

Engineering Rubisco condensation in chloroplasts to manipulate plant photosynthesis

Taiyu Chen^{1,2,3}, Marta Hojka⁴, Philip Davey⁵, Yaqi Sun², Fei Zhou³, Tracy Lawson⁵, Peter J. Nixon⁴, Yongjun Lin³  and Lu-Ning Liu^{2,6,*} 

¹Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Utilization and Conservation of Food and Medicinal Resources in Northern Region, Shaoguan University, Shaoguan, China

²Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

³National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement and National Center of Plant Gene Research, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

⁴Department of Life Sciences, Sir Ernst Chain Building-Wolfson Laboratories, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁵School of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, UK

⁶MOE Key Laboratory of Evolution and Marine Biodiversity & College of Marine Life Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China

Received 15 September 2024;

revised 20 February 2025;

accepted 27 February 2025.

*Correspondence (Tel +44 (0)1517954426;
email lning.liu@liverpool.ac.uk)

Summary

Although Rubisco is the most abundant enzyme globally, it is inefficient for carbon fixation because of its low turnover rate and limited ability to distinguish CO₂ and O₂, especially under high O₂ conditions. To address these limitations, phytoplankton, including cyanobacteria and algae, have evolved CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCM) that involve compartmentalizing Rubisco within specific structures, such as carboxysomes in cyanobacteria or pyrenoids in algae. Engineering plant chloroplasts to establish similar structures for compartmentalizing Rubisco has attracted increasing interest for improving photosynthesis and carbon assimilation in crop plants. Here, we present a method to effectively induce the condensation of endogenous Rubisco within tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chloroplasts by genetically fusing superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) to the tobacco Rubisco large subunit (RbcL). By leveraging the intrinsic oligomerization feature of sfGFP, we successfully created pyrenoid-like Rubisco condensates that display dynamic, liquid-like properties within chloroplasts without affecting Rubisco assembly and catalytic function. The transgenic tobacco plants demonstrated comparable autotrophic growth rates and full life cycles in ambient air relative to the wild-type plants. Our study offers a promising strategy for modulating endogenous Rubisco assembly and spatial organization in plant chloroplasts via phase separation, which provides the foundation for generating synthetic organelle-like structures for carbon fixation, such as carboxysomes and pyrenoids, to optimize photosynthetic efficiency.

Keywords: Rubisco, carbon fixation, photosynthesis, chloroplast engineering, protein condensation, plant biotechnology.

Introduction

Carbohydrates are the cornerstone of life on Earth, and virtually all carbohydrates are produced directly or indirectly by ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) via the Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle (Bracher *et al.*, 2017). As the most abundant enzyme on the planet, Rubisco fixes approximately 250 billion tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) per year (Field *et al.*, 1998). Despite its crucial role in global carbon fixation, Rubisco remains a remarkably inefficient enzyme. First, Rubisco exhibits a slow turnover, catalyzing only one to ten CO₂ fixation reactions per second (Flamholz *et al.*, 2019). Second, Rubisco catalyzes a competing oxygenation reaction that utilizes O₂ instead of CO₂ (Hennacy and Jonikas, 2020a; Liu, 2022) with carboxylase and oxygenase activities dependent upon the ratio of CO₂ and O₂ (Andersson and Backlund, 2008). Rubisco evolved more than 3.5 billion years ago in an atmosphere that had high CO₂ and negligible O₂ concentrations. Thus, the poor discrimination between CO₂ and O₂ was not critical for Rubisco during its early stage of evolution (Berner, 2003; Nisbet *et al.*, 2007; Tcherkez *et al.*, 2006; Whitney *et al.*, 2011). However, with the evolution of oxygenic photosynthesis, the concentrations of CO₂ and O₂ in the air have undergone significant changes, leading to an

atmosphere composed of abundant O₂ (~21%) and relatively low levels of CO₂ (~0.04%) (Flamholz *et al.*, 2019). As the O₂ concentration increases, Rubisco exhibits a higher oxygenase activity, resulting in a reduction of approximately 30% in the net carbon fixation performed by Rubisco (Sharwood, 2017).

To compensate for the low efficiency and specificity of Rubisco and to enhance carbon fixation, photosynthetic organisms synthesize high quantities of Rubisco *in vivo* (Bainbridge *et al.*, 1995) and have evolved various CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs). The presence of CCMs results in different spatial organization of Rubisco in the cells, which is generally driven by liquid–liquid phase separation. In cyanobacteria and algae, Rubisco is condensed into compartmenting structures known as carboxysomes and pyrenoids, respectively, as part of their CCM (Liu, 2022; Mackinder *et al.*, 2017). The condensation of Rubisco is mediated by multivalent interactions between Rubisco and intrinsically disordered linker proteins, including CsoS2 for α -carboxysomes, CcmM for β -carboxysomes, or Essential Pyrenoid Component 1 (EPYC1) for pyrenoids (Cai *et al.*, 2015; Freeman Rosenzweig *et al.*, 2017; He *et al.*, 2020; Itakura *et al.*, 2019; Ni *et al.*, 2023; Oltrogge *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zang *et al.*, 2021). In contrast, Rubisco constitutes approximately 30%–50% of the soluble protein in C₃ plant leaves

and is evenly distributed in the chloroplasts, where oxygenic photosynthesis occurs (Chen *et al.*, 2023a). Rubisco is the rate-limiting enzyme in photosynthesis, particularly in C_3 plants that lack CCMs, including many important crops.

Given the increase in global population and climate change, there is a pressing need to explore a practical approach to increase agricultural yields (Bailey-Serres *et al.*, 2019; Bracher *et al.*, 2017; Croce *et al.*, 2024; Ort *et al.*, 2015; Whitney *et al.*, 2011; Zhu *et al.*, 2010). Introducing a functional CCM into C_3 crops is considered an attractive approach for substantially improving CO_2 -fixation efficiency and plant growth (Chen *et al.*, 2023a; Hennacy and Jonikas, 2020b; Long *et al.*, 2015; Rae *et al.*, 2017). An important step is to establish Rubisco condensation mediated by linker proteins in plant chloroplasts. Previous studies have reported the aggregation of cyanobacterial Rubisco mediated by CcmM35 in tobacco (Lin *et al.*, 2014) and the condensation of plant-algal hybrid Rubisco mediated by EPYC1 into a single phase-separated compartment in the *Arabidopsis* chloroplast (Atkinson *et al.*, 2019, 2020). However, there are still many challenges in inducing native Rubisco condensation in plant chloroplasts. Rubisco is a hexadecamer containing eight large (RbcL) and eight small (RbcS) subunits, which are encoded by the chloroplast genome and gene family in the nuclear genome, respectively (Spreitzer and Salvucci, 2002). This potentially increases the difficulty of manipulating endogenous Rubisco in plants. For example, multiple copies of *rbcS* in the plant nuclear genome need to be deleted and replaced by the *rbcS* gene of *Chlamydomonas* to generate hybrid Rubisco for condensation driven by EPYC1-RbcS interactions (Atkinson *et al.*, 2017). Additionally, the conserved residues of native Rubisco should be modified to ensure functional interactions with non-native linker proteins (CsoS2 for α -carboxysomes and CcmM for β -carboxysomes); however, point mutations of the RbcL subunit often decrease enzymatic activity (Lin *et al.*, 2021).

Here, we developed an approach to induce condensation of endogenous Rubisco in the chloroplasts of the model tobacco crop *Nicotiana tabacum* (Nt) by genetically fusing superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) to the C-terminal of tobacco RbcL through chloroplast transformation technology. The intrinsic aggregation property of sfGFP drives the formation of pyrenoid-like Rubisco condensates without affecting the assembly and enzymatic functionality of Rubisco. Rubisco condensates within chloroplasts exhibit highly dynamic liquid-like features. The transgenic tobacco plants demonstrated comparable rates of autotrophic growth to wild-type (WT) plants in full life cycles in ambient air. This study provides a strategy for plant biotechnology to conjugate plant Rubisco to form shell-less microcompartments. It lays the groundwork for developing synthetic structures that mimic natural CO_2 -fixing organelles, for example, carboxysomes and pyrenoids, which may inform plant engineering for enhancing the photosynthetic efficiency and productivity of crop plants.

Results

Generation of NtRbcL-sfGFP transplastomic tobacco lines

As GFP is a bright fluorescent protein with a weak dimerization tendency, it has been used for oligomeric scaffolding in synthetic biology (Leibly *et al.*, 2015). We reasoned that the intrinsic dimerization of the GFP tag that is fused to Rubisco subunits might trigger the assembly of Rubisco to a certain extent in the chloroplast. To test this, we fused sfGFP to the C-terminus of

tobacco RbcL (NtRbcL) using a chloroplast transformation vector (psfGFP), which is driven by *Prrn* (rRNA operon promoter) and contains *sfgfp*, the terminator *AtTpetD*, and the *aadA* gene, which encodes aminoglycoside-3'-adenylyltransferase (Figure 1a). The vector was transformed into tobacco chloroplasts using biolistic particle bombardment. After two rounds of selection and regeneration, three independent transgenic lines were obtained from the transformation, and the transgenic plants were grown autotrophically in soil and air conditions for flowering and seed maturation.

Southern blot analysis using the *NtrbcL* promoter as the probe showed a clear difference in fragment length between the WT and transgenic tobacco lines (Figure 1b). The bands of the WT size (~6.1 kbp) completely disappeared and were replaced with a band of ~8 kbp length, indicating full replacement of WT fragments in the transgenic lines, resulting in homoplasmic transformants (Figure 1b).

Expression of NtRbcL-sfGFP in tobacco chloroplasts

To determine the expression and fusion of NtRbcL-sfGFP (NtRbcLG), sfGFP fluorescence was first screened under white and blue light (Figure 1c). No remarkable differences in morphology were observed between WT and transgenic leaves under white light (Figure 1c). When illuminated with blue light, the entire leaf of the transgenic plant exhibited strong green fluorescence, whereas the WT leaves showed no detectable fluorescence (Figure 1c). These observations suggest that NtRbcLG was successfully expressed in transgenic plant leaves.

The fusion of sfGFP to NtRbcL was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of total soluble proteins from tobacco leaves. SDS-PAGE revealed that the size of the NtRbcL protein shifted from ~52 to ~80 kDa in transgenic lines, in agreement with the expected size of NtRbcLG, and there was no detectable difference in the sizes of NtRbcS between WT and transgenic lines (Figure 1d). Immunoblot analysis using α -RbcL and α -GFP antibodies revealed the absence of the WT NtRbcL band in the transgenic lines, confirming the successful fusion of sfGFP to NtRbcL and the full segregation of the transgenic plants (Figure 1d).

Assembly and activity of NtRubisco-sfGFP

To investigate the assembly state of NtRubisco complexes fused with sfGFP, we performed native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (native-PAGE) and immunoblot analysis of total soluble proteins from tobacco to evaluate the effect of fusing GFP on the assembly of RbcL₈S₈. Coomassie-Blue staining and GFP fluorescence detection by native-PAGE, as well as immunoblot analysis using α -RbcL and α -GFP, showed that the molecular mass of Rubisco fused with sfGFP shifted from ~520 to ~750 kDa, suggesting that the fusion of sfGFP to NtRbcL did not affect the formation of RbcL₈S₈ hexadecamers (Figure 2a–d). Interestingly, we also observed the presence of larger assemblies of [RbcL₈S₈]_n (Figure 2a–d). In contrast, no large assemblies were observed for NtRubisco without the sfGFP tag (Figure 2a–c). These results suggest that sfGFP tagging could induce the physical association of NtRubisco complexes within chloroplasts.

We further purified NtRubisco-sfGFP and NtRubisco from transgenic and WT leaves, respectively, using sucrose gradient centrifugation and anion-exchange chromatography following the previously reported protocol (Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2011) (Figure 2e). SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis confirmed the




Figure 1 Generation of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP line by chloroplast transformation. (a) Gene organization of chloroplast transformation construct (psfGFP) and the *rbcl* locus in the wild-type (WT) tobacco chloroplast genome. The stop codon in the 3' end of *Ntrbcl* was removed and fused with the coding sequence of sfGFP followed by a terminator, *AtTpet D*. The antibiotic gene (*aadA*) was driven by the promoter of *Prm*. At the indicated *Arabidopsis thaliana*. (b) Southern blot of total genomic DNA of WT and three transgenic lines (G1–G3). The genomic DNA was digested by *SpeI* and hybridized with the probe indicated in a. The complete shifting of the fragment length polymorphism verified the successful transgene integration and homoplasmy of the three transplastomic plants generated. (c) The leaves of transgenic plants (GFP) exhibited a robust green fluorescence signal in blue light, indicating an expression of sfGFP. Scale bar: 1 cm. (d) SDS-PAGE (top) and immunoblot analysis (bottom) of total soluble proteins confirmed the successful expression and solubility of RbcL-GFP in transgenic lines. The size of the large subunit was shifted from ~50 kDa (*Ntrbcl*) to ~78 kDa (RbcL-GFP) in the transgenic line.

presence of both RbcL and RbcS in purified *NtRubisco* and *NtRubisco*-sfGFP, as well as the fusion of sfGFP to *NtRbcL* (Figure 2f; *NtRbcLG*, ~80 kDa; *NtRbcL*, ~50 kDa). The RbcS subunits from both the WT and transgenic lines showed similar molecular masses. In addition to *NtRbcLG* and *NtRbcL*, a small number of proteins with low molecular masses were detected by immunoblot analysis using an α -GFP antibody. This may be ascribed to the instability of the sfGFP tag. It is possible that during the purification process, sfGFP underwent minor non-specific degradation, resulting in the production of sfGFP and RbcL protein fragments of varying sizes (Figure 2f). Furthermore, we found that purified *NtRubisco*-sfGFP formed large assemblies *in vitro*, whereas free sfGFP and *NtRubisco* showed an even distribution in solution (Figure S1). This is consistent with our biochemical characterization results (Figure 2a–d).

The $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ -fixation assays, conducted as a function of CO_2 and ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) concentrations, revealed that $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{C}}$ (catalytic turnover rate) and $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{R}}$ (catalytic turnover rate) of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP were 3.5 ± 0.1 and $3.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($n = 3$), respectively, which were similar to those of *NtRubisco* (3.5 ± 0.3 and $3.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $n = 3$) determined in the same assays (Table 1) and in agreement with previous findings ($\sim 3.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Figure 2g,h) (Chen et al., 2023b; Orr et al., 2016). Additionally, K_{C} (Michaelis–Menten constant for CO_2) of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP ($17 \pm 3.3 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 3$) was comparable to that of *NtRubisco* ($23.4 \pm 5.2 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 3$) (Chen et al., 2023b; Orr et al., 2016), whereas K_{R} (Michaelis–Menten constant for RuBP)

of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP ($39.7 \pm 4.6 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 3$) was slightly lower than that of *NtRubisco* ($54.8 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 3$) (Figure 2g,h). Nevertheless, these results demonstrated the comparable CO_2 -fixation activities of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP and *NtRubisco*.

Liquid-like condensates of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP in tobacco chloroplasts

Rubisco complexes are evenly distributed throughout the chloroplast stroma in most plants (Atkinson et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2023a, 2023b). To study the location of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP within the chloroplast, we used confocal fluorescence microscopy to observe GFP fluorescence in the transgenic leaves. Free GFP expressed in tobacco chloroplasts exhibits an even distribution throughout the chloroplast, with its fluorescence completely colocalized with the autofluorescence of chlorophylls (Figure 3a) (Michoux et al., 2011). In contrast, the GFP fluorescence observed in the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP leaves exhibited an uneven distribution, with the fluorescence signal tending to accumulate in droplet-like clusters of various sizes within the chloroplasts (Figure 3b–d). Moreover, these clusters remained stable and unaltered when exposed to the dark or 1% CO_2 conditions (Figure S2). The maximum size of these assemblies was 3–4 μm , which is greater than that of pyrenoids (1–2 μm) but smaller than that of droplets formed by Rubisco-EPYC1 complexes *in vitro* (5–10 μm) (Meyer et al., 2017; Wunder et al., 2018). Moreover, thin-section transmission electron microscopy (TEM) confirmed that the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP proteins formed a large condensate in each




Figure 2 The tagging at the C terminus of NtRbcL with sfGFP did not affect the formation of L_8S_8 holoenzyme and enzymatic activities. (a–d) Coomassie blue staining and GFP fluorescence of Native-PAGE (a and b) and immunoblot analysis using α -RbcL and α -GFP antibodies (c and d) of total soluble protein of WT and transgenic lines indicate that the expressed RbcL-GFP can assemble with Native RbcS to form Rubisco holoenzyme (~ 770 kDa). The size of native Rubisco is ~ 540 kDa and no obvious aggregated bands [$(NtRbcL_8S_8)_n$] can be detected above 540 kDa. Nevertheless, Except for the bands with ~ 770 kDa, the addition of sfGFP will cause the aggregation of NtRubisco-sfGFP [$(RbcL_8S_8)_n$]. (e) GFP fluorescence indicates the fraction of NtRubisco-sfGFP after the sucrose gradient centrifugation in the Rubisco purification procedure. (f) Coomassie blue staining of SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis using α -RbcL and α -GFP antibodies of purified Rubisco, suggesting the assembly of RbcL-GFP and NtRbcS. (g and h) Rubisco activity assays as a function of different concentrations of RuBP and CO_2 indicate that NtRubisco-sfGFP exhibits comparable enzymatic activities with those of native Rubisco (NtRubisco). The k_{cat}^C , K_C , k_{cat}^R , and K_R of NtRubisco and NtRubisco-sfGFP were 3.5 ± 0.3 vs 3.5 ± 0.1 s^{-1} , 23.4 ± 5.2 vs 17 ± 3.3 μM , 3.3 ± 0.1 vs 3.4 ± 0.1 s^{-1} , and 54.8 ± 0.8 vs 39.7 ± 4.6 μM , respectively ($n = 3$, see Table 1). Data were fitted with the Michaelis–Menten equation and are presented as mean $\pm$ SD of three independent assays.

Table 1 Catalytic parameters of purified Rubisco, Rubisco, and chlorophyll content in NtRubisco-sfGFP and WT

Parameters	NtRubisco (WT)	NtRubisco-sfGFP
k_{cat}^C (s^{-1})	3.5 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.1
K_C (μM)	23.4 ± 5.2	17 ± 3.3
k_{cat}^R (s^{-1})	3.3 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.1
K_R (μM)	54.8 ± 0.8	39.7 ± 4.6
Rubisco MW (L_8S_8 , Da)	539 304	768 920
Rubisco ($g\ m^{-2}$)	1.12 ± 0.04	$1.69 \pm 0.14^{**}$
Rubisco active sites ($\mu M\ m^{-2}$)	16.68 ± 0.50	17.57 ± 1.16

Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$ independently biological replicates).

$^{**}P < 0.01$.

chloroplast (Figure S3), which is reminiscent of the dense body formed by cyanobacterial Rubisco-CCM35 complexes in tobacco chloroplasts (Lin *et al.*, 2014) and *in vitro* observations (Figure S1).

Furthermore, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) was exploited to evaluate the organizational status and dynamics of the condensate structures in tobacco chloroplasts (Figure 3e). The bleached fluorescence signal quickly recovered within 10 s after photobleaching, and the fluorescence reached a plateau after 40 s (Figure 3f), comparable to that of the EPYC1-induced condensates of the plant-algal hybrid Rubisco (Atkinson *et al.*, 2020). These results revealed that the Rubisco condensates exhibited liquid-like internal mixing behavior, suggesting that the sfGFP tag condenses Rubisco complexes into a liquid matrix in the stroma of tobacco chloroplasts.




Figure 3 Highly dynamic mobility of Rubisco condensation directed by the dimerization of sfGFP in tobacco chloroplasts. (a) Free sfGFP exhibited an even distribution profile in tobacco chloroplasts. (b) GFP signal displayed an obvious aggregation in tobacco chloroplasts. Scale bar: 10 μ m. (c) GFP signal (green) showed obvious spots rather than full co-localization with auto-fluorescence of chlorophylls in chloroplasts. (d) Higher magnification view of the area as indicated in c. Left, GFP signal; middle, auto-fluorescence of chlorophylls; right, merged image. (e) Representative fluorescence images before/after bleaching, tracking at various time points. The rapid recovery (in 10 seconds) of the fluorescence after bleaching indicated a highly dynamic mobility of the condensation of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP. Scale bar: 2 μ m. (f) Representative curves of fluorescence recovery of bleached regions of the condensation of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP. The y-axis indicates the fluorescence intensity relative to the fluorescence intensity of the same region before bleaching. The non-bleach curve represents the fluorescence intensity of the unbleached region. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD from three independent bleaching regions.

Growth phenotypes and gas exchange assays of the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP transformants

To examine plant growth performance, *NtRubisco*-sfGFP transgenic lines were planted and grown with WT tobacco in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium and soil. In MS medium, the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP plants exhibited a larger cotyledon than the WT plants during the early growth stages (Figure S4). When the plants were grown in soil, seeds of the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP plants germinated, and the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP plants demonstrated autotrophic growth and full life cycles in ambient air. There was no notable difference in growth between *NtRubisco*-sfGFP and WT tobacco in terms of leaf number, plant height, and dry weight (Figure 4a–d). Moreover, there was no distinguishable difference in Rubisco content (measured by Rubisco active sites) (Table 1, Figure S5). The absolute protein mass of Rubisco was remarkably higher in the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP lines than in *NtRubisco* (Table 1), partially due to the greater molecular mass of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP than that of *NtRubisco*. Gas exchange measurements showed similar net CO₂-assimilation rates of the *NtRubisco*-sfGFP lines compared to WT plants as a function of intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci) and light density

(Figure 4e,f). These results indicate that the catalytic activities of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP in transgenic chloroplasts could support plant growth and autotrophic photosynthesis comparable to that of the WT tobacco plants.

Discussion

In this study, we modified the endogenous plant Rubisco in tobacco chloroplasts through genetic fusion of sfGFP to native *NtRbcL*. As a fluorescent tag, sfGFP has been shown to facilitate protein folding and minimize protein aggregation (Scott *et al.*, 2018). Our results showed that sfGFP tagging did not affect the assembly of tobacco Rubisco hexadecamers and their enzymatic activities within chloroplasts. Intriguingly, the self-oligomerization of sfGFP successfully induced phase separation and directed the condensation of Rubisco to form large liquid-like assemblies in the stroma of tobacco chloroplasts. The obtained transgenic plants showed autotrophic growth and a full life cycle in ambient air, comparable to the WT plants. The detailed mechanisms of *NtRbcL*-sfGFP condensation in chloroplasts and modulation of its formation and function require further investigation.




Figure 4 Condensate Rubisco supports autotrophic growth of tobacco plants in ambient air. (a) Phenotypes of the *NtRubisco-sfGFP* and WT plants grown at the flowering stage (55 days after sowing) in air conditions. (b) Fresh and dry weight of the plants showed comparable biomass of the *NtRubisco-sfGFP* with WT. (c and d) Plant growth rate indicated as leaf number and height after sowing. (e and f) Leaf gas exchange analysis of net CO₂ photosynthesis rate (Pn) of *NtRubisco-sfGFP* and WT at different intercellular CO₂ concentrations (Ci) and light densities at 25 °C. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$).

Rubisco is a rate-limiting enzyme in photosynthesis, particularly in C₃ crop plants that lack CCMs. Improving Rubisco catalytic performance and introducing functional CCM systems hold great promise for enhancing plant photosynthesis and productivity. However, the assembly of plant Rubisco involves multiple chaperone proteins, representing a significant challenge for Rubisco engineering (Aigner *et al.*, 2017; Spreitzer and Salvucci, 2002). In cyanobacterial and algal CCMs, Rubisco condensation is fundamental for the construction, maintenance, and CO₂-fixing functionality of proteinaceous organelle-like structures (carboxysomes and pyrenoids). Recent studies have shown the possibility of generating condensates of plant-algal hybrid Rubisco using the pyrenoid-specific linker protein EPYC1 in *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts to support plant growth (Atkinson *et al.*, 2019, 2020). In contrast, our study showed a new

approach to establishing the condensation of endogenous Rubisco complexes in plant chloroplasts, which could serve as individual physical matrices for generating advanced organelle-like structures for carbon fixation, such as carboxysomes and pyrenoids.

It is presumed that Rubisco complexes are randomly organized within the observed condensates, which are mediated by the intrinsic oligomerization of sfGFP, resembling the random packing of Rubisco observed in algal pyrenoids (Freeman Rosenzweig *et al.*, 2017; He *et al.*, 2020). This hypothesis is supported by our observations that Rubisco-sfGFP exhibits dynamic behavior and forms liquid-like condensates with varying sizes, akin to Rubisco in pyrenoids and droplets created by Rubisco-EPYC1 complexes. In contrast, Rubisco holoenzymes are organized in a more ordered manner within both α - and β -carboxysomes (Ni

et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2025). The precise organization of Rubisco within these condensates remains to be elucidated for a better understanding of their catalytic performance. Further investigation into the exact arrangement of Rubisco-sfGFP complexes within these phase condensates in chloroplasts is required to gain insights into their catalytic efficiency and overall performance.

Our study opens a new avenue to engineer functional CCM modules in crop plants, with the intent of improving crop photosynthesis and yield. It may also inform the bioinspired design and manipulation of protein assemblies in synthetic biology for various biotechnological and biomedical applications.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

The wild-type tobacco crop *Nicotiana tabacum* and transgenic lines were planted in the greenhouse under normal treatment conditions. The sterile plants were germinated on the rooting medium (1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium with 3% sucrose) and were grown in an incubator (12 h 25 °C, day/12 h 20 °C night, 200 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). After sterilization with ethanol, the offspring of transgenic and wild-type seeds were germinated on rooting medium with 500 mg spectinomycin for seed testing.

For growth tracking, plants were germinated and grown in a 5 L pot containing Levington Advance Seed & Modular F2S Compost and perlite (3:1, v:v). Plants were cultured in a greenhouse located at the bioscience building at the University of Liverpool, United Kingdom. Leaf number and plant height were recorded and measured during the entire life cycle to compare the growth performance of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP with that of wild-type (WT) plants. The fresh weight of plants was measured at the flowering stage, and the plants were then completely dried at 65 °C for one week for dry weight measurement. For growth comparison, the seeds of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP and WT were sterilized and germinated in rooting medium, and similar growth stage seeds were selected for further growth on the plate. Images were taken at 20 days using a Nikon D7000, and the sizes of leaves were further analyzed using ImageJ software.

Vector construction

The chloroplast transformation vector was designed as shown in Figure 1a. In detail, nearly 850 bp of the 3' end of *rbcl* after removing the stop codon, the cds of sfGFP (Superfolder Green Fluorescent Protein) (Pedelacq et al., 2006), and terminator (*AtTpet* D) were amplified from tobacco genomic DNA, plasmid, and Arabidopsis genomic DNA, respectively. The amplicons were then assembled to form the *rbclgfp* cassette using Golden Gate Assembly. The selection gene (*aadA*) and terminator of *rbcl* were amplified from pTPTR (plasmid for Tobacco Plastid Transformation of RbcL) (Chen et al., 2023b) and built with *rbclgfp* into pEASY@-Blunt Zero (Transgenbiotech, Beijing, China) using Gibson assembly (E5510S, NEB, www.neb.uk.com) to generate the final transformation vector.

Chloroplast transformation

After coating with gold particles, the plasmid was delivered into tobacco chloroplasts following the bombardment method (Chen et al., 2023a, 2023b; Zhou et al., 2007). The transformed leaves were cut into 5 × 5 mm pieces and selected on RMOP medium (Murashige and Skoog (MS)

medium with 3% (w/v) sucrose, 500 mg L⁻¹ spectinomycin, 1 mg L⁻¹ 6-benzylaminopurine, 0.1 mg L⁻¹ naphthaleneacetic acid, 1 mg L⁻¹ thiamine-HCl, 100 mg L⁻¹ Myo-inositol, 0.3% (w/v) phytigel, pH 5.8) (Svab et al., 1990). The regenerated shoots were cut and transferred to a rooting medium (1/2 MS medium with 3% (w/v) sucrose and 500 mg L⁻¹ spectinomycin). Well-rooted plants were transferred into soil pots for growth, flowering, and seeding.

Genomic DNA extraction and Southern blotting

Genomic DNA was extracted by the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method as described by the reference (Chen et al., 2017, 2023a), and nearly 3 μg of genomic DNA was digested with *Spe* I (NEB) for Southern blotting. After separation on 0.8% agarose gel, the DNA was transferred to membranes (Amersham, <http://www.amershambiosciences.com/>) using the capillary method (Southern, 1975). The *rbcl* promoter region, as indicated in Figure 1, was selected as the probe for hybridization. Probe labeling, hybridization, probe washing, and immunodetection were conducted according to the manufacturer's instructions (<https://www.roche.com/>). Finally, the signal was detected using an ImageQuant™ LAS 4000 system (GE Healthcare, USA).

Confocal microscopy and Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

Purified *NtRubisco* was labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 dye according to the previous study (Zang et al., 2021) and imaged using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope with excitation and emission at 650 and 671 nm, respectively. The leaf samples were detached from the plants after 2 h of light, dark adaptation, or 1% CO₂ treatment, and then attached to a slide soaked with a drop of water. The samples were covered with a cover glass and imaged using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope with excitation at 488 nm and 650 nm. GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence signals were measured at 500–520 nm and 660–700 nm, respectively. The GFP signal in the center of the spot was bleached with 100% laser, and images were taken every 250 ms for 60 s to track the fluorescence recovery. The fluorescence profiles were obtained and analyzed using ImageJ software, and total fluorescence was used to normalize the fluorescence distributions after bleaching.

Rubisco purification, protein gel analysis, immunoblotting analysis, and carbon-fixation assays

Leaf discs (2 cm²) were quickly homogenized in extraction buffer [50 mM EPPS, 20 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP), 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 1% protein inhibitor, pH = 8.0]. Following homogenization, the mixture was centrifuged at 12 000 g for 5 min. The supernatants were used for Rubisco quantification using immunoblot analysis. *NtRubisco*-sfGFP and WT Rubisco were purified using the previously reported ammonium sulfate method (Carmo-Silva et al., 2011). After quantification by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976), the protein samples were mixed with 4× SDS Sample Buffer and boiled at 100 °C for 10 min for denaturing, analyzed on 15% SDS-PAGE according to the standard protocol, and then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 or transferred to membranes for immunoblotting analysis. Rabbit polyclonal α -rbcl (Agrisera, AS03037, dilution 1:10 000), α -GFP (Invitrogen, 33-2600, dilution 1:10 000), goat α -rabbit Immunoglobulin G (HandL), horseradish peroxidase-conjugated (Agrisera AS101461, dilution 1:10 000), and goat α -mouse secondary antibody, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated (Promega, W4021,

dilution 1:10 000) were used for immunoblotting following the procedure described previously (Huang *et al.*, 2020; Sun *et al.*, 2016). For native-PAGE gel analysis, the samples were mixed with 4× Native Sample Buffer and separated on 7% (w/v) native-PAGE gels at 50 volts overnight. After incubation in SDS transfer buffer (0.1% (w/v) SDS, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 20% (v/v) methanol, pH 8.3) for 1 h, protein transfer and immunoblotting analysis of native-PAGE gels were performed using the same protocol as for SDS-PAGE gels. The signal was detected using the ImageQuant™ LAS 4000 system (GE Healthcare, United States) or Tanon 5200 (Tanon, China).

Rubisco CO₂-fixing activity was determined using the ¹⁴C method (Sun *et al.*, 2016, 2019). Rubisco active sites were quantified by titration assay with different concentrations of carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate (CABP) (Chen *et al.*, 2023b). The radioactivity was measured using a scintillation counter (Tri-Carb; Perkin-Elmer, US). The CO₂-fixing efficiency was calculated using raw data and normalized to Rubisco active sites. The Michaelis-Menten plot was fitted using Origin (www.origin.com), and all results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Measurement of chlorophyll content and plant weights

Leaf samples (2 cm²) were punched from the plants and weighed prior to chlorophyll extraction. Chlorophylls were completely extracted in 2 mL chlorophyll extraction buffer [ethanol, acetone, and water (4.5:4.5:1, v:v:v)] in the dark at 4 °C overnight. The chlorophyll content was measured by the spectrophotometric method using NanoDrop Ds-11 (DeNovix, USA) and was calculated using the equations of Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983). The fresh shoots of plants were weighed and then dried at 60 °C for one week to collect the dry weight data.

Leaf Assimilation rates and Gas exchange

Net photosynthesis rates of fully light-adapted plants (Pn, μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 25 °C over different concentrations of CO₂ and photons were examined on the same leaves during gas-exchange experiments using a portable flow-through LI-6800 gas-exchange system (LI-COR, Nebraska, USA). Gas exchange data were modelled and calculated according to previous studies (Farquhar *et al.*, 1980; von Caemmerer, 2000).

Acknowledgments

We thank the Liverpool Biomedical Electron Microscopy Unit (Greg Dykes, Alison Becket) and the Centre for Cell Imaging for technical assistance and provision for microscopic imaging. We thank Dr. Douglas Orr and Professor Martin Parry for kindly sharing CABP as a gift. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFA0914600, 2021YFA0909600), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32070109), the National Program of Transgenic Variety Development of China (2016ZX08001-001), the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2021-286 to L.-N.L.), the Royal Society (URFR \180030 to L.-N.L.), the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Grant (BB/Y01135X/1, BB/Y008308/1, BB/V009729/1, BB/M024202/1 to L.-N.L., BB/N016807/1 to P.J.N.), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2025A1515012075, 2024A1515012951 to T.C.), and the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program from China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (20180079 to T.C.).

Author contributions

T.C., P.N., Y.L., and L.-N.L. designed the research; T.C., M.H., D.P., S.Y., F.Z., and G.F.D. performed the research; T.C., T.L., P.N., Y.L., and L.-N.L. analyzed the data; T.C. and L.-N.L. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all other authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

- Aigner, H., Wilson, R.H., Bracher, A., Calisse, L., Bhat, J.Y., Hartl, F.U. and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2017) Plant RuBisCo assembly in *E. coli* with five chloroplast chaperones including BSD2. *Science* **358**, 1272–1278.
- Andersson, I. and Backlund, A. (2008) Structure and function of Rubisco. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **46**, 275–291.
- Atkinson, N., Leitao, N., Orr, D.J., Meyer, M.T., Carmo-Silva, E., Griffiths, H., Smith, A.M. *et al.* (2017) Rubisco small subunits from the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas* complement Rubisco-deficient mutants of *Arabidopsis*. *New Phytol.* **214**, 655–667.
- Atkinson, N., Velanis, C.N., Wunder, T., Clarke, D.J., Mueller-Cajar, O. and McCormick, A.J. (2019) The pyrenoid linker protein EPYC1 phase separates with hybrid *Arabidopsis*-*Chlamydomonas* Rubisco through interactions with the algal Rubisco small subunit. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 5271–5285.
- Atkinson, N., Mao, Y., Chan, K.X. and McCormick, A.J. (2020) Condensation of Rubisco into a proto-pyrenoid in higher plant chloroplasts. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–9.
- Bailey-Serres, J., Parker, J.E., Ainsworth, E.A., Oldroyd, G.E.D. and Schroeder, J.I. (2019) Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature* **575**, 109–118.
- Bainbridge, G., Madgwick, P., Parmar, S., Mitchell, R., Paul, M., Pitts, J., Keys, A.J. *et al.* (1995) Engineering Rubisco to change its catalytic properties. *J. Exp. Bot.* **46**, 1269–1276.
- Berner, R.A. (2003) The long-term carbon cycle, fossil fuels and atmospheric composition. *Nature* **426**, 323–326.
- Bracher, A., Whitney, S.M., Hartl, F.U. and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2017) Biogenesis and metabolic maintenance of Rubisco. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **68**, 29–60.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254.
- von Caemmerer, S. (2000) *Biochemical Models of Leaf Photosynthesis*. Victoria: CSIRO Publishing.
- Cai, F., Dou, Z., Bernstein, S.L., Leverenz, R., Williams, E.B., Heinhorst, S., Shively, J. *et al.* (2015) Advances in understanding carboxysome assembly in *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* implicate CsoS2 as a critical component. *Life (Basel)* **5**, 1141–1171.
- Carmo-Silva, A.E., Barta, C. and Salvucci, M.E. (2011) Isolation of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase from leaves. In *Photosynthesis Research Protocols*, pp. 339–347. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Chen, T., Wu, H., Wu, J., Fan, X., Li, X. and Lin, Y. (2017) Absence of OsβCA1 causes a CO₂ deficit and affects leaf photosynthesis and the stomatal response to CO₂ in rice. *Plant J.* **90**, 344–357.
- Chen, T., Hojka, M., Davey, P., Sun, Y., Dykes, G.F., Zhou, F., Lawson, T. *et al.* (2023a) Engineering α-carboxysomes into plant chloroplasts to support autotrophic photosynthesis. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 2118.
- Chen, T., Riaz, S., Davey, P., Zhao, Z., Sun, Y., Dykes, G.F., Zhou, F. *et al.* (2023b) Producing fast and active Rubisco in tobacco to enhance photosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **35**, 795–807.

- Croce, R., Carmo-Silva, E., Cho, Y.B., Ermakova, M., Harbinson, J., Lawson, T., McCormick, A.J. et al. (2024) Perspectives on improving photosynthesis to increase crop yield. *Plant Cell* **36**, 3944–3973.
- Farquhar, G.D., von Caemmerer, S. and Berry, J.A. (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* **149**, 78–90.
- Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T. and Falkowski, P. (1998) Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* **281**, 237–240.
- Flamholz, A.I., Prywes, N., Moran, U., Davidi, D., Bar-On, Y.M., Oltrogge, L.M., Alves, R. et al. (2019) Revisiting trade-offs between Rubisco kinetic parameters. *Biochemistry* **58**, 3365–3376.
- Freeman Rosenzweig, E.S., Xu, B., Kuhn Cuellar, L., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Schaffer, M., Strauss, M., Cartwright, H.N. et al. (2017) The Eukaryotic CO₂-Concentrating Organelle Is Liquid-like and Exhibits Dynamic Reorganization. *Cell* **171**, 148–162.e119.
- He, S., Chou, H.T., Matthies, D., Wunder, T., Meyer, M.T., Atkinson, N., Martinez-Sanchez, A. et al. (2020) The structural basis of Rubisco phase separation in the pyrenoid. *Nat Plants* **6**, 1480–1490.
- Hennacy, J.H. and Jonikas, M.C. (2020a) Prospects for Engineering Biophysical CO₂ Concentrating Mechanisms into Land Plants to Enhance Yields. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **71**, 461–485.
- Huang, F., Kong, W.-W., Sun, Y., Chen, T., Dykes, G.F., Jiang, Y.-L. and Liu, L.-N. (2020) Rubisco accumulation factor 1 (Raf1) plays essential roles in mediating Rubisco assembly and carboxysome biogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 17418–17428.
- Itakura, A.K., Chan, K.X., Atkinson, N., Pallesen, L., Wang, L., Reeves, G., Patena, W. et al. (2019) A Rubisco-binding protein is required for normal pyrenoid number and starch sheath morphology in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 18445–18454.
- Leibly, D.J., Arbing, M.A., Pashkov, I., DeVore, N., Waldo, G.S., Terwilliger, T.C. and Yeates, T.O. (2015) A Suite of Engineered GFP Molecules for Oligomeric Scaffolding. *Structure* **23**, 1754–1768.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. and Wellburn, A.R. (1983) Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **11**, 591–592.
- Lin, M.T., Occhialini, A., Andralojc, P.J., Parry, M.A. and Hanson, M.R. (2014) A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* **513**, 547–550.
- Lin, M.T., Orr, D.J., Worrall, D., Parry, M.A.J., Carmo-Silva, E. and Hanson, M.R. (2021) A procedure to introduce point mutations into the Rubisco large subunit gene in wild-type plants. *Plant J.* **106**, 876–887.
- Liu, L.N. (2022) Advances in the bacterial organelles for CO₂ fixation. *Trends Microbiol.* **30**, 567–580.
- Long, S.P., Marshall-Colon, A. and Zhu, X.G. (2015) Meeting the global food demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. *Cell* **161**, 56–66.
- Mackinder, L.C.M., Chen, C., Leib, R.D., Patena, W., Blum, S.R., Rodman, M., Ramundo, S. et al. (2017) A Spatial Interactome Reveals the Protein Organization of the Algal CO₂-Concentrating Mechanism. *Cell* **171**(133–147), e114.
- Meyer, M.T., Whittaker, C. and Griffiths, H. (2017) The algal pyrenoid: key unanswered questions. *J. Exp. Bot.* **68**, 3739–3749.
- Michoux, F., Ahmad, N., McCarthy, J. and Nixon, P.J. (2011) Contained and high-level production of recombinant protein in plant chloroplasts using a temporary immersion bioreactor. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **9**, 575–584.
- Ni, T., Sun, Y., Burn, W., Al-Hazeem, M.M., Zhu, Y., Yu, X., Liu, L.-N. et al. (2022) Structure and assembly of cargo Rubisco in two native α -carboxysomes. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1–9.
- Ni, T., Jiang, Q., Ng, P.C., Shen, J., Dou, H., Zhu, Y., Radecke, J. et al. (2023) Intrinsically disordered CsoS2 acts as a general molecular thread for α -carboxysome shell assembly. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 5512.
- Nisbet, E., Grassineau, N., Howe, C., Abell, P., Regelous, M. and Nisbet, R. (2007) The age of Rubisco: the evolution of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Geobiology* **5**, 311–335.
- Oltrogge, L.M., Chaijarasphong, T., Chen, A.W., Bolin, E.R., Marqusee, S. and Savage, D.F. (2020) Multivalent interactions between CsoS2 and Rubisco mediate α -carboxysome formation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 281–287.
- Orr, D.J., Alcantara, A., Kapralov, M.V., Andralojc, P.J., Carmo-Silva, E. and Parry, M.A. (2016) Surveying rubisco diversity and temperature response to improve crop photosynthetic efficiency. *Plant Physiol.* **172**, 707–717.
- Ort, D.R., Merchant, S.S., Alric, J., Barkan, A., Blankenship, R.E., Bock, R., Croce, R. et al. (2015) Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 8529–8536.
- Pedelacq, J.D., Cabantous, S., Tran, T., Terwilliger, T.C. and Waldo, G.S. (2006) Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 79–88.
- Rae, B.D., Long, B.M., Forster, B., Nguyen, N.D., Velanis, C.N., Atkinson, N., Hee, W.Y. et al. (2017) Progress and challenges of engineering a biophysical CO₂-concentrating mechanism into higher plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **68**, 3717–3737.
- Scott, D.J., Gunn, N.J., Yong, K.J., Wimmer, V.C., Veldhuis, N.A., Challis, L.M., Haidar, M. et al. (2018) A Novel Ultra-Stable, Monomeric Green Fluorescent Protein For Direct Volumetric Imaging of Whole Organs Using CLARITY. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 667.
- Sharwood, R.E. (2017) Engineering chloroplasts to improve Rubisco catalysis: prospects for translating improvements into food and fiber crops. *New Phytol.* **213**, 494–510.
- Southern, E.M. (1975) Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. *J. Mol. Biol.* **98**, 503–517.
- Spreitzer, R.J. and Salvucci, M.E. (2002) Rubisco: structure, regulatory interactions, and possibilities for a better enzyme. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **53**, 449–475.
- Sun, Y., Casella, S., Fang, Y., Huang, F., Faulkner, M., Barrett, S. and Liu, L.N. (2016) Light modulates the biosynthesis and organization of cyanobacterial carbon fixation machinery through photosynthetic electron flow. *Plant Physiol.* **171**, 530–541.
- Sun, Y., Wollman, A., Huang, F., Leake, M. and Liu, L. (2019) Single-organelle quantification reveals the stoichiometric and structural variability of carboxysomes dependent on the environment. *Plant Cell* **31**, 1648–1664.
- Sun, Y., Sheng, Y., Ni, T., Ge, X., Sarsby, J., Brownridge, P.J., Li, K. et al. (2025) Rubisco packaging and stoichiometric composition of the native β -carboxysome in *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC7942. *Plant Physiol.* **197**, kiae665.
- Svab, Z., Hajdukiewicz, P. and Maliga, P. (1990) Stable transformation of plastids in higher plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **87**, 8526–8530.
- Tcherkez, G.G., Farquhar, G.D. and Andrews, T.J. (2006) Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose biphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly optimized. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 7246–7251.
- Wang, H., Yan, X., Aigner, H., Bracher, A., Nguyen, N.D., Hee, W.Y., Long, B.M. et al. (2019) Rubisco condensate formation by CcmM in β -carboxysome biogenesis. *Nature* **566**, 131–135.
- Whitney, S.M., Houtz, R.L. and Alonso, H. (2011) Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO₂-sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. *Plant Physiol.* **155**, 27–35.
- Wunder, T., Cheng, S.L.H., Lai, S.K., Li, H.Y. and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2018) The phase separation underlying the pyrenoid-based microalgal Rubisco supercharger. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5076.
- Zang, K., Wang, H., Hartl, F.U. and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2021) Scaffolding protein CcmM directs multiprotein phase separation in β -carboxysome biogenesis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **28**, 909–922.
- Zhou, F., Karcher, D. and Bock, R. (2007) Identification of a plastid intercistronic expression element (IEE) facilitating the expression of stable translatable monocistronic mRNAs from operons. *Plant J.* **52**, 961–972.
- Zhu, X.G., Long, S.P. and Ort, D.R. (2010) Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **61**, 235–261.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1 *Nt*Rubisco-sfGFP purified from transgenic tobacco leaves showed obvious particle *in vitro* (Left); however, purified GFP (Middle) and *Nt*Rubisco (stained with Alexa Fluor® 647, Right) showed an even distribution *in vitro*. Scale bar: 10 μ m.

Figure S2 sfGFP-triggered formation of Rubisco condensates remained unaltered when exposing to dark (top) or 1% CO₂ (bottom). Scale bar: 10 µm.

Figure S3 Transmission electron micrographs of leaf sections of WT and *NtRubisco*-sfGFP plants.

Figure S4 *NtRubisco*-sfGFP showed a slightly larger cotyledon in early stage (15 days after sowing) on MS medium. (a, b) Plant images of *NtRubisco*-sfGFP and WT, which were taken under blue

light (a) and white light (b). (c, d) leaves identified from b by Image J (c) to calculate the cotyledon area (d).

Figure S5 Quantification of Rubisco content in tobacco leaves by immunoblot analysis using an α-RbcL antibody. The purified Rubisco from WT and *NtRubisco*-sfGFP were quantified by Bradford method and loaded at different concentrations (a) to generate the standard curves (b).