



Research Repository

A comparison of maximal acceleration between the “tic-tac” parkour action, drop jump and lay-up shot in youth basketball players: A preliminary study towards the donor sport concept

Accepted for publication in the Journal of Motor Learning and Development .

Research Repository link: <https://repository.essex.ac.uk/38977/>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the [publisher's version](#) if you wish to cite this paper.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

A comparison of maximal acceleration between the “tic-tac” parkour action, drop jump and lay-up shot in youth basketball players: A preliminary study towards the donor sport concept.

Mark David Williams*^{1,2}, Bernard Liew¹, Fabio Castro³, Gary Davy², Jason Moran¹

1. School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, United Kingdom

2. School of Psychology, Sport Science and Sensory Sciences, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom

3. School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author

Email: mark.williams@writtle.ac.uk

32 **Abstract**

33 The aim of this cross-sectional study was to compare acceleration outputs of the parkour-
34 style “tic tac” action with those of the drop jump and the lay-up shot in youth basketball
35 players. A total of 25 participants (17 males, 13.80 ± 1.30 years of age; and 8 females, 15.00
36 ± 0.80 years of age) completed three trials of each action while wearing a single inertial
37 motion capture unit with a sampling frequency of 200 Hz, positioned at the lumbar spine. All
38 data was captured in a single session, using the same test order for all participants. Maximum
39 resultant acceleration was calculated from the raw data for each action. Using sex and
40 maturation status as covariates, data were analysed using a Bayesian one-way repeated
41 measures ANCOVA. Results revealed the jump + sex model to be the best fitting ($BF_{10} =$
42 9.22×10^5). Post hoc comparisons revealed that the tic tac produced greater maximal
43 acceleration than the drop jump and the lay-up. These findings provide a biomechanical basis
44 for the potential use of the parkour tic tac as an activity that could be used within the athletic
45 development of youth basketball players.

46

47 **Key Words**

48 Accelerometry; parkour; youth basketball; donor sports; motor skill

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 Introduction

58 Within youth athletic development models (e.g., the Long-term Athlete Development
59 model (Balyi et al., 2013) and Youth Physical Development model (Lloyd & Oliver, 2012)),
60 an emphasis is often placed on the fundamental movement skills and the enhancement of
61 physical capabilities (e.g., strength, speed, agility) required for participation in organised
62 sports (Balyi et al., 2013; Liefheith et al., 2018; Lloyd & Oliver, 2012). Classically,
63 fundamental movement skills represent skills related to locomotion (e.g., running, skipping,
64 galloping), object manipulation (e.g., striking, catching, kicking), and balance (Barnett et al.,
65 2016; Smith, 2016). The development of fundamental movement skills is typically
66 recommended in pre-adolescents who, ahead of peak height velocity, are understood to
67 acquire motor skills more readily than older youth due to higher levels of brain and nervous
68 system plasticity (Behringer et al., 2011; Myer et al., 2015; Williams, Ramirez-Campillo, et
69 al., 2021). Accordingly, the years preceding adulthood have been referred to as a golden
70 period of motor learning (Myer et al., 2015; Solum et al., 2020). Moreover, training to
71 enhance different physical capabilities has been recommended to coincide with stages of
72 maturation to augment the natural changes occurring in the growing bodies of young athletes
73 (Lloyd et al., 2011, 2015; Moran et al., 2018). However, in sports such as basketball, the
74 adoption and implementation of these broader youth athletic development strategies may be
75 overlooked by coaches in favour of sports-specific practice (Owoeye et al., 2020; Williams,
76 Hammond, et al., 2021).

77 In contrast to the Long-term Athlete Development and Youth Development models,
78 the more recently conceived Athletic Skills model (Wormhoudt et al., 2018) presents a
79 pedagogical approach to athletic development that is based upon concepts from the ecological
80 dynamics framework. Ecological dynamics is an integrated theoretical framework that
81 combines ecological psychology with dynamical systems theory in the study of human

82 behaviour (O’Sullivan et al., 2020). Accordingly, the ecological dynamics framework views
83 motor skill performance as the resultant outcome of the fluid interaction between the
84 individual performer, the specific motor task, and the environment within which the task is
85 performed (Davids et al., 2013; Woods et al., 2020).

86 One of the tenets of the Athletic skills model is the notion of so-called “donor sports”
87 (Wormhoudt et al., 2018). Donor sports are theorised to *donate* action capabilities to a *target*
88 *sport* through the utilisation of transferable physical skills and perception-action capabilities
89 (Rudd et al., 2015; Strafford et al., 2018). Through the ecological dynamics lens, the
90 performer perceives their surrounding environment in terms of their ability to act within it,
91 accounting for both the different environmental properties (e.g., surface, dimensions, objects)
92 as well as the performer’s current action capabilities (e.g., skills, physical capabilities) (Witt
93 & Riley, 2014). Accordingly, the donor sport concept offers an attractive strategy to develop
94 broad both fundamental movement skills and physical characteristics in a way that the
95 performer can utilise within their chosen sport.

96 Based upon the donor sports concept, the use of parkour-style training activities has
97 been proposed as a method of developing movement skills and physical capabilities (e.g.,
98 agility) that may be transferable to team sports (Strafford et al., 2018; Wormhoudt et al.,
99 2018). Most pertinently, based upon traditional motor skill definitions, parkour-based actions
100 may be considered to be relatively open and outcome-oriented, with an emphasis on
101 efficiency of movement over fixed technical models (Dvorak et al., 2017; Jabnoun et al.,
102 2018). Although not without barriers to implementation (e.g., coach education requirements),
103 parkour could serve as an alternative means of physical preparation for other sports (Strafford
104 et al., 2021, 2022). This might be particularly apt in sports at the youth level where, despite
105 widespread understanding of the importance of a long-term strategy for physical
106 development, due to time constraints, there is likely a greater emphasis placed on sports-

107 specific training over the development of broader athletic capabilities, which includes the
108 development of fundamental movement skills (Liefieith et al., 2018).

109 Notwithstanding the potential implications of early single-sport specialisation (e.g.,
110 injury risk and burnout (DiFiori et al., 2017; Jayanthi et al., 2019), there is a necessity to
111 acknowledge that for continued progression within a sport, eventual specialisation is required
112 and inevitable for those who might have a preference for success over participation (Baker et
113 al., 2021; Read et al., 2015). This is likely a key consideration within performance pathways
114 where practice and training time is often constrained, or in training camp environments that
115 are building towards a key competition (Fukuda et al., 2013; Owoeye et al., 2020). Indeed,
116 the perceived relevance of an activity appears to be an important consideration in promoting
117 compliance with implementation among coaches (Williams, Hammond, et al., 2021).
118 Accordingly, coaches may be less likely to adhere to so-called “non-specific” training
119 methods with their athletes (Owoeye et al., 2020).

120 The concept of training specificity, which implies that training content aligns with the
121 specific demands of performance (Gebel et al., 2020), is considered to be of paramount
122 importance in the athletic development of athletes (Issurin, 2013; Stone et al., 2022). Within
123 the strength and conditioning field, the concept of *dynamic correspondence* has provided a
124 basis for determining the degree of specificity of a training exercise according to its
125 compliance to one or more of five specific criteria related to the kinetics and kinematics of
126 sports-specific skilled actions (Stone et al., 2022; Verkhoshansky & Siff, 2009). These
127 include the amplitude and direction of movements; accentuated regions of force production;
128 dynamics of effort; rate and time of maximum force production; and regime of muscular
129 work. Improvement made in a given training exercise that translates to improved sports-
130 specific performance is therefore representative of the transfer of training (Zatsiorsky et al.,
131 2021). An example of this is the programming of high-intensity plyometric exercises, such as

132 bounding, to elicit changes in muscle-tendon properties of the lower limb to improve
133 sprinting capabilities (Zisi et al., 2023).

134 Contemporary ideas regarding training specificity have extended beyond the purely
135 biomechanical parameters of a training exercise (Bosch, 2018; Strafford et al., 2018). Within
136 the strength and conditioning field, for example, the notion of *coordinative overload* has been
137 purported to be more representative of motor behaviour and skilled performance compared to
138 more traditional forms of overload (Bosch, 2018; Brearley & Bishop, 2019). Similarly to the
139 donor sport concept, the notion of coordinative overload is aligned to the ecological dynamics
140 framework and, in contrast to reductionist approaches, is considered a more integrative
141 mechanism for skill development and performance (Bosch, 2018; Woods et al., 2020). Due to
142 its acrobatic nature, which combines balance, coordination, muscular strength, and timing to
143 navigate various obstacles and surfaces, parkour is suggested to be a beneficial sport that can
144 enhance the athletic capabilities of youth basketball players (Williams, Strafford, et al.,
145 2021). Basketball is a sport that is characterised by high frequencies of jumping and change
146 of direction actions (Castillo et al., 2021; Ivanović et al., 2022). However, performing
147 specific skills such as the lay-up shot, which combines various actions, is considered complex
148 (Moradi et al., 2023). For example, the shooting player must dribble to avoid defensive
149 players and then jump to put the ball through the basket (Miura et al., 2010). On this basis,
150 strength and conditioning programmes for basketball players may not adequately represent
151 the sport-specific movement requirements (Taylor et al., 2015). Therefore, the movement
152 diversity and open-skill nature that characterise parkour actions may better represent the
153 movement complexities observed in basketball

154 The parkour tic tac jump has been identified as an activity that may enhance agility
155 (Strafford et al., 2021) and has been proposed as a beneficial exercise to improve the action
156 capabilities of youth basketball players as part of an athletic development strategy (Williams,

157 Strafford, et al., 2021). The tic tac requires an individual to leap towards a vertically oriented
158 surface with one leg and push off the surface using the nearest foot into a new direction
159 before landing back on the ground. Typically, the tic tac is performed following a run-up,
160 requiring the performer to change momentum and generate propulsive force from the surface
161 to redirect themselves in a new direction. Therefore, the tic tac is a jumping action that
162 includes a multi-directional element. Although the stretch-shortening cycle is utilised to
163 perform impulsive actions in basketball, other factors such as the surface and the direction of
164 force production (vectors) are also crucial to jumping performance (Arede et al., 2019).
165 Given these movement complexities, the multidirectional nature of the tic tac jump may be
166 suited to basketball requirements, allowing players to explore their jumping capabilities
167 beyond conventional S&C exercises. This includes applying force in different vectors with
168 less emphasis on rigid technical models of execution (Williams, Strafford, et al., 2021).

169 Although the underpinning rationale for the tic tac is currently limited to theorised
170 supposition, the running-based nature of the jumping action would appear to be relevant to
171 basketball skills, for example, the lay-up shot, which is also regarded as a running-based
172 jump (Pehar et al., 2017). In collegiate players, jump height and jumping index (jump
173 height/contact time) in the lay-up shot have previously been found to be significantly higher
174 than those for the conventional countermovement jump and repeated single- and double-leg
175 vertical jumps (Miura et al., 2010). Elsewhere, significant correlations have been observed
176 between the lay-up shot and countermovement jump, while larger significant correlations
177 were revealed between the lay-up shot and the maximal running vertical jump, suggesting
178 greater levels of specificity in the running-based jump (Pehar et al., 2017). Therefore, while
179 the tic tac action is not identical to the lay-up, its apparent face validity to the conditions
180 under which the lay-up shot is executed, along with its relative simplicity to implement, may

181 encourage coaches to use it as an alternative athletic development activity based on the donor
182 sport concept.

183 Although detailed examination of the donor sport concept requires intervention
184 studies, it is also necessary to determine relevant predictor and outcome variables (e.g.,
185 biomechanical parameters) to be utilised within such studies. In addition, given that the
186 concept of donor sports is relatively novel and the transfer of parkour training in relation to
187 perception-action coupling is currently theoretical, quantifying the biomechanical parameters
188 of the tic tac and lay-up shot would enhance our understanding of potential training transfer
189 mechanisms. This could then be compared with traditional training methods. However, due to
190 the challenges in objectively quantifying parkour-based actions, there is limited empirical
191 evidence on the biomechanical parameters associated with these movement patterns. While
192 evidence exists (e.g., [Hernández et al., 2018](#)) to support the use of conventional plyometric
193 exercises such as the drop jump to improve physical capabilities in youth basketball players,
194 the potential benefits of a parkour-based activity like the tic tac jump for developing a truly
195 sport-specific action such as the the lay-up shot, remain to be examined.

196 To understand quantitatively the potential value of the parkour tic tac jump to youth
197 basketball players, the present study aimed to compare acceleration measures of the tic tac
198 and the widely used plyometric drop jump exercise with those of the lay-up shot. For
199 ecological validity, the use of accelerometry enabled capture of data within a ‘real-world’
200 youth basketball environment and unimpeded execution of the three actions of interest on the
201 part of the participants.

202 **Methodology**

203 **Experimental Approach to the Problem**

204 A cross-sectional study design was used to compare accelerations between the tic tac,
205 drop jump, and lay-up actions. All participants were required to take part in two testing

206 sessions separated by seven days, the first of which served as a familiarisation, and the
207 second as data collection. Following the collection of anthropometric measures (mass, height,
208 and sitting height) using medical grade digital scales and stadiometer (Seca, Birmingham,
209 United Kingdom), on both days, participants were required to complete a standardised warm-
210 up that was based upon the *Starting 5* (www.basketballengland.co.uk), a neuromuscular
211 training warm-up devised by the national governing body, Basketball England. In brief, this
212 included pulse raiser activities involving basketball dribbling, athletic movement skills (e.g.,
213 squat, lunge, and hinge patterns), and low-intensity jumping and landing exercises.

214 Participants were then required to perform the three actions of interest while wearing
215 a single inertial motion capture system (MyoMOTION 3D Motion Capture System, Noraxon
216 Arizona, USA) with a sampling frequency of 200 Hz and based upon the sensor frame of
217 reference. Output measures from the unit were recorded in milli-gravity (mg) and each trial
218 was recorded separately. For each participant, the unit was positioned at the lumbar spine,
219 above the pelvis at the L5 vertebra. All warm-up activities and testing procedures were led by
220 the first author who is an accredited strength and conditioning coach (United Kingdom
221 Strength and Conditioning Association).

222 **Participants**

223 Male and female youth basketball players recruited from a junior-level club consented
224 to take part in the cross-sectional study. To increase the homogeneity of the population
225 sample, participants were recruited using convenience sampling from under 14s and under
226 16s age groups for both males and females. Based upon inclusion criteria relating to age
227 range, a basketball playing history of at least one year, and being free of injury that resulted
228 in absence from playing during the six months leading up to the study, a total of 27 males
229 (mean age 14.5 ± 1.09 years) and 12 females (mean age 14.88 ± 1.19 years) were
230 initially included in the study. However, because of the absence of familiarisation testing, a

231 total of 25 participants (17 males and 8 females) were included in the final analysis. To
232 estimate participant maturity status, anthropometric measures were entered into a sex-specific
233 equation to predict maturity offset (Mirwald et al., 2002):

234 Girls: Maturity Offset (years) = $-9.376 + (0.0001882 \times (\text{leg length} \times \text{sitting height})) +$
235 $(0.0022 \times (\text{age} \times \text{leg length})) + (0.005841 \times (\text{age} \times \text{sitting height})) - (0.002658 \times (\text{age}$
236 $\times \text{mass})) + (0.07693 \times (\text{mass by stature ratio} \times 100));$

237 and

238 Boys: Maturity offset (years) = $-9.236 + (0.0002708 \times (\text{leg length} \times \text{sitting height})) +$
239 $(-0.001663 \times (\text{age} \times \text{leg length})) + (0.007216 \times (\text{age} \times \text{sitting height})) + (0.02292 \times$
240 $(\text{mass by stature ratio} \times 100)).$

241 Following Peña-González et al., (2019), participants estimated to be more than six
242 before reaching their peak height velocity were defined as pre-peak height velocity, while
243 those estimated to be more than months after reaching their peak height velocity were defined
244 as post-peak height velocity. Participants estimated to be within six months on either side of
245 peak height velocity were defined as circa-peak height velocity. Within the male cohort, the
246 estimations for maturity status revealed three individuals to be pre-peak height velocity, four
247 to be circa-peak height velocity and one to be post-peak height velocity. Within the female
248 cohort, all participants were classified as post-peak height velocity. Descriptive data for all
249 participants are reported in Table 1. All experimental procedures and risks were explained
250 fully, both verbally and in writing. Written consent and assent were obtained from the
251 children and their parents/guardians. Ethical approval of the study was granted by the
252 institutional research ethics committee of the authors' university and in accordance with the
253 latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

254

255

[Table 1. near here]

256

257 **Procedures**

258 Firstly, participants completed the drop jump, using the technique previously
259 described in the literature (e.g., Pauli et al., 2016; Ramirez-Campillo, Moran, et al., 2019).
260 From a standardised box height of 30 cm, which was judged by the lead author to be
261 appropriate across all participants, participants were required to initiate the drop jump from
262 an upright position with their toes aligned to the box's edge. From this position, participants
263 were instructed to drop to the floor and, upon ground contact, to "*jump as high as possible as*
264 *quickly as possible*". Following three practice jumps, participants were required to complete
265 three drop jump trials separated by ~20 seconds. Any participants not able to perform the
266 drop jump using the specified technique, as judged by the first author, were removed from the
267 analysis. Specifically, data from participants not dropping appropriately from the box's edge,
268 and participants not being able to generate a fast take-off, were removed from the analysis.

269 Following the drop jump trials, participants completed the parkour-style tic tac action
270 against a 'Reversaboard' (Eveque Leisure Equipment Ltd, Cheshire, England), constructed of
271 solid plywood and specifically designed to be placed against a wall for indoor athletic
272 activities. Using their preferred 'pushing' leg, participants were required to start from a
273 standardised position measured at 45° and 3 m from the position of the Reversaboard, from
274 where they were instructed to use approach steps towards the board and then propel from the
275 ground to the board before pushing off from the board with the ball of their foot to gain "as
276 much height and distance as possible", before landing back on the floor (Figure 1).
277 Participants were instructed to gain as much height and distance from the board as possible.
278 A total of three trials separated by ~20 seconds were recorded for analysis.

279

280

[Figure 1. near here]

281
282
283

Finally, using a ball size appropriate to their respective age group (size 6-7)

284 participants were required to complete three lay-up shots, using their preferred shooting side,
285 which corresponded with the preferred take-off limb utilised in the tic tac. For each trial, the
286 starting position was similarly standardised to the tic tac, with a 45° and 3-m starting line
287 measured from underneath the basketball hoop. Participants were instructed to execute a lay-
288 up shot “*as they would in a typical basketball practice*” though the outcome of the shot was
289 not recorded. Each lay-up trial was separated by ~20 seconds.

290

291 **Data Analysis**

292 Raw data for each trial for the three jumping actions were extracted and initially
293 processed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office, 2023). Data for all jumps and respective
294 trials was filtered with 4th order low-pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 50 Hz
295 (Simons & Bradshaw, 2016). To account for the accelerometer unit being calibrated to the
296 device’s reference frame, the sum-vector was calculated (equation 1) to provide the
297 maximum resultant acceleration (a_g). These values were also converted from mg to g for
298 subsequent analyses.

299

$$300 \quad a_g = \sqrt{((x^2) + (y^2) + (z^2))} \quad (\text{equation 1})$$

301 (Howard et al., 2014)

302

303 Statistical analysis of the processed data was undertaken using the statistical analysis
304 software, JASP, version 0.18.3.0 (Amsterdam, Netherlands). All measures were tested for
305 normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For data found to be normally distributed, separate
306 Bayesian one-way repeated measures ANCOVA tests were used to evaluate the effects of

307 action on a_g , using sex and maturation status as covariates. Accordingly, the null hypothesis
308 was that there would not be strong evidence for differences in maximum acceleration
309 between the jumping actions, while the alternative was that there would be strong evidence of
310 differences in favour of the tic tac. Where strong evidence of differences was revealed, post-
311 hoc comparisons were performed using Bayes factor comparisons to identify which jumping
312 actions these differences belonged to. In accordance with Andraszewicz et al. (2015), the
313 Bayes factor was interpreted in terms of discrete categories of evidential strength.

314 Further, to provide a practical appreciation of the results, between-action effects sizes
315 for a_g were calculated using a pooled standard deviation for males and females and
316 interpreted as ‘small’, ‘medium’, and ‘large’ in accordance with Cohen’s d guidelines
317 (Lovakov & Agadullina, 2021).

318

319 **Results**

320 Mean values for a_g are displayed in Figure 2. The results of the Bayesian one-way
321 repeated measures ANCOVA tests for a_g (Table 2) revealed extreme ($BF_{10} > 100$) evidence
322 for all models that included the jump test when compared to the Null model. The jump test +
323 sex model was found to be the best fitting. However, despite the BF_M being found to be four
324 times more likely than the second-best model (the jump test alone), the analysis of the effects
325 of sex as a predictor did not reveal conclusive evidence to support its inclusion or exclusion.
326 The Bayes Factors for maturation status showed anecdotal evidence against an inclusion
327 effect ($BF_{10} = < 1.00$). The effects of the different predictor variables and 95% credible
328 intervals are displayed in Table 3. The tic tac was found to have a positive effect on the
329 model compared with the drop jump, which was found not to have an effect, and the lay-up
330 that revealed a negative effect. The post hoc comparisons revealed that the tic tac produced

331 greater acceleration than the drop jump and lay-up, while the drop jump produced greater
332 acceleration compared to the lay-up (Table 4).

333 In the ES analyses, a large effect size was found between a_g for the tic tac and the
334 drop jump, and between the tic tac and the lay-up in the male cohort. The comparison
335 between the drop jump and the lay-up in the male cohort also revealed a large effect size.
336 Similarly, in the female cohort, a large size was found between the tic tac and the drop jump,
337 and the tic tac compared to the lay-up a_g values. In contrast to the male cohort, however, the
338 effect size between the drop jump and lay-up was small.

339

340 [Table 2. near here]

341

342 [Figure 2. near here]

343

344 [Table 3. Near here]

345

346 [Table 4. Near here]

347

348 [Table 5. Near here]

349

350

351 Discussion

352 The purpose of our study was to evaluate maximum acceleration in the parkour-style
353 tic tac jump and drop jump in comparison to the basketball lay-up shot in youth basketball
354 players. The tic tac was found to produce higher maximum propulsive acceleration compared
355 to both the drop jump and the lay-up, which was observed irrespective of sex or maturational
356 status. Considering these findings, this study indicates that the tic tac may be utilised by both
357 male and female youth-level basketball players to express maximal propulsive acceleration.

358 This was further highlighted by large effect size values revealed between the tic tac and the
359 other two jumping-based actions. Accordingly, this study provides evidence towards the
360 integration of parkour-based actions in the youth athletic development training of youth
361 basketball players.

362 Despite the long-term strategy for the physical development of young athletes
363 emphasising broad athletic capabilities, the perceived relevance of the training activities by
364 coaches remains important (Owoeye et al., 2020; Williams, Hammond, et al., 2021).
365 Moreover, conventional strength and conditioning training approaches have been questioned
366 for not representing the demands of basketball (e.g., the actions that occur in the frontal
367 plane) (Taylor et al., 2015; Williams, Strafford, et al., 2021). However, contemporary
368 strength and conditioning concepts, such as coordinative overload and those based on the
369 ecological dynamics framework, are purported to be more representative of motor behaviour
370 and skilled performance compared to the traditional forms of mechanical overload (Bosch,
371 2018; Brearley & Bishop, 2019).

372 From the ecological dynamics perspective, parkour has been proposed as a donor
373 sport for the athletic development of youth basketball players (Williams, Strafford, et al.,
374 2021). Through this lens, the use of parkour-style activities, such as the tic tac, have been
375 purported to benefit the athletic development of young team sports athletes, particularly in
376 relation to agility-related qualities (Strafford et al., 2018, 2021). In particular, through the
377 ecological dynamics lens, the human body is regarded as a complex dynamical system, and
378 motor skills are considered to emerge out of the interaction between the constraints of the
379 performer's capabilities, the specific motor task, and the surrounding environment (Davids et
380 al., 2013; Witt & Riley, 2014).

381 Within sports-specific contexts such as basketball, interacting task and environmental
382 constraints require players to produce diverse and adaptable skills and movement patterns

383 (Renshaw et al., 2022). Accordingly, the multi-directional nature of the tic tac jump may
384 contribute to improved acceleration in multiple planes of motion, facilitating greater transfer
385 of training to the ‘open skill’ context of basketball, where skills are performed with a degree
386 of unpredictability (Wang et al., 2013). Indeed, the tic tac has been previously suggested as
387 an exercise to target athletic capabilities relating to the coupling of movements at various
388 speeds (Strafford et al., 2021). Such characteristics appear to relate to basketball shooting,
389 which has previously been shown to correlate with both countermovement jump and change
390 of direction capabilities (Pojskic et al., 2018). Therefore, it is plausible, that these findings
391 would extend to the lay-up shot, which requires the execution of a specific pattern of
392 footwork combined with a subsequent jump to the basket (Candra, 2018; Wang et al., 2023).

393 However, when considering our results from an ecological dynamics perspective, it is
394 also important to acknowledge that in a complex dynamical system observed effect size
395 magnitudes between different actions may not necessarily transfer in a linear fashion. This
396 especially important when considering the complex sports skills such as the lay-up, executed
397 within the context of a basketball game. Such non-linear effects have been previously
398 highlighted by Arede et al. (2022) which, following a 10-week strength training programme
399 revealed a large effect size for the observed pre-post differences in peak acceleration
400 displayed by youth players within a simulated basketball game. However, despite utilising the
401 training intervention targeting optimal power output using a loaded back squat, the observed
402 effect size for the pre-post countermovement jump was small. Accordingly, in the current
403 study, the larger effect size values observed for the tic tac may not necessarily translate to
404 detectable linear improvements in the performance of the lay-up under game-specific
405 conditions.

406 Of further consideration, based upon perception-action coupling, the use of the ball
407 within the lay-up shot may have also altered the dynamics of the action, with potential

408 implications for the levels of acceleration produced. Indeed, including a ball-catching task in
409 the execution of the single leg drop jump has been found to increase movement variability in
410 youth basketball players, although it did not alter jump height or ground contact time in
411 comparison to the no ball condition (González-Millán et al., 2024). Therefore, it is possible
412 that the perceptual differences between the jumping actions could influence the respective
413 acceleration outputs and the degree of transfer between the motor tasks. Nonetheless, the
414 results of our study provide an objective basis for further investigation of the tic tac as an
415 action that could donate to the development of athletic capabilities of youth basketball
416 players.

417 Despite not being a primary concern in the athletic development models of youth
418 populations, exercises with high sports-specificity are more likely to be implemented and
419 adhered to by basketball coaches compared to those considered to be less specific (Owoeye et
420 al., 2020; Williams, Hammond, et al., 2021). Coaches of youth basketball players have been
421 found to be reluctant to implement athletic development-based skills and activities within
422 their practices due to time constraints (Owoeye et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2021). As a
423 consequence, youth players risk underdeveloping diverse movement skills and physical
424 capabilities during critical periods when they may develop motor skills more readily due to
425 high neural plasticity (Myer et al., 2015). Indeed, during what is termed a golden period of
426 motor learning (Williams, Ramirez-Campillo, et al., 2021), it is suggested that the
427 development of broad and diverse fundamental movement skills should be emphasised to
428 equip youth with greater movement capabilities rather than limiting skill development to a
429 single sport (DiFiori et al., 2017). Therefore, the time-efficiency of strength and conditioning
430 training is imperative in youth sports, with the greatest proportion of dedicated training being
431 allocated to sports-specific development (Read et al., 2016; Till & Baker, 2020).

432 From a motor learning perspective, beyond the single familiarisation session, the tic
433 tac and drop jump were novel skills for all participants to perform. Therefore, the larger
434 acceleration observed in the tic tac compared to the drop jump suggests that the tic tac may
435 be more time-efficient to include in the athletic development programmes of youth basketball
436 players. The relative simplicity of the tic tac, coupled with the limited requirement of training
437 equipment, enables the exercise to be easily implemented in typical basketball playing
438 environments. In turn, the tic tac might present a time efficient and effective activity that can
439 contribute to the development of broader movement skill and athletic capabilities. While this
440 would need to be confirmed through further investigations, including longer skill
441 development periods involving the tic tac and drop jump, and through intervention studies
442 examining the training effects of these actions, it is particularly relevant for its potential
443 adoption by coaches of youth basketball players. Due to the movement characteristics of the
444 tic tac (e.g., combined running and multi-directional jumping), coaches of youth basketball
445 players may be more likely to implement the exercise within their practice.

446 Within the field of strength and conditioning, the application of mechanical overload
447 is understood to be necessary to elicit training adaptations that can enhance sport
448 performance (Brearley & Bishop, 2019). From a classical strength and conditioning training
449 perspective, for training adaptations transfer successfully to sports performance, those
450 adaptations must exhibit a high degree of mechanical specificity to the target activity
451 (Verkhoshansky & Siff, 2009; Zatsiorsky et al., 2021). According to the principle of dynamic
452 correspondence, an exercise is considered specific if it overloads at least one of its five
453 biomechanical-based criteria associated with the target activity (Suarez et al., 2019;
454 Verkhoshansky & Siff, 2009). Therefore, rather than overloading of an entire movement skill,
455 the training activity is considered to target “local specificity” (Brearley & Bishop, 2019).
456 Given that the lay-up has been previously shown to relate to speed and strength qualities

457 (Miura et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2020), it is reasonable to infer that the utilisation of the tic tac
458 and drop jump as training exercises could provide mechanical overload to the lower limb in
459 relation to the production of propulsive acceleration specific to the jumping element of the
460 lay-up. Moreover, based upon the dynamic correspondence concept, the larger magnitudes of
461 acceleration in the tic tac and drop jump appear to conform to the rate and time of maximum
462 force production criterion (Suarez et al., 2019). However, the multi-directional characteristics
463 of the tic tac may overload propulsive acceleration capabilities in different planes of motion
464 to a greater extent than the drop jump, which is typically utilised to improve impulse in the
465 vertical plane (Dello Iacono et al., 2017). Nonetheless, given that acceleration is
466 representative of the rate of change in velocity and is proportional to force, it is plausible that
467 both jump actions could be utilised to enhance the required motor qualities relating to rate
468 and time of maximum force production specific to the lay-up shot.

469 To add further context to our findings, the observed differences in accelerations
470 between the tic tac and the drop jump are not surprising given the lower magnitude of ground
471 reaction force likely experienced in the contact phase of the tic tac and corresponding
472 demands on the musculature of the lower limb. Execution of the drop jump requires the
473 athlete to decelerate their body mass by generating eccentric force before re-orientating as
474 rapidly as possible in an upward direction (Struzik et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2023). Unlike the
475 drop jump, which generates a high-ground reaction force due to the full mass of the
476 individual falling under gravity, the tic tac action involves a lateral change of direction that
477 requires a lower magnitude of ground reaction force (Pedley et al., 2017).

478 Another explanation for the maximal propulsive acceleration resulting from the drop
479 jump might relate to the drop height, which was fixed at 30 cm for all participants regardless
480 of body size, athletic capability, or sex. Ground contact time and subsequent jump heights
481 have previously been found to be influenced by the drop height (Addie et al., 2019; Ramirez-

482 Campillo, Alvarez, et al., 2019). In general, drop heights are typically between 20-50 cm,
483 with the greater heights presenting increased ground reaction forces and, in turn, larger
484 eccentric demand on the muscles of the lower limb (Pedley et al., 2017; Prieske et al., 2019;
485 Ruffieux et al., 2020). Of pertinence, compared to adults, youths' musculotendinous tissue is
486 more pliable, which can reduce the efficiency with which they utilise the stretch-shortening
487 cycle (Lazaridis et al., 2010; Leukel et al., 2022). Therefore, in the absence of measures of
488 ground contact times, the fixed 30 cm drop used in our study was deemed to be appropriate
489 for the cross-sectional design, and age range and sex of the participants. Indeed, this was
490 further vindicated by our finding that the maturation status of the participants did not appear
491 to have any significant effect. On this basis, the tic tac may be regarded as an activity that
492 may benefit youth basketball players, irrespective of their age or maturity status.

493 Furthermore, with inconclusive evidence for an effect of sex in the results, despite differences
494 that emerge between males and females at the onset of puberty, the tic tac may be beneficial
495 for both sexes.

496 However, caution must be exercised given the small number of female participants in
497 our study, all of whom were estimated to be post-peak height velocity. Nonetheless, our
498 results appear interesting when considered against studies that have investigated the effects of
499 plyometric exercises across different stages of maturation (Moran et al., 2017, 2019). Such
500 studies have revealed that the effectiveness of plyometric training varies based upon stage of
501 maturation, which differs between males and females. For example, plyometric exercise has
502 been found to be more effective in younger females (< 15 years of age), potentially owing to
503 increased levels of fat mass in post-pubescent girls (Moran et al., 2019). In contrast, males
504 have been found to benefit more greatly from plyometric training both pre- and post-peak
505 height velocity, with post-peak height velocity trainability suggested to be related to greater
506 force capabilities owing to increased muscle tissue (Moran et al., 2017). Our findings,

507 however, suggest that the tic tac may enable adolescent females to express greater propulsive
508 acceleration than the drop jump. In turn, the tic tac may be utilised as an exercise to increase
509 propulsive outputs.

510 Although our findings provide some interesting insights relating to the use of the
511 parkour-style tic tac action, there are important limitations to consider. Firstly, our study
512 compared the acceleration between jumps without addressing ground reaction force produced
513 in the three jumping actions. The inclusion of ground reaction force would have provided
514 greater insights into the kinetic differences between the tic tac, drop jump, and lay-up, which
515 would have also accounted for ground contact time and impulse. Secondly, using the reactive
516 strength index to determine the optimal jump height based on differences in eccentric
517 capabilities may have elicited different outcomes with respect to the drop jump. Thirdly,
518 measures of the lay-up skill, both with and without a ball, may have provided valuable
519 comparisons of acceleration outputs without the constraints imposed by the executing the
520 basketball shot. Finally, while the use of the Mirwald equation is widely utilised youth-
521 related research, it only provides an estimate of maturity offset. Therefore, the maturity status
522 of the participants in our study may have varied due to the standard errors of the equation.

523

524 **Conclusions**

525 Training specificity and the transfer of training exercises is a central consideration in
526 the preparation of athletes. However, this is also somewhat at odds within the athletic
527 development strategy of youth athletes, which typically recommends the enhancement of
528 fundamental movement skills and general physical capabilities. In the context of the principle
529 of dynamic correspondence, the greater maximal propulsive acceleration observed in the tic
530 tac indicates that it may provide specific overload to acceleration capabilities, which may be
531 pertinent to the lay-up shot. From an ecological dynamics standpoint, where parkour has been

532 proposed as a donor sport for the athletic development of youth team sport athletes to
533 enhance agility, the tic tac may offer young basketball players a multi-directional jumping
534 action that more closely represents the dynamics of basketball-specific actions, which occur
535 with a high degree of unpredictability and variability. Importantly, the tic tac may be more
536 readily implemented by coaches of youth basketball players, contributing to their athletic
537 development.

538

539

540

541

References

- Addie, C. D., Arnett, J. E., Neltner, T. J., Straughn, M. K., Greska, E. K., Cosio-Lima, L., & Brown, L. E. (2019). Effects of Drop Height on Drop Jump Performance. *International Journal of Kinesiology and Sports Science*, 7(4), 28. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijkss.v.7n.4p.28>
- Andraszewicz, S., Scheibehenne, B., Rieskamp, J., Grasman, R., Verhagen, J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2015). An Introduction to Bayesian Hypothesis Testing for Management Research. *Journal of Management*, 41(2), 521–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314560412>
- Arede, J., Esteves, P., Ferreira, A. P., Sampaio, J., & Leite, N. (2019). Jump higher, run faster: Effects of diversified sport participation on talent identification and selection in youth basketball. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 37(19), 2220–2227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1626114>
- Arede, J., Leite, N., Tous-Fajardo, J., Bishop, C., & Gonzalo-Skok, O. (2022). Enhancing High-Intensity Actions During a Basketball Game After a Strength Training Program With Random Recovery Times Between Sets. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 36(7), 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000004002>
- Baker, J., Mosher, A., & Fraser-Thomas, J. (2021). Is it too early to condemn early sport specialisation? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 55(3), 179–180. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102053>
- Balyi, I., Way, R., & Higgs, C. (2013). *Long-term athlete development*. Human Kinetics.
- Barnett, L. M., Stodden, D., Cohen, K. E., Smith, J. J., Lubans, D. R., Lenoir, M., Iivonen, S., Miller, A. D., Laukkanen, A., Dudley, D., Lander, N. J., Brown, H., & Morgan, P. J. (2016). Fundamental Movement Skills: An Important Focus. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 35(3), 219–225. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0209>
- Behringer, M., Heede, A. vom, Matthews, M., & Mester, J. (2011). Effects of Strength Training on Motor Performance Skills in Children and Adolescents: A Meta-Analysis. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 23(2), 186–206. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.23.2.186>
- Bosch, F. (2018). *Strength training and coordination: An integrative approach*. 2010 Uitgevers.
- Brearley, S., & Bishop, C. (2019). Transfer of Training: How Specific Should We Be? *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 41(3), 97. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000450>
- Candra, O. (2018). *Contribution of Leg Muscle Explosive Power and Flexibility on Lay-Up Shoot in Basketball*. 479–482. <https://doi.org/10.2991/yishpess-cois-18.2018.121>
- Castillo, D., Raya-González, J., Scanlan, A. T., Sánchez-Díaz, S., Lozano, D., & Yanci, J. (2021). The influence of physical fitness attributes on external demands during simulated basketball matches in youth players according to age category. *Physiology & Behavior*, 233, 113354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2021.113354>
- Davids, K., Araújo, D., Vilar, L., Renshaw, I., & Pinder, R. (2013). An Ecological Dynamics Approach to Skill Acquisition: Implications for Development of Talent in Sport. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 5(1), 21–34.
- Dello Iacono, A., Martone, D., Milic, M., & Padulo, J. (2017). Vertical- vs. Horizontal-Oriented Drop Jump Training: Chronic Effects on Explosive Performances of Elite Handball Players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 31(4), 921–931. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001555>
- DiFiori, J. P., Brenner, J. S., Comstock, D., Côté, J., Güllich, A., Hainline, B., & Malina, R. (2017). Debunking early single sport specialisation and reshaping the youth sport

- experience: An NBA perspective. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51(3), 142–143. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-097170>
- Dvorak, M., Eves, N., Bunc, V., & Balas, J. (2017). Effects of Parkour Training on Health-Related Physical Fitness in Male Adolescents. *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*, 10(1), 132–140. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1875399X01710010132>
- Fukuda, D. H., Stout, J. R., Kendall, K. L., Smith, A. E., Wray, M. E., & Hetrick, R. P. (2013). The Effects of Tournament Preparation on Anthropometric and Sport-Specific Performance Measures in Youth Judo Athletes. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 27(2), 331. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31825423b3>
- Gebel, A., Prieske, O., Behm, D. G., & Granacher, U. (2020). Effects of Balance Training on Physical Fitness in Youth and Young Athletes: A Narrative Review. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 42(6), 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000548>
- González-Millán, S., Caparrós, T., Toro-Román, V., Illera-Domínguez, V., Albesa-Albiol, L., Moras, G., Pérez-Chirinos Buxadé, C., & Fernández-Valdés, B. (2024). Effect of Ball Inclusion in Drop Vertical Jump Test on Performance and Movement Variability in Basketball Players. *Applied Sciences*, 14(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14020505>
- Hernández, S., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Álvarez, C., Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Moran, J., Pereira, L. A., & Loturco, I. (2018). Effects of Plyometric Training on Neuromuscular Performance in Youth Basketball Players: A Pilot Study on the Influence of Drill Randomization. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 17(3), 372–378.
- Howard, R., Healy, R., Conway, R., & Harrison, A. J. (2014). A method comparison of force platform and accelerometer measures in jumping. *ISBS - Conference Proceedings Archive*. <https://ojs.uni-konstanz.de/cpa/article/view/5976>
- Issurin, V. B. (2013). Training Transfer: Scientific Background and Insights for Practical Application. *Sports Medicine*, 43(8), 675–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0049-6>
- Ivanović, J., Kukić, F., Greco, G., Koropanovski, N., Jakovljević, S., & Dopsaj, M. (2022). Specific Physical Ability Prediction in Youth Basketball Players According to Playing Position. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(2), 977. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020977>
- Jabnoun, S., Borji, R., & Sahli, S. (2018). Postural control of Parkour athletes compared to recreationally active subjects under different sensory manipulations: A pilot study. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 19, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1527948>
- Jayanthi, N. A., Post, E. G., Laury, T. C., & Fabricant, P. D. (2019). Health Consequences of Youth Sport Specialization. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 54(10), 1040–1049. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-380-18>
- Lazaridis, S., Bassa, E., Patikas, D., Giakas, G., Gollhofer, A., & Kotzamanidis, C. (2010). Neuromuscular differences between prepubescent boys and adult men during drop jump. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 110(1), 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1452-4>
- Leukel, C., Karoß, S., Gräßlin, F., Nicolaus, J., & Gollhofer, A. (2022). Do Primary School Children Benefit from Drop-Jump Training with Different Schedules of Augmented Feedback about the Jump Height? *Sports*, 10(9), Article 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports10090133>
- Liefeith, A., Kiely, J., Collins, D., & Richards, J. (2018). *Back to the Future* – in support of a renewed emphasis on generic agility training within sports-specific developmental pathways. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(19), 2250–2255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1449088>

- Lloyd, R. S., & Oliver, J. L. (2012). The Youth Physical Development Model: A New Approach to Long-Term Athletic Development. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 34(3), 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0b013e31825760ea>
- Lloyd, R. S., Oliver, J. L., Faigenbaum, A. D., & Howard, R. (2015). Long-term athletic development- part 1: A pathway for all youth. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 29(5), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000000756>
- Lloyd, R. S., Oliver, J. L., Hughes, M. G., & Williams, C. A. (2011). The Influence of Chronological Age on Periods of Accelerated Adaptation of Stretch-Shortening Cycle Performance in Pre and Postpubescent Boys: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 25(7), 1889–1897. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e7faa8>
- Lovakov, A., & Agadullina, E. R. (2021). Empirically derived guidelines for effect size interpretation in social psychology. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(3), 485–504. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2752>
- Mirwald, R. L., G. Baxter-Jones, A. D., Bailey, D. A., & Beunen, G. P. (2002). An assessment of maturity from anthropometric measurements: *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 34(4), 689–694. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200204000-00020>
- Miura, K., Yamamoto, M., Tamaki, H., & Zushi, K. (2010). Determinants of the Abilities to Jump Higher and Shorten the Contact Time in a Running 1-Legged Vertical Jump in Basketball. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(1), 201. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181bd4c3e>
- Moradi, J., Maleki, M., & Moradi, H. (2023). The Effect of Part and Whole Practice on Learning Lay-Up Shot Skill in Young and Adolescent Male Students. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, 11(1), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jmld.2022-0033>
- Moran, J., Clark, C. C. T., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Davies, M. J., & Drury, B. (2019). A Meta-Analysis of Plyometric Training in Female Youth: Its Efficacy and Shortcomings in the Literature. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 33(7), 1996–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000002768>
- Moran, J., Sandercock, G. R. H., Ramírez-Campillo, R., Meylan, C. M. P., Collison, J. A., & Parry, D. A. (2017). Age-Related Variation in Male Youth Athletes' Countermovement Jump After Plyometric Training: A Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 31(2), 552–565. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000001444>
- Moran, J., Sandercock, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Clark, C. C. T., Fernandes, J. F. T., & Drury, B. (2018). A Meta-Analysis of Resistance Training in Female Youth: Its Effect on Muscular Strength, and Shortcomings in the Literature. *Sports Medicine*, 48(7), 1661–1671. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0914-4>
- Myer, G. D., Faigenbaum, A. D., Edwards, N. M., Clark, J. F., Best, T. M., & Sallis, R. E. (2015). Sixty minutes of what? A developing brain perspective for activating children with an integrative exercise approach. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(23), 1510–1516. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093661>
- O'Sullivan, M., Davids, K., Woods, C. T., Rothwell, M., & Rudd, J. (2020). Conceptualizing Physical Literacy within an Ecological Dynamics Framework. *Quest*, 72(4), 448–462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2020.1799828>
- Owoeye, O. B. A., Emery, C. A., Befus, K., Palacios-Derflinger, L., & Pasanen, K. (2020). How much, how often, how well? Adherence to a neuromuscular training warm-up injury prevention program in youth basketball. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1782578>

- Pauli, C. A., Keller, M., Ammann, F., Hübner, K., Lindorfer, J., Taylor, W. R., & Lorenzetti, S. (2016). Kinematics and Kinetics of Squats, Drop Jumps and Imitation Jumps of Ski Jumpers: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *30*(3), 643–652. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001166>
- Pedley, J. S., Lloyd, R. S., Read, P., Moore, I. S., & Oliver, J. L. (2017). Drop Jump: A Technical Model for Scientific Application. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, *39*(5), 36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000331>
- Pehar, M., Sekulic, D., Sisic, N., Spasic, M., Uljevic, O., Krolo, A., Milanovic, Z., & Sattler, T. (2017). Evaluation of different jumping tests in defining position-specific and performance-level differences in high level basketball players. *Biology of Sport*, *34*(3), 263–272. <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2017.67122>
- Peña-González, I., Fernández-Fernández, J., Cervelló, E., & Moya-Ramón, M. (2019). Effect of biological maturation on strength-related adaptations in young soccer players. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(7), e0219355. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219355>
- Pojkskic, H., Sisic, N., Separovic, V., & Sekulic, D. (2018). Association Between Conditioning Capacities and Shooting Performance in Professional Basketball Players: An Analysis of Stationary and Dynamic Shooting Skills. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *32*(7), 1981. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002100>
- Prieske, O., Chaabene, H., Puta, C., Behm, D. G., Büsch, D., & Granacher, U. (2019). Effects of Drop Height on Jump Performance in Male and Female Elite Adolescent Handball Players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology & Performance*, *14*(5), 674–680.
- Ramirez-Campillo, R., Alvarez, C., García-Pinillos, F., Gentil, P., Moran, J., Pereira, L. A., & Loturco, I. (2019). Effects of Plyometric Training on Physical Performance of Young Male Soccer Players: Potential Effects of Different Drop Jump Heights. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, *31*(3), 306–313. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2018-0207>
- Ramirez-Campillo, R., Moran, J., Drury, B., Williams, M., Keogh, J. W., Chaabene, H., & Granacher, U. (2019). Effects of Equal Volume But Different Plyometric Jump Training Intensities on Components of Physical Fitness in Physically Active Young Males. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *Publish Ahead of Print*. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000003057>
- Read, P. J., Oliver, J. L., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Myer, G. D., & Lloyd, R. S. (2016). The scientific foundations and associated injury risks of early soccer specialisation. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *34*(24), 2295–2302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1173221>
- Read, P., Oliver, J. L., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Myer, G. D., & Lloyd, R. S. (2015). Injury Risk Factors in Male Youth Soccer Players: *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, *37*(5), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000171>
- Renshaw, I., Davids, K., O’Sullivan, M., Maloney, M. A., Crowther, R., & McCosker, C. (2022). An ecological dynamics approach to motor learning in practice: Reframing the learning and performing relationship in high performance sport. *Asian Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *2*(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajsep.2022.04.003>
- Rudd, J. R., Barnett, L. M., Butson, M. L., Farrow, D., Berry, J., & Polman, R. C. J. (2015). Fundamental Movement Skills Are More than Run, Throw and Catch: The Role of Stability Skills. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(10), e0140224. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140224>
- Ruffieux, J., Wälchli, M., Kim, K.-M., & Taube, W. (2020). Countermovement Jump Training Is More Effective Than Drop Jump Training in Enhancing Jump Height in

- Non-professional Female Volleyball Players. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 11. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2020.00231>
- Simons, C., & Bradshaw, E. J. (2016). Do accelerometers mounted on the back provide a good estimate of impact loads in jumping and landing tasks? *Sports Biomechanics*, 15(1), 76–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2015.1123765>
- Smith, W. (2016). Fundamental movement skills and fundamental games skills are complementary pairs and should be taught in complementary ways at all stages of skill development. *Sport, Education and Society*, 21(3), 431–442. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2014.927757>
- Solum, M., Lorås, H., & Pedersen, A. V. (2020). A Golden Age for Motor Skill Learning? Learning of an Unfamiliar Motor Task in 10-Year-Olds, Young Adults, and Adults, When Starting From Similar Baselines. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 538. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00538>
- Stone, M. H., Hornsby, W. G., Suarez, D. G., Duca, M., & Pierce, K. C. (2022). Training Specificity for Athletes: Emphasis on Strength-Power Training: A Narrative Review. *Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology*, 7(4), 102. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk7040102>
- Strafford, B. W., Davids, K., North, J. S., & Stone, J. A. (2021). Designing Parkour-style training environments for athlete development: Insights from experienced Parkour Traceurs. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 13(3), 390–406. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2020.1720275>
- Strafford, B. W., Davids, K., North, J. S., & Stone, J. A. (2022). Feasibility of Parkour-style training in team sport practice: A Delphi study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 40(20), 2327–2342. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2022.2154459>
- Strafford, B. W., van der Steen, P., Davids, K., & Stone, J. A. (2018). Parkour as a Donor Sport for Athletic Development in Youth Team Sports: Insights Through an Ecological Dynamics Lens. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 4(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-018-0132-5>
- Struzik, A., Juras, G., Pietraszewski, B., & Rokita, A. (2016). Effect of drop jump technique on the reactive strength index. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 52(1), 157–164. <https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0003>
- Suarez, D. G., Wagle, J. P., Cunanan, A. J., Sausaman, R. W., & Stone, M. H. (2019). Dynamic Correspondence of Resistance Training to Sport: A Brief Review. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 41(4), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000458>
- Taylor, J. B., Ford, K. R., Nguyen, A.-D., Terry, L. N., & Hegedus, E. J. (2015). Prevention of Lower Extremity Injuries in Basketball: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Health*, 7(5), 7.
- Till, K., & Baker, J. (2020). Challenges and [Possible] Solutions to Optimizing Talent Identification and Development in Sport. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 664. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00664>
- Verkhoshansky, Y., & Siff, M. C. (2009). *Supertraining*. Verkhoshansky SSTM.
- Wang, C.-H., Chang, C.-C., Liang, Y.-M., Shih, C.-M., Chiu, W.-S., Tseng, P., Hung, D. L., Tzeng, O. J. L., Muggleton, N. G., & Juan, C.-H. (2013). Open vs. Closed Skill Sports and the Modulation of Inhibitory Control. *PLoS ONE*, 8(2), e55773. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055773>
- Wang, L., Ye, J., & Zhang, X. (2023). Ankle biomechanics of the three-step layup in a basketball player with chronic ankle instability. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45794-w>

- Williams, M. D., Hammond, A. M., & Moran, J. (2021). Youth Basketball Coaches' Perceptions and Implementation of Fundamental Movement Skills Training: Toward a Realist Evaluation. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2020-0306>
- Williams, M. D., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Chaabene, H., & Moran, J. (2021). Neuromuscular Training and Motor Control in Youth Athletes: A Meta-Analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 00315125211029006. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00315125211029006>
- Williams, M. D., Strafford, B. W., Stone, J. A., & Moran, J. (2021). Parkour-Based Activities in the Athletic Development of Youth Basketball Players. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 12, 1808. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.771368>
- Witt, J. K., & Riley, M. A. (2014). Discovering your inner Gibson: Reconciling action-specific and ecological approaches to perception–action. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 21(6), 1353–1370. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-014-0623-4>
- Woods, C. T., McKeown, I., Rothwell, M., Araújo, D., Robertson, S., & Davids, K. (2020). Sport Practitioners as Sport Ecology Designers: How Ecological Dynamics Has Progressively Changed Perceptions of Skill “Acquisition” in the Sporting Habitat. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00654>
- Wormhoudt, R., Savelsbergh, G. J. P., Teunissen, J. W., & Davids, K. (2018). *The athletic skills model: Optimizing talent development through movement education*. Routledge.
- Xu, J., Turner, A., Comfort, P., Harry, J. R., McMahon, J. J., Chavda, S., & Bishop, C. (2023). A Systematic Review of the Different Calculation Methods for Measuring Jump Height During the Countermovement and Drop Jump Tests. *Sports Medicine*, 53(5), 1055–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-023-01828-x>
- Zatsiorsky, V. M., Kraemer, W. J., & Fry, A. C. (2021). *Science and practice of strength training* (Third edition). Human Kinetics.
- Zhu, Z., Fu, W., Shao, E., Li, L., Song, L., Wang, W., & Liu, Y. (2020). Acute Effects of Midsole Bending Stiffness on Lower Extremity Biomechanics during Layup Jumps. *Applied Sciences*, 10(1), 397. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10010397>
- Zisi, M., Stavridis, I., Bogdanis, G., Terzis, G., & Paradisis, G. (2023). The Acute Effects of Plyometric Exercises on Sprint Performance and Kinematics. *Physiologia*, 3(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/physiologia3020021>

Acknowledgements

The contributions of Ryan Hemmings and Oliver Wise in the data collection process.

For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.