purified samples were then used to assess their pigment formation and pigment stability properties.

### 5.2.8 Pigment formation in LMNG

A description of the methods for pigment formation can be found in Chapter 2.

### 5.3 Results

### 5.3.1 Assessment of the expression of rhodopsin mutants using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy

Expression of rhodopsin mutant pigments was assessed using UV-vis difference spectra. The absorbance at $\lambda_{\max }$ for each pigment was used in Beer-Lambert Law to determine the amount of rhodopsin pigment produced after induction of corresponding stable cell lines with tetracycline and sodium butyrate or with tetracycline alone in comparison to a non-induced control. For Beer-Lambert Law calculations, an assumed $\varepsilon=42700 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at the $\lambda_{\max }$ and a molecular weight of 42300 Da was used. UV-vis difference spectra (Figure 5.2) and $\lambda_{\max }$ values and mass of rhodopsin pigment produced were calculated (Table 5.3).


Figure 5.2 Rhodopsin pigment produced by induction of expression for the unclassified RP mutants. Induction of expression was carried out for 65 hours, using either tetracycline, tetracycline and sodium butyrate in complete DMEM. A non-induced control was carried out where only complete DMEM was added to the cells. Rhodopsin pigment was determined by UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy for each of the 10 cm cell culture dishes.

Table 5.3 Rhodopsin pigment expression when induced with varying conditions. Mean mass was calculated from their UV-vis absorbance difference spectra. Standard deviation is shown in columns S.D. and $n$ for each is shown in the final column.

|  | Rhodopsin pigment when expressed using |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tetracycline and sodium butyrate Mean mass ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) | Tetracycline |  |  | Non-induced control |  |  |
|  |  | S.D. | Mean mass $\qquad$ ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) | S.D. | Mean mass $\qquad$ | S.D. | $n=$ |
| WT (Calcium/BES) | 71.67 | 3.30 | 16.14 | 5.56 | 2.71 | 1.08 | 2, 3, 3 |
| WT | 85.54 | 2.80 | 45.17 | 27.71 | -3.27 | 3.39 | 3, 3, 3 |
| N2C D282C (Calcium/BES) | 66.55 | 24.31 | 28.97 | 29.63 | 1.90 | 0.76 | 3, 3, 3 |
| N2C D282C | 88.27 | 6.95 | 19.93 | 8.51 | -5.68 | 2.48 | 2, 3, 3 |
| E122G | 39.66 | 3.71 | 10.56 | 2.10 | 3.77 | 2.00 | 3, 3, 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E122G } \\ & \text { N2CD282C } \end{aligned}$ | 40.91 | 2.82 | 8.39 | 1.83 | 4.86 | 4.99 | 3, 3, 3 |
| R252P | 52.28 | 6.29 | 26.38 | 1.77 | 2.78 | 0.61 | 3, 3, 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { R252P N2C } \\ & \text { D282C } \end{aligned}$ | 29.60 | 1.61 | 9.32 | 2.51 | 2.43 | 1.21 | 3, 3, 3 |
| S298D | 32.43 | 7.09 | 12.54 | 4.82 | 2.40 | 1.16 | 3, 3, 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S298D N2C } \\ & \text { D282C } \end{aligned}$ | 12.35 | 1.56 | 5.27 | 0.91 | 1.40 | 0.74 | 3, 3, 3 |
| K311E | 81.59 | 12.76 | 44.21 | 5.27 | 4.54 | 1.53 | 4, 4, 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K311E } \\ & \text { N2CD282C } \end{aligned}$ | 73.29 | 28.74 | 37.50 | 14.66 | -0.56 | 3.06 | 3, 3, 3 |

### 5.3.2 Immunoaffinity purification of rhodopsin using the rho-1D4 antibody

Confluent cells on 15 cm cell culture dishes were induced with tetracycline and sodium butyrate for rhodopsin protein production. These were harvested and the cell suspensions treated with 11-cis-retinal. The cell suspensions were then solubilised, and the cell lysate used for 1D4-sepharose immunoaffinity purification of the rhodopsin and rhodopsin mutant pigments. Rhodopsin 1D4 immunoaffinity purification of the samples obtained most rhodopsin in low salt eluates 1-3. The maximum absorbance of WT rhodopsin and the mutants R252P, S298D and K311E was 500 nm; however, following purification E122G had a shifted maximum absorbance of 481 nm (Figure 5.3).
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Figure 5.3 UV-vis absorbance spectra of elutions (A) and Western blot (B) for WT rhodopsin and the mutants E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E. Confluent 15 cm cell culture dishes were induced using $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate in complete DMEM for differing lengths of time, and at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on the optimal time/temperature for expression of that mutant. After induction, the cells were harvested in 1x PBS before treatment with $5 \mu \mathrm{M} 11$-cis-retinal overnight. The treated cell suspensions were then purified using 1D4-immunoaffinity purification following which the elution samples LSE1-3 (1- blue, 2- orange, 3- grey) and HSE1-3 (1- yellow, 2- dark blue, 3- green), alongside flow through (FT) and high and low salt wash samples (HSW/LSW) were used for SDS-PAGE and Western blot by rho-1D4 antibody. The marker (M) is ThermoScientific PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained 10-180kDa Protein Ladder. Non-induced (N), tetracycline only (T) and tetracycline and sodium butyrate (TB) unpurified samples were used as controls.

### 5.3.4 Dark state acidification of rhodopsin

Acidification of the rhodopsin mutants in the dark state should reveal a shift from the 500 nm peak (rhodopsin) to 440 nm revealing the covalent Schiff base bond between the ligand 11-cis-retinal and lysine 296 of the protein (Opefi et al., 2013). Upon acidification, this shift was observed in the WT and each mutant (Figure 5.4), showing that there is a Schiff base bond formed by each of these mutants and the 11-cis-retinal ligand.


Figure 5.4 Acidification of rhodopsin RP mutants in their dark state. Purified rhodopsin was kept under dark conditions and a UV-vis spectrum was taken, following which 6 $\mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added, and another UV-vis spectrum was taken. The dark state spectrum had a $\lambda$ max of 500 nm for the WT and all mutants except E122G, which has a shifted $\lambda$ max of 482 nm . Following the addition of $6 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ the $\lambda$ max shifted to 440 nm for WT and all mutants which shows the protonated Schiff base linkage between the opsin apo protein and 11-cis-retinal was present in each.

### 5.3.5 UV-vis absorption spectroscopy examination of rhodopsin photobleaching followed by acidification

When activated by light the receptor changes from a species which can be seen at 500 nm by UV-vis spectroscopy, to a species at 380 nm (Opefi et al., 2013). The WT rhodopsin protein demonstrated this transition, as did each of E122G, R252P and K311E, with the majority of the 500 nm species ( 480 nm in the case of E122G which has a shifted max absorption) converting to 380 nm after 30 seconds of photobleaching (Figure 5.5). However, the mutant S298D showed abnormal photobleaching properties with a more gradual conversion to the 380 nm species over the course of four 30 second photobleach steps, where a UV-vis absorption spectrum was obtained between each. After photobleaching, acidification should cause a shift from the 380 nm species to 440 nm as described in the dark state acidification (Opefi et al., 2013).
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Figure 5.5 Acidification of rhodopsin RP mutants after photobleaching. Purified rhodopsin was kept under dark conditions and a UV-vis spectrum was obtained. Photobleaching for 30 seconds was then performed 4 times, with a UV-vis spectrum taken immediately after each. Finally of $6 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added, and a final UV-vis spectrum was recorded.

### 5.3.6 Rhodopsin active state (metarhodopsin II) stability

Upon activation by light, rhodopsin is converted to the Meta II conformation (Shichida and Morizumi, 2006). The process of Meta II decay, where all-trans-retinal is released from Meta II, can be followed by measuring the increase in fluorescence after rhodopsin has been photobleached (Farrens and Khorana, 1995; Opefi et al., 2013). The stability of Meta II was assessed by monitoring the fluorescence increase caused by the release of all-trans-retinal, which can be measured by following the increase in tryptophan fluorescence (Farrens and Khorana, 1995).

Data was analysed using SigmaPlot and the parameters from curve fitting used to calculate the half-lives. WT rhodopsin had an average Meta II half-life of 17.67 minutes, R252P and K311E showed similar half-lives of approximately 13.87 and 18.69 minutes respectively. S298D was found to have a half-life of approximately 4.00 minutes, which is more than 4 times faster than WT rhodopsin. Whereas E122G showed Meta II stability more than twice as long as WT rhodopsin with a half-life of approximately 42.45 minutes. Representative curves are shown in Figure 5.6 and Table 5.4 shows the average half-lives and standard deviation.


Figure 5.6 Meta II stability (active state) of rhodopsin RP mutants. Meta II stability was measured by photobleaching rhodopsin and measuring the increase in fluorescence. The rhodopsin and mutants were subjected to illumination for 30 seconds at time $=0$, indicated by the red arrows. WT rhodopsin has a half-life of approximately 17.67 minutes. R252P and K311E have similar half-lives to that of the WT (13.87 and 18.69 minutes respectively). S298D has a shorter half-life of 4.00 minutes. E122G has a greatly extended half-life of 42.45 minutes.

### 5.3.7 Ability of rhodopsin and mutants to activate transducin

Fluorescence observed when transducin activation occurs is a consequence of the change in position of tryptophan 207 in the alpha subunit. This transition which is trapped by the bound non-hydrolysable GTPyS allows the activation of transducin by rhodopsin to be measured (Phillips and Cerione, 1988; Faurobert et al., 1993). The assay demonstrates the ability of rhodopsin to activate transducin which initiates signal transduction pathway resulting in vision (Opefi et al., 2013).

The initial rate of transducin activation was similar for the WT and R252P rhodopsin. E122G rhodopsin did show a change in the fluorescence, albeit at a slow rate. The S298D rhodopsin resulted in a minimal fluorescence change. Transducin activation traces are shown in Figure 5.7 and their relative initial rate and standard deviation are shown in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 Meta II decay and transducin activation characteristics of WT and rhodopsin RP mutants.

|  | Meta II |  | Transducin activation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Half-Life (mins) | S.D. | $n=$ | rative | S.D. | $n=$ |
| WT | 17.67 | 1.76 | 11 | 1.00 | 0.0007 | 14 |
| E122G | 42.45 | 3.07 | 7 | 0.45 | 0.0002 | 8 |
| R252P | 13.87 | 0.53 | 8 | 0.85 | 0.0005 | 9 |
| S298D | 4.00 | 0.38 | 7 | 0.30 | 0.0002 | 8 |
| K311E | 18.69 |  | 1 | 0.76 | 0.0003 | 3 |



Figure 5.7 Transducin activation of rhodopsin RP mutants. Activation of transducin was determined by photobleaching of rhodopsin in buffer containing transducin, followed by the addition of GTPyS at time $=0$.

### 5.3.8 Comparison of rhodopsin purified in DDM or LMNG

Rhodopsin was purified in LMNG, and its properties were compared to rhodopsin or N2C D282C rhodopsin purified in DDM. Upon purification of rhodopsin in buffer containing either DDM or LMNG the majority of the rhodopsin pigment was eluted in the first low salt eluate (LSE1) (Figure 5.8). Yields were calculated using Beer-Lambert Law using absorbance value at 500 nm . Further correctly folded rhodopsin pigment was eluted in LSE2 and LSE3, albeit at much lower amounts.

Following low salt elutions further high salt elutions were performed to recover misfolded rhodopsin. The absorbance at 500 nm was very low, signifying that minimal misfolded rhodopsin pigment was present.

The total rhodopsin pigment yield was calculated, and based on a difference spectrum of an aliquot of the sample pre-purification a percentage yield of rhodopsin pigment was then calculated. Overall, as shown in Table 6.3, on average 58\% of the rhodopsin pigment in DDM purified from the clarified lysate, and the rhodopsin pigment in LMNG was on average 83\% purified.


Figure 5.8 WT rhodopsin expressed by HEK293S purification in DDM or LMNG

### 5.3.9 Meta II stability and transducin activation ability of rhodopsin purified in

## LMNG

Meta II stability and transducin activation ability of rhodopsin can be affected by the buffer composition in which these assays are performed, with both being highly sensitive to changes in concentration. Therefore, determining the meta II stability and transducin activation ability of rhodopsin purified in LMNG was required. Figure 5.9 shows meta II stability traces of rhodopsin in DDM or LMNG and transducin activation ability of rhodopsin in DDM and LMNG. The activity of rhodopsin in LMNG is given as a percentage of its activity in DDM.


Figure 5.9 Meta II and transducin activation in LMNG and DDM. Meta II stability was measured by photobleaching rhodopsin and measuring the increase in fluorescence. The rhodopsin and mutants were subjected to illumination for 30 seconds at time $=0$, indicated by the red arrows. Activation of transducin was determined by photobleaching of rhodopsin in buffer containing transducin, followed by the addition of GTPyS at time $=0$.

### 5.3.10 Purification of opsin and RP mutant opsins in LMNG

Opsin and mutant opsins were purified in LMNG. The opsin protein content in each was determined using the $A_{280}$ value and Beer-Lambert Law. Stable and regeneration competent N2C D282C opsin can be purified in DDM, however WT opsin is unable to be purified in stable form in this buffer. In LMNG, WT opsin demonstrates a good purification profile. For both N2C D282C opsin in DDM and WT opsin in LMNG, immunoaffinity purification was performed and the majority of protein being rod opsin was eluted in LSE1, followed by LSE2 (Figure 5.10). LSE3 contained low levels of opsin in each, and similarly low levels were seen in all HSEs. Likewise, N2C D282C rhodopsin immunoaffinity purification resulted in a similar pattern in elutions.

As purification of WT opsin was found to be effective when using LMNG as a solubilisation detergent, and in the LMNG containing elution buffers, pigment formation properties and stability of opsin could be measured.


Figure 5.10 Recovery of opsin and RP mutant opsins purified in LMNG. Opsin and mutant opsins were solubilised, washed and eluted using LMNG based buffers. Alongside, N2C D282C opsin was purified in the equivalent DDM based buffers. UVvis spectroscopy was used to estimate the protein present and Beer-Lambert law was used to calculate the yield of opsin.

### 5.3.11 Pigment formation in LMNG

Upon addition of 11-cis-retinal to opsin, the formation of the rhodopsin pigment can be monitored by the increase in absorbance at 500 nm . N2C D282C opsin in both DDM and LMNG was compared, along with the inclusion of WT opsin, and the opsin mutants E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E all in LMNG. The initial rate of pigment formation was calculated (Figure 5.11).

The initial rate of pigment formation of N2C D282C opsin formed in either DDM or LMNG based buffers were very similar ( 0.030 and $0.0362 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{sec}-{ }^{-1}$ respectively). The mutant K311E also had a similar rate of pigment formation ( $0.0379 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{-1}$ ).

The WT opsin and R252P opsin mutant generated the rhodopsin pigment slightly slower, with initial rates of 0.0214 and $0.0237 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ respectively. The mutant S298D had an initial rate for pigment generation of $0.0166 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{sec}-1$ and E122G had the slowest initial rate of the mutants in this experiment, with an initial rate of $0.0104 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ $\sec ^{-1}$, which is about half that of WT opsin.


Figure 5.11 Rates of pigment formation by opsin and opsin mutants over 30 minutes in LMNG. The N2C D282C mutant in DDM or LMNG was able to form pigment faster than WT opsin in LMNG. The K311E opsin RP mutant was able to form pigment faster than the WT and N2C D282C mutants. R252P formed pigment at a similar rate to that of WT. S298D had a slightly slower rate of pigment formation and E122G had the lowest rate of pigment formation approximately half that of WT opsin.

### 5.4 Discussion

### 5.4.1 Use of the detergent LMNG for the purification and biochemical examination of rhodopsin and opsin.

The detergent DDM has previously been used for purification of GPCRs including rhodopsin (Xie et al., 2003; Opefi et al., 2013). The instability of rod opsin in this detergent meant that it was not previously possible to purify opsin in its stable form capable of combining with its 11-cis-retinal ligand to form pigment (Opefi et al., 2013). The increased density of alkyl chains around the TM region of GPCRs shown by Lee et al. (2020), and increased interactions between head groups and head group to protein provides an explanation for the increased stability of GPCRs in LMNG compared to DDM. Here, it was shown that rod opsin could be purified in LMNG.

As WT opsins are too unstable to be purified in DDM in a stable form, studies of pigment formation had to be performed using either CHAPS/DMPC mixed micelles or N2C D282C stabilisation mutations in order for purification to be possible. However, as opsins in LMNG remain stable and are able to be purified, WT opsin pigment formation kinetics and WT opsin stability were able to be determined.

Transducin activation initial rates by rhodopsin in LMNG was lower than DDM, but activity was still enough to be measured and compared. The large number of hydrogen bonds found both between pairs head groups and between head groups and the protein was suggested to be responsible for the reduced flexibility of receptors, explaining why GPCRs may be more active in DDM (Lee et al., 2020). This is echoed through the data presented here, showing that the ability for rhodopsin to activate transducin is reduced in WT rhodopsin.

### 5.4.2 Characteristics of the rhodopsin RP mutants E122G, R252P, S298D and

## K311E

The extended half-life of Meta II of the E122G mutant, might suggest the path of pathogenicity is through its extended activation of transducin. As previously found in the mutants G90D (CSNB) and G90V (RP) (Rao et al., 1994; Sieving et al., 1995; Dizhoor et al., 2008; Toledo et al., 2011). The extended meta II half-life of E122G suggests that E122 is a crucial position with regards to the stability of rhodopsin following its activation with light. Coupling these results with previous work which showed E122 to be a functional determinant between rod and cone pigments it further infers the importance of this residue in rhodopsin specific function (Imai et al., 1997). E122G was also found to have a reduced ability to activate transducin suggesting the mutation may result in incorrect signalling. These results show similarities to that of mutations found at R135 (G/L/P/W) which are clinically severe, and the residue was suggested to have an important role in G-protein signalling (Cideciyan et al., 1998; lannaccone et al., 2006; Athanasiou et al., 2018). Together the results suggest that E122G may be a class three mutation and further work to establish whether it results in signalling other G-proteins, RK, GRK or arrestin, if it has constitutive activity and whether its subcellular localisation is altered would be necessary. The exact mechanism by which E122G causes RP remains elusive however NMR analysis may uncover differences in the activation pathway and this line of thought was further probed and is discussed in Chapter 6.

The half-life of Meta II in S298D mutant rhodopsin was greatly decreased, to 4 minutes. S298D also displayed abnormal photobleaching properties, taking 120 seconds of photobleaching to convert to the 380 nm species. After photobleaching, this mutant converted to the 440 nm species upon acidification, and in the dark state
it converted to the 440 nm species more readily than the WT following acidification. The work on S298D suggests it potentially being a class four mutation due to it appearing to fold but exhibiting instability echoing mutants of the N -terminus (Opefi et al., 2013).

Meta II stability, photobleaching and acidification properties of R252P and K311E were similar to that of WT rhodopsin. These RP mutants remain enigmatic with no clear differences to WT rhodopsin were observed. R252P also exhibited similar transducin activation capabilities (93\%) compared to WT, and K311E was able to activate transducin at approximately $75 \%$ the relative rate compared to WT . These mutations will therefore need further studies to determine the mechanism by which they cause RP. Further work using LMNG to determine their pigment formation and regeneration capabilities and determining their thermal stability may help with their classification. Furthermore, by studying their subcellular localisation and trafficking Class 1 characterisation could be possible. Finally, by establishing if these mutations are constitutively active or have altered interactions with cytoplasmic proteins could direct their classification to Class 5 or 6 due to both their locations being on or in close proximity to the cytoplasmic side of rhodopsin (Deupi, 2013; Athanasiou et al., 2018).

Overall, the use of LMNG for the purification and analysis of opsin RP mutants has been shown to have promise for their further characterisation. In addition, the characterisation of 2 rhodopsin RP mutants has resulted in likely classifications being established. E122G appears to have similar characteristics to the Class 3 mutants which occur at R135, however determining if this mutant is constituently active is also required as it may be a Class 6 mutant. S298D shows similarities in its characteristics to mutants in the N-terminal domain, which are characterised as Class 2/4 mutants. Characterisation of mutants through these methods will continue to help build
understanding of the mechanisms which cause RP and how rhodopsin structure and function is affected, which may impact future methods of treatment of the disease.

## 6. The role of residue E122 in the stability of the metarhodopsin II intermediate

### 6.1 Introduction

### 6.1.1 The Opsin Family

Rhodopsin is part of the opsin family of proteins, GPCR's which share many common structural features (Tarttelin et al., 2003). GPCR's are receptors with 7 transmembrane (TM) helices and in the seventh TM helix of opsins residue 296 (based on rhodopsin) lysine forms a bond to its cognate ligand 11-cis-retinal (Ebrey and Koutalos, 2001). Other conserved features include the DRY motif in helix 3 and E113 counterion to K296 (Ebrey and Koutalos, 2001; Tarttelin et al., 2003). Aside from rhodopsin there are several other opsins with varied functions as light sensors in different tissues of the body. These include the blue, green and red photoreceptors as well as panopsin, melanopsin and neuropsin (Provencio et al., 1998; Blackshaw and Snyder, 1999; Tarttelin et al., 2003; Duda et al., 2020; Suh et al., 2020).

Opsins can be categorised into groups. Ciliary opsins are characterised by a cyclic signalling cascade and include the different opsins found in vertebrate photoreceptors (Suh et al., 2020). Rhabdomeric opsins utilise a phosphooinositol signalling cascade and include melanopsin and invertebrate visual opsins (Suh et al., 2020). Tetraopsins are the least studied group with poor characterisation; they include peropsin, neuropsin and retinal G-protein coupled receptor opsins (Suh et al., 2020). It has been suggested that tetraopsins may be involved in the conversion of retinal from trans to cis forms (Leung and Montell, 2017; Morshedian et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Suh et al., 2020).

The ciliary opsins include rhodopsin, and blue, green and red cone opsins (Suh et al., 2020). Rhodopsin is the major photoreceptor located in the rod cells of the retina. In the cone cells, colour specific cone opsins are present which mediate response to different wavelengths of light. Rhodopsin absorption $\lambda_{\max }$ is in the visible region between 495-500 nm (Nathans et al., 1986). In humans, OPN1SW (short wave) is the blue cone photoreceptor, OPN1MW (medium wave) is the green cone photoreceptor and OPN1LW (long wave) is the red cone photoreceptor (Nathans et al., 1986). The wavelength of the cone opsin $\lambda$ maximum is dependent on the cone type, with blue at 420 nm , green at 530 nm and red at 560 nm (Nathans et al., 1986).

OPN3 is also part of the ciliary opsin group (Suh et al., 2020). It was the first opsin type to be found outside of the retina and was initially discovered at high abundance in the mouse brain (Blackshaw and Snyder, 1999) It was referred to originally as encephalopsin and then panopsin following its detection in a wider range of tissues including the liver and retina in addition to the brain where it was first found (Blackshaw and Snyder, 1999; Halford et al., 2001). In the skin, OPN3 has been found to be the receptor involved in blue-light induced melanogenesis (Espósito et al., 2021).

OPN4, known as melanopsin was discovered in $X$. laevis, in photosensitive dermal melanophores (Provencio et al., 1998). It is also present in the brain, iris, and cells of the Inner Nuclear Layer (INL) of the retina (Provencio et al., 1998). Melanopsin is part of the rhabdomeric opsin group (Suh et al., 2020). It has multiple known functions, aside from a role in vision it also is involved in the pupillary light reflex, sleep circadian rhythms and cognitive functions (Duda et al., 2020). Neuropsin (OPN5) was discovered in 2003 in the eye, brain, testis and spinal cord in mice and humans and is one of the tetraopsins (Tarttelin et al., 2003; Suh et al., 2020). Vertebrate neuropsins may be involved in the inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (Leung and Montell, 2017). Other
tetraopsins may be isomerise retinal from trans to cis forms (Morshedian et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019).

### 6.1.2 Residue 122 in opsins

Located in transmembrane (TM) helix 3 of rhodopsin, residue 122 is the amino acid glutamic acid in both human and bovine rhodopsin (Kazmin et al., 2015). It is highly conserved in rhodopsin, having been set as E122 350 million years ago; however, the residue at position 122 varies between the different opsin types where isoleucine, leucine and cysteine are the next most common (Castiglione and Chang, 2018).

Rhodopsin E122 is in close proximity to the 11-cis-retinal ligand (Figure 6.1) (Ahuja et al., 2009b). It forms a hydrogen bond to H 211 on TM helix 5 in the inactive state (Bhattacharya et al., 2008). Activation of rhodopsin occurs due to light mediated isomerisation of the 11-cis-retinal ligand, displacement of EL2 and consequential movement of helix 5 where H 211 is located (Ahuja et al., 2009a; Hornak et al., 2009) This leads to breakage of the E122-H211 hydrogen bond (side chain to backbone) and allows H211-W265 hydrogen bond formation (Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Hornak et al., 2009). In addition to the H211-W265 bond, H211 also forms a hydrogen bond between its indole group and the E122 sidechain helping stabilise the active form of rhodopsin (Pope et al., 2013).

In other opsins different residues are found at the equivalent position to E122 in rhodopsin. In chicken cone pigments glutamine and isoleucine are found (green and red pigments respectively) (Imai et al., 1997). Studies of the mutation E122Q in rhodopsin revealed a shift in rhodopsin properties to that of cone pigments, with a 10x shortened Meta II lifetime observed alongside 70\% photosensitivity and 80\% single photon response in comparison to WT rhodopsin (Imai et al., 1997). Visual pigment
regeneration was also faster in E122Q and E122I (Imai et al., 1997). Therefore residue 122 determines many properties of the visual pigments (Imai et al., 1997).


Figure 6.1 Key residues around the retinal binding pocket. E122 (green, TM3) is located close to the retinal as is H 211 (dark yellow, TM5) between which a hydrogen bond is formed. Image created using PyMOL and PDB 1 U19.

### 6.1.3 Aims

Here the aim was to determine the functional effects of incorporating other opsin residues into the E122 position of rhodopsin in an attempt to understand at a molecular level the pathogenesis of the rhodopsin RP mutant E122G.

### 6.2 Methods

### 6.2.1 Alignment of opsin sequences

Alignment of opsin sequences was performed using the sequence alignment tool from the GPCRdb (Isberg et al., 2016; Kooistra et al., 2021). The TM3 sequences of rhodopsins, OPN3, OPN4, OPN5, OPN1SW, OPN1MW and OPN1LW were aligned and position 3.37 was analysed by residues present.

### 6.2.2 Construction of the mutant PiggyBac plasmids

Mutagenic primers were designed for E122G, E122C, E122I, E122L, E122M and E122Q (see Appendix 1). Quikchange mutagenesis of pMT4-rho was performed, using a pMT4 plasmid where a Kpnl had replaced EcoRI meaning that rhodopsin was flanked by Kpnl and Notl.

In the cases of E122G and E122Q the mutagenesis was also performed in pMT4-rho with N2C D282C mutations already incorporated. Molecular cloning was then used to incorporate the mutant rhodopsin first into pACMV-TetO and then into pB007. Further details of the cloning steps can be found in Chapter 2.

### 6.2.3 Construction of stable HEK293S cell lines expressing E122 mutants

The mutants E122C, E122G, E122I, E122L, E122M and E122Q in pB007-NCO was replicated in using $E$. coli and a small-scale preparation was purified and the sequences confirmed (see Chapter 2). The purified plasmids were then used to stably transfect HEK293S cells (see Chapter 2). Cells were grown on 10 cm cell culture dishes in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10\% heat inactivated FBS, 1\% PenStrep and 2 mM L-Glutamine for 7 days to allow for transposon insertion into the genome of the cells. Geneticin was then used for selection at $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ and then maintenance at 500
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml} 7$ days after transfection. Cell line stocks were made by freezedown and stored in liquid nitrogen (see Chapter 2).

### 6.2.4 Expression of the E122 mutants in HEK293S cells

Two 10 cm cell culture dishes were used to determine expression. Each cell line was grown to confluence, and then induced using $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate. Induction was carried out for 65 hours, after which the cells were harvested in 1x PBS (see Chapter 2).

### 6.2.5 Analysis of the E122 mutant rhodopsin proteins

The 2 cell suspensions for each cell line were then treated, one with $20 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$-cis retinal and the other with 11-cis-retinal. 9-cis retinal treated samples were used for difference spectra to assess the amount of rhodopsin pigment produced. 11-cis-retinal treated samples were used for rhodopsin immunoaffinity purification to determine the Meta II stability of each mutant and their ability to activate transducin. Further details of these procedures can be found in Chapter 2.

### 6.2.6 Expression of the E122G and E122Q (N2C D282C) mutants for Solid State NMR

For Solid State Magic Angle Spinning NMR (SS MAS NMR), 3 to 6 mg of the rhodopsin pigments is necessary. Cells were brought up from frozen stocks (see Chapter 2) and expanded to the required number of 15 cm cell culture dishes estimated by their expression determined in 6.2.4. Once the cell culture dishes had reached near confluence, the media was exchanged to one containing the necessary labelled amino acids (see Table 6.1). 24 hours after media exchange (or once the dishes were confluent) the induction mix was added (final concentration $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate). The cells were induced for 40 to 50 hours, and harvested when
they were observed to be completely rounded and just beginning to lift from the cell culture dishes. For the cell line expressing E122G N2C D282C this was at approximately 40 hours and for the cell line expressing E122Q N2C D282C this was at approximately 50 hours.

The cells were then harvested from the dishes by pipetting up and down in the media using a 10 ml pipette. The cell suspensions were then centrifuged at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 20 mins using the SORVALL RC 5B Plus centrifuge with the rotor SORVALL SLA3000. The supernatant was poured off carefully to ensure cell pellet was not disturbed. 500 ml of 1x PBS was added to the cell pellet for each mutant and the pellet resuspended. The cell suspensions were centrifuged again as above. The supernatant was again carefully poured off before resuspension of the pellets in $60 \mathrm{ml} 1 \times \mathrm{PBS}$. The cell suspension was split into two 50 ml tubes and treated with $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 11-cis-retinal (final concentration $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) per harvested 15 cm dish, in the dark overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with mixing by nutation.

A $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample was then taken and solubilised in DDM/PMSF and used to assess the amount of rhodopsin pigment formed by UV-vis difference spectroscopy. Complete incorporation of 11-cis-retinal was observed as no 380 nm peak was seen, therefore an additional 11-cis-retinal treatment was performed ( $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per harvested 15 cm dish). Following completion of pigment formation, the cell suspensions were snap frozen using liquid nitrogen.

### 6.2.7 Purification of the E122G and E122Q (N2C D282C) mutants for Solid State NMR

Rho-1D4 sepharose slurry was made fresh for the purification of the samples (see Chapter 2). The binding capacity of the beads was found to be approximately 373
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of slurry (per 0.5 ml of settled beads). All the following steps were performed in the dark under dim red light. The cell suspensions were thawed and then solubilised in $10 \%$ DDM, PMSF and using one Pierce ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Protease Inhibitor tablet (EDTA free) per 50 ml of cell suspension used for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with end over end mixing. They were then centrifuged in a light-safe rotor at 15000 rpm for 30 minutes. Rho-1D4 sepharose was then prepared for use by removal of the PBS containing sodium azide. Based on the UV-vis difference spectra of a sample for each pigment (see 6.2.5) a slight excess amount of beads were used based on the estimated pigment yield and the binding capacity. The supernatant from the centrifugation step and the Rho-1D4 slurry was mixed end over end, for approximately 16 hours at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The beads containing immunocaptured rhodopsin pigment were then added to a 10 ml column prepared with a porous frit. The beads were allowed to settle and the flow through was then collected (and analysed by UV-vis dark spectra to ensure near complete binding had occurred). A second frit was then placed above the beads to prevent drying out while the subsequent steps were performed. The column was then washed with a high salt, PBS based buffer containing $0.02 \%$ DDM (50 column volumes) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then low salt buffer ( $0.02 \%$ DDM, 2 mM Na phosphate, pH 6(10 column volumes) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was passed through the column.

The stable isotope labelled rhodopsin pigment was then eluted by incubating for 1 hour at room temperature using 5 ml (four times) $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rhodopsin C-terminal 9-mer in low salt buffer ( $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{pH6}, 0.02 \% \mathrm{DDM}$ ). The sample was then concentrated using Milipore® Centrifugal filter units (Sigma Aldrich) at 4000 rpm for 6 minutes (repeated as necessary until all sample had been added and the sample volume is $0.5-1 \mathrm{ml})$ and snap frozen.

### 6.2.8 Solid State Magic Angle Spinning NMR of the E122G and E122Q (N2C D282C) stable isotope labelled rhodopsin mutants

The E122G N2C D282C and E122Q N2C D282C stable isotope labelled rhodopsin samples were used for Solid State Magic Angle Spinning (SSMAS) NMR. These experiments were performed at Stony Brook University, New York by Lauren Todd from Professor Steven Smith's group. The experiments were performed using a 500 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer with a 2 channel 4 mm MAS probe ( 7.9 kHz spinning rate). Rhodopsin samples were converted to Meta II in the rotor by illumination for 60 seconds.

### 6.3 Results

### 6.3.1 Alignments of opsins at E122

The GPCRdb was utilised for alignments of rhodopsin, OPN3, OPN4, OPN5, OPN1SW, OPN1MW and OPN1LW (Isberg et al., 2016; Kooistra et al., 2021). Their alignment allowed for the conservation of residues at this position to be examined and the results are shown in Table 6.2. A list of the protein sequences/species aligned can be found in Appendix 4.

Out of all the opsin types aligned, at position 3.37 (rhodopsin residue 122) the most frequently occurring amino acid was glutamic acid (711 occurrences), of which all were in the rhodopsin group except one seen in OPN1SW. Isoleucine was next most frequently aligned at this position (459 occurrences) with it being the most frequent amino acid in OPN3, OPN4, OPN1MW and OPN1LW. Leucine occurred 255 times being the most frequent amino acid at this position in OPN1SW. In OPN5 the most frequent amino acid was cysteine with 137 occurrences.

While varied amino acids were seen at this position across the different types of opsins within groups the amino acids seen were highly conserved. In rhodopsin $94.41 \%$ of the sequences aligned contained glutamic acid at position 3.37 (residue 122). Across the other opsin types isoleucine was aligned at this position for $89.9 \%$ of OPN3 sequences, $98.82 \%$ of OPN4 sequences, $88.89 \%$ of OPN1MW sequences and $100 \%$ of OPN1LW sequences. In OPN5, 95.8\% of the sequences contained cysteine at this position and in OPN1SW 82.79\% of the sequences contained leucine at this position.

Table 6.2 Alignment of position 3.37 (rhodopsin residue 122) of rhodopsin, OPN3, OPN4, OPN5, OPN1SW, OPN1MW and OPN1LW. Sequence alignments were performed using GPCRdb. Amino acids are sorted by the most frequently occurring over all the opsin types aligned. X represents no residue at this position.

|  |  |  | All | Rho | OPN3 | OPN4 | OPN5 | OPN1SW | OPN1MW | OPN1LW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glu | E |  | 711 | 710 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Iso | 1 |  | 459 | 5 | 89 | 168 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 177 |
| Leu | L |  | 259 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 255 | 0 | 0 |
| Cys | C |  | 152 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Met | M |  | 55 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| Phe | F |  | 11 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glu | Q |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Val | v |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ser | S |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Thr | T |  | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asp | D |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ala | A |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gly | G |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| His | H |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lys | K |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asp | N |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pro | P |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arg | R |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Try | W |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tyr | Y |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | X |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Consensus | E | Total | 1667 | 752 | 99 | 170 | 143 | 308 | 18 | 177 |
| \% most frequent residue (green) |  |  | 42.65\% | 94.41\% | 89.90\% | 98.82\% | 95.80\% | 82.79\% | 88.89\% | 100.00\% |

### 6.3.2 Expression of the rhodopsin residue E122 mutants

The expression level of E122 mutant rhodopsin mutant pigment produced on 10 cm cell culture dishes following induction with tetracycline and sodium butyrate and treatment with 9-cis-retinal was assessed by UV-vis difference spectra. Spectra were recorded between 650 and 250 nm with a spectrum was obtained first in the dark, and then another after 60 seconds of photobleaching using the SCHOTT KL1500 Compact fitted with a >495 nm long-pass filter. Difference spectra for each rhodopsin mutant
were calculated (Figure 6.2). The difference at the $\lambda$ max was then used in BeerLambert Law to calculate the yield of rhodopsin pigment, using an assumed $\varepsilon=42700$ at the $\lambda$ max and a molecular weight of 42300 Da.

The $\lambda$ max for WT rhodopsin is 500 nm . For the E122 mutants, this varies by about 20 nm ranging from 501 nm to 482 nm in solubilised cell samples. The expression levels also varied even though all of the cell lines were induced under the same conditions. The resulting yields and the $\lambda$ max for each mutant is described in Table 6.2.

Table $6.2 \lambda_{\text {max }}$ values and yields of each E122 mutant. $\lambda$ max was determined by difference spectra between 250 nm and $650 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{n}=1)$. Yields were determined by Beer-Lambert Law using the absorbance difference at the $\lambda_{\max }$ value, $\varepsilon=42700$ and M.W. 42300.

| Mutant | $\lambda$ max $(\mathrm{nm})$ | Yield $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ per 10 cm <br> dish $\left(1 \mathrm{e}^{7}\right.$ cells $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| E122C | 491 | 30.4 |
| E122G | 482 | 26.9 |
| E122I | 501 | 19.0 |
| E122L | 494 | 48.6 |
| E122M | 495 | 20.5 |
| E122Q | 488 | 19.6 |



Figure 6.2 UV-vis spectra of the E122 mutants before and after photobleaching for 1 minute (hv<495). 10 cm cell culture dishes were grown to confluence, each with a stable E122 mutant HEK293S cell line. Cells were induced with tetracycline and sodium butyrate for 65 hours before harvesting in 1x PBS. The resulting cell suspensions were treated with 9-cis-retinal. UV-vis absorption was measured between 250 and 650 nm, first under dark conditions (solid line) and then following 60 seconds illumination (dashed line).

### 6.3.3 Immunoaffinity purification of the E122 rhodopsin mutants

The E122 mutant rhodopsin proteins were purified from cells harvested from 10 cm cell culture dishes following induction with tetracycline and sodium butyrate. Following treatment with 11-cis-retinal and solubilisation of the cell lysates, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was kept as a pre-purification sample which was then used to determine the amount of each mutant rhodopsin in the samples. The remaining lysate was then subjected to 1D4-sepharose immunoaffinity purification. Figure 6.3 shows the UV-vis absorption spectral profiles of each, and Table 6.3 shows the yields and purity of the first low salt elution (as judged by the $\mathrm{A}_{280}$ : $\mathrm{A}_{\text {rmax }}$ ratio) of the E122 mutant rhodopsins.

For all the E122 mutants, the majority of the rhodopsin pigment was eluted in the first low salt elution. The $\mathrm{A}_{280}$ : A $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ ratio for each purified pigment was between 2.00 and 2.84, indicating a reasonable level of purity. The yield of E122 mutant rhodopsin proteins was varied with total yields across 3 low salt and 3 high salt elution's ranging from $18.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ to $69.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

The purified rhodopsin mutants also showed variation in their $\lambda_{\max }$ values. WT rhodopsin $\lambda_{\max }$ is at 500 nm . This was seen to shift slightly in the mutants E122I, E122L and E122M where shifts to 496, 496 and 492.5 nm were observed. E122C had a blue shifted $\lambda_{\max }$ to 488 nm . E122G and E122Q showed the biggest shifts in their $\lambda_{\max }$ values with 482 and 480 nm being observed respectively.


Figure 6.3 UV-vis absorption spectra of low and high salt elution purified E122 rhodopsin mutants. 10 cm cell culture dishes were grown to confluence, each with a stable E122 mutant HEK293S cell line. Cells were induced with tetracycline and sodium butyrate for 65 hours before harvesting in $1 x$ PBS. The resulting cell suspensions were treated with 11-cis-retinal. Rho-1D4 immunoaffinity purification was
performed, using 3 low salt elution steps followed by 3 high salt elution steps. The majority of the rhodopsin mutant pigments were eluted in the first low salt elution (LSE1 - yellow). Some pigment was then eluted in LSE2 (light blue), and LSE3 (green). Very low levels of the rhodopsin mutant pigments were eluted in the high salt elution (HSE1HSE3 - dark blue, dark orange and grey).

### 6.3.4 Stability of the Meta II intermediate in E122 rhodopsin mutants

Metarhodopsin II (Meta II) is the activated form of rhodopsin. In Chapter 5, it was seen that E122G rhodopsin had a greatly extended Meta II half-life of approximately 42 minutes when expressed using HEK293S cells which lack GnTI activity. Previously E122Q was described to have a much shorter (10-fold) Meta II half-life than in WT rhodopsin in rod outer segment membranes (E122Q 7.7 seconds and WT rhodopsin 95 seconds) (Imai et al., 2007). For this reason, we decided to investigate the effect of varying the residue found at 122 to that found in different opsin types. This was of interest in determining the effect of varying residue 122 in the rhodopsin background.

Meta II stability assays were performed and the half-life for each protein was determined by curve fitting data using SigmaPlot. WT rhodopsin which contains glutamic acid at residue 122, had a Meta II half-life of 15.7 minutes. Across various opsin types and from different species, the next 4 most common residues at 122 are isoleucine, leucine, cysteine, and methionine, which were also examined alongside E122G and E122Q.

Mutation of rhodopsin to E122Q led to the shortest half-life being observed (3.5 minutes). E122I and E122M closely followed in being the next fastest 3.8 and 5.3 minutes respectively. E122L had a faster Meta II half-life compared to WT rhodopsin, but not as fast as Q, I and M mutants with a half-life calculated to be 7.6 minutes. The Meta II half-life of E122C was 16.7 minutes which was slightly slower than that of WT rhodopsin. In contrast, the RP mutation E122G (which was not found to be present naturally in any of the opsin types) had a Meta II half-life of 38.4 minutes, which is more than twice as slow as WT. Representative graphs for each experiment can be seen in Figure 6.4, and the calculated half-life and standard deviation for each is presented in Table 6.3.


Figure 6.4 Meta II decay of the E122 mutants. Meta II decay was measured by recording the increase in tryptophan fluorescence that occurs as retinal is released from the binding pocket after illumination. Samples were illuminated at time $=0$ for 30 seconds, and fluorescence measured for 2 hours for all but E122G which was measured for 4 hours. All data best fitted to single, 2 parameter curves using SigmaPlot.

### 6.3.5 Transducin activation by the E122 rhodopsin mutants

Upon absorption of a photon rhodopsin is converted to the Meta II intermediate and activates transducin. The signal transduction capability of rhodopsin and its mutants can be measured by measuring this step of signal transduction. The use of GTPyS which cannot be hydrolysed leads to the accumulation of transducin in its active state bound to this form of GTP. This brings about an increase in the tryptophan fluorescence of transducin brought about by a conformational change in the G-protein upon binding of GTPyS. The initial rate of activation was determined by measuring the ability of the rhodopsin or rhodopsin mutant to catalytically convert transducin to transducin: GTPyS. Relative initial rates, measured over the first 60 seconds of the reaction, were calculated relative to WT rhodopsin.

The E122 mutants had varied abilities to activate transducin ranging from 89.5\% to $1.8 \%$ relative to WT opsin. Compared to WT rhodopsin, E122C was $89.5 \%$ active. E122M was able to activate at $67.0 \%$ of the rate of WT rhodopsin. The relative rate of E122Q was $51.9 \%$, with an abnormal curve observed where a rapid linear increase was observed during the first 60 seconds following GTPyS addition, followed by a very slowly increasing linear line for the rest of the data points. This contrasts with the usual quick linear increase followed by a curve increasing which was observed in WT. E122M had a higher relative activation rate and E122L which had a lower rate of $37.1 \%$ compared to WT. E122G activated transducin at a relative rate of $10.1 \%$ in comparison to WT rhodopsin. The lowest relative activation rate was generated by E122I which was $1.8 \%$ of WT rhodopsin. In Figure 6.5 representative curves for each E122 mutant and WT rhodopsin are presented and the calculated relative activity and standard deviation for each is shown in Table 6.3.


Figure 6.5 Transducin activation by rhodopsin E122 mutants. The change in fluorescence following the addition of GTPyS to an illuminated sample containing an E122 mutant and transducin was measured. The first 60 seconds following GTPyS addition was used to calculate the initial rate kinetics of transducin activation.

Table 6.3 Purification, Meta II decay and transducin activation characteristics of WT and E122 mutants.

|  |  | Purificat |  | Meta II |  | Tran <br> activa | ucin $(n=2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda \max$ <br> (nm) | Yield <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) | $280 / 500$ <br> Ratio | Half-Life (mins) | S.D. | Relative rate | S.D. |
| WT | 501 | 55.6 | 1.88 | 15.65 | 0.14 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| E122C | 488 | 31.7 | 2.44 | 16.75 | 1.19 | 89.48 | 4.40 |
| E122G | 482 | 58.5 | 2.38 | 38.41 | 6.76 | 10.10 | 1.43 |
| E122l | 496 | 45.5 | 2.18 | 3.83 | 1.18 | 1.83 | 2.12 |
| E122L | 496 | 18.3 | 2.84 | 7.56 | 3.50 | 37.12 | 5.36 |
| E122M | 492.5 | 69.5 | 2.00 | 5.30 | 2.08 | 67.00 | 4.76 |
| E122Q | 480 | 47.6 | 2.18 | 3.49 | 1.03 | 51.85 | 10.48 |

### 6.3.6 SS MAS NMR comparison between E122G N2C D282C and E122Q N2C D282C

Stabilised (N2C D282C) mutants E122G and E122Q which had the longest and shortest Meta II half-lives were prepared for Solid State Magic Angle Spinning (SS MAS) NMR. The mutants were expressed using inducible HEK293S stable cell lines for each and the protein purified as described in Chapter 6.2.5 and 6.2.6. The protein was then purified (6.2.7) and SS MAS NMR was then performed (6.2.8) to producing ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra. All NMR was performed in the N2C D282C background.

Figure 6.6 shows the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra for E122G rhodopsin and Meta II. Panel a. displays a WT (N2C D282C) rhodopsin and Meta II labelled with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ tryptophan comparison for reference. The main points of difference between the two are the upfield shifts of Trp126 and Trp265 NH indole resonances caused by the transition from rhodopsin to Meta II. Panel b. (Figure 6.6) compares the rhodopsin and Meta II ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra of E122G. These both appear to look similar to the spectra of the WT Meta II conformation, with no upfield shifts seen and appear as small shoulders in Meta II. To further illustrate this panel c. overlays the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra of WT rhodopsin and E122G rhodopsin and panel d. overlays the spectra of E122G rhodopsin and WT Meta II. These illustrate that the E122G rhodopsin spectra is more similar to that of WT Meta Il than WT rhodopsin.

Figure 6.6 panels e.-h. show the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR difference spectra of E122G rhodopsin and it's Meta II counterpart overlayed onto the WT rhodopsin/Meta II difference spectra. These were labelled with the amino acids $1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-histidine, $4-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-tyrosine, $2-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-glycine and $5-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ methionine (e.-h. respectively). Tyr191, Gly188 and Met288 resonances for E122G are alike those seen in the WT. Differences are seen however in regards to Tyr206 where a shift seen in the WT is not present in the E122G and in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$
methionine spectra where a strong band appears for E122G which is not observed in the WT.

Figure 6.7 shows the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra for E122Q rhodopsin and Meta II. Panel a. displays a WT (N2C D282C) rhodopsin and Meta II labelled with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ tryptophan comparison for reference. Panel b. shows E122Q rhodopsin and E122Q Meta II spectra, c. E122Q rhodopsin and WT rhodopsin and d. E122Q Meta II and WT Meta II. These show a distinct difference compared to E122G. The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectrum of E122Q shows a downfield shift in comparison to E122G of the Trp126 indole NH resonance. In addition when comparing E122Q rhodopsin and E122Q Meta II only one clear difference is observed, the Trp265 indole resonance in Meta II. No differences in the Trp126 resonances are observed.

Figure 6.7 e.-h. show the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR difference spectra of E122Q rhodopsin/Meta II overlayed onto the WT rhodopsin/Meta II difference spectra. These were labelled with the amino acids $1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-histidine, $4-{ }^{-13} \mathrm{C}$-tyrosine, $2-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-glycine and $5-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-methionine (e.-h. respectively). The E122Q Tyr, Gly and Met ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra are similar to the WT (f.h.). However the His spectra (e.) shows a difference in the His211 region.


Figure 6.6 15N and 13C spectra of NMR E122G rhodopsin. Spectra were recorded using solid state magic angle spinning NMR of purified E122G rhodopsin labelled with 15N tryptophan and 13C histidine, tyrosine, glycine and methionine. Panels a-h contain the following spectrum comparisons. a. WT rhodopsin and WT Metarhodopsin II (Meta II). b. E122G rhodopsin and E122G Meta II. c. E122G rhodopsin and WT rhodopsin. d. E122G rhodopsin and WT Meta II. e. 1-13C-histidine labelled E122G and WT rhodopsin. f. 4-13C-tyrosine labelled E122G and WT rhodopsin. g. 2-13Cglycine labelled E122G and WT rhodopsin. h. 5-13C-methionine labelled E122G and WT rhodopsin. Panels e.-h. show the difference spectra of rho/Meta II.


Figure 6.715 N and 13C spectra of NMR E122Q rhodopsin. Spectra were recorded using solid state magic angle spinning NMR of purified E122Q rhodopsin labelled with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ tryptophan and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ histidine, tyrosine, glycine and methionine. Panels a-h contain the following spectrum comparisons. a. WT rhodopsin and WT Metarhodopsin II (Meta II). b. E122Q rhodopsin and E122Q Meta II. c. E122Q rhodopsin and WT rhodopsin. d. E122Q Meta II and WT Meta II. e. $1^{1-13} \mathrm{C}$-histidine labelled E122Q and WT rhodopsin. f. 4- ${ }^{13}$ C-tyrosine labelled E122Q and WT rhodopsin. g. $2-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-glycine labelled E122Q and WT rhodopsin. h. $5-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-methionine labelled E122Q and WT rhodopsin. Panels e.h. show the difference spectra of rho/Meta II.

### 6.4 Discussion

E122 has been described as a functional determinant of opsin function (Imai et al., 1997). Its role in the stabilisation of rhodopsin through the hydrogen bond network and close proximity to the ligand binding pocket have major influence on properties of opsins (Imai et al., 1997; Ahuja et al., 2009b; Hornak et al., 2009). The variation of the residue at this position is seen between opsin types paired to high residue conservation within that opsin type. For example, differences between rhodopsin and cone opsins at this position are necessary as pigments with optimal molecular properties are required for vision in dim light versus bright light (Imai et al., 1997, 2007).

In this present study the variation in Meta II half-life can be attributed to the functional roles of residues at position 122. Rhodopsin E122 mutants containing residues usually found in cone pigments have shorter half-lives compared to WT rhodopsin. The residues commonly seen in other opsin types also had much shorter Meta II half-lives. This might suggest these opsins are required to have photocycles similar to cones in that they decay fast after activation and also regenerate fast. Rhodopsin is adapted for vision in dim-light conditions where photons are scarce and therefore by prolonging the active form (Meta II) there is more time for a productive interaction with transducin to initiate the signalling cascade (Ingram et al., 2016). Return of the receptor and photoreceptor cell to the resting (dark) state post-signalling needs to be timely to allow for further cycles of signalling as more photons are absorbed, the rate of which is different in dim-light and bright-light visual receptors (Ebrey and Koutalos, 2001; Fu, 2010).

The RP mutant E122G had a much longer Meta II half-life (2.5 times) but interestingly could only activate transducin at $10 \%$ of the rate of WT rhodopsin. In addition, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$

NMR spectra revealed that in both the rhodopsin and Meta II state E122G resembles the WT Meta II, suggesting that switch one is 'on' in both the inactive and active state of rhodopsin. However, the slight difference in the Trp265 resonance suggests it is not completely locked into the Meta II formation consistent with the long Meta II half-life. This is in stark contrast with the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR results for E122Q, known for its short Meta II half-life, here the Trp126 indole NH resonance is shifted downfield in comparison to E122G which can be attributed to an increase in the strength of hydrogen bonding, likely between the indole NH and the glutamine sidechain. Furthermore, upon illumination the only difference seen in the Meta II spectrum is the appearance of the Trp265 resonance suggesting that there is no change in the hydrogen bond network around Trp126-Gln122. Together, this suggests that in E122Q switch one is held in the 'off' position, here consistent with the fast decay of its Meta II conformation. This with previous work further shows the importance of residue 122 in the functioning of rhodopsin (and other opsins) gives a strong indication of how important E122 is in rhodopsin for its specific functioning (Imai et al., 1997). While the Meta II half-life of rhodopsin is slower than that of cone opsins to ensure signalling under dim-light conditions, the E122G mutation which results in an even slower Meta II half-life is detrimental to its ability to activate transducin (Ebrey and Koutalos, 2001). A potential reason for this may be due to the effect on the hydrogen bond network in the active state which may prevent efficient binding of the G-protein to the receptor or catalytic turnover. Upon the reception of light by rhodopsin 11-cis-retinal isomerises which shifts the methyl group C20 towards EL2 and the $\beta$-ionone ring between residues 207 and 208 of helix 5 (Ahuja et al., 2009b).

When WT rhodopsin transitions to Meta II there is an upfield shift in the Trp126 and Trp265 resonances (Figure 6.6, panel a.) which are associated with hydrogen bonding
loss. However, in E122G rhodopsin the Trp126 resonance suggests that the loss of hydrogen bonding is already present. There is a higher backbone flexibility in E122G rhodopsin which remains similar when it converts to Meta II (Figure 6.6, panel b.). The results of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed that E122G is able to become Meta II upon illumination. Resonances alike to that of WT were shown for Tyr191, Gly188 and Met288 which provided probes for Meta II conversion. The lack of the typical upfield shift of the Tyr206 resonance seen in WT upon illumination due to the weakening of its interaction with His211 suggests that in E122G this interaction is preserved in its Meta II conformation. Alongside this, the strong band in the Meta II of the methionine spectra which is not usually present, in combination these further suggest that E122G is less stable in the Meta II conformation than WT.

Molecular dynamics experiments have given an indication to how the active state of rhodopsin is stabilised. The movement of the $\beta$-ionone ring leads to the disruption of the hydrogen bond between the sidechain of E122 and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of H211 and stabilises helix 5's active position (Patel et al., 2005; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Hornak et al., 2009). E122 then forms a new bond with H211's $\delta$ nitrogen, and H211 also forms a water mediated hydrogen bond with W265 and an E122 to C167 hydrogen bond also forms stabilising the active state (Bhattacharya et al., 2008). The stable active formation exposes some previously hidden residues to water and likely the $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha}$ subunit of transducin (Bhattacharya et al., 2008).

The role of the E122 position in rhodopsin structure and function has previously drawn attention. Our data is in agreement with previous findings that E122 is important for spectral tuning and active state (Meta II) stability. We further find that signal transduction properties of the mutant pigments can also be affected. This might imply that light induced structural changes in rhodopsin mutant E122G alter its interaction
with transducin. Another possibility is that there are differences in the way that this mutant interacts with other components of the signal transduction pathway (rhodopsin kinase and arrestin), or perhaps interacts with components of non-cognate signalling pathways (other G proteins, GRKs, arrestins) which could be detrimental to the health of photoreceptor cells.

## 7. Conclusion

### 7.1 PiggyBac transposon cell line construction and expression optimisation provide a rapid, easy and effective method for rhodopsin mutant expression at high levels.

Structural studies of membrane proteins often require milligram amounts of purified protein. Stable cell lines have proven useful but have previously been limited by the time needed (several months) and labour needed for their construction. Here we have shown that high expressing inducible stable cell pools can be made in an accelerated (several weeks) manner using the piggyBac transposon method. The plasmid (pB007 NCO) constructed for transposon delivery of the expression/selection cassette was too large for reproducible mutagenesis, due to inefficiency of the Quikchange method when using plasmids of large sizes (Yang et al., 2022). Here a stepwise approach was taken for construction of the expression plasmid. First, Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using pMT4 as the template. The EcoRI-Notl fragment from pMT4 was then cloned into pACMV TetO. From pACMV TetO the expression/selection cassette containing the CMV[TetO] promoter/gene target, along with neomycin resistance marker, was isolated by PCR and cloned into the piggyBac plasmid at the Nhel site to form pB007NCO.

Despite the additional steps required at the cloning stage, this novel approach towards stable cell line pool generation removes the need for multiple transfections compared to transient methods, whilst decreasing the time taken to produce stable cell lines. This is owing to their transfection method, which leads to a higher transposon cargo copy number, removing the requirement for colonies to be isolated and grown individually. (Reeves et al., 1996). The cloning procedure was recently further optimised so that

Kpnl Notl fragments could be cloned directly into the piggyBac pB007 CMV-TetO/Neo expression module, further decreasing the time taken to produce stable cell lines.

In addition to the increased speed of stable cell line production achieved via this novel methodology, the expression levels of WT rhodopsin protein using either HEK293S or HEK293S (GnTI-) cell pools were very high and in line with the reported expression levels from traditional methods (Reeves et al., 2002a). Furthermore, this method of producing stable cell line pools can be optimised, via both time duration and temperature, in order to improve the efficiency of protein accumulation from specific rhodopsin mutants. For traditionally low expressing mutants (P23A, G106W) increased expression levels could be achieved through optimisation of the time provided for gene expression and rhodopsin accumulation, whilst for certain mutants (P23A, G101V, G106W), optimisation of receptor expression through lowering expression temperature was found to be highly effective, which is in agreement with previous studies (Lin et al., 2015).

One explanation for this effect is that by reducing the length of the time of expression, cytotoxicity imparted by rhodopsin class two RP mutants is less severe. The reason for reduced expression of these mutants is that prolonged UPR activation can result in cell death (Athanasiou et al., 2017b). This observation gives argument that optimising the expression of each rhodopsin RP mutant individually is beneficial, especially if it has low expression levels under conventional expression conditions. As shown here, the conditions often used for expression of WT rhodopsin $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60-\right.$ 72 hours) is not the most effective method for production of certain mutants. Unlike for WT rhodopsin, expression of some RP mutants may not be cumulative due to cytotoxic affects resulting in cell death (Reeves et al., 2002a; Athanasiou et al., 2017b). These improvements for the construction of cell lines and tailored optimization of the
expression conditions for individual mutants could be greatly utilised in structure and function studies of rhodopsin RP mutants. Of equal importance is the observation that previous classification for RP rhodopsin mutants may not paint the complete picture. For example, P23A which was previously shown to misfold when expressed for 60-72 hours were found to fold and form pigment at much earlier time points. However, these pigments were not present at later times of cell harvest. This suggests that the originally formed rod opsins are unstable and are not able to generate pigment beyond these early time points.

### 7.2 LMNG provides a suitable alternative to DDM for studies of opsin function.

A further improvement for the study of rhodopsin RP mutants was through the use of the detergent LMNG as an alternative to DDM. By using LMNG it was shown that WT opsin and mutant opsin could be purified, and importantly the purified rod opsins were competent for pigment formation. This is in contrast to previous studies using DDM where rod opsin was found to convert rapidly to forms incapable of combining with 11cis retinal to form pigment (Sakamoto and Khorana, 1995; Reeves et al., 1999). When rhodopsin was purified in LMNG, Meta II and transducin activation assays were shown to continue to work effectively for analysis of the light activated rhodopsin pigment, albeit with slightly reduced activity levels which is in agreement with previous work using LMNG. An explanation for this observation is that the additional hydrogen bonding in the LMNG micelle reduces receptor flexibility (Lee et al., 2020).

### 7.3 Rhodopsin RP mutants have vastly different phenotypic properties that hold the key to understanding the structure and activation of rhodopsin.

The role of the N -terminus and extracellular loops in rhodopsins ability to fold and bind its ligand have been well documented (Opefi et al., 2013). The majority of rhodopsin RP mutants are grouped as class two, categorised by their misfolding, retention in the ER and overall instability. While the EL1 mutants likely fall into this category, the mutants E122G, R252P, S298D and K311E were selected for study due to preliminary work in the Reeves laboratory suggesting that they did not misfold and therefore would be likely to affect other aspects of rhodopsin function and/or signalling properties. Examination of such mutants was predicted to provide novel information as to how activation and functioning of rhodopsin occurs. A summary of the biochemistry data for the mutants is shown in Table 7.1.

R252P and K311E showed little to minor differences compared to WT rhodopsin in their expression and function as determined through analysis of their photobleaching, Meta II stability and transducin activation capabilities. While they currently remain enigmatic, further analysis of these mutants may reveal differences which may help categorise them more effectively and aid in understanding their role in RP. In the TM region of the rhodopsin protein class two mutations are the most common as the presence of bulky or charged residues are incompatible with normal receptor folding. Class three to seven mutations have also been found in this region (Athanasiou et al., 2018). R252 has polar contacts to E232 of Intracellular Loop 3 (IL3) and K248, E249 and I256 of TM6 (see Figure 7.1). The close proximity of R252 to IL3, which is known to be involved in the binding and activation of proteins which interact with rhodopsin
on the cytoplasmic side, could suggest that these interactions may be altered when R252 is mutated (Deupi, 2013). R252P however has an observed frequency of 8.8 E 5 and listed to have uncertain significance which is in agreement with the results here. Potentially this mutant may not be pathogenic based on the results and the frequency at which the variant is observed.

K311E is located at the start of the C-terminal helix, just after the seventh TM helix. Many mutations in the C-terminus have been categorised as class one mutations whereas those in the seventh TM have been identified as class two or class six mutations (Athanasiou et al., 2018). Therefore, experiments to show the trafficking of the K311E mutant or of transducin activation both in the dark and without retinal bound could be useful in categorising this mutant into either class one or class six.

S298D showed decreased stability of the Meta II intermediate alongside an abnormal photobleaching profile. This is reminiscent of the N -terminal mutants described previously and suggests that like those S298D may be a class two or four mutation (Opefi et al., 2013; Athanasiou et al., 2018). Further investigation of this mutant by NMR or by other structural methods is therefore warranted. A limitation here when looking at the impact of these mutations on the functioning of rhodopsin in the context of retinitis pigmentosa is the potential differences between human and bovine rhodopsin. Here bovine rhodopsin was used to study human mutations, for the all of the mutations but one, the residue in question was the same in the bovine as the human. S298D however would actually be A298D in human leading to potential differences. Throughout the entirety of rhodopsin there are several differences in the rhodopsin sequence, however previous studies have suggested that despite the differences there is a high level of similarity in rhodopsin structure and function between human and bovine (Kazmin et al., 2015).

E122G (which has a GnomAD frequency of 3.8E-6) proved particularly interesting with regards to its more than two-fold increased Meta II half-life, but its decreased ability to activate transducin. Based on these properties, it could be that E122G is a class three mutant owing to similarities to mutants at residue R135 which have also been placed in this group (Cideciyan et al., 1998; Iannaccone et al., 2006). Due to the known role of E122 in opsin as a functional determinant in rod and cone visual pigments, this mutant was selected for further examination of its Meta II half-life and transducin activation (Imai et al., 1997). Rhodopsin mutants incorporating the various residues at position 122 found in various opsin types were thus constructed and examined side by side.

E122 of rhodopsin and its equivalents in other opsin types is known as a functional determinant (Imai et al., 1997). The glutamic acid at this position is highly conserved in rhodopsin (94.4\%, see Chapter 6). Isoleucine, leucine, cysteine, methionine are the next most common residues found in this position across all opsin types. Rhodopsin mutant E122Q has previously been extensively studied where it was found to have a greatly decreased Meta II half-life (Imai et al., 2007), reminiscent of cone opsin properties(Imai et al., 2007), reminiscent of cone opsin properties. Here, E122Q was also found to have a much shorter Meta II half-life (3.49 mins) and most of the opsin type mutants studied also exhibited these shorter half-lives (E122l 3.83 mins, E122L 7.56 mins and E122M 5.30 mins). E122C had a slightly longer Meta II half-life (16.75 mins compared to WT rhodopsin 15.65 mins). E122G remained the stand-out mutant with the longest Meta II half-life ( 38.41 mins ). These vast differences reiterate the role of E122 not only in rod and cone pigments but across opsin types as a functional determinant, likely linked to its role in the activation and signalling properties rhodopsin (Imai et al., 1997; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Ahuja et al., 2009b; Hornak et al., 2009).

This observation was motivation for the structural and activation studies by SSMAS NMR for this mutant.

Meta II stability and transducin activation assays serve as important ways to study the biochemical properties of rhodopsin. However these cannot be compared directly to the activation of rhodopsin in the eye due to the lack of other system components needed in this context. For example, an extended Meta II stability, such as that seen in E122G may not been seen in vivo. This is due to the lack of rhodopsin kinase/arrestin in the assays used. In vivo rhodopsin kinase phosphorylates activated rhodopsin which allows the rhodopsin to bind arrestin which results in the termination of rhodopsin signalling (Gray-Keller et al., 1997; Krupnick et al., 1997; Vishnivetskiy et al., 2007; Park, 2014). Here, there is no rhodopsin kinase or arrestin which means that rhodopsin signalling cannot be terminated by the usual means.

Table 7.1 Summary of WT and RP mutant biochemical data. Highlighted cells show differences to the WT. ND = no data.

|  | WT | G101V | V104F | G106W | E122G | R252P | S298D | K311E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | 500 | 499 | 499 | 494 | 482 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Dark state acidification shift seen | Yes | ND | ND | ND | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Photobleaching profile compared to WT | - | Staggered | Staggered | ND | As WT | As WT | Staggered | As WT |
| Photobleached acidification shift seen | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| half-life (mins) | 17.67 | 5.96 | 10.06 | 9.97 | 42.45 | 13.87 | 4.00 | 18.69 |
| Meta II S.D. | 1.76 | 2.96 | 1.78 | 0.78 | 3.07 | 0.53 | 0.38 | ND |
| $n$ | 12 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Relative rate | - | 0.35 | 0.64 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.85 | 0.30 | 0.76 |
| Transducin activation S.D. | 0.0007 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 |
| $n$ | 11 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 3 |
| Potential mutant class | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 or 4 | - |



Figure 7.1 Polar contacts (red) made by the WT residues at the positions analysed through this work. In each panel, the relevant residue is shown as yellow sticks. E122 also shows H211 as magenta sticks and a zinc molecule (green), R252 has IL3 shown in green, S298 shows contacts to 3 O molecules (green). The WT panel shows the full rhodopsin structure and each of the mutants as yellow sticks. All residues within $5 \AA$ of the relevant residue are shown as grey sticks. Grey cartoon shows the rest of the structure. Images were created using PyMOL and the rhodopsin structure PDB 1 U19.

### 7.4 Concluding remarks.

Overall, this work has shown that the piggyBac expression system works efficiently for the expression of rhodopsin and its mutants and with further optimisation, this expression might be further increased to produce high levels of mutants and other GPCRs that are currently difficult to express. This fast, effective and relatively straight forward method proved to be invaluable for the construction of several (up to ten) rhodopsin mutant cell lines simultaneously, without the need for individual colony isolation and expansion. For these reasons it will likely aid in the study of the vast array of rhodopsin RP mutants, which have been identified but not yet categorised, as well as other GPCRs. This method which has been developed and refined over the course of this work, has enabled the construction of several cell lines expressing rhodopsin RP mutants and contributed to the structural analysis of RP mutant of rhodopsin, E122G, which through NMR studies has been shown to be pivotal in the activation of rhodopsin, a discovery which could aid in understanding how rhodopsin and other GPCRs activate.
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## 9. Appendices

## Appendix 1 Mutagenic primers

Table 1. Primer sequences used for site directed mutagenesis

| Mutant | Primer sequence 5' to 3' |
| :--- | :--- |
| E122G F | gccaccctgggcggtggcattgcactgtgg |
| E122G R | gagaccacagtgcaatgccaccgcccaggg |
| R252P F | gagaaggaggtcacgccgatggttatcatc |
| R252P R | catgatgataaccatcggcgtgacctcctt |
| S298D F | ctttcttgccaagacggatgccgtctaca |
| S298D R | cgggttgtagacggcatccgtcttggcaaa |
| K311E F | ctacatcatgatgaacgagcagttccggaa |
| K311E R | gcagttccggaactgctcgttcatcatgat |
| P23A F | ggcgtggtgcgcagccacttcgaggctccgcag |
| P23A R | ctgcggagcctcgaagtggctgcgcaccacgcc |

For the EL1 mutants, pMT4-rho(mutants) made previously were used (Neaves, 2020).

## Appendix 2 PCR and sequencing primers

Table 2. Primers used for PCR and Sequencing

| Mutant | Primer sequence 5' to 3' | Used for |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neo CMV F | gaggaggctagcgcggagtgtatgatcttctaggatccagac | PCR |
| Neo CMV R | gaggaggctagccagcggccatcgatcgaccaattctcatg | PCR |
| SEQ1 | caacaagacgggcgtggtgc | Sequencing |
| SEQF2 | gtgtgcaagcccatgagcaac | Sequencing |
| SEQR2 | gaacgactcattgttggtctcc | Sequencing |
| SEQ pB007NCO | gatagagatcgtcgacgagc | Sequencing |

## Appendix 3 Sequencing results

Sequencing results are presented here to show the relevant mutations aligned against a WT rhodopsin control. The mutation codon is highlighted for each, and where appropriate other regions of interest are also highlighted.

## Chapter 4 - N-terminal/EL1 mutants

## P23A

CCG to GCC change observed at the correct position.

| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7_F1 | 1 | GTATTAAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGA | 50 |
| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| 7_F1 | 51 | TAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCT | 100 |
| Rho_WT | 1 | -ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC <br>  | 39 |
| 7_F1 | 101 | GGAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC | 150 |
| Rho_WT | 40 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA \|||||||||||||||||||||||||.| |||||||||||||||||| | 89 |
| 7 7 F1 | 151 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCGCCTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 200 |
| Rho_WT | 90 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC <br>  | 139 |
| 7_F1 | 201 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 250 |
| Rho_WT | 140 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC <br>  | 189 |
| 7_F1 | 251 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 300 |
| Rho_WT | 190 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC <br>  | 239 |
| 7_F1 | 301 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 350 |
| Rho_WT | 240 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| | 289 |
| 7_F1 | 351 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 400 |
| Rho_WT | 290 | ССтстСтССАTGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG <br>  | 339 |
| 7_F1 | 401 | CCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG | 450 |
| Rho_WT | 340 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 389 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 451 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 500 |
| Rho_WT | 390 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 439 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 501 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 550 |


| Rho_WT | 440 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG <br>  | 489 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7_F1 | 551 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 600 |
| Rho_WT | 490 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 539 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 601 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 650 |
| Rho_WT | 540 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 589 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 651 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 700 |
| Rho_WT | 590 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 639 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7 FF1 | 701 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 750 |
| Rho_WT | 640 | ATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGT | 689 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 751 | ATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGT | 800 |
| Rho_WT | 690 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG | 739 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 801 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG | 850 |
| Rho_WT | 740 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATC | 789 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 851 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATC | 900 |
| Rho_WT | 790 | TGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG | 839 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 901 | TGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG | 950 |
| Rho_WT | 840 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGA | 889 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 7_F1 | 951 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGA | 1000 |
| Rho_WT | 890 | CGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATG-AACAAGCAGTT | 938 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 7_F1 | 1001 | CGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAAACAAGCAGTT | 1050 |
| Rho_WT | 939 | ```CCGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTG \|||||||||||||.|||||``` | 988 |
| 7_F1 | 1051 |  | 1070 |
| Rho_WT | 989 | ACGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCG | 1038 |
| 7_F1 | 1071 |  | 1070 |
| Rho_WT | 1039 | CCTGCCTAAGCGGCCGCAAATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG 1080 |  |
| 7_F1 | 1071 | ---- 1070 |  |

## G101V <br> GGG to GTC change observed at the correct position.

| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11_F1 | 1 | GAGCATATAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGT | 50 |
| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| 11_F1 | 51 | GATAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAG | 100 |
| Rho_WT | 1 | --ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTT | 37 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 101 | CTGGAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTT | 150 |
| Rho_WT | 38 | TCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTAC | 87 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 151 | TCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTAC | 200 |
| Rho_WT | 88 | TACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCT | 137 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 201 | TACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCT | 250 |
| Rho_WT | 138 | GCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAG | 187 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 251 | GCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAG | 300 |
| Rho_WT | 188 | TCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTG | 237 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 301 | TCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTG | 350 |
| Rho_WT | 238 | GCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTA | 287 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 351 | GCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTA | 400 |
| Rho_WT | 288 | CACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCG | 337 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11_F1 | 401 | CACCTCTCTCCATGTCTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCG | 450 |
| Rho_WT | 338 | AGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTA | 387 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11_F1 | 451 | AGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTA | 500 |
| Rho_WT | 388 | GTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTT | 437 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11_F1 | 501 | GTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTT | 550 |
| Rho_WT | 438 | CCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCA | 487 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 551 | CCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCA | 600 |
| Rho_WT | 488 | TGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATC | 537 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 601 | TGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATC | 650 |
| Rho_WT | 538 | CCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGA | 587 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11_F1 | 651 | CCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGA | 700 |
| Rho_WT | 588 | GGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCA | 637 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 11_F1 | 701 | GGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCA | 750 |



## V104F

GTC to TTC change observed at the correct position.

| Rho_WT | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13_F1 | 1 | TAATAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGATA | 50 |
| Rho_WT 1 |  |  | 0 |
| 13_F1 | 51 | GAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCTGG | 100 |
| Rho_WT | 1 | ----------ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCTC <br>  | 41 |
| 13_F1 | 101 | AATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCTC | 150 |
| Rho_WT | 42 | CAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACC | 91 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 151 | CAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACC | 200 |
| Rho_WT | 92 | TGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTG | 141 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 201 | TGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTG | 250 |
| Rho_WT | 142 | ATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCA | 191 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 251 | ATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCA | 300 |
| Rho_WT | 192 | GCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCG | 241 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 301 | GCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCG | 350 |
| Rho_WT | 242 | TGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACC | 291 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 351 | TGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACC | 400 |
| Rho_WT | 292 | TCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGG | 341 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||.|||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 401 | TCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCTTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGG | 450 |
| Rho_WT | 342 | CTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTAC | 391 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 451 | CTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTAC | 500 |
| Rho_WT | 392 | TGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGC | 441 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 501 | TGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGC | 550 |
| Rho_WT | 442 | TTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGC | 491 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 551 | TTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGC | 600 |
| Rho_WT | 492 | TCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGG | 541 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 601 | TCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGG | 650 |
| Rho_WT | 542 | AGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAG | 591 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 651 | AGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAG | 700 |
| Rho_WT |  | ACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCAT | 641 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 13_F1 | 701 | ACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCAT | 750 |


| Rho WT | 642 | CCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCA <br>  |  | 691 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 751 | CCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCA |  | 800 |
| Rho_WT | 692 | AGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAG |  | 741 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||| |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 801 | AGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAG |  | 850 |
| Rho_WT | 742 | AAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTG |  | 791 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 851 | AAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTG |  | 900 |
| Rho_WT | 792 | CTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCT |  | 841 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||| |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 901 | CTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCT |  | 950 |
| Rho_WT | 842 | CTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACG <br>  |  | 891 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 951 | CTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACG |  | 1000 |
| Rho_WT | 892 | TCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCG <br>  |  | 941 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 1001 | TCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCG |  | 1050 |
| Rho_WT | 942 | GAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACG <br>  |  | 991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 1051 | GAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACG |  | 1100 |
| Rho WT | 992 | ACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCT <br>  |  | 1041 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 13_F1 | 1101 |  |  | 1126 |
| Rho_WT | 1042 | GCCTAAGCGGCCGC | 1055 |  |
| 13_F1 | 1127 | ------- | 1126 |  |

G106W
GGG to TGG change observed at the correct position.

| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15_F1 | 1 | GAGCATTAAGCAGAAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAG | 50 |
| Rho_WT | 1 |  | 0 |
| 15_F1 | 51 | TGATAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAA | 100 |
| Rho_WT | 1 | - -ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCT | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 15_F1 | 101 | GCTGGAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCT | 150 |
| Rho_WT | 37 | TTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTA | 86 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 151 | TTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTA | 200 |
| Rho_WT | 87 | CTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCC | 136 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 201 | CTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCC | 250 |
| Rho_WT | 137 | TGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACA | 186 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 251 | TGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACA | 300 |
| Rho_WT | 187 | GTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCT | 236 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 301 | GTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCT | 350 |
| Rho_WT | 237 | GGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCT | 286 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 351 | GGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCT | 400 |
| Rho_WT | 287 | ACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTC | 336 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 15_F1 | 401 | ACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTTGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTC | 450 |
| Rho_WT | 337 | GAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGT | 386 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 451 | GAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGT | 500 |
| Rho_WT | 387 | AGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACT | 436 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 15_F1 | 501 | AGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACT | 550 |
| Rho_WT | 437 | TCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTC | 486 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 551 | TCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTC | 600 |
| Rho_WT | 487 | ATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACAT | 536 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 601 | ATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACAT | 650 |
| Rho_WT | 537 | CCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACG | 586 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 15_F1 | 651 | CCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACG | 700 |
| Rho_WT | 587 | AGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTC | 636 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 15_F1 | 701 | AGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTC | 750 |



## Chapter 5 - RP mutants

E122G
GAA to GGC change observed at correct position. This plasmid was also used for the E122G work in Chapter 6.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1_F1 | 1 | GCAATAAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGA | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| 1_F1 | 51 | TAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCT | 100 |
| Rho | 1 | ----------ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC <br>  | 39 |
| 1_F1 | 101 | GGAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC | 150 |
| Rho | 40 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 89 |
| 1_F1 | 151 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 200 |
| Rho | 90 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 139 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 201 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 250 |
| Rho | 140 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 189 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 251 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 300 |
| Rho | 190 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 239 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 301 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 350 |
| Rho | 240 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 289 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 351 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 400 |
| Rho | 290 | CCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG | 339 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 401 | CCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG | 450 |
| Rho | 340 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 389 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||..||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 451 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGGCATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 500 |
| Rho | 390 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 439 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 501 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 550 |
| Rho | 440 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 489 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 1_F1 | 551 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 600 |
| Rho | 490 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 539 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |


| 1_F1 | 601 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 650 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rho | 540 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 589 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 651 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 700 |
| Rho | 590 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 639 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 701 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 750 |
| Rho | 640 | ATCCCGCTGAtTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTtCACCGT | 689 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 751 | ATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGT | 800 |
| Rho | 690 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG | 739 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 801 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG | 850 |
| Rho | 740 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTtATCATCATGGTCATCGCTtTCCTAATC | 789 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 851 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATC | 900 |
| Rho | 790 | TGCTGGCtGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG | 839 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 901 | TGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG | 950 |
| Rho | 840 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTITCTTTGCCAAGA | 889 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1_F1 | 951 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCtttctttgccaiga | 1000 |
| Rho | 890 | CGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTC | 939 |
|  |  | $1 \mid$ |  |
| 1_F1 | 1001 | CG | 1002 |
| Rho | 940 | CGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGA | 989 |
| 1_F1 | 1003 |  | 1002 |
| Rho | 990 | CGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGC | 1039 |
| 1_F1 | 1003 |  | 1002 |
| Rho | 1040 | CTGCCTAAGCGGCCGCAAATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG 1080 |  |
| 1_F1 | 1003 | -- 1002 |  |

R252P
CGT to CCG change observed at the correct position.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3_F1 | 1 | GCATTAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGAT | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| 3_F1 | 51 | AGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCTG | 100 |
| Rho | 1 | -----------ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCT <br>  | 40 |
| 3_F1 | 101 | GAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCT | 150 |
| Rho | 41 | CCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTAC <br>  | 90 |
| 3_F1 | 151 | CCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTAC | 200 |
| Rho | 91 | CTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCT <br>  | 140 |
| 3_F1 | 201 | CTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCT | 250 |
| Rho | 141 | GATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCC <br>  | 190 |
| 3_F1 | 251 | GATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCC | 300 |
| Rho | 191 | AGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCC | 240 |
| 3_F1 | 301 |  AGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCC | 350 |
| Rho | 241 | GTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACAC <br>  | 290 |
| 3_F1 | 351 | GTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACAC | 400 |
| Rho | 291 | СтСтСTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGG | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 401 | СтСтСТССАTGGGTACTTCGTCTtTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGG | 450 |
| Rho | 341 |  | 390 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 451 | GCtTCtttGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTA | 500 |
| Rho | 391 | CTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCG | 440 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 501 | CTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCG | 550 |
| Rho | 441 | CTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGG | 490 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 551 | CTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGG | 600 |
| Rho | 491 | CTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCG | 540 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 601 | CTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCG | 650 |
| Rho | 541 | GAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGA | 590 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 651 | GAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGA | 700 |
| Rho | 591 | GACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCA <br>  | 640 |
| 3_F1 | 701 | GACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACtTCATCA | 750 |


| Rho | 641 | TCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTC | 690 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3_F1 | 751 | TCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTC | 800 |
| Rho | 691 | AAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGA <br>  | 740 |
| 3_F1 | 801 | AAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGA | 850 |
| Rho | 741 | GAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCT <br>  | 790 |
| 3_F1 | 851 | GAAGGAGGTCACGCCGATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTtTCCTAATCT | 900 |
| Rho | 791 | GCTGGCTGCCATATGCT-GGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG <br>  | 839 |
| 3_F1 | 901 | GCTGGCtGCCATATGCTGGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG | 950 |
| Rho | 840 | СтСTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGA <br>  | 889 |
| 3_F1 | 951 | СTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATC- | 981 |
| Rho | 890 | CGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTC | 939 |
| 3_F1 | 982 |  | 981 |
| Rho | 940 | CGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGA | 989 |
| 3_F1 | 982 |  | 981 |
| Rho | 990 | CGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGC | 1039 |
| 3_F1 | 982 |  | 981 |
| Rho | 1040 | CTGCCTAAGCGGCCGCAAATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGgGg 1080 |  |
| 3_F1 | 982 | 981 |  |

## S298D

TCT to GAT change observed at the correct position.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5_F1 | 1 | GCAAATAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGA | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| 5_F1 | 51 | TAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCT | 100 |
| Rho | 1 | ------------ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC <br>  | 39 |
| 5_F1 | 101 | GGAATTCCACCATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC | 150 |
| Rho | 40 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA <br>  | 89 |
| 5_F1 | 151 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 200 |
| Rho | 90 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC <br>  | 139 |
| 5_F1 | 201 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 250 |
| Rho | 140 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC <br>  | 189 |
| 5_F1 | 251 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 300 |
| Rho | 190 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC <br>  | 239 |
| 5_F1 | 301 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 350 |
| Rho | 240 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTtCGGTGGCttcaccaccaccctctaca | 289 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 351 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 400 |
| Rho | 290 | ССтстстССАTGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCtGCAACCTCGAG | 339 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 401 | CСтСтСTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCtGCAACCTCGAG | 450 |
| Rho | 340 | GGCtTCTtTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 389 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 451 | GGCtTCTtTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 500 |
| Rho | 390 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 439 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 501 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 550 |
| Rho | 440 | GCtTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCtgGgtcatg | 489 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 551 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 600 |
| Rho | 490 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 539 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 601 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 650 |
| Rho | 540 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 589 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 651 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 700 |
| Rho | 590 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 639 |
|  |  | \\| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \| |  |
| 5_F1 | 701 | AGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATC | 750 |


| Rho | 640 | ATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGT | TCACCGT | 689 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \|||||| |  |
| 5_F1 | 751 | ATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGT |  | 800 |
| Rho | 690 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG |  | 739 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| | \||||||| |  |
| 5_F1 | 801 | CAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCG |  | 850 |
| Rho | 740 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATC |  | 789 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| | \||||||| |  |
| 5_F1 | 851 | AGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATC |  | 900 |
| Rho | 790 | TGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG <br>  |  | 839 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 901 | TGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGGGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGG |  | 950 |
| Rho | 840 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGA \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  | 889 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 951 | CTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGA |  | 1000 |
| Rho | 890 | CGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTC \|| |  | 939 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5_F1 | 1001 | CG- |  | 1002 |
| Rho | 940 | CGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGA |  | 989 |
| 5_F1 | 1003 |  |  | 1002 |
| Rho | 990 | CGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGC |  | 1039 |
| 5_F1 | 1003 |  |  | 1002 |
| Rho | 1040 | CTGCCTAAGCGGCCGCAAATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG | 1080 |  |
| 5_F1 | 1003 | -- | 1002 |  |



| Rho | 251 | TCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCAT | 300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5_F2 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Rho | 301 | GGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGC | 350 |
| 5_F2 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Rho | 351 | CACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCG | 400 |
| 5_F2 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Rho | 401 | AGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAG | 450 |
|  |  | \||| | |  |
| 5_F2 | 1 | ---CGG---G | 4 |
| Rho |  | AACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTG | 500 |
| 5_F2 | 5 | AAAC-CGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTG | 53 |
| Rho | 501 | TGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGGGCATGC | 550 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 54 | TGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGGGCATGC | 103 |
| Rho | 551 | AgTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAAT | 600 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F2 | 104 | AGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAAT | 153 |
| Rho | 601 | GAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGAT | 650 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 154 | GAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGAT | 203 |
| Rho | 651 | TGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTG | 700 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 204 | TGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTG | 253 |
| Rho | 701 | CAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTC | 750 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 254 | CAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTC | 303 |
| Rho | 751 | ACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCC | 800 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F2 | 304 | ACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCC | 353 |
| Rho | 801 | ATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTG | 850 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 354 | ATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTG | 403 |
| Rho | 851 | GGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGTCTGCCGTC | 900 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||..|||||| |  |
| 5_F2 | 404 | GGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGGATGCCGTC | 453 |
| Rho | 901 | TACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGGAACTGCAT | 950 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F2 | 454 | TACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGGAACTGCAT | 503 |
| Rho | 951 | GGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACGACGAGGCGT | 1000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5_F2 | 504 | GGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACGACGAGGCGT | 553 |
| Rho | 1001 | CGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGCG | 1050 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |


| 5_F2 | 554 | CGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGCG |  | 603 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rho | 1051 |  |  | 1080 |
|  |  | \| | | | | |  |  |
| 5_F2 | 604 | GCCGC----TC--GAGGCCGGCAAGGCCGGATCCGACGTTAACTTGTTTA |  | 647 |
| Rho | 1081 |  |  | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 648 | TTGCAGCTTATAATGGTTACAAATAAAGCAATAGCATCACAAATTTCACA |  | 697 |
| Rho | 1081 |  | -- | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 698 | AATAAAGCATTTTTTTCACTGCATTCTAGTTGTGGTTTGTCCAAACTCAT |  | 747 |
| Rho | 1081 |  |  | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 748 | CAATGTATCTTATCATGTCTGGATCTACTAGTTCTAGCTAGAAGACTCTA |  | 797 |
| Rho | 1081 |  |  | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 798 | GGGTGTGACTTCTGAAGAGAAGAAGGAAGAGGAAGGGTGGAGGTTAGGAA |  | 847 |
| Rho | 1081 |  | ----- | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 848 | ACAGTGAGTCGGGCTTGTGGGTCTCTCCTGGTGATCTGACAGCTTCTGGG |  | 897 |
| Rho | 1081 |  |  | 1080 |
| 5_F2 | 898 | TCAGAACTCGGAGTCACCACGACAAACTGCT | CTCTGTCTGCAGCACAGGG | 947 |
| Rho | 1081 | --------- | 1080 |  |
| 5_F2 | 948 | TTCAGGCAAAGTCTTGGTTGCCAGGCGGTG | 977 |  |

## K311E <br> AAG to GAG change observed the correct position.

| Rho_WT | 1 ATGAACGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGAC | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17_F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 51 GGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGC | 100 |
| 17_F2 |  | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 101 CCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTT | 150 |
| 17 _F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 151 GGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAA | 200 |
| 17_F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 201 GCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATC | 250 |
| 17 _F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 251 TCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCAT | 300 |
| 17 _F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 301 GGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGC | 350 |
| 17 _F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 351 CACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCG | 400 |
| 17_F2 | 1 | 0 |
| Rho_WT | 401 AGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAG | 450 |
|  | 1 |  |
| 17_F2 |  | 3 |
| Rho_WT | 451 AACCACGCCATCAT-GGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCT <br>  | 499 |
| $17 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 2$ | 4 AAACACGCCATCATGGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCT | 53 |
| Rho_WT | 500 GTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGGGCATG <br>  | 549 |
| 17_F2 | 54 GTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGGGCATG | 103 |
| Rho_WT | 550 CAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAA <br>  | 599 |
| $17 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 2$ | 104 CAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAA | 153 |
| Rho_WT | 600 TGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGA <br>  | 649 |
| 17_F2 | 154 TGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGA | 203 |
| Rho_WT | 650 TTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCT <br>  | 699 |
| $17 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 2$ | 204 TTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCT | 253 |
| Rho_WT | 700 GCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGT <br>  | 749 |
| 17_F2 | 254 GCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGT | 303 |


| Rho_WT | 750 | CACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCtgc | 799 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17_F2 | 304 | CACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCTGC | 353 |
| Rho_WT | 800 | CATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACTTT | 849 |
| 17_F2 | 354 | CATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACtTT | 403 |
| Rho_WT | 850 | GGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTtTCTtTGCCAAGACGTCTGCCGT | 899 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17_F2 | 404 | GGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGTCTGCCGT | 453 |
| Rho_WT | 900 | CTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGGAACTGCA | 949 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17_F2 | 454 | CTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACGAGCAGTTCCGGAACTGCA | 503 |
| Rho_WT | 950 | TGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACGACGAGGCG | 999 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17_F2 | 504 | TGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACGACGAGGCG | 553 |
| Rho_WT | 1000 | TCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGC | 1049 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17_F2 | 554 | TCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGC | 603 |
| Rho_WT | 1050 | GGCCGC | 1055 |
|  |  | \| \| \| \| \| |  |
| 17_F2 | 604 | GGCCGCTCGAGGCCGGCAAGGCCGGATCCGACGTTAACTTGTTTATTGCA | 653 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 654 | GCttatantggttacanatanagcaitagcatcacanatttcacanatan | 703 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 704 | AGCAtttttttcactacattctagttgtagtitgtccanacticatcaitg | 753 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 754 | TATCTTATCATGTCTGGATCTACTAGTTCTAGCTAGAAGACTCTAGGGTG | 803 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 804 | TGACTTCTGAAGAGAAGAAGGAAGAGGAAGGGTGGAGGTTAGGAAACAGT | 853 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 854 | GAGTCGGGCTTGTGGGTCTCTCCTGGTGATCTGACAGCTTCTGGGTCAGA | 903 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 904 | ACTCGGAGTCACCACGACAAACTGСTСTСTGTCTGCAGCACAGGGTTCAG | 953 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 954 | GCAAAGTCTTGGTTGCCAGGCGGTGAGGTCAGGGGTGGGGAAGCCCAGGG | 1003 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |
| 17_F2 | 1004 | CTGGGGATTCCCCATCTCCTCAGTTTCACTTCTGCACCTAACCTGGGTCA | 1053 |
| Rho_WT | 1056 |  | 1055 |


| $17 \_$F2 | 1054 GGTCCTTCTGCCGGGACACTGATGACGCGCTGGCAGGTCTCACTATCATT | 1103 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rho_WT | 1056 -------- | 1055 |
| $17 \_$F2 | 1104 GGGTGGCGAG | 1113 |

## Chapter 6 - E122 mutants

## E122C

GAA to TGC change observed at the correct position.
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701 TCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGC
683 CAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGC
 751 CAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAAGAGGCTGCAGCCCAACAACAGGAGAGCGC

733 CACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGG

801 CACCACTCA-AAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGG
783 TCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTAC
 850 TCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCAATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTAC

833 ATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCC
 900 ATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCC

883 -GGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATC
 950 GGGCTTTC-TTGCCAAAACGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATC

932 ATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACT-СTCTGCT-GT
 999 ATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGG-ACTGCATGGTCACCACTCCTCTGCTGGT 980 GGCAAGAACCCGCT-GGGTGACGACGAGGCGTCG-ACCACCG-TCTCCAA
 1048 GGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGGTGACGACGA-GCGTCGAACCACCGTTCTCAAA 1027 GACAG-AGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGC-GGCCGCAAA------
 1097 AAAAGAAAAACAGCCAA-TGGCGCCTG-CTAAACGGGCCGCAAATTTCGT 1144

1145 AAGGTGTGGAACACTGCCTCAAGGCTCGACATTCGCGCCCAACCTGGACA 1194 1069 1068 1195 GCAATCTAACCTGATGGCGTGGTAGGATTTATACCCGGCTGCAATCAGGT

E122G
See appendix 3.2, the same plasmid used for the work in Chapter 5 was used here.

## E122I

GAA to ATC change observed at the correct position.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E122I | 1 | TGGGGGGGGAGGCTTTATAAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATC | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| E122I | 51 | TCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAG | 100 |
| Rho | 1 | -----------------------CATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTT | 27 |
| E122I | 101 | ATCTCTAGAAGCTGGGTACCCACCATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTT | 150 |
| Rho | 28 | CTACGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGG <br>  | 77 |
| E122I | 151 | CTACGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGG | 200 |
| Rho | 78 | CTCCGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCC | 127 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122I | 201 | CTCCGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCC | 250 |
| Rho | 128 | TACATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCT | 177 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122I | 251 | TACATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCT | 300 |
| Rho | 178 | GTACGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCC | 227 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122I | 301 | GTACGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCC | 350 |
| Rho | 228 | TGCTCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACC | 277 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122I | 351 | TGCTCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACC | 400 |
| Rho | 278 | ACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGG | 327 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122I | 401 | ACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGG | 450 |
| Rho | 328 | CTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGT | 377 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| . .|||||||| |  |
| E122I | 451 | CTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTATCATTGCACTGT | 500 |
| Rho | 378 | GGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCC | 427 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122I | 501 | GGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCC | 550 |
| Rho | 428 | ATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTT | 477 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122I | 551 | ATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTT | 600 |
| Rho | 478 | CACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGT | 527 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122I | 601 | CACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGT | 650 |



## E122L

GAA to CTG change observed at the correct position.

3.1_E122L 1 TAGCGGAGCTCTCCGTATCAGGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGGTGATAG
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53 AACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACCT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 151 AACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACCT 103 GGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTGA ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 201 GGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTGA

153 TCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCAG
 251 TCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCAG 203 CACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCGT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 301 CACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCGT 253 GGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCT
 351 GGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCT

303 CTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGC
 401 CTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGC 353 TTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACT
 451 TTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTCTGATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACT 403 GGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
501 GGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCT

453 TCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCT
 551 TCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCT 503 CTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGA ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 601 CTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGA 553 GGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGA
 651 GGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGA

603 CCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATC
 701 CCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATC
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653 CCGCTGATtGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAA
 751 CCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAA

703 GGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGA
 801 GGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGA

753 AGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTTCCTAATCTGC

851 AGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTtTCCTAATCTGC
803 TGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTC

901 TGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCCATCAGGGCTC
853 TGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTtTCTtTGCCAAGACGT
 951 TGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGT

903 CTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGG

1001 CTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAGTTCCGG
953 AACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACGA
 1051 AACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTGACAA

1003 CGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCTG
1101 CGAGGCGTCAACCACCGTCTCCAAGA------------------------------126
1053 CCTAAGCGGCCGC 1065
1127 -------------- 1126

## E122M

GAA to ATG change observed at the correct position
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1
1 GAATGAACATCCACTTTGCCTTTCTCTCACAGGTGTCCACTCCCAGGTCC

1 --------GGTACCCACCATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
51 AACTGCAAGGTACCCACCATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGT

43 TCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGC |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
101 TCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGC

93 AGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATG

151 AGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATG
143 TTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 201 TTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGT 193 CACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCA
 251 CACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCA 243 ACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACC |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 301 ACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACC 293 CTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAA

351 CTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAA
343 CCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTC

401 CCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTATGATTGCACTGTGGTCTC
393 TGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGC ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 451 TGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGC

443 AACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTG |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 501 AACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTG 493 GGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGAT ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 551 GGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGAT

543 ACATCCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCG ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 601 ACATCCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCG

593 CACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCA

651 CACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCA
643 CTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGT |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 701 CTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGT750

693 TCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAG 742

5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M
pMT4
5.1_E122M
pMT 4
5.1_E122M

751 TCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAG
743 AAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTT

801 AAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTATGGTTATCATCATGGTCATCGCTTT
793 CCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCC
 851 CCTAATCTGCTGGCTGCCATATGCTGGTGTGGCGTTCTACATCTTCACCC

843 ATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTT
 901 ATCAGGGCTCTGACTTTGGGCCCATCTTCATGACCATCCCGGCTTTCTTT

893 GCCAAGACGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAA |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| 951 GCCAAGACGTCTGCCGTCTACAACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAA

943 GCAGTTCCGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGC

1001 GCAGTTCCGGAACTGCATGGTCACCACTCTCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGC
993 TGGGTGACGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAA

1051 TGGGTGACGACGAGGCGTCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAGAGACCAGCCAA
 1068
|||||||||||||||||||||||
1101 GTGGCGCCTGCCTAAGCGGCCGCAAATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGAAA

E122Q
GAA to CAA change observed at the correct position.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E122Q | 1 | GGGGGGTGAAGTTAATAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCC | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| E122Q | 51 | CTATCAGTGATAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATC | 100 |
| Rho | 1 | --CATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTA <br>  | 30 |
| E122Q | 101 | TCTAGAAGCTGGGTACCCACCATGAACGGCACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTA | 150 |
| Rho |  | CGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTC | 80 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 151 | CGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTC | 200 |
| Rho | 81 | CGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTAC | 130 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 201 | CGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTAC | 250 |
| Rho | 131 | ATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTA | 180 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 251 | ATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTA | 300 |
| Rho | 181 | CGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGC | 230 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 301 | CGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGC | 350 |
| Rho | 231 | TCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACC | 280 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 351 | TCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACC | 400 |
| Rho | 281 | ACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTG | 330 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 401 | ACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTG | 450 |
| Rho | 331 | CAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGT | 380 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| ||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 451 | CAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTCAAATTGCACTGTGGT | 500 |
| Rho | 381 | CTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATG | 430 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 501 | CTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATG | 550 |
| Rho | 431 | AGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCAC | 480 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 551 | AGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCAC | 600 |
| Rho | 481 | CTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTA | 530 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 601 | CTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTA | 650 |
| Rho | 531 | GATACATCCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACG | 580 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122Q | 651 | GATACATCCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACG | 700 |
| Rho | 581 | CCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGT | 630 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122Q | 701 | CCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTTCGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGT | 750 |
| Rho | 631 | CCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCTTCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGG | 680 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |



E122G N2C D282C
AAC to TGC (N2C), GAC to TGC (D282C) and GAA to GGC (E122G) changes all observed at the correct positions.

| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2_F1 | 1 | AGCATTAGCAGAGCTCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCTCCCTATCAGTGA | 50 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 0 |
| 2_F1 | 51 | TAGAGATCGTCGACGAGCTCGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCT | 100 |
| Rho | 1 |  | 39 |
| 2_F1 | 101 | GGAATTCCACCATGTGCGGTACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTC | 150 |
| Rho | 40 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 89 |
| 2_F1 | 151 | TCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGGTGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTA | 200 |
| Rho | 90 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 139 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 201 | CCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAGTTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGC | 250 |
| Rho | 140 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 189 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 251 | TGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCCGATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTC | 300 |
| Rho | 190 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 239 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 301 | CAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCACACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGC | 350 |
| Rho | 240 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 289 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 351 | CGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATGGTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACA | 400 |
| Rho | 290 | CCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG | 339 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 401 | CCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTTCGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAG | 450 |
| Rho | 340 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 389 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||..||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 451 | GGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGGGCGGTGGCATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGT | 500 |
| Rho | 390 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 439 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 501 | ACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTACGTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCC | 550 |
| Rho | 440 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 489 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2_F1 | 551 | GCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGCCATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATG | 600 |
| Rho | 490 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 539 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 2_F1 | 601 | GCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCCCGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCC | 650 |
| Rho | 540 | GGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCGTGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGG | 589 |



E122Q N2C D282C
GAA to CAA change observed at the correct position. When aligned to a N2C D282C sequence both TGC codons can be seen for N2C and D282C.

| RhoN2CD282C | 1 | CATGTGCGG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \| | | | | | | | |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 1 | CGTTTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCTCTAGAAGCTGGGTACCCACCATGTGCGG | 50 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 10 | CACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGG | 59 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 51 | CACCGAAGGCCCAAACTTCTACGTTCCTTTCTCCAACAAGACGGGCGTGG | 100 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 60 | TGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAG | 109 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 101 | TGCGCAGCCCGTTCGAGGCTCCGCAGTACTACCTGGCGGAGCCCTGGCAG | 150 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 110 | TTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCC | 159 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 151 | TTCTCCATGCTGGCCGCCTACATGTTCCTGCTGATCATGCTTGGCTTCCC | 200 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 160 | GATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCA | 209 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 201 | GATCAACTTCCTCACGCTGTACGTCACAGTCCAGCACAAGAAGCTTCGCA | 250 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 210 | CACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATG | 259 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 251 | CACCGCTCAACTACATCCTGCTCAACCTGGCCGTGGCAGATCTCTTCATG | 300 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 260 | GTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTT | 309 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 301 | GTCTTCGGTGGCTTCACCACCACCCTCTACACCTCTCTCCATGGGTACTT | 350 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 310 | CGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGG | 359 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 351 | CGTCTTTGGGCCGACGGGCTGCAACCTCGAGGGCTTCTTTGCCACCCTGG | 400 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 360 | GCGGTGAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTAC | 409 |
|  |  | \|||||.|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 401 | GCGGTCAAATTGCACTGTGGTCTCTGGTAGTACTGGCGATCGAGCGGTAC | 450 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 410 | GTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGC | 459 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 451 | GTGGTGGTGTGCAAGCCCATGAGCAACTTCCGCTTCGGTGAGAACCACGC | 500 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 460 | CATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCC | 509 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 501 | CATCATGGGCGTCGCCTTCACCTGGGTCATGGCTCTGGCCTGTGCGGCCC | 550 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 510 | CGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGGGCATGCAGTGCTCG | 559 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 551 | CGCCGCTCGTCGGCTGGTCTAGATACATCCCGGAGAGCATGCAGTGCTCG | 600 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 560 | TGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTT | 609 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 601 | TGCGGGATCGATTACTACACGCCGCACGAGGAGACCAACAATGAGTCGTT | 650 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 610 | CGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCT | 659 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 651 | CGTCATCTACATGTTCGTGGTCCACTTCATCATCCCGCTGATTGTCATCT | 700 |
| RhoN2CD282C |  | TCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAG | 709 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| E122QN2CD282C |  | TCTTCTGCTATGGCCAGCTGGTGTTCACCGTCAAGGAGGCTGCAGCCCAA | 750 |


| RhoN2CD282C | 710 | CAGCAGGAGAGCGCCACCACTCAGAAGGCCGAGAAGGAGGTCACGCGTAT | 759 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 751 | CAGCA-GAGAGCGCCACCACTCA-AAAGCCGAGAAGGAAGTCACGCGTAT | 798 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 760 | GgTtatcatcatgatcatcactitcctantctactagctaccatatgcta <br>  | 809 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 799 | GGTtATCATCATGGTCATCGCTtTCCTAATCTGCTGGCtGccatatgctg | 848 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 810 |  | 856 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 849 | GATGTGGCGTTCTA-ATCTTCACCCATCAAGGCTCCTTGCTTTGGGCCCA | 897 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 857 | ATCTTCATGACCATCCC-GGCTTTCTTTGCCAAGACGTCTGCCGTCTACA | 905 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 898 | ATCTTCATGAACATTCCGGGCTTTCCTTGGCAAGACGTCTGCCGTCTACA | 947 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 906 | ACCCGGTCATCTACATCATGATGAACAAGCAG-TTCCGGAACTGCATGGT | 954 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 948 | ACCCGGTCATCTAATTCATGATGAACAAGCAGATTCCCGAACtGCATGGT | 997 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 955 | CACCACT-СTсTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCCGCTGGGTG--ACGACGAGG-CG | 1000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 998 | CACCACTCCTCTGCTGTtGGCAGAGCCCGCCTGGTGGAACAACAAAGCCA | 1047 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 1001 | TCGACCACCGTCTCCAAGACAG-AGACCAGCCAAGTGGCGCCtGCCtAAG | 1049 |
|  |  |  |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 1048 | TCAACAACCGTCCTCAGAACCGAAAACCAACCAAGTGGCCCCTGCCAAAA | 1097 |
| RhoN2CD282C | 1050 | C----------------------------------------------------- | 1050 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 1098 | CCGGCCGCCCGAGCAGGCAAAGGCCGATTCCGACAGTTACGTTGTCTAAT | 1147 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 1051 |  | 1050 |
| E122QN2CD282C | 1148 | GCAGCTTAAATGTTTCAAATAAGGCTAGCGCACTCCACACGATATCAGCG | 1197 |
| Rhon2CD282C | 1051 | 1050 |  |
| E122QN2CD282C | 1198 | CACGATTACCAGTACGATG 1216 |  |

## Appendix 4 Protein sequences/species used for the alignment of

## transmembrane helix 3.

Table 3. Protein sequences used in the transmembrane alignment of helix 3.

| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \stackrel{3}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2_{0}^{7} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $n$ 0 0 0 |
| Acheilognathus melanogaster | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Aesculapian false coral snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| African clawed frog | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| African elephant | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| African puff adder | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Agassiz's desert tortoise | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Alaska plaice | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Alpaca | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| American alligator | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| American black bear | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| American bullfrog | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| American plaice | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Amphisbaena alba | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Amphisbaena sp. BFS-2-15 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Annular seabream | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Antillean ghost-faced bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Aquila chrysaetos chrysaetos | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Arctic flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Arrowtooth flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Arulius barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Aruma histrio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Asian grass lizard | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Atlantic bottle-nosed dolphin | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Atlantic halibut | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Atlantic salmon | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Atractus badius | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Atractus flammigerus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Atractus reticulatus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Austrofundulus limnaeus | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Azemiops kharini | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bachia flavescens | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Baikal sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{0}^{10}$ |
| Baikal yellowfin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Baikalian deep-water sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ball python | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| banded cat-eyed snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Barba amarilla | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Barbodes aff. banksi BOLD:AAU3665 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bare-tailed woolly opossum | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Barfin flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Barramundi | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Barred danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| beaked dace | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| beauty snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Beira killifish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Beluga whale | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Bengalese finch | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Berthold's worm lizard | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| bigmouth buffalo | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Big-scale sand smelt | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bigscale soldierfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| bigspot barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Black goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Black myotis | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| black redhorse | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| black rockcod | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| black ruby barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Black snub-nosed monkey | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Black-bearded tomb bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| blackfin flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Blackmouth catshark | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| blackspot barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| blackstripe livebearer | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| blackstripe rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Blind cave fish | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Blood fluke | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Blue lined squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| blue-crowned manakin | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| bluefin notho | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bogota fruit-eating bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Boiga forsteni | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bolivian squirrel monkey | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 $\vdots$ 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{\Sigma} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 0 0 0 |
| Bombay earth snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Brazilian free-tailed bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Brevibora cf. cheeya BOLD:AAW7115 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| brilliant rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Brongersma's worm snake | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Brown greater galago | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Brown-capped capuchin | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| buff striped keelback | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Burrowing owl | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| butter sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cajun dwarf crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| California flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| California kingsnake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| California sealion | 1 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Canada lynx | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cane toad | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cape dune mole rat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cape golden mole | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Captains wood snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Caribbean manatee | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Carpet viper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Cebus capucinus imitator | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| celestial pearl danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| central bearded dragon | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ceylonese tree viper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| chalak barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| channel darter | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| checkered barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Checkered keelback | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cheetah | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| cherry barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chestnut long-tongued bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chicken | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Chimpanzee | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Chinese alligator | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Chinese barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chinese hamster | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 $\vdots$ 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \underset{0}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{0}^{0}$ |
| Chinese liver fluke | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |  |
| Chinese softshell turtle | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Chironius bicarinatus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chironius fuscus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chondrostoma nasus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Chrysopelea ornata | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Chub | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Clariidae sp. BMNH 2--8.9.17.1-2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Clearfin squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cloudy snail-eating snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| C-O sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| coastrange sculpin | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Coelacanth | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Collared blind snake | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Collared flycatcher | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Comephorus dybowskii | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Commerson's glassy perchlet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Common carp | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Common cuttlefish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Common dab | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| common dace | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Common European adder | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Common pheasant | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Common sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Common two-banded seabream | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Common wombat | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Copperhead | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Coquerel's sifaka | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Corn snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cortez flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Crab-eating macaque | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Crayfish | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| cresthead flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| crossed-fork back golden-line fish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Crown squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cuban fig-eating bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Cuban funnel-eared bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| curlfin sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| dadio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Damaraland mole rat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{N}{n} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \underset{n}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 |
| Danio aesculapii | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio aff. choprai BOLD:AAU2157 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio aff. dangila BOLD:AAU2158 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio aff. dangila BOLD:AAU2159 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio aff. kyathit BOLD:AAJ4326 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio cf. dangila BOLD:AAV9219 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio cf. kerri BOLD:AAU2162 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio cf. rerio RCYY5-1-11 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio choprai | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Danio kyathit | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio meghalayensis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio rerio x Danio aff. kyathit RC-455 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Danio roseus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dasypeltis scabra | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dawkinsia tambraparniei | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Day's glassy perchlet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Denison barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Desmopuntius cf. hexazona BOLD:AAU3668 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Desmopuntius pentazona | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Desmopuntius rhomboocellatus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario cf. acuticephala BOLD:AAV9172 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario cf. browni BOLD:AAU-873 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Devario cf. chrysotaeniatus BOLD:AAU3723 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario cf. devario BOLD:AAU2862 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario sondhii | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario sp. 'purple cypris' | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario sp. undet1RAC | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Devario sp. undet2RAC | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dianchi golden-line fish | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dipsas catesbyi | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dipsas indica | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dipsas indica petersi | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dipsas mikanii | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dipsas neuwiedi | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dog | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dover sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Drill | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Duckbill platypus | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| dusky sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| dwarf danio | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n 2 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 |
| Dwarf little fruit bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Eastern brown snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Eastern buffy flower bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Eastern tiger salamander | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Echinanthera cephalostriata | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Echinanthera undulata | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus atronasus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus digueti | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus figaro | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus genie | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Elacatinus horsti | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus illecebrosus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus louisae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus prochilos | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
| Elacatinus puncticulatus | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus randalli | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Elacatinus xanthiprora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Electric eel | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Elegant rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| elongate glass-perchlet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Embassichthys bathybius | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| English sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Enhydris innominata | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Eurasian minnow | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| European cat snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| European catfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| European common frog | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| European domestic ferret | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| European flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| European pilchard | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| European plaice | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| European seabass | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| European toad | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Eyelash palm pitviper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| eyeless golden-line fish | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| eyespot rasbora | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| False coral snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Far Eastern smooth flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Fat sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Fat-tailed dunnart | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \omega \\ & \bar{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \underset{y}{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{0}^{0}$ |
| Feylinia sp. BFS-2-15 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Fischer's little fruit bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| flat wolf snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Flat-faced fruit-eating bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| flathead flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Flathead mullet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| flathead sole | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Florida carpenter ant | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Florida kingsnake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Forest marsh snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Fraternal fruit-eating bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Freckled crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Frogmouth | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Fruit eating bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Geoffroy's tailless bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ghost shark | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| ghost shiner | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Giant danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Giant panda | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gilthead sea bream | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Ginsburgellus novemlineatus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| giraffe devario | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Glossy snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Goat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 |
| gold ring danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| golden barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Golden grey mullet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Golden hamster | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Golden snub-nosed monkey | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Goldfish | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Gonionotophis sp | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| gopher snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Grass carp | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Grass goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Gray short-tailed opossum | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Great stripe-faced bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greater Antillean long-tongued bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greater bulldog bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greater cane rat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greater horseshoe bat | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline}}$ |
| Greater spear-nosed bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greater white-lined bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Green anole | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Green monkey | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Greenish naked-backed fruit bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greenland flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| greenstripe barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Guinea pig | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Guppy | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hairy big-eyed bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Harbor seal | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Harlequin rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Harp seal | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hasarius adansoni | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hawaiian monk seal | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Hawaiian squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Heterodon nasicus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| highfin glassy perchlet | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Highland yellow-shouldered bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hikari danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hipposideros larvatus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Honeybee | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| honeycomb toby | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Horned desert viper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| hornyhead turbot | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Horse | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Horseshoe whip snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| huchen | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Human | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hybrid cattle | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Hypsiglena jani | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hypsopsetta guttulata | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Iconisemion striatum | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ide | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Imantodes lentiferus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Indian glass barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Indian glassy fish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Indian wolf snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Indoreonectes cf. evezardi ND-2-17 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| Indoreonectes evezardi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 0 0 0 |
| Inlecypris auropurpureus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Jamaican fruit-eating bat | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese lamprey | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese long-fingered bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese pufferfish | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Japanese rat snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese rice fish | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Jararaca | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Javaen barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Javan wart snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Jerdon's carp | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Jewelled blenny | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| John Dory | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Kakapo | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Kamchatka flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Kessler's sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Khavli barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| King cobra | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Lattice soldierfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Leaping mullet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Least horseshoe bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| lemon sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lerma livebearer | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - |
| Leschenault's rousette | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lesser dawn bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lesser false vampire bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lesser sac-winged bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lesser short-nosed fruit bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Lesser spear-nosed bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Limnocottus bergianus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Limnocottus pallidus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Linesnout goby | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Liopsetta putnami | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Liotyphlops beui | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Little brown bat | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Little skate | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| littlemouth flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Live sharksucker | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| logperch | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \sum_{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \substack{3 \\ \sum_{0} \\ 0 \\ \hline} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{m} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum_{0}^{n}$ |
| Longfin Baikal sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Long-finned pilot whale | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| longhead dab | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Long-nosed tree snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Long-spined sea scorpion | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| MacConnell's bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Machete savane snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mahecola barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| mainland tiger snake | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Malabar danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Malabar glassy perchlet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mantis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| marbled flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Ma's night monkey | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Medium ground-finch | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Meerkat | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Melanophidium khairei | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Melanoseps occidentalis | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Melon barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Miami cave crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Microdevario kubotai | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Microdevario nana | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Microrasbora cf. rubescens BOLD:AAU1781 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Microrasbora erythromicron | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Microrasbora rubescens | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Microstomus shuntovi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Milkfish | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Moller's lantern shark | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Monocled cobra | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mouse | 1 | 1 | - | , | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Moustached danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Naked goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Naked mole rat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| neon goby | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Nile tilapia | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| North Pacific giant octopus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| North Pacific minke whale | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Northern fur seal | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Northern leopard frog | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Northern mallard | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 3 |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 20 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| Northern pike | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| northern rock sole | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Northern white-cheeked gibbon | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Nothobranchius kadleci | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nothobranchius pienaari | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ocellated saw-scaled viper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Odocoileus virginianus texanus | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Olive baboon | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Olive house snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Olive keelback | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Opheodrys aestivus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ophiodes striatus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| orbiculate cardinalfish | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ord's kangaroo rat | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Oxyrhopus melanogenys | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pacific halibut | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pacific sand sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pacific walrus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Paedocypris cf. carbunculus BOLD:AAV-81- | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Painted devil crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| pale rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| pale spear-nosed bat | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pallas' long-tongued bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pallas' mastiff bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pallas' tube nosed bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pareas monticola | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Patagioenas fasciata monilis | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Peacock blenny | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| pearl danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Peters' Angolan colobus | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Pethia aff. gelius BOLD:AAU2--7 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia erythromycter | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia manipurensis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia padamya | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia shalynius | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia stoliczkana | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pethia tiantian | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Petrale sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Philippine tarsier | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Phoxinus csikii | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{\pi}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| Phoxinus karsticus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus lumaireul | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus marsilii | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus septimaniae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. 1 AP-2-17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. 2 AP-2-17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. 3 AP-2-17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. 6 AP-2-17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. CER12 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. CER4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. CH9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. GRS1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. GRS5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. KOL4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. LOZ5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. LUN2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. LUN4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. MRE1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. PTU5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. RAD5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. RAD6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. RAT5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. SCA3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. SCA4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. SVR2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. VAL11 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. ZRM1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phoxinus sp. ZRM4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Phyllonycteris poeyi | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pig | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Pig-tailed macaque | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Pimelodidae sp. RC-374 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| pinecone soldierfish | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Pleuronichthys lighti | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Polar bear | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Polemon collaris | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Prairie deer mouse | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pratt's roundleaf bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pseudoboa coronata | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pseudopleuronectes obscurus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 20 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| Pteronotus pusillus | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| puffing snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Puntius cf. sophore BOLD:AAV2874 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Puntius sp. hybrid BOLD:AAU2-29 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pygmy chimpanzee | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Rabbit | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Rasbora aprotaenia | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rasbora tornieri | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rat | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Red fox | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Red pipe snake | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Red sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Red shiner | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Red swamp crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| redside shiner | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| red-stripped rasbora | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rex sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rhesus macaque | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhinoceros adder | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rhombic night adder | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rickett's big-footed bat | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ridged-eye flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rikuzen flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Ringless green snake | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| river darter | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Roach | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| rock sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rope fish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rosy barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| roughscale sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rough-scaled sand boa | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| royal ground snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sabre squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Saharan silver ant | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sakhalin sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Salem cave crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Salema | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| sand flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Sand goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| scale-eye plaice | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 0 0 0 |
| Sea lamprey | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Seba's short-tailed bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Senegal bichir | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sharknose goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sheep | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Short-beaked common dolphin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Short-eared elephant shrew | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Short-headed sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| shortstripe goby | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| shotted halibut | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Siberian sturgeon | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Silky short-tailed bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Silver carp | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| silver chub | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| silver rasbora | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Silvery mole rat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus angularis | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus angustiporus | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus lateristriatus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus qiubeiensis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sinocyclocheilus tileihornes | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| slender sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| slime flounder | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| small eye golden-line fish | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Small-eared galago | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Smallmouth squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Small-spotted catshark | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| sohachi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sonora goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sooty mangabey | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Sooty mustached bat | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| South American water snake | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Southern cave crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sowerby's beaked whale | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Spalerosophis diadema | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| speckled dace | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| speckled flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Speckled squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sperm whale | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{n}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 20 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{0}^{10}$ |
| spinner barb | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Spiny-headed seasnake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| spirlin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Spotfin squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Spotted flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Spotted green pufferfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Spotted hyena | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| spotted sharpnosed puffer | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| spotted turbot | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Squalius laietanus | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |  |
| Squalius sp. BOLD:AAD8346 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Squalius sp. BOLD:AAE3493 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Squid | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Starry flounder | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Stone flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Stone sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| striped barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Striped bass | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| striped flying barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Striped hairy-nosed bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Striped red mullet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Striped seabream | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| striped shiner | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| stripe-headed round-eared bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sumatra barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sumatran orangutan | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sunbeam snake | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sundadanio axelrodi 'green' | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sundadanio cf. axelrodi BOLD:AAH9912 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sundadanio cf. axelrodi BOLD:AAU2681 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Systomus cf. sarana RCYY-56-11 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Systomus foerschi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Taeniophallus persimilis | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tanaka's snailfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tasmanian devil | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tent-making bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Thamnodynastes hypoconia | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Thamnodynastes pallidus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Thamnophis sirtalis | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Thicklip grey mullet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{N} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Thirteen-lined ground squirrel | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Three-toed box turtle | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Ticto barb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| tiger goby | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tiger tail seahorse | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius dilepis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius inornatus | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius janssi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius limbaughi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius multifasciatus | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius nesiotes | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius pallens | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Tigrigobius saucrus | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tilda's yellow-shouldered bat | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tomodon dorsatus | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| torrent sculpin | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Trans-pecos rat snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Trigonostigma cf. heteromorpha BOLD:AAJ8936 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Trinidad blind snake | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tropical ratsnake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| tropical rattlesnake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tropidophis feicki | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tufted duck | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Turquoise killifish | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| tusked goby | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Ursus arctos horribilis | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Vampire bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Veined squid | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Viperine snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Virile crayfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Wall lizard | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Water snake | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Waterhouse's leaf-nosed bat | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Weddell seal | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Western clawed frog | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Western European hedgehog | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Western Ghat glassy perchlet | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Western lowland gorilla | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Western mosquitofish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| White perch | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{0}^{10}$ |
| White-lipped pit viper | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Wild turkey | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1 |
| willowy flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Winter flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Wire-tailed manakin | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Witch | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| wrinkle-lipped free-tailed bat | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Xenopholis scalaris | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Xystreurys liolepis | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Yangtze finless porpoise | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Yangtze river dolphin | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| yellow rasbora | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |  |
| yellowfin sole | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Yellowstriped squirrelfish | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Yellowtail flounder | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Yoma danio | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Zebra finch | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Zebrafish | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Bovine 1 f88 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 1gzm | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 1hzx | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 119 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 1u19 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Bovine 2g87 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2hpy | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| Bovine 2135 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2136 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2 i 37 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2j4y | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2ped | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 2x72 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3c91 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3c9m | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3cap | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3dqb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3oax | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3pqr | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 3pxo | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 4a4m | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 4bey | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Sequence | Number of sequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \sum_{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 |
| Bovine 4bez | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 4j4q | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 4pxf | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 4x1h | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 5dys | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 5en- | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 5te3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 5te5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 5wkt | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fk6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fk7 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fk8 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fk9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fka | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fkb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fkc | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fkd | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6fuf | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6nwe | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6ofj | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6oy9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6oya | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6pel | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6pgs | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6ph7 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 6qno | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 7mt8 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 7mt9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 7mta | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bovine 7mtb | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hasarius adansoni 6i9k | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Human 4zwj | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Human 5dgy | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Human 5w-p | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Human 6cmo | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid 2z73 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid 2ziy | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid 3aym | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid 3ayn | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Japanese flying squid 4ww3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |

