



Research Repository

Effects of a horizontal speed deceleration training program on measures of physical fitness in youth male handball players

Accepted for publication in the Journal of Sports Sciences.

Research Repository link: https://repository.essex.ac.uk/38735/

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the <u>publisher's version</u> if you wish to cite this paper.

www.essex.ac.uk

1	Effects of a horizontal speed deceleration training program on measures of physical fitness in youth male							
2	handball players							
3								
4	Running title: Horizontal speed deceleration training in youth handball players.							
5								
6								
7	Yassine Negra ¹ , Senda Sammoud ¹ , Raja Bouguezzi ¹ , Jason Moran ³ , Helmi Chaabene ^{4,5}							
8								
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 ¹Research Laboratory (LR23JS01) «Sport Performance, Health & Society». ²Higher Institute of Sport and Physical Education of Ksar Saïd, University of "La Manouba", Tunisia, 2037 ³School of Sport, Rehabilitation, and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, United Kingdom ⁴ Department of Sport Science, Chair for Health and Physical Activity/Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg ⁵High Institute of Sports and Physical Education, Kef, University of Jendouba, Tunisia, 8189 							
17	Corresponding author:							
18	Yassine Negra PhD.							
19	Email: yassinenegra@hotmail.fr							
20								
21	Senda Sammoud: <u>senda.sammoud@qmail.com</u>							
22	Raja Bouguezzi : <u>rajabouquezzi@hotmail.com</u>							
23	Jason Moran : <u>jmorana@essex.ac.uk</u>							
24	Helmi Chaabane : <u>chaabanehelmi@hotmail.fr</u>							
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								

35 ABSTRACT

This study examined the effects of an 8-week horizontal speed deceleration training (HSDT) program in combination with regular handball-specific training as compared with handball-specific training only in measures of physical fitness in male youth handball players. Thirty-nine players were randomly assigned to either an HSDT group (n=18; 15.55±0.24 years) or an active-control group (CG; n=21; 14.59±0.23 years). The results showed significant and large between-group differences at post-test in countermovement jump, change-of-direction speed, and repeated sprint ability (RSA) (all p<0.01; d=2.04 and 1.37, 1.39, 1.53, and 1.53 for the CMJ, 505 CoD, RSA_{best}, RSA_{average}, and RSA_{total} performances, respectively). The post-hoc-analysis demonstrated significant and large improvements in all measures of physical fitness in the HSDT group (Δ2.49% to 16,25%; d=1.01 to 1,70; all p<0,01). The CG, however, failed to reach any significant difference in all measures of physical fitness (($\Delta 0.31\%$ to 1.98%; d=0.15 to 0.22; p=0.379; p>0.05). To summarize, an 8-week in-season HSDT program alongside regular handball-specific training yielded positive effects on various performance measures including jumping ability, CoD speed, and RSA, when compared to handball-specific training alone. These results highlight the potential benefits of integrating HSDT into the training regimen of youth handball athletes during the competitive season.

- 50 Keywords: team sports, Eccentric muscle action, adolescence, athletic performance

- -

71 **INTRODUCTION**

Handball is a team sport characterized by intermittent periods of low and high intensity (41). It requires the 72 73 execution of rapid offensive and defensive actions, involving frequent body contacts, duels between 74 opponents, and a wide range of technical and tactical elements aimed at outplaying the opposing team and 75 scoring more goals (30, 46). As a result, handball players need to be physically prepared to produce fast and forceful actions, surpass their opponents, and sustain the game's pace and intensity throughout successive 76 matches and competitions (24). Additionally, crucial moments in a match are mainly characterized by short-77 78 duration high-intensity actions (e.g., quick offensive and defensive actions including sprinting, jumping, and 79 change of direction speed), necessitating well-developed fitness capabilities (8, 16, 25, 29).

80

Athletic qualities such as linear sprint speed, change-of-direction (CoD) speed, jumping ability, and repeated sprint ability (RSA) are vital factors influencing the performance of handball players (32, 33, 41). Elite handball players have demonstrated higher power performances and related attributes (i.e., linear sprint speed, CoD, jumping ability) compared to amateur players (17, 41). Therefore, it is imperative to develop and implement well-structured training interventions that address the specific fitness demands of male handball players in competition to achieve sporting success in their future career.

87

88 There is strong evidence supporting the systematic integration of eccentric resistance training in young 89 athletes to improve multiple measures of physical fitness such as muscle strength, jump, sprint, and CoD 90 speed performance (6). Recently, the available eccentric resistance training techniques primarily revolve 91 around the Nordic hamstring exercise (NHE) and flywheel inertial training (6). While these methods have 92 demonstrated their effectiveness (6, 34), it is important to expand the range of eccentric resistance training 93 exercises to offer practitioners a wider selection of options. In this sense, horizontal sprint deceleration 94 training (HSDT) has gained recognition as a promising training method that specifically targets the eccentric 95 action of the knee extensors (17, 27). It refers to the ability to effectively reduce the momentum of the 96 entire body while efficiently attenuating and distributing the braking forces within the given constraints and 97 objectives of the task (17). Notably, horizontal sprint deceleration exhibits a unique ground reaction force 98 profile characterized by high-impact peak forces and loading rates (17). Importantly, the highest level of 99 these forces occurs during the early stance phase (<50 ms) and they can be up to 2.7 times greater than 100 those experienced during the initial steps of maximal horizontal sprint acceleration (17). These distinctive 101 characteristics make the stimulus provided by horizontal sprint deceleration quite unique. In this context, 102 researchers have proposed HSDT as a method to enhance various aspects of physical fitness in team sport

athletes (30). Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, there is only one study available that has investigated 103 the effects of a training intervention incorporating elements of speed and CoD training, with a specific focus 104 105 on eccentric muscle action during rapid horizontal sprint deceleration, in recreationally trained young male 106 and female team sport athletes (27). The findings of that study revealed large improvements in CoD and acceleration test performance (effect size [ES]=1.31) following six weeks of enforced stopping speed and 107 CoD training compared to traditional sprint and agility training. The same authors reported moderate 108 improvements in CoD (T-test: [ES=0.52]) and linear sprint speed performance (10-m [ES=0.44]) after 109 110 training.

111

Based on the evidence presented above, the objective of the current study was to examine the effects of an 8-week HSDT program on various measures of physical fitness in adolescent male handball players. We hypothesized that integrating HSDT into standard handball training regimen, as opposed to regular handball training alone, would lead to larger improvements in measures of physical fitness in adolescent male handball players (6, 27, 31).

- 117
- 118

119 METHODS

120 Experimental approach to the problem

A two-group repeated measures experimental design was utilized to evaluate the effects of an 8-week biweekly in-season HSDT program on various measures of physical fitness in adolescent male handball players compared to their counterparts who maintained their regular in-season handball-specific training regimen. A team of youth handball players was quasi-randomly divided into a HSDT group and an active control group (CG).

Both groups underwent four to five handball training sessions per week. The HSDT group replaced 25 126 minutes of low-intensity handball drills (i.e., tackling technique, shooting technique, faking skills, and 127 128 attacking, and defending positions) with HSDT drills, on Tuesdays and Thursdays. After the HDT portion of the session, players completed the remainder of their regular handball-specific training. Two weeks before 129 baseline testing, two familiarization sessions were performed to get participants acquainted with the 130 applied tests. A number of tests were used to track changes in physical fitness before and after the training 131 program. These included tests for linear sprint speed (i.e., 10- and 20-m), CoD (i.e., 505 CoD), vertical jump 132 performance (i.e., countermovement jump [CMJ]), and RSA. All tests were scheduled at least 48 hours after 133

the last training session or match, at the same time of day (8:00-9:30 a.m.), and under the same environmental conditions (16-18°C, no wind).

136

137 Participants

Figure 1 displays a Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagram of the levels of reporting 138 and participant flow for the study. We performed an a priori sample size calculation for the 505 CoD speed 139 test, contrasting intervention and control groups. We set the type I error rate at 0.05 and the statistical 140 141 power at 80%. The estimated effect size of Cohen's d = 1.12 is based on a recent randomized controlled trial by Negra et al. (38). The analysis indicated that 14 participants per group would represent a sufficient 142 143 sample. Therefore, the required number of participants was determined to be 28. To address potential 144 participant attrition, a total of thirty-nine pubertal male handball players were randomly allocated to either 145 the HSDT group (n = 18; age = 15.55 ± 0.24 years; maturity offset = 2.35 ± 0.48 years) or the active CG (n = 21; age =14.59 \pm 0.23 years; maturity offset = 1.30 \pm 0.38 years). All participants were considered experienced 146 players with an average of 6.0 ± 1.3 years of systematic handball training, comprising three to four training 147 sessions per week. Further, all participants were in good health and had been free of musculotendinous 148 injuries over the six months preceding the start of the study. Table 1 presents the anthropometric data for 149 both groups. The maturity offset method was used to assess the biological maturity of participants (35). The 150 following prediction equation was applied: Maturity offset = -7.999994 + (0.0036124*age*height). 151

- 152
- 153

Figure 1 near here

154

All the experimental procedures and the associated potential risks were fully explained and written informed consent (parents/legal guardians) and assent (participants) were obtained before the commencement of the study. All procedures were approved by the local Institutional Review Committee of the ****blinded for review****, and conducted per the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Table 1 near here

- 159
- 160

161

- 162
- 163
- 164

165 The 505 change of direction test

The 505 CoD speed test was administered using the protocol previously outlined by Negra et al. (38) using 166 an electronic timing system (Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Players assumed a standing position 10-m from the 167 start line, ran as quickly as possible through the start/finish line, pivoted 180° at the 15-m line indicated by 168 169 a cone marker, and returned as fast as possible through the start/finish line. To ensure proper execution of the test, a researcher was positioned at the turning line and if the participant changed direction before 170 reaching the turning point, or turned off the incorrect foot, the trial was disregarded and reattempted after 171 the recovery period. A between-trial rest period of three minutes was provided. The best performance out 172 173 of two trials was used for further analysis. The between-trials intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC_{3.1}), and the smallest worthwhile change $(SWC_{(0.2)})$ were 0.92 and 1.3%, respectively. 174

- 175
- 176

177 Countermovement jump

During the CMJ, participants started from a standing position and performed a fast downward movement by flexing the knees and hips before rapidly extending the legs and performing a maximal vertical jump. During the test, participants were instructed to maintain their arms akimbo. Jump height was recorded using an optoelectric system (Optojump, Microgate, SRL, Bolzano, Italy). A rest period of 1-min was allowed between trials. This was consistent across all trials for all jump-hop tests. The best out of two trials was retained for further analysis. The between-trials ICC_{3,1} and the SWC_(0.2) were 0.86 and 3.43%, respectively.

184

185 Repeated sprint ability

The RSA test was assessed via the same photocell system used for the linear speed and 505 CoD tests 186 (Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Immediately after a standardised warm-up, participants completed a 187 preliminary single shuttle-sprint test (20+20 m with 180° CoD). The first trial provided the criterion score for 188 the actual shuttle-sprint test (43). Participants then rested for five minutes before starting the RSA test. 189 During the first sprint, participants had to achieve at least 97.5% of their criterion score, otherwise, they 190 191 rested for five minutes and then restarted the test (37, 39). We used such an approach to determine if participants adopted a coping strategy for performance. Of note, all participants attained their criterion 192 score during the first sprint. All performed six 20-m shuttle sprints with 180° turns, separated by 25 seconds 193 of passive recovery (37, 39). Three seconds prior to the commencement of each sprint, players were asked 194 to adopt the ready position using a split stance, with their front foot 0.3 m behind the starting line, until the 195 next start signal. From the starting line, they sprinted for 20-m and touched the second line with one foot 196 before performing a 180° CoD and returning to the starting line as quickly as possible. Participants were 197

instructed to complete all sprints as fast as possible. The RSA_{best} time, RSA _{average} time, and RSA_{total} time were
 determined. Due to the fatigue induced by the test, only one maximal attempt was made i.e., no ICC was
 calculated. The SWCs_(0.2) were 1.30%, 1.30%, and 1.37% for the RSA_{best}, RSA_{average}, and RSA_{total}, respectively.

202 The horizontal speed deceleration training program

The HSDT program was conducted during the second half of the in-season period (January-February, 2023). 203 Prior to every HSDT session, a standardized 8-12-min warm-up was completed including low-intensity 204 205 running, coordination exercises, dynamic movements (i.e., lunges, skips), sprints, and dynamic stretching 206 for the lower-limb muscles. At the beginning of each training week, the first HSDT session was performed at 207 least 48 hours after the last handball match that was scheduled on the weekend. The second HSDT session 208 was completed 72-h after the first session (i.e., Tuesday and Thursday). The HSDT drills were performed at 209 the beginning of the handball training session. The HSDT protocol is detailed in table 2. The total running distance (acceleration + deceleration) per week gradually increased from 300-m during the first week to 210 500-m during the last week of training. Each HSDT session included 20-m acceleration distance followed by 211 5-m deceleration distance. More specifically, participants were instructed to exert maximal acceleration 212 effort during the 20-m distance and begin decelerating upon reaching the 20-m finish line. Subsequently, 213 they were instructed to fully decelerate and come to a complete stop within a 5 m distance 214

215

Table 2 near here

216

217 Statistical analyses

Normal distribution was verified and confirmed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Independent t-tests were 218 conducted to examine between-group differences at baseline. Given that significant between-group 219 differences were detected in certain measures of physical fitness at the pretest, training effects were 220 further analyzed using the ANCOVA model, with pretest measurements, and MO entered as covariates. 221 Effect sizes (d) were determined by converting partial eta-squared from the ANCOVA output to Cohen's d. 222 223 To evaluate changes in performance from the pretest to the posttest within and between-group, paired sample t-tests were applied. The effect size was determined based on means, standard deviations, and 224 225 correlation coefficients using the statistical software package G*Power (version 3.1.6). Effect size values were classified as small ($0.00 \le d \le 0.49$), medium ($0.50 \le d \le 0.79$), and large ($d \ge 0.80$) (12). Data are 226 presented as group mean values and standard deviation for the pretest and adjusted means and standard 227 deviation for the posttest. The SWC was calculated for both groups and converted to a percentage for each 228 performance variable. The SWC was calculated as 0.2 * SD pooled where SD represents the pooled standard 229

230 deviation of pre-training scores. The level of significance was established at $p \le 0.05$. SPSS 20.0 was used for

231 statistical analyses (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

232

233 **RESULTS**

Only players who participated in 100% of the training sessions were included in the final analyses. The results presented in this section are included in Table 3. No injuries were documented during or following the conclusion of the intervention, indicating the safety of this training approach.

237

238 Countermovement jump height

Results indicated significant large between-group differences at posttest (d=1.39; p<0.01). The HSDT group demonstrated significant large pre- to post-training improvements (Δ 16,25%; d=1,70; p<0,01;). However, no significant pre- to post-training changes were observed in the CG (Δ 1.55%; d=0.22; p=0.379;). In terms of the individual responses, our statistical analysis indicated that 88% of the HSDT group (n=16) improved CMJ height performance to a level that was greater than the SWC with only 19% in the CG (n=4).

244

245 505 CoD speed

Findings indicated significant large between-group differences at post-test (d=1.11; p<0.01). The HSDT group displayed significant large pre- to post-training enhancements (Δ 9,90%; d=1.72 ; p<0.01) with no significant pre- to post changes in the CG (Δ 1.98%; d= 0.50; and p>0.05). Our statistical calculation showed that 94% of the HSDT group (n=17) improved 505 CoD performance to a level that was greater than the SWC with only 42% in the CG (n=9).

251 Repeated sprint ability

252 Results pointed toward significant large between-group differences at posttest for the RSA_{best} (d=1.39; p<0.01), RSA_{average} (d=1.04; p<0.01), and RSA_{total} (d=1.04; p<0.01) time (Table 3). The HSDT group 253 demonstrated significant large pre- to post-training improvements in the RSA_{best} ($\Delta 2.49\%$; d=1.01; p<0.01), 254 RSA_{average} ($\Delta 2.67\%$; d=1.36; p<0.01), and RSA_{total} ($\Delta 2.67\%$; d=1.36; p<0.01) time. The CG, however, failed to 255 256 reach any significant changes (Δ0.49, 0.31, and 0.31%, d=0.22, 0.15, and 0.15, all p>0.05 for the RSA_{best}, 257 RSA_{average}, and RSA_{total} time, respectively). The individual responses revealed that the HSDT group had the highest percentage of individual responses above the SWC for RSA_{best} (61%; n=11), RSA_{average} (72%; n=13), 258 and RSAtotal (76%; n=13). Whereas only 19% (n=4), 9% (n=2), and 19% (n=4) of the CG showed improvement 259 260 above the SWC in RSA_{best}, RSA_{average}, and RSA_{total}, respectively.

261

262

263 DISCUSSION

This study examined the effects of an 8-week HSDT program on various measures of physical fitness in adolescent male handball players. The main finding indicated that an in-season HSDT executed alongside regular handball-specific training resulted in positive effects on measures of CoD, jumping ability, and RSA performance in youth male handball players. Conversely, handball-specific specific training alone did not yield any significant changes on the same measures.

269

270 Jumping ability

271 A high level of jumping performance enables handball players to effectively cope with the physical demands 272 of a handball match (32, 33). More specifically, jump performance is a valid marker of talent identification 273 which can discriminate between potentially elite and non-elite youth male handball players (27). The 274 current result suggest that HSDT induced a large improvement ($\Delta 16,25\%$, d=1,70) in CMJ performance. However, no change was detected in the CG. Indeed 88 % of the HSDT group improved the level of CMJ 275 performance to a level that exceeded the SWC compared to only 19% in the CG. This is consistent with the 276 findings of a recent study by Abdelkader et al. (1), which found moderate (ES=0.84) and large (ES=1.39) 277 improvements in standing long jump performance after an 8-week of one session of NHE vs. two sessions of 278 NHE, respectively, in prepuberal male soccer players. Likewise, Chaabene et al. (10) showed a moderate 279 (ES=0.85) improvement in countermovement jump performance after an 8-week of NHE training in 280 281 postpubertal female handball players. Moran et al. (36) demonstrated a moderate (ES=1.04) improvement in standing long jump performance after an 8-week of NHE training in youth male soccer players. In elite 282 283 male soccer players, Krommes et al. (24) revealed improvements in CMJ performance (Δ 4.8%) after 10-284 week of NHE training. In their systematic review with meta-analysis, Maroto-Izquierdo et al. (28) showed significance differences in training-induced adaptations favoring eccentric overload flywheel resistance 285 training vs. control condition in vertical jumping performance (ES=0.46). While the exact mechanisms 286 287 underlying the observed improvements in CMJ height in this study can only be speculated upon, it is likely that the positive adaptations primarily stem from enhanced neural drive to the active muscles (29). This is 288 attributed to the relatively short duration of the intervention (i.e., 8 weeks), during which significant 289 290 morphological adaptations would not be expected to occur (2,3). However, it cannot be discounted that the HSDT employed in this study may have also contributed to certain muscle hypertrophy (5). In fact, previous 291 research suggests that eccentric exercises elicit greater increases in muscle hypertrophy compared to 292 293 isometric and concentric exercises (16). In summary, the findings of this study indicate that in addition to

294 NHE and flywheel resistance training, HSDT is another effective eccentric exercise modality for enhancing 295 jumping performance in young athletes.

296

297 Change of direction speed

298 CoD ability is a distinctive feature of performance in youth male soccer players (36). Our results demonstrated a large (d=1.72, $\Delta 9,90\%$,) pre-to-post CoD improvement after the HSDT program. However, 299 no significant pre-to-post change was detected for the CG. Indeed, 94 % of the HSDT group improved the 300 CoD speed performance to a level that exceeded the $SWC_{0.2}$ compared to only 42% in the CG. In youth male 301 soccer players, Moran et al. (36) demonstrated a large (ES=1.04) improvement in 505 CoD performance 302 after an 8-week of NHE integrated into regular soccer training compared with regular soccer training only. 303 Chaabene et al. (10) showed large (ES=1.38) performance improvement in the T-test after an 8-week of 304 305 NHE training intervention compared to the standardized handball training in youth female handball players. 306 Dos' Santos et al (14) investigated the effects of 6 weeks CoD speed and technique modification training in multidirectional sport athletes. They reported significant improvements in measures of 180° turning 307 performance (ES=-0.84 and -0.49 for the completion time and ground contact time, respectively) and kinetic 308 (I.e., final foot contact mean horizontal propulsive force and penultimate foot contact horizontal to vertical 309 mean braking force ratio) and kinematic (i.e., final foot contact trunk inclination) variables (ES= -0.74 310 to1.21). More recently, Chaabene et al. (11) conducted a systematic review with meta-analysis on the 311 312 effects of flywheel resistance training vs traditional resistance training on CoD speed performance in male 313 athletes. They revealed that both training methods are effective with flywheel resistance training resulting in larger effects (ES =0.64) compared to traditional resistance training. There is compelling evidence 314 indicating that eccentric strength of knee extensors plays a key role in CoD performance, more specifically 315 during the deceleration phase (9, 45). Therefore, the observed CoD speed improvement could mainly be 316 317 attributed to the improvement of eccentric muscle strength of knee extensors. Increased eccentric strength could optimize the braking phase (i.e., horizontal deceleration ability) during a rapid CoD task. Fast 318 319 deceleration is crucial for quick CoD speed movements in team sports (18, 19). This improvement can 320 facilitate an earlier re-acceleration in a different direction (8), ultimately resulting in superior overall CoD 321 speed performance (13).

322

Overall, HSDT seems to have induced greater eccentric strength which in turn facilitates faster CoD performance by improving the ability to handle greater braking forces associated with faster approach velocities, particularly during the penultimate and final foot contacts during movement (21, 22). Moreover, there are indications that higher eccentric strength increases joint stability and facilitates better force transfer through joints, all of which contribute to more efficient CoD abilities (42).

- 328
- 329

330 Repeated sprint ability

RSA is a key fitness component in team sports as short maximal sprints, interspersed with brief recovery 331 periods, are frequent actions during match play (4). This study is the first to report the effect of HSDT on 332 RSA in male youth handball players. The findings of this study revealed large improvements in all RSA 333 parameters (i.e., RSA_{best}, RSA_{average}, and RSA_{total}) after an 8-week program of HSDT in youth male handball 334 players, with no significant changes observed in the active CG. Furthermore, 64%, 70%, and 76% of the 335 HSDT group improved the RSA_{best}, the RSA_{average}, and the RSA_{total} performance to a level that exceeded the 336 337 SWSs compared to only 19%, 9.5%, and 19%, for the RSAbest, RSA average, and the RSA total, respectively, in the CG. 338

Chaabene et al. (10) examined the effect of the eccentric NHE training compared with an active control 339 group on RSA outcomes (i.e., RSAbest and RSAtotal) in youth female handball players. These authors reported 340 small improvements for RSAtotal and RSAbest in the NHE training group only. Likewise, Ishoi et al. (20) reported 341 342 improvements in RSA_{total} ($\Delta 2\%$), and RSA_{best} ($\Delta 2.6\%$) after 10 weeks of NHE training in male soccer players. Taken together, the current findings suggest that HSDT is effective in improving RSA outcomes in youth 343 male handball players. Based on previous research (7, 10), the RSA performance improvement seen in this 344 study could have been caused by the substantial enhancement of motor abilities underpinning high-345 intensity actions (i.e., jumping, and CoD) following the HSDT. More specifically, the factors underpinning 346 high-intensity task performance improvements (e.g., higher number of recruited motor-unit and better 347 motor-unit synchronization, increasing firing frequencies, better stretch-shortening-cycle efficiency, or 348 349 increased musculotendinous stiffness) (4, 34) may explain the observed RSA performance enhancements. Moreover, HSDT may have induced metabolic adaptations in terms of increases in muscular enzymatic 350 activity, phosphocreatine and glycogen stores, and improved lactate buffering capacity (42). These 351 metabolic changes could have potentially contributed to better RSA performance in adolescent male soccer 352 players. Overall, our findings corroborate the conclusions of the published study by Lakomy et al. (24), 353 354 which advocated for the inclusion of deceleration training programs in general fitness training regimens for athletes engaged in multiple sprint sports. 355

356

357

This study comes with some limitations that the reader should be aware of. First, although the overall 358 exposure to training was similar between the HSDT and the CG, it would have been useful monitoring 359 training load throughout the 8 weeks period by using external (i.e., total distance covered) and/or internal 360 361 (i.e., rate of perceived exertion, heart rate) measures. Second, the eccentric muscle strength of knee extensors was not directly measured such as using an isokinetic device. This should be considered in the 362 upcoming studies. Third, we did not include any direct physiological (e.g., electromyography) or 363 biomechanical (e.g., vertical ground reaction force) measure in the study. These limitations make the 364 365 statements concerning the mechanisms of adaptations purely speculative. Future research should aim to include both performance and physiological/biomechanical measures to provide a more comprehensive 366 367 understanding of the mechanisms underlying training-related adaptations following HSDT. Third, the actual 368 horizontal deceleration of players was not measured, therefore it is not clear if this specific training 369 approach actually enhanced horizontal deceleration ability. Fourth, guasi- instead of pure randomization process was conducted. In fact, guasi-random methods are more susceptible to selection bias and may 370 result in systematic differences between groups at baseline. This could, indeed, be the reason of the 371 different baseline values observed between the two groups. However, we tried to account for the baseline 372 difference by using the appropriate statistical approach, which is ANCOVA instead of ANOVA. Nevertheless, 373 future studies should prioritize random over quasi-random allocation of participants to help minimize 374 375 selection bias.

376

377 Conclusions

In conclusion, the incorporation of a short-duration (8 weeks) HSDT program during the in-season period, in combination with regular handball-specific training, yielded positive effects on various physical fitness measures. These included improvements in jumping ability, CoD speed, and RSA, surpassing the results achieved with handball-specific training alone. Notably, no injuries were reported during or after the intervention, indicating the safety of this training approach. These findings emphasize the advantages and safety of integrating HSDT into the training regimen of handball athletes during the competitive season.

- 384
- 385

386 Acknowledgments

387 The authors would like to thank the participants for volunteering their time and effort for the study.

388

389 Data availability statement

390	Th	ne data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, YN, upon							
391	rec	equest.							
392	Dis	isclosure statement							
393	Th	ne authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial							
394	rel	lationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.							
395									
396									
397									
398									
399									
400									
401									
402									
403									
404									
405	Re	ferences							
406									
407	1.	Abdelkader, M, Hammami, R, Drury, B, Clark, N, Sandercock, G, Shaw, I, Moran, J. A randomised							
408		controlled trial of 1-versus 2-day per week formats of Nordic hamstring training on explosive athletic							
409		tasks in prepubertal soccer players. J Sports Sci 40(19), 2173-2181, 2022.							
410	2.	Balshaw, TG, Massey, GJ, Maden-Wilkinson, TM, Lanza, MB, Folland, JP. Neural adaptations after 4 years							
411		vs 12 weeks of resistance training vs untrained. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in							
412		sports, 29(3), 348-359, 2019							
413	3.	Balshaw, TG, Massey, GJ, Maden-Wilkinson, TM, Morales-Artacho, AJ, McKeown, A, Appleby, CL,							
414		Folland, JP. Changes in agonist neural drive, hypertrophy and pre-training strength all contribute to the							
415		individual strength gains after resistance training. European journal of applied physiology, 117, 631-640,							
416		2017							
417	4.	Bishop, D, Girard, O, Mendez-Villanueva, A. Repeated-sprint ability - part II: recommendations for							
418		training. <i>Sports Med</i> ; 41:741–56, 2011.							
419	5.	Bontemps, B, Gruet, M., Louis, J, Owens, DJ, Miríc, S, Erskine, RM., Vercruyssen, F. The time course of							
420		different neuromuscular adaptations to short term downhill running training and their specific							
		unrefent neuromuscular adaptations to short-term downinn running training and then specific							

- Bright, TE, Handford, MJ, Mundy, P, Lake, J, Theis, N, Hughes, JD. Building for the Future: A Systematic
 Review of the effects of eccentric resistance training on measures of physical Performance in youth
 athletes. *Sports Med* 53(6):1219-1254, 2023.
- 425 7. Buchheit, M, Mendez-Villanueva, A, Delhomel, G, Brughelli, M, and Ahmaidi, S. Improving Repeated
 426 Sprint Ability in Young Elite Soccer Players: Repeated Shuttle Sprints Vs. Explosive Strength Training. J
 427 Strength Cond Res 24: 2715–2722, 2010.
- 428 8. Buchheit, M, Millet, GP, Parisy A, Pourchez, S, Laursen, PB, Ahmaidi S. Supramaximal training and 429 postexercise parasympathetic reactivation in adolescents. *Med.Sci. Sports Exerc* 40:362–371, 2008.
- 430 9. Chaabene, H, Prieske, O, Negra, Y, Granacher, U. Change of Direction Speed: Toward a Strength Training
 431 Approach with Accentuated Eccentric Muscle Actions. *Sports Med* 48, 1773–1779, 2018.
- 432 10. Chaabene, H, Negra, Y, Moran, J, Prieske, O, Sammoud, S, Ramirez-Campillo, R, et al. Effects of an
 433 Eccentric Hamstrings Training on Components of Physical Performance in Young Female Handball
 434 Players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 15(1):91–7, 2019.
- 11. Chaabene, H, Markov, A, Prieske, O, Moran, J, Behrens, M, Negra, Y, Ramirez-Campillo, R, Koch, U,
 Mkaouer, B. Effect of Flywheel versus Traditional Resistance Training on Change of Direction
 Performance in Male Athletes: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*9; 19(12):7061, 2022.
- 439 12. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
 440 Associates, 1998.
- 13. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Comfort P, Jones PA. The effect of angle and velocity on change of direction
 biomechanics: an angle-velocity trade-off. Sport Med. 48:2235–53, 2018
- 14. Dos'Santos, T, Thomas, C, McBurnie, A, Comfort, P, Jones, PA. Change of direction speed and technique
 modification training improves 180° turning performance, kinetics, and kinematics. Sports. 9:73, 2021
- 15. Douglas, J, Pearson, S, Ross, A, McGuigan, M. Chronic adaptations to eccentric training: A systematic
 review. Sports Med, 47, 917–941, 2017.
- 447 16. Gorostiaga, EM, Granados, C, Ibanez, J, Gonzalez-Badillo JJ, Izquierdo, M. Effects of an entire season on
 448 physical fitness changes in elite male handball players. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 38:357–366, 2006.
- 17. Harper, DJ, McBurnie, A, Dos' Santos, T, Eriksrud, O, Evans, M, Cohen, D, Rhodes, D, Carling, C, John
 Kiely J. Biomechanical and Neuromuscular Performance Requirements of Horizontal Deceleration: A
 Review with Implications for Random Intermittent Multi-Directional Sports. *Sports Med*Oct;52(10):2321-2354, 2022.

- 18. Harper, DJ, Morin JB., Carling, C, Kiely, J. Measuring maximal horizontal deceleration ability using radar
 technology: Reliability and sensitivity of kinematic and kinetic variables. Sports Biomech. 22:1192–1208,
 2020
- 456 19. Harper, DJ, Cohen, DD, Carling, C, Kiely, J. Can countermovement jump neuromuscular performance
 457 qualities differentiate maximal horizontal deceleration ability in team sport athletes? Sports. 8:76, 2020
- 458 20. Ishoi, L, Holmich, P, Aagaard, P, Thorborg, K, Bandholm T, and Serner A. Effects of the Nordic Hamstring
- 459 exercise on sprint capacity in male football players: a randomized controlled trial. *J Sports Sci* 36(14),
 460 1663-1672, 2018.
- 21. Jones, PA, Thomas, C, Dos'Santos, T, McMahon, JJ, Graham-Smith, P. The Role of Eccentric Strength in
 180 degrees turns in Female Soccer Players. *Sports* 5, 42, 2017.
- 22. Jones, PA, Dos' Santos, T, McMahon, JJ, Graham-Smith, P. Contribution of eccentric strength to cutting
 performance in female soccer players. *J. Strength Cond. Res* 36, 525–533, 2022
- 465 23. Karcher, C, Buchheit, M. On-court demands of elite handball, with special reference to playing positions.
 466 Sports Med 44, 797–814, 2014.
- 467 24. Krommes, K, Peterson, J, Nielson, MB, Aagaard, P, Hôlmich, P, Thorborg, K. Sprint and jump
 468 performance in elite male soccer players following a 10-week Nordic Hamstring exercise Protocol: a
 469 randomised pilot study. BMC Res Notes 4; 10(1):669, 2017.
- 470 25. Kruger, K, Pilat, C, Uckert, K, Frech T, Mooren, FC. Physical performance profile of handball players is
 471 related to playing position and playing class. *J. Strength Cond. Res* 28:117–125, 2014.
- 472 26. Lakomy, J, Haydon, DT. The effects of enforced, rapid deceleration on performance in a multiple sprint
 473 test. J. Strength Cond. Res. 18(3), 579–583, 2004
- 474 27. Lockie, RG, Schultz, AB, Callaghan, SJ, Jeffriess, MD. The effects of traditional and enforced stopping
 475 speed and agility training on multidirectional speed and athletic function. *J Strength Cond Res.*476 28(6):1538–51, 2014.
- 477 28. Maroto-Izquierdo, S, García-López, D, Fernandez-Gonzalo, R, Moreira, OC, González-Gallego, J, de
 478 Paz, JA. Skeletal muscle functional and structural adaptations after eccentric overload flywheel
 479 resistance training: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Sci Med Sport* Oct;20(10):943-951, 2017.
- 29. Massuca, LM, Fragoso, I, Teles, J. Attributes of top elite team-handball players. *J. Strength Cond. Res*28:178–186, 2014.
- 30. Matthys, SP, Vaeyens, R, Vandendriessche, J, Vandorpe, B, Pion, J, Coutts, AJ, Philippaerts, RM. A
 multidisciplinary identification model for youth handball. European Journal of Sport Science, 11(5), 355363, 2011.

- 485 31. McBurnie, Harper, DJ, Jones, PA, Dos'Santos, T. Deceleration Training in Team Sports: Another Potential
 486 'Vaccine' for Sports-Related Injury? *Sports Med*. 52(1):1-12, 2022.
- 487 32. Michalsik, LB. On-Court Physical Demands and Physiological Aspects in Elite Team Handball. In Handball
 488 Sports Med; Laver, L, Landreau, P, Seil, R, Popovic, N. Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018.
- 33. Michalsik, LB, Aagaard, P, Madsen, K. Locomotion characteristics and match-induced impairments in
 physical performance in male elite team handball players. *Int J Sports Med* 34: 590–599, 2013.
- 34. Mirkov, DM, Kukolj, M, Ugarkovic, D, Koprivica, VJ, Jaric, S. Development of anthropometric and physical
 performance profiles of young elite male soccer players: a longitudinal study. *J Strength Cond Res*24:2677–82, 2010.
- 494 35. Moore, SA, McKay, HA, Macdonald, H, Nettlefold, L, Baxter- Jones, AD, Cameron, N, and Brasher, PM.
 495 Enhancing a somatic maturity prediction model. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 47: 1755–1764, 2015.
- 36. Moran, J, Vali, N, Drury, B, Hammami, R, Tallent, J, Chaabene, H, Ramirez-Campillo, R. The effect of
 volume equated 1-versus 2-day formats of Nordic hamstring exercise training on fitness in youth soccer
 players: A randomised controlled trial *Plos one*, 17(12), e0277437, 2022.
- 37. Negra, Y, Chaabene, H, Fernandez-Fernandez, J, Sammoud, S, Bouguezzi, R, Prieske, O, et al. Short-Term
 Plyometric Jump Training Improves Repeated-Sprint Ability in Prepuberal Male Soccer Players. J
 Strength Cond Res 34: 3241–3249, 2020.
- 38. Negra, Y, Sammoud, S, Uthoff, A, Ramirez-Campillo, R, Moran, J, Chaabene, H. The effects of repeated
 backward running training on measures of physical fitness in youth male soccer players *J Sports Sci* Dec;40(24):2688-2696, 2022.
- 39. Padulo, J, Tabben, M, Ardigò, LP, Ionel, M, Popa, C, Gevat, C, Zagatoo, AM, Della Iacono, I. Repeated
 sprint ability related to recovery time in young soccer players *Res Sports Med* 23:412–23, 2015.
- 40. Pereira, LA, César, C, Abad, C, Kobal, R, Kitamura, K, Orsi RC, Ramirez-Campillo, R, Loturco, L. Differences
 in speed and power capacities between female national college team and national olympic team
 handball athletes. *J Hum Kin* 63:1,85-94, 2018.
- 41. Povoas, SC, Seabra, AF, Ascensao, AA, Magalhaes, J, Soares, JM, Rebelo, AN. Physical and physiological
 demands of elite team handball. J Strength Cond Res 26: 3365–3375, 2012.
- 42. Ramirez-Campillo, R, Gentil P, Negra, Y, Grgic, J, Girard, O. Effects of Plyometric Jump Training on
 Repeated Sprint Ability in Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med 51:2165–79,
 2021.

- 43. Rampinini, E, Bishop, D, Marcora, S, Ferrari Bravo, D, Sassi, R, and Impellizzeri, FM. Validity of simple
- field tests as indicators of match related physical performance in top-level professional soccer players. *Int J Sports Med* 28: 228–235, 2007.
- 44. Roig, M.; O'Brien, K.; Kirk, G.; Murray, R.; McKinnon, P.; Shadgan, B.; Reid,W. The effects of eccentric
 versus concentric resistance training on muscle strength and mass in healthy adults: A systematic
 review with meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med* 43, 556–568, 2009.
- 45. Sheppard, JM, Young, WB. Agility literature review: Classifications, training and testing. *J Sports Sci*, 24,
 919–932, 2006.
- 46. Wagner, H, Finkenzeller, T, Würth, S, von Duvillard, SP. Individual and team performance in teamhandball: A review J *Sports Sci Med*, 13, 808–816, 2014.
- 525
- 526

	HSDT group (n=18)	CG (n=21)
Age (years)	15.55±0.24	14.59±0.23
Height (m)	184.28 ± 8.01	176.57±6.80
Body mass (kg)	79.37±14.09	72.11±16.07
Maturity offset (years)*	2.35±0.48	1.30 ± 0.38

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of the included participants.

Notes: Data are presented as means and standard deviations; HSDT= horizontal speed deceleration training; CG = control group; *: as years from peak height velocity.

Week 1 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 6 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 2 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 3 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 4 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 5 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	program.	
Week 2 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 3 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 4 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 5 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 1	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 6 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 3 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 4 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 5 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 2	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 4 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 5 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 3	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 5 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 4	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 8 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 6 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4^*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 5	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 7 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4*$ Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4*$	Week 6	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 12 \times 90 \times 4*$
Week 8 $2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4^*$	Week 7	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 14 \times 90 \times 4*$
	Week 8	$2 \times 20 \times 5 \times 10 \times 90 \times 4*$

Table 2. Horizontal speed deceleration training program.

*: denotes sets \times acceleration distance (m) \times deceleration distance (m) \times repetitions \times rest between repetitions (seconds) \times rest between sets (minutes).

Table 3: Group-specific baseline and post-test performances after 8- weeks of in-season horizontal speed deceleration training on components of physical fitness in youth male handball players.

		Pre	e-test			Post-test						
	HSDT		DT CG		- Absoluto	HSDT		SDT	CG			
	М	SD	М	SD	Absolute Difference (95% CI)	Independen t sample t- test p-value	М	SD	М	SD	Absolute Difference (95% CI)	<i>ANCOVA</i> <i>p</i> -value (ES)
Muscle power												
CMJ (cm)	30.11	5.23	28.61	4.68	1.50 (4.7 to 1.7)	0.35	34.45	0.87	28.64	0.78	5.81 (2.93 to 8.68)	<0.001 (1.39)
` <i>t</i>	Change of direction											
505 CoD (s)	2.62	0.16	2.83	0.13	0.22 (0.12 to 0.31)	< 0.0001	2.42	0.05	2.71	0.04	0.29 (0.11 to 0.41)	<0.01 (1.11)
Repeated sprint ability												
RSA best	7.23	0.29	7.58	0.52	0.35 (0.06 to 0.63)	0.01	7.22	0.06	7.47	0.05	0.25 (0.05 to 0.45)	< 0.01 (1.39)
RSAaverage	7.50	0.28	7.59	0.58	0.15 (0.08 to 0.69)	0.013	7.49	0.05	7.76	0.05	0.28 (0.09 to 0.46)	< 0.01(1.04)
RSA _{total}	45.03	1.67	47.36	3.45	2.33 (0.52 to 4.14)	0.013	44.91	0.33	46.57	0.30	1.60 (0.56 to 2.76)	< 0.01 (1.04)

Notes: M: mean; SD: standard deviation; d: Cohen's d (effect size); HSDT = horizontal speed deceleration training group; CG = control group; CMJ: countermovement jump; CoD= change of direction; ES: effect size.



Figure 1. The diagram (The CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) includes detailed information on the interventions received.